Use of mechanical extraction devices in basilar artery occlusion
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Three consecutive patients with embolic basilar artery occlusion were treated with endovascular mechanical thrombus extraction. Recanalisation was rapidly obtained in one patient, who had a good initial recovery, and in another patient who made a complete recovery. By contrast, recanalisation failed in the third patient, who made no recovery. No haemorrhagic complications were detected. This technique may have advantages over thrombolysis in both efficacy and safety.

B asilar artery occlusion has a severe prognosis with a 75–91% mortality in older series of patients treated only with anticoagulants. A decreased mortality of 55–70% has been reported in non-controlled series of patients treated with intra-arterial thrombolysis. In these series, outcome was mainly dependent on the success of recanalisation. In one recent report, distal territory involvement, embolism, and complete basilar artery occlusion were significant predictors of poor outcome. Furthermore, with successful recanalisation, there was a risk of haemorrhagic transformation (0 to 14%). Endovascular mechanical thrombus extraction (EMTE) is currently being developed in an attempt to improve the recanalisation rate, while decreasing the haemorrhagic risk. We report three consecutive patients with embolic basilar artery occlusion who were treated with this technique.

CASE 1
A 73 year old man had a sudden right hemiparesis. He rapidly became tetraplegic with severe dysarthria (NIHSS: 25). Diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DWI) showed high signal intensities in the pons and cerebellar hemispheres, suggestive of multiple emboli. Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) revealed a segmental flow void at the mid-part of the basilar artery. Cerebral angiography, begun 4.5 hours after clinical onset, showed an endoluminal defect at the mid-part of the basilar artery, with normal appearances proximal and distal to this defect. A goose neck snare, 4 mm in size (Microvena; fig 1B), was used to catch the thrombus. At the end of the procedure the basilar artery was completely recanalised, without residual stenosis. No haemorrhage was detected on computed tomography (CT) immediately after the angiographic procedure or 48 hours later. The patient recovered quickly in the first few days after the procedure (mild tetraparesis on day 12, NIHSS score 11). However, over the following days he developed septic shock from cholangitis associated with a pancreatic carcinoma and he died one month after the first symptoms.

CASE 2
A 39 year old woman developed a sudden left hemiparesis. Ten years earlier, she had undergone a mechanical aortic valve replacement for rheumatic heart disease. She was treated with oral anticoagulants, but she had decided to stop this treatment one month before. One hour after the clinical onset, she was tetraparetic, drowsy, and required assisted ventilation (NIHSS score 25). Brain CT revealed a spontaneously hyperdense basilar artery. Cerebral angiography 12 hours after her condition worsened showed complete occlusion of the basilar artery at the level of the anterior-inferior cerebellar arteries. Both posterior cerebral arteries were filled by the carotid system through the posterior communicating arteries, but no retrograde flow to the basilar artery was seen. Despite several extraction attempts with mechanical devices (goose neck snares, 2 and 4 mm large (Microvena; fig 1B), In Time four fibre system (Boston Scientific), thromboaspiration with a Tracker 38 catheter), the thrombus could not be retrieved from the basilar artery. No local thrombolysis was attempted owing to the important delay between the worsening of neurological symptoms (tetraplegia) and the end of the endovascular procedure (more than 16 hours). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showed a massive pontomesencephalic infarct without haemorrhage. There was no clinical improvement and the patient remained with a locked-in syndrome (modified Rankin scale score 5 at three months).

CASE 3
A 37 year old man had sudden occipital headache, with vomiting and dysarthria. Five hours later he worsened, developing a right hemiplegia. The initial brain CT revealed only a spontaneously hyperdense basilar artery. Four hours later he was tetraparetic, with drowsiness and dysphagia.

Abbreviations: EMTE, endovascular mechanical thrombus extraction; MERCI, mechanical embolus removal in cerebral ischaemia; NIHSS, National Institutes of Health stroke scale
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Figure 2  Case 3. (A) Initial diffusion weighted sequence showing acute pontine, cerebellar, and temporo-occipital infarcts. (B) Initial angiography of the left vertebral artery showing the occlusion of the top of the basilar artery and superior cerebellar arteries (arrow). (C) Control angiography after attempt of recanalisation with the Concentric retriever (Concentric Medical) showing persistent basilar artery occlusion, and mobilisation of the embolus in the middle part of the basilar artery with occlusion of the left anterior-inferior cerebellar artery. (D) Final control angiography after thrombus extraction with a goose neck snare, showing the complete basilar artery recanalisation and filling defects suggesting small distal emboli in the first segment of the left posterior communicating artery (arrow). (E) Flair sequence carried out one month after recanalisation showing only ischaemic sequelae in the right occipital lobe and in the right part of the vermis.

Discussion

The outcome differed greatly in these three patients treated with EMTE for severe brain stem ischaemia caused by basilar artery occlusion. Recanalisation was rapidly obtained in patient 1, who had a good initial recovery but who later died from pancreatic carcinoma, and in patient 3, who recovered remarkably well. By contrast, recanalisation failed in patient 2, who made no recovery. Although the precise cause of the basilar artery occlusions was unknown, the absence of atheroma or of other varieties of arterial wall disease suggested cardiac emboli despite the absence of an identified cardiac source in patients 1 and 2. The main difference between the three cases was the delay between the severe clinical impairment (tetraplegia or reduced consciousness) and the procedure—12 hours from onset in patient 2, contrasting with 3.5 and 4 hours, respectively, in patients 1 and 3. This is consistent with previous reported data suggesting that the sooner the intervention is initiated the higher the recanalisation rate, and the better the prognosis. The absence of an effective collateral supply, the long clot length, and the proximal clot location are also probably partly responsible for the lack of recanalisation associated with the poor prognosis in patient 2, as suggested by others.

EMTE may have advantages over thrombolysis with regard to both efficacy and safety. Studies with intra-arterial thrombolysis have shown that the outcome depends mainly on the recanalisation rate, which is low in large and proximal occlusions, such as extensive basilar artery occlusion or in internal carotid artery T occlusion (0 to 5% in larger series). Extraction of such large thrombi with mechanical devices is feasible and there are reported cases in which thrombolysis failed to lyse the clot, which was then caught by endovascular snares with partial or complete arterial recanalisation.

Furthermore, it is well established that the sooner the recanalisation is achieved, the better the clinical prognosis. EMTE can remove the clot very rapidly, as suggested by Wikholm who reported two cases of acute basilar artery occlusion in which angiographic diagnosis and thrombus extraction were achieved in only 15 and 20 minutes. Moreover if the snare fails, intra-arterial fibrinolysis can be started immediately because the microcatheter is already in place. The combined use of these two therapeutic methods has already been reported without haemorrhagic complication.

As regards safety, EMTE has the advantage over thrombolysis of being able to recanalise the artery without modifying haemostasis. It can thus be used in patients who have a contraindication to thrombolysis. In our patients, no parenchymal haemorrhagic complications were detected on the
control brain imaging (CT or MRI) at 24 hours, in keeping with previously reported patients. Recent data from the MERCI trial suggest that EMTE in intra-arterial thrombolysis in middle cerebral artery occlusion. It remains to be seen if these potential advantages are compatible with an increased therapeutic window over the present six hours accepted for intra-arterial thrombolysis in middle cerebral artery occlusion. 

On the other hand, EMTE has potential risks. The first is fragmentation of the thrombus with occlusion of distal arteries either by the thrombus itself or by emboli. This occurred in patient 3, in whom the first recanalisation attempt moved part of the thrombus which occluded the left AICA and the second was successful with regard to recanalisation but was accompanied by two small left posterior cerebral artery emboli. Despite these complications the patient made a complete recovery. The control MRI showed no additional ischaemic lesion in these territories. These complications appear to be mainly technical; the solution requires either the use of a system that will definitely catch the thrombus, or flow control (as recommended by Mayer et al). The second risk is vascular trauma with perforation or dissection, which did not happen in our three patients. These cases show that EMTE is feasible in basilar occlusion and that when recanalisation is obtained early after the onset of symptoms, it is compatible with an excellent neurological recovery. Large studies are needed to evaluate the benefit to risk ratio of EMTE and the combination with intra-arterial thrombolysis in basilar artery occlusion. The combination of these endovascular techniques also needs to be compared with intravenous thrombolysis in basilar artery occlusion.
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