Treatment of anterior canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo by a prolonged forced position procedure

L Crevits

This report presents a therapeutic procedure for refractory benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (antBPPV) of the anterior canal. Two patients with refractory antBPPV were treated by a prolonged forced position procedure (PFPP). The technique is based on the assumption that the pathophysiological mechanism of antBPPV is similar to that generating posterior canal canalolithiasis. The patients recovered from refractory antBPPV after one or more PFPPs. The rationale for this therapy is that when the patient lies in the proposed forced position, the affected anterior canal is uppermost in an almost gravitationally vertical position. If the patient remains in this position for several hours, the floating particles lying for the non-ampullary arm of the canal can gradually slip down the canal towards the vestibule due to gravity. We recommend trying PFPP when the side ofolith cannot be determined, in cases that are resistant to particle repositioning canal manoeuvres, and before considering canal plugging for refractory antBPPV.

Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) usually presents as a distinct clinical syndrome characterised by brief spells of violent rotational vertigo induced by changes in head position. The diagnosis is confirmed by typical findings of the Dix-Hallpike positioning tests. BPPV can involve any of the semicircular canals (SC), although the posterior SC type is by far the most frequent. BPPV commonly is attributed to either canalolithiasis or cupulolithiasis (debris free floating in the canal or attached to the cupula). Although remission is common in this position for several hours, the floating particles lying for the non-ampullary arm of the canal can gradually slip down the canal towards the vestibule due to gravity. We recommend trying PFPP when the side ofolith cannot be determined, in cases that are resistant to particle repositioning canal manoeuvres, and before considering canal plugging for refractory antBPPV.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

**Case 1**

A 50 year old woman complained of episodic vertigo lasting several hours and occurring once a month since four years. The vertigo was accompanied by tinnitus on the left side. She was prescribed betahistine.

She presented to the otoneurological unit because of a new complaint of short lasting paroxysmal positional vertigo since several weeks. The positional vertigo was brief (seconds), consisting of a sense of spinning and manifesting mainly on lying down. Prior history included hypertension and migraine. There was no history of trauma.

The right Dix-Hallpike manoeuvre triggered positional vertigo and a down beating nystagmus (DN)—that is, fast phase beating to the chin. The DN had a latency of four seconds, a duration of 15 seconds and habituated on repeated testing. No torsional component could be detected under Frenzel’s glasses. In the left Dix-Hallpike and in the head-hanging position, a similar DN pattern could be elicited.

**Abbreviations:** AntBPPV, anterior SC BPPV; BPPV, benign paroxysmal positional vertigo; DN, down beating nystagmus; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PFPP, prolonged forced position procedure; PRP, particle repositioning procedure; SC, semicircular canal.
but with a lesser intensity, and reversal of the nystagmus did not occur. Horizontal SC manoeuvres were negative. Neurological examination was normal.

Caloric and rotatory responses showed a directional preponderance of the induced nystagmus to the right. Pure tone audiogram, electrocochleogram and brainstem auditory evoked potentials were normal. A brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan was unremarkable.

The longer lasting episodic vertigo with tinnitus on the left and the vestibular findings suggested left labyrinthine involvement. The positional tests were compatible with antBPPV. Because the side of the antBPPV could not be determined, we tried a PFPP (see below) instead of a reverse Epley PRP. Two days after the PFPP, the patient was re-examined and no nystagmus could be elicited on positional tests. She remained free of vertigo for three months. Then she again experienced very short bursts of positional vertigo. In the right Dix-Hallpike, a DN of duration three seconds was seen after a latency of 30 seconds. The complaints, however, were not considered severe enough to be treated. However, after five months, the vertigo became more embarrassing. On examination, the right Dix-Hallpike manoeuvre elicited a transient DN and a torsional component was observed. The direction, however, could not be specified under Frenzel's glasses. A reverse Epley PRP remained unsuccessful after two trials. A second PFPP was successful. No positional vertigo or nystagmus could be elicited immediately after the PFPP and during a follow up period of three months. Then the patient had a new episode of antBPPV. Neurological examination remained normal and a repeat MRI of the brain and inner ear did not reveal any anatomical anomalies, especially the anterior SC showed normal morphology on both sides. The patient was treated with a PFPP for the third time.

Case 2
This 57 year old woman was known to have Ménière's disease since 13 years and was being treated with betahistine. The history included a skull fracture of the left occipital bone in a traffic accident 36 years ago, one tonic clonic seizure four years ago that had been treated with carbamazepine for some months, and several hyperventilation attacks. She presented because of positional vertigo when turning over in bed especially to the right side, since two months.

The right and left Dix-Hallpike manoeuvres, as well as positioning to head-hanging evoked positional vertigo and a DN (fast phase beating to the chin). The pattern of the DN was essentially similar in the three positions. Latency was of 3–5 seconds, duration 5–15 seconds and habituation occurred on repeated testing. In the left Dix-Hallpike position, a discrete torsional (geotropic) component was suspected and reversal of the nystagmus did not occur. Horizontal canal manoeuvres were negative. Neurological examination was unremarkable.

Vestibular tests revealed caloric hypofunction on the right. Pure tone audiometry showed a right neurosensory hearing loss of 45 dB, nearly flat for frequencies from 250 Hz to 8000 Hz. Electrocochleography was disturbed on the right for tones and clicks. Electroencephalography, MRI of the posterior fossa and x rays of the craniocervical junction were negative.

The patient was admitted for a PFPP (see below). However, the procedure had to be terminated prematurely due to neck pain. Because of refractory positional vertigo for another five months, the PFPP was tried again, but now with myorelaxation using diazepam. The patient was re-examined immediately after the PFPP and twice during a follow up period of seven months. No positional nystagmus could be provoked and the patient remained free of vertigo, except for one typical Ménière attack.

Prolonged forced position procedure
For the PFPP, the patients were admitted to hospital. In an orthopaedic bed, the positional test with hanging head was first carried out to induce DN and to be sure of the provoking position. Thus the patient was rapidly moved from sitting to lying supine with the head bent backwards as far as possible—that is, with the vertex about 60° below the horizontal. The head was supported in this hanging position for 30 minutes. During this period, the debris were thought to sediment into the superior part of the anterior canal (now the most dependent) and clot (fig 1). Then the head was moved quickly forwards, as far as possible—that is, with the vertex near the vertical. Due to inertia, the canaliths lag somewhat behind and then move to the common crus of the anterior and the posterior canals (fig 1). In this forced position, the head was fastened with the help of a pulley system that allowed the head to hang slightly (fig 2). The patient was not allowed to stand up. After 24 hours, the patient was asked to sit and stay in the sitting position with the head straight for some minutes to allow the clot to move further in the utricle through the common crus. Following this no position or movement restrictions were applied. After the PFPP, positional tests were repeated to verify the effect and to exclude conversion into another variant of BPPV.

Discussion
Central positional nystagmus can be mistaken for BPPV. This is especially true for DN, being posture dependent and known to have pluriiform manifestation.8 9 DN can be caused either by cerebellar degeneration, Arnold-Chiari malformation and other dysfunctions of the cerebellar flocculus, or by lesions of the medial longitudinal fasciculus in the floor of the fourth ventricle. Recently, Bertholon et al considered possible anterior SC canalolithiasis in patients with positional DN without evidence of central nervous dysfunction.3 One problem of antBPPV concerns the contradictory interpretations as to whether an ipsilesional or a contralesional Hallpike manoeuvre provokes nystagmus. Due to the coplanar orientation of the left posterior canal with the right anterior canal, the left Hallpike manoeuvre should provoke a right antBPPV.10 However, a left–right lateralisation seems less specific in antBPPV as in the other canal types.3 11 It has been suggested that the anterior SC can be cleared of canaliths by using the same positioning sequence for contralateral posterior canalolithiasis.12

The present patients suffered from longstanding vestibulopathy of the Ménière type.13 However, they presented with paroxysmal positional vertigo and manifested positional DN

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1** Schematic representation (lateral view) of the semicircular canals with debris in the uppermost part of the anterior canal in the head-hanging position. In the prolonged forced position for anterior canal benign paroxysmal positional vertigo, the debris moves into the common crus of the anterior and horizontal semicircular canal, respectively.
Prolonged forced position for antBPPV
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with features of BPPV (latency, short duration and habituation or fatigability of the nystagmus). There were no signs of neurological disorders, especially multiple system atrophy, cerebellar degeneration, and Arnold-Chiari malformation could be ruled out. As our patients had not been treated before, their antBPPV could not be ascribed to a complication of a PRP. Since the site of the lesion could not be determined the reverse Epley manoeuvre or the procedure of Rahko could not be performed although the reverse Epley PRP was tried without success in case 1.

The reason why anterior SC canalolithiasis continues for a long time or relapses (case 1) could be due to the conglomerate of debris being too large, continuous production of debris, failure of disaggregating, or narrow common crus. It is to be noted that in our patients antBPPV might have been influenced by concomitant peripheral vestibulopathy. However, this could be coincidental. Cupulolithiasis can be a cause of refractory BPPV; however, in the case of cupulolithiasis less adaptation or habituation should occur. Canalith “jam” is another type of vestibular lithiasis. It refers to locking of the cupula in a fixed position by debris, as can occur as a complication of a PRP. The ensuing vertigo and nystagmus, however, are not affected by head position. Hence the reason for refractory antBPPV in the present patients remained unclear.

We applied a new therapeutic procedure for antBPPV. Our technique is based on the assumption that antBPPV has a pathophysiological mechanism similar to the one generating posterior SC canalolithiasis—that is, there are some particles heavier than endolymph that are free to move into the SC and that are capable of causing some abnormal endolymphatic movement due to the force of gravity. Freeing the canal of all its particles can prevent this process. This concept led us to suggest that therapy for antBPPV would be effective when the patient lies with the head fixed upward for a long time. This forced prolonged position might allow the heavy particles to gradually be drawn into the vestibule by the force of gravity. Prior to the forced position, however, the debris has to sediment in the superior part of the anterior SC with the patient lying down in the head-hanging position as described in the Methods section.

Since spontaneous remission of BPPV is common, improvement cannot be clearly ascribed to therapy. However, our patients had, and ultimately recovered from, refractory antBPPV. The time course too, was very suggestive of a relation between PFPP and remission of antBPPV. The best way to know whether the patients are symptom free because of the manoeuvre or as a result of spontaneous recovery is to examine the patients the same day, after a sufficient interval to avoid fatigability effects. Therefore, patients were examined immediately after the PFPP and repeatedly during the ambulatory follow up to verify recovery and exclude conversion into another variant of BPPV.

The PFPP presented here has the advantage over PRP of circumventing the difficult problem of lateralisation of antBPPV. The rather long and uncomfortable bed stay for 24 hours is an inconvenience. The technique can have complications: if the head is brought too far forwards during the PFPP, the debris could move to the ampullar part of the anterior SC causing cupulolithiasis. Therefore, the pulley system should allow the head to hang slightly backwards. When the head bends too far backwards, the clot can descend into the posterior SC. Fortunately, this complication can easily be detected by a Dix-Hallpike positioning test and be cured by an Epley PRP. Conversion into another variant of BPPV can not always be avoided due to individual anatomical variation in orientation of the SC. Therefore, a careful examination after the PFPP is mandatory. A side effect of keeping the head in the forced position is a stiff neck and therefore myorelaxation is indicated. We recommend trying PFPP when the side of lithiasis cannot be determined, in cases that are resistant to particle repositioning canal manoeuvres, and before considering canal plugging in refractory cases of antBPPV.
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