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Purpose: The NOTCH4 gene is located at 6p21.3, a site shown in several studies to have significant linkage with Alzheimer’s disease. The objective was to investigate the potential impact of two polymorphisms within this gene on the risk of developing Alzheimer’s disease.

Methods: Genotyping of promoter and 5′-UTR polymorphisms was done in Scottish, English, and French populations. The potential functionality of the 5′-UTR polymorphism was assessed by testing its impact on Aβ load in Alzheimer brains and also by undertaking electrophoretic mobility shift assays and transfection experiments.

Results: No association of the Notch4 polymorphisms alone with the disease was observed in any of the populations. However, an interaction of the 5′-UTR C/T polymorphism with the ε4 allele of the APOE gene was detected in United Kingdom populations but not in the French. No relation between the 5′-UTR polymorphism and Aβ loads was detected overall or in the presence or absence of the ε4 allele. No DNA protein specific binding was found with proteins from neuroblastoma, glioma, or astrocytoma cells, and no allele dependent transcriptional activity was detected.

Conclusions: No association between two NOTCH4 polymorphisms alone and Alzheimer’s disease was observed in the three populations, but there was evidence of an increased risk associated with the 5′-UTR CC genotype in ε4 bearers in the United Kingdom. As no functionality for this polymorphism could be determined, it is likely that the interaction is spurious or results from a linkage disequilibrium of this 5′-UTR polymorphism with another marker elsewhere in the 6p21.3 locus.

Among the numerous loci brought to the fore by genome scans, evidence for a weak linkage to chromosome 6q in the vicinity of the major histocompatibility complex (MCH) at 6p21.3, has led to the investigation of several candidate genes at this locus. To date, the human leucocyte antigen (HLA)-A2 allele, and variations in the regulatory gene segments of the MCH 6p21.3 locus—such as HLA-DR3, CREBL1, the receptor for advanced glycosylation end products (RAGE), or NOTCH4—may be considered as candidate genes for Alzheimer’s disease.

In this report, we have focused on the NOTCH4 gene, as several lines of evidence suggest that notch family genes may be relevant to Alzheimer’s disease processes. Indeed, much data are consistent with a role for Notch signalling in the maintenance of the neural stem cell. In neurons, this signalling regulates the extension and elaboration of neurites in vitro. In humans, missense mutations of the NOTCH3 gene have been reported to occur in patients with CADASIL (cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leuкоencephalopathy), a form of dementia with stroke. The NOTCH4 gene has recently been described as a risk factor for schizophrenia, even if this observation was not systematically replicated.

Collectively, these data have led us to assess the impact of two polymorphisms in the NOTCH4 gene on the risk of developing Alzheimer’s disease in two independent British and French populations.

METHODS

Study populations

We studied 255 British cases of Alzheimer’s disease. They were all white, with a mean (SD) age at onset of 63.0 (11.0) years, and 54.4% were male. These were ascertained from two United Kingdom centres—the central belt of Scotland and Communicative Disorders and Stroke

Abbreviations: ADRDA, Alzheimer’s Disease and Associated Disorders Association; ATCC, American Tissue Culture Collection; DSM-III-R, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 3rd edition, revised; EMSA, electrophoretic mobility shift assay; MCH, major histocompatibility complex; NINDS, National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke
(n = 140, 25% of whom had been confirmed as definite Alzheimer’s disease, one case with early onset Alzheimer’s disease), and Greater Manchester (n = 115, 10% of whom had been confirmed as definite Alzheimer’s disease). An independent population of 436 white French cases (age at onset, 68.2 (7.8); 39.0% male), all of whom were cases of probable Alzheimer’s disease, was also studied.

Diagnoses of definite or probable Alzheimer’s disease were established according to DSM-III-R and NINDCS-ADRDA criteria. Early and late onset cases were defined as those with onset before 65 or >65 years of age, respectively.

Controls were defined as subjects without DSM-III-R dementia criteria, and with full integrity of their cognitive functions. The United Kingdom control population comprised 293 controls from Scotland and 112 from Manchester (total 405; age, 60.7 (14.5) years; 46.8% male), and there were 569 French controls (age, 72.1 (8.1) years; 39.2% male).

Ethical approval was obtained for the study from the relevant ethics committees, along with informed consent from all participants or their relatives. The data were anonymised to ensure subject confidentiality.

### Brain samples

Brains from a further 88 cases of definite Alzheimer’s disease (age at onset, 65.2 (10.3) years; age at death, 73.5 (9.6) years; 49% male) were collected from the Greater Manchester area. DNA was extracted from the frozen brain tissues of these cases by standard methods. The proportion of tissue area occupied by Aβ40, Aβ42, and total Aβ (Aβ40+Aβ42) was quantified in immunohistochemically stained sections from Brodmann areas 8/9 of the frontal cortex, as previously reported.14

### Genotyping

APOE, rs387071 (promoter G/A), and rs367398 (5'-UTR C/T) NOTCH4 genotypes were determined as previously described.15 16 NOTCH4 polymorphisms were separated by 3452 bases and were not in linkage disequilibrium (data not shown). All the polymorphisms tested were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

### Statistical analysis

Univariate analyses were done using Pearson’s χ² test. In the multivariate analysis, we tested the hypothesis that possession of rs387071 GG and rs367398 CC genotypes increased the risk of Alzheimer’s disease (that is, 5'-UTR GG v rs387071 GA+AA genotypes and rs367398 CC v promoter CT+TT genotypes). The effect of the two homozygote variants on the risk for Alzheimer’s disease was assessed using a multiple logistic regression model adjusted for age and sex. The amyloid load for patients with the rs367398 CC genotype was compared with that from those with rs367398 CT+TT genotypes using the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test, overall and with and without an allele e4.

Pairwise linkage disequilibrium coefficients were estimated in the control samples. Extended haplotype frequencies of the
two markers were estimated on collapsed data using the myriad haplotype algorithm described by McLean et al.17

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assays**

Extracts of cytoplasmic or nuclear proteins were prepared, using established methods, from neuroblastoma (SK-N-SH-SYSY; ATCC, USA), glioma (U138MG; ATCC, USA), and astrocytoma cell lines (STTG1; ATCC, USA).18 Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) experiments were done using a probe containing the rs367398 polymorphism. Single stranded oligonucleotides (5′→3′) were 5′ end labelled with digoxigenin (5′-AGAGGGACAGGAC(T→C)GGGCTTGGAAG-3′), annealed to complementary oligomer (5′-CCTCTCCAAAGCCC(A→G)GT-CCTGCCTCCCT-3′). A 10 g aliquot of proteins was added to a final volume of 20 μl of a mixture containing 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 5% glycerol, 1 mM phenyl methylsulphonyl fluoride, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 5 μg/ml leupeptin, 5 μg/ml aprotinin, 0.5 μg/ml bovine serum albumin, 2 mg/ml poly(dI:dC), and 20 pmol/μl probe, and the mixture was incubated for 25 minutes at room temperature before gel analysis. The complexes were separated on a 5% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel, and semi-dry electrophoretic transfer was carried out from gels to nitrocellulose membranes. Detection was as described by the supplier (Roche Diagnostics, Meylan, France).

**Construction of reporter plasmids**

The fragments containing the rs367398 C/T polymorphism were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from genomic DNA of homoygous individuals using the forward and reverse primers for genotyping.14 Fragments were cloned using the T-easy plasmid kit (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). After excision of the fragments of interest by enzyme restriction and gel purification, the fragments were cloned into the Bham I site (upstream of the Firefly luciferase gene) of the pGL3-basic vector (Promega). The integrity of the inserts was confirmed by sequence analysis using the Taq Big Dye Terminator sequencing kit on an ABI 377 sequencer (Perkin-Elmer Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA).

**RESULTS**

The rs387071 (promoter) and rs367398 (5′-UTR) polymorphisms were initially tested in the two British populations. No significant differences for allele and genotype distributions were detected between these two populations. No differences for allelic and genotype distributions were observed for either polymorphism between control and Alzheimer populations (tables 1 and 2). No modulator effects of age or sex were observed. However, a significant interaction between the rs367398 CC genotype and the APOE allele at least one 4 allele was detected in the Manchester population and a similar trend in the Scottish population (table 3). The risk of developing Alzheimer’s disease associated with the 4 allele was significantly higher for individuals bearing the rs367398 CC genotype than for those bearing the CT+TT genotypes (odds ratio, 3.83 (95% confidence interval, 3.48 to 9.79) v 1.92 (1.21 to 3.06), respectively). No variation in haplotype distribution was observed in the whole population, but this distribution was significantly different when studying individuals bearing at least one 4 allele (p = 0.0007). However, a haplotype combination of the two notch polymorphisms was not more informative than the effect observed for the rs367398 by itself.

To replicate these results, we tested the validity of the interaction of the promoter CC polymorphism with the 4

---

### Table 3 Evaluation of the interaction of the rs367398 CC genotype with the 4 allele of the APOE gene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.54 to 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.17 to 4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manchester</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.48 to 7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>1.55 to 3.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APOE*Notch indicates the significance level of the interaction term included in the logistic regression model. OR, odds ratio; confidence intervals are in given parentheses.

### Table 4 Allele and genotype distribution of the rs367398 NOTCH4 polymorphism in the French population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rs367398</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>TT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer’s disease cases</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>570 (0.65)</td>
<td>302 (0.35)</td>
<td>186 (0.43)</td>
<td>198 (0.45)</td>
<td>52 (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>579 (0.67)</td>
<td>379 (0.33)</td>
<td>252 (0.44)</td>
<td>255 (0.45)</td>
<td>62 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 Evaluation of the interaction of the rs367398 CC genotype with the 4 allele of the APOE gene in the French population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.67 to 1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.47 to 1.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APOE*Notch indicates the significance level of the interaction term included in the logistic regression model. OR, odds ratio; confidence intervals are in given parentheses.
allele in another large association study. As already reported in the British population, we did not observe any effects of the rs367398 Notch 4 polymorphism on the risk of developing Alzheimer’s disease in a French population (table 4). However, we were not able to detect an interaction among any of the variables we tested in a model of logistic regression, in particular the APOE ε4 allele (table 5).

We then assessed the functionality of the rs367398 Notch 4 polymorphism by testing the impact of this polymorphism on Aβ load in Alzheimer’s disease brains, but no effect was detected, even when stratified according to APOE genotype (table 6). Next we considered whether the rs367398 NOTCH4 polymorphism itself had a relevant biological role. We used EMSA to determine an allele dependent protein binding to the sequence containing this polymorphism. However, we were not able to detect a specific binding of either nuclear or cytoplasmic proteins extracted from SK-N-SH SY5Y, STTG1, or U138-MG cells (data not shown). We finally investigated whether this polymorphism was associated with an altered expression of a reporter gene in vitro. Even though a fragment containing the polymorphism of interest could induce an average sixfold higher transactivation than an empty plasmid, no significant difference was observed in relation to the alleles of the rs367398 NOTCH4 polymorphism in the U138MG cell line. Altogether, these data suggested that the rs367398 C/T is not located in a regulatory sequence within the Notch promoter and as a result is not functional in these conditions.

DISCUSSION

Characterisation of new genetic determinants for Alzheimer’s disease is difficult. Even if genome linkage studies allow better detection of loci containing candidate genes of potential interest, the vast (genetic) length of these loci restricts the ease of identifying true causative genes. Hunting for a causative gene on chromosome 6 clearly illustrates this problem as the “true” gene, in close linkage with the HLA-A2 or TNF-α variants, may be any number of genes located within this locus of interest. The linked region is large—from 6p21 to 6q12—and at least 960 putative open reading frames are listed in the NCBI website (June 2002 assembly).

With this in mind, we focused our interest near the two candidate genes in the MCH 6p21.3 region for which an association had been reported—HLA-A and TNFz. However, because there are discrepancies in the few association studies that have been carried out on these genes, we do not know whether they really play a role in Alzheimer’s disease. In the vicinity of HLA-A and TNFz is the NOTCH4 gene which is potentially a good candidate gene, for the reasons previously described.

We investigated the impact of the rs387071 (promoter) and rs367398 (5′-UTR) polymorphisms in NOTCH4 gene in a British population of Alzheimer cases and controls. We found no association with rs387071. We did detect a significant interaction of the rs367398 CC genotype with the ε4 allele of the APOE gene. However, we could not replicate this observation in a large independent French cohort. Furthermore, we were not able to establish a correlation between the rs367398 NOTCH4 polymorphism with Aβ load in the brains of patients with Alzheimer’s disease. We have also shown that the rs367398 polymorphism did not influence expression in vitro.

It is difficult to interpret the discrepancy observed between the British and French populations. It may result from a type I or type II error (that is, false positive or false negative, respectively). However, because the rs367398 polymorphism does not appear to be functional under our experimental conditions, it is likely that we can exclude it as a genetic determinant of Alzheimer’s disease. It is interesting to note that in previous studies the potential impact of an unknown gene within the MCH 6p21.3 locus was determined as most important, or only present, when associated with the APOE ε4 allele, but such an interaction was not reported by others. Consequently, the findings we have described in the British population may result from linkage disequilibrium of the rs367398 NOTCH4 polymorphism with another genetic variation in the NOTCH4 gene itself, or in another gene nearby. As linkage disequilibrium between two genetic markers in white (Europid) populations can vary strongly, this association might not exist in French populations.

Conclusions

No association of two NOTCH4 polymorphisms alone was observed in one French and two United Kingdom populations, but evidence of an increased risk associated with the rs367398 CC genotype in ε4 carriers was detected in the United Kingdom. However, as we were not able to determine functionality for this polymorphism, it is likely that the interaction is spurious or results from a linkage disequilibrium of this promoter polymorphism with another marker elsewhere in the 6p21.3 locus. This is consistent with the findings of others who have reported an association of genes within this region with Alzheimer’s disease in ε4 carriers, though not all investigators have found such a relation.
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Table 6 Impact of the rs367398 NOTCH4 polymorphism on Aβ load according to APOE genotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Aβ40 (% area) Aβ42 (% area) Total Aβ (% area)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>31  3.7 (4.0) 10.8 (4.9) 14.7 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>44  3.8 (3.4) 9.8 (4.1) 13.7 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>13  3.7 (4.0) 10.6 (4.7) 14.3 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>Aβ40 (% area) Aβ42 (% area) Total Aβ (% area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>10  3.6 (3.3) 10.8 (5.5) 14.4 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>13  1.8 (1.2) 9.6 (4.4) 11.4 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>5   1.3 (1.2) 11.2 (4.1) 12.4 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6 Impact of the rs367398 NOTCH4 polymorphism on Aβ load according to APOE genotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Aβ40 (% area) Aβ42 (% area) Total Aβ (% area)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>21  4.0 (4.4) 10.9 (4.3) 14.8 (7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>31  4.7 (3.7) 9.9 (4.0) 14.6 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>8   5.3 (4.4) 10.3 (5.3) 15.5 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Silas Weir Mitchell and the ‘rest cure’

Weir Mitchell (1829–1914), the American neurologist, renowned for his work on causalgia, was also interested in hysteria. He first encountered it in soldiers during the civil war.

In civilian practice when faced with patients with neurosis and hysteria he developed his ‘rest cure’. It was based, he said, on moral and physical components described in his book Fat and blood; the title reflected his experience that women with hysteria were often thin and anaemic.

In addition to rest he insisted on removing the patient from their environment, asking them to write their life history, and using exercise, electrical stimulation, and a nutritious diet. In his hands, a rest cure was a success, perhaps owing to his patients’ immense respect and faith in him. But Weir Mitchell was wise enough to anticipate and thereby prevent what we now label illness behaviour:

“Yes she will run out of the door in two minutes; I set her sheets on fire. A case of hysteria.”

His prediction thankfully proved correct.

“I urged, scolded and teased and bribed and decoyed along the road to health; but this is what it means to treat hysteria.”

Weir Mitchell penned several classic books and papers. He invented the term causalgia for the intractable pain consequent upon nerve injury. In Reflex paralysis he described the sudden weakness of the limbs on the side opposite to forebrain injury, thus anticipating the lateralisation of motor function by Fritsch and Hitzig by five years.

He studied postparalytic chorea, erythromelalgia (Weir Mitchell’s disease), and deduced that the cerebellum augments and reinforces movement.

J M S Pearce

304 Beverley Road, Anlaby, Hull HU10 7BG, UK; jmspearce@freenet.co.uk
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