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Objective: To apply a theoretical model to analyse the derangement of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) dynamics in syringomyelia associated with adhesive arachnoiditis.

Methods: An electrical circuit model of CSF dynamics in the spine was used. With this model, the derangement of CSF dynamics in adhesive arachnoiditis was simulated. The effects of various surgical procedures were then analysed, such as syringo-subarachnoid shunting, subarachnoid bypass, and foramen magnum decompression.

Results: When CSF flow in the subarachnoid space was obstructed at a certain point, the pressure inside the spinal cord increased in the segment immediately distal to the blockage. This location of increased pressure corresponded to the preferred site of syrinx formation in adhesive arachnoiditis. Syringo-subarachnoid shunting, subarachnoid bypass, and foramen magnum decompression were all effective at reducing this pressure gradient.

Conclusions: Blockage of the spinal subarachnoid CSF pathway produces a relative increase in the pressure inside the spinal cord distal to the blockage point. Repetitive formation of this pressure gradient then induces CSF leakage into the spinal parenchyma, leading to the formation of syringomyelia. Using this model, alternative surgical procedures could be suggested that might be effective in treating this disease.

METHODS

Modelling of CSF dynamics

In this study, we used the same mathematical model of the spinal CSF dynamics as we used in our previous study of syringomyelia with Chiari I malformation. The detailed methods are described in our previous paper. Briefly, we constructed an electrical circuit model that simulated the dynamics of the CSF in the spine, based on a standard method of fluid dynamics. In this model, the electrical current represented the CSF flow; the electrical resistance represented the resistance to the CSF flow; and the electrical capacitance represented the compliance (or temporary fluid storage capacity) of the soft tissue such as the dura or the spinal cord. In fig 1, the electrical diagram is superimposed on the corresponding anatomical structures. As can be seen in the figure, the model consists of two arrays of serially connected resistors, which are then mutually connected with multiple capacitors. The resistor arrays represent two CSF pathways in the spine: the subarachnoid space around the spinal cord, and a CSF pathway inside the cord, which may be viewed as the central canal. Thus the voltage accumulated in the capacitor bridging the two resistor arrays corresponds to pressure gradient between inside and outside the spinal cord. For convenience, we will call these capacitors \((C_1 \text{ to } C_9)\) in fig 1 "inside capacitors", and those capacitors representing the compliance of the dura and the root sleeves \((D_1 \text{ to } D_9)\) in fig 1 "outside capacitors".

Modelling of the pulsatile wave

We were interested in the response of this system to a sudden increase in electrical voltage on the cranial ends, which simulated the pulsatile CSF waves coming from the cranial side. According to the standard theory of electric circuit analysis, we formulated a set of ordinary differential equations.
equations that described this electric circuit. We then solved these equations on a personal computer using a program for numerical analysis provided in a software package, Mathematica version 4.0 (Wolfram Research, Champaign, Illinois, USA). We used the same values of the resistors and capacitors as those in our previous study. The details are described in our previous paper. 7

Simulation of arachnoiditis and its treatment

Arachnoiditis

We then tried to simulate the situation in adhesive arachnoiditis by increasing the value of one of the resistors representing the spinal subarachnoid CSF pathway. In this report, we will show the result of increasing the value of the resistor \( R_5 \) (fig 1) to 50 times the original value. We will call this parameter setting the “original arachnoiditis setting,” and refer to it later. The arbitrary selection of “50 times increase” is justified because we were only interested in the qualitative behaviour of the circuit, which was basically the same irrespective of the quantity of the increase.

Syringo–subarachnoid shunt

To simulate syringo–subarachnoid shunting, we added to the original arachnoiditis setting a resistor valued 0.2 between the central canal and the subarachnoid space, bypassing the capacitance \( C_6 \) in fig 1. We then observed how this procedure affected the development of the pressure gradient distal to the blockage point.

Subarachnoid bypass

We then evaluated another mode of treatment that we called subarachnoid bypass, which was to bypass the blocked portion of the subarachnoid space with a catheter. To simulate this procedure, we added to the original arachnoiditis setting a resistor valued 0.2 between the subarachnoid space at point 4 and that at point 6 in fig 1.

Foramen magnum decompression

In our previous paper, 7 we hypothesised that the cisterna magna with its temporary fluid storage capacity functions as a shock absorber against the pulsatile CSF waves coming from the cranial side. Because foramen magnum decompression will increase the temporary fluid storage capacity of the cisterna magna, it will decrease the intensity of the pressure wave transmitted along the spinal cord. Therefore, it is a valid question whether foramen magnum decompression would be effective in treating SAA. We simulated foramen magnum...
decompression by increasing the value of the capacitor $C_{cist}$ (fig 1) to 10 times the original arachnoiditis setting. We calculated the response of this circuit to the same sudden increase of voltage on the cranial leads.

RESULTS

Simulation of arachnoiditis

Figure 2A shows the spatial distribution of the voltage accumulated in the inside capacitors ($C_1$ to $C_9$), and its time course to a step increase of voltage on the two cranial leads. In our model, the voltages accumulated in the inside capacitors ($C_1$ to $C_9$ in fig 1) represent the pressure gradient between the inside and outside the spinal cord; a positive value indicates higher pressure inside. As shown in the figure, the intraspinal pressure was not increased in this setting.

Figure 2B shows the response of our circuit with the original arachnoiditis setting. Increasing the resistance of $R_5$ (fig 1) produced increased pressure inside the spinal cord immediately caudal to the blockage point.

Simulation of treatment

Figure 3 shows the result of our simulation of syringo–subarachnoid shunting. The insertion of the resistor simulating the syrinx–subarachnoid shunt effectively reduced the increased pressure gradient produced by the arachnoiditis.

The result of the simulation of subarachnoid bypass procedure is shown in fig 4. Shunting the rostral and caudal sides of the subarachnoid blockage effectively reduced the increased pressure inside the spinal cord shown in fig 2B.

Figure 5 shows the result of the simulation of foramen magnum decompression. This simulation was also effective in reducing the voltage accumulation shown in fig 2B.

DISCUSSION

Hypothesis

The simulation of adhesive arachnoiditis with our model showed that the pressure inside the spinal cord is increased in the segment distal to the subarachnoid blockage. We can interpret this as follows (fig 6). Because of the CSF blockage in the subarachnoid space, the pulsatile CSF wave coming from above produces a pressure drop in the subarachnoid space distal to the blockage. In contrast, the pressure wave transmitted inside the spinal cord, presumably through the central canal or some other channel, is not hindered. Thus, in the segment distal to the blockage, the pressure inside the spinal cord becomes relatively larger than that outside. Repetitive formation of this pressure gradient at each CSF pulse leads to leakage of CSF from the central canal causing interstitial oedema and the formation of a syrinx.10

Supporting evidence

Our results are supported by clinical and experimental findings. Syringomyelia associated with adhesive arachnoiditis is most commonly located immediately distal to the adhesion,8 which corresponds to the location where we found the increased pressure gradient. Our results also closely paralleled the observation made by Klekamp et al in their animal model of SAA.11 They measured the pressure inside and outside the spinal cord in their kaolin soaked gel foam model of SAA, and found that the mean subarachnoid pressure distal to the adhesion was smaller than that on the cranial side. They also found that the pressure inside the spinal cord in the segment distal to the adhesion was relatively larger than that outside. This is exactly the result obtained in our analysis. The results of our simulation of syringo–subarachnoid shunting also correlate well with clinical findings. The effectiveness of syringo–subarachnoid shunting, which we demonstrated in our model, is well documented in the literature.2 12 15 A recent case report by Takamura et al also supports our idea that increased intraspinal pressure distal to the adhesion leads to the formation of a syrinx.14 In that article, the investigators documented the development of syringomyelia associated with traumatic subarachnoid haemorrhage by serial MRI studies. They showed clearly how the initial enlargement of the central canal gradually developed into a full blown cervical syrinx. Subsequent surgery proved the presence of arachnoid adhesions at the craniovertebral junction. Simply interpreted, this report suggests that the central canal plays an important role in the formation of SAA.
Surgical treatment

Although we have several surgical options for SAA, the results of those procedures are still not satisfactory. Syrinx shunting procedures showed high rates of malfunction and recurrence in long term follow up studies, probably because of the progressive nature of the adhesive process. Although direct microsurgical dissection of the arachnoid scar may be the best surgical option, it is potentially dangerous in cases with severe arachnoid scarring.

Our study suggested the possible effectiveness of subarachnoid bypass procedures. It is quite understandable that bypassing the blockage of CSF flow, which restores the normal to and fro CSF movements to some extent, prevents the development of the pressure gradient by increasing the subarachnoid pressure distal to the blockage point. Although we have some anecdotal reports suggesting its effectiveness (personal communications), further clinical experience is needed to evaluate the role of this surgical procedure.

Our results also suggested the possible effectiveness of foramen magnum decompression on SAA. Currently, this procedure is certainly not a standard one. However, considering the progressive nature of this disease, its debilitating effect on the patient’s quality of life, and the unsatisfactory results of the currently available surgical procedures, we may be justified if we considered its trial under strict ethical guidelines. If proven effective, foramen magnum decompression, being rather a safe procedure to undertake, could be a good alternative surgical procedure for SAA.
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