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DWI in transient global amnesia and TIA: proposal for an ischaemic origin of TGA
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There are conflicting reports concerning signal intensity changes in transient global amnesia (TGA) using diffusion weighted imaging (DWI). We prospectively analysed DWI signal intensity changes in TIA and TGA patients, and compared the clinical characteristics and risk factors of both groups. Using DWI and conventional T1 and T2 weighted turbo spin echo sequences, 28 patients with acute TGA (13 men, mean age 61.5 years) and 74 TIA patients (47 men, mean age 62.4 years) were studied within 48 hours after symptom onset. Every patient underwent an intensive diagnostic investigation. In 10/28 (36%) of the TGA patients and 21/74 (28%) of the TIA patients, DWI signal intensity changes occurred. The time to DWI and the duration of symptoms were comparable in TIA and TGA patients. Overall, TIA patients showed an increased prevalence of vascular risk factors compared with TGA patients. In the TGA group, patients with abnormal DWI showed carotid atherosclerosis significantly more frequently. Based on our data, we suggest that the aetiology of TGA could be explained by an ischaemic event; due to arterial thrombembolic ischaemia in one subgroup, particularly in those patients with increased vascular risk factors, and due to venous ischaemia in another subgroup with valsalva-like activities before symptom onset.

The aetiology and pathogenesis of transient global amnesia (TGA) is still unclear. Several different causes such as transient ischaemic attack (TIA), seizure, migraine, and venous congestion with consecutive ischaemia of memory relevant structures have been discussed previously.1 Several investigators have used diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) to further examine the aetiology of TGA and reported controversial results.2–4 Delayed DWI abnormalities were reported in 100% of a TGA population.5 Interestingly, DWI abnormalities were also reported in 21–67% of TIA.6–8 As none of the recent studies evaluated patients with acute TIA and TGA using early DWI, we prospectively investigated the prevalence of DWI signal intensities and vascular risk factors in patients with acute TGA compared with those with TIA.

METHODS

Between June 2000 and June 2003, 256 TIA patients were treated at the stroke unit of the Department of Neurology. In 74 patients, a DWI was performed within 48 hours after symptom onset. All of these patients have been included (mean age 62.4 years; 95% confidence interval (CI) 57.5 to 65.5); a DWI was performed within 48 hours of symptom onset. TGA was diagnosed strictly according to well established criteria.9 10 TIA was defined as an acute transient focal neurological deficit caused by vascular disease that reversed totally within 24 hours.11 All TIA and TGA patients underwent an intensive diagnostic investigation including physical and neurological examination, duplex sonography of the carotid arteries, transcranial dopplersonography, 12 lead ECG, transthoracic echocardiography, and analysis of cardiovascular risk factors such as body mass index, prevalence of smoking, hypercholesterolaemia, arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischaemic heart disease, and carotid atherosclerosis. We also determined the intima–media thickness (IMT) of the common carotid artery, as described previously.12 The plaque score (PS) was calculated by summing the maximum thickness (in mm) of each plaque located in bilateral carotid arteries as previously described by Nagai et al.13 In TGA patients, we performed an EEG to rule out an epileptic seizure.

MRI measurements

In TGA patients, transversal T1 and T2 sequences, and two DWI sequences (usually a transversal plane aligned to the course of the hippocampus and a coronal sequence angulated perpendicular to the hippocampus) were obtained with a 1.5 Tesla MRI (Magnetom Symphony; Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). The DWI imaging parameters have been described in detail previously.14 In TIA patients we performed conventional T1 and T2 weighted sequences, as well as at least one transversal DWI sequence using the same parameters, without angulation to the hippocampus. A DWI scan was considered positive if the hyperintensive region was confirmed in a second coronal or sagittal plane and if the scan revealed an area of hypointensity on the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) map.

The regions of hyperintensity on the b = 1000 image and hypodensity on the ADC images were analysed by manually outlining the regions of interest using an image analysing system (Sigma Scan Pro; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) as described previously.14 Additionally we analysed the ratio between the lesion and corresponding normal contralateral tissue average signal intensity (rAI) on b = 1000 (rAI b = 1000) and ADC images (rAIADC). The images were additionally analysed by a blinded neuroradiologist (MR).

Statistical analysis

All values are given as mean (95% CI). Between group comparisons were performed with Fisher’s exact test and Student’s t test for normally distributed data. For non-normally distributed data, the Wilcoxon test was used.

Abbreviations: ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; DWI, diffusion weighted imaging; IMT, intima–media thickness; PS, plaque score; TGA, transient global amnesia; TIA, transient ischaemic attack
Values of p<0.05 were considered significant. For assessing the inter-rater agreement, we used kappa values.

RESULTS

On DWI, 21/74 TIA patients (28%) and 10/28 TGA patients (36%) showed signal intensity changes. The time to DWI was comparable in both groups. In TGA patients, all the lesions were located in memory relevant structures such as the hippocampus, the gyrus parahippocampalis, and the medial temporal lobe. Fig 1 gives an example of a typical DWI lesion in the TGA group. Of the TGA patients, 17/28 (54%) reported valsalva-like activities, and 10 (36%) described extraordinary emotional stress at the beginning of symptoms. TGA patients with hyperintensive DWI lesions (TGA+) showed an increased incidence of vascular risk factors such as hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, and smoking, an increased IMT (1.03 mm v 0.76 mm; p = 0.002), and a higher prevalence of carotid plaques (70% v 17%, p = 0.01) compared with those without lesions (TGA−). In addition, the duration of symptoms (4.3 h v 7.2 h) and the time to DWI (26.1 h v 19.7 h) did not differ significantly between the TGA subgroups.

As expected, the vascular risk profile was different in TIA and TGA patients (table 1). The rAIb = 1000 was comparable in TIA and TGA patients, whereas the rAI_ADC was significantly higher in TGA than in TIA patients (0.91 v 0.85; p = 0.001; table 1). Analysing the subgroup of TIA and TGA patients with abnormal DWI (TIA+ and TGA+), we detected comparable risk factors in both groups. TIA patients showed a significant increased PS (7.53 mm v 4.13 mm, p = 0.02). The time to DWI was significantly shorter in TIA+ patients compared with TGA+ patients (14.6 h (95% CI 8.0 to 21.1) v 26.1 h (16.6 to 35.6); p = 0.03). The kappa value for inter-rater agreement was 0.85 (0.64 to 1.05), indicating very good reliability.

DISCUSSION

One of the hypotheses for the aetiology of TGA is transient cerebral ischaemia. In contrast to the findings in TIA patients, the results of DWI studies in TGA patients are controversial. There are several case reports describing DWI signal intensity changes in TGA patients, whereas two larger studies found no DWI abnormalities in TGA patients. In contrast, Sedlaczek et al previously described small parenchymal hyperintensities on DWI on day 2 in 11/11 patients with clinically identified TGA in a serial DWI study. Hence, the investigators conclude that the aetiology of TGA is a primarily ischaemic event. In our study, we observed a similar prevalence of DWI lesions in TGA and TIA patients. The different prevalence of DWI lesions in our study compared with that of Sedlaczek et al might be explained by a later onset of DWI lesions in TGA patients. Interestingly, in the subgroup of TGA+ patients the time to DWI was 26 h compared with 19 h in the TGA− group, which may support this argument. As we did not perform a serial DWI study, we cannot rule out the possibility that additionally DWI lesions...
could have been detected in later images. Owing to the comparable incidence of DWI lesions in TGA and TIA patients, we further evaluated the vascular risk profile of TGA and TIA patients, particularly of the subgroup with and without pathological DWI, in more detail. As expected, we found a lower incidence of vascular risk factors in TGA patients compared with TIA patients. Interestingly, TGA patients showed a higher vascular risk profile, significantly more frequent carotid atherosclerosis, and an increased IMT than did TGA– patients. These findings may support, particularly in this subgroup of TGA patients, an arterial embolic event. Nevertheless, there are several points that question an arterial ischaemic aetiology in all TGA patients: the different cardiovascular risk profile, the better long term outcome, and the low recurrence rate.9,11,15

Lewis1 pointed out that TGA might be caused by venous congestion as a consequence of a retrograde transmission of high venous pressure after valsalva-like activities. This hypothesis was confirmed by the finding of a higher incidence of a retrograde flow pattern in the internal jugular vein in TGA.10–13 Others have proposed a specific anxious personality trait in TGA patients, which might induce vasoconstriction due to hyperventilation during an emotional arousal.23 Therefore, temporary hyperperfusion either due to venous congestion or vasoconstriction due to hyperventilation might cause TGA. The increased vulnerability of the hippocampus and the venous drainage might help to explain why memory structures are first involved.22 As DWI lesions in venous infarction are more heterogeneous,24 it is conceivable that DWI lesions are underestimated in patients with venous ischaemia. Interestingly, the ADC ratio was significantly higher in TGA patients than in TIA patients. Increased diffusion does not necessary mean ischaemia, and it occurs even in multiple sclerosis and seizure. However, ADC changes seen during post-ictal DWI are complex, including generalised ADC changes, cortical hyperdensities, no major changes, and even ADC increase.25 The lesions we found in our population were all quite similar and comparable with DWI changes in TIA patients. Additionally, if the DWI lesions in our study were due to epileptic changes, it might be postulated that at least in a few TGA patients, EEG changes should occur.

Based on our data it might be speculated that transient ischaemia, either embolic or due to venous congestion in memory relevant structures, cause TGA and that the transient abnormalities in brain function might be too small to be detected in every TGA patient using DWI. In both groups, emotional distress might act as a pathogenic cofactor.

Table 1  Demographic data and cardiovascular risk factors of all TIA and TGA patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TGA</th>
<th>TIA</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.5 (57.5 to 65.5)</td>
<td>62.4 (58.5 to 66.3)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>13/15</td>
<td>47/27</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (n)</td>
<td>17 (61%)</td>
<td>52 (70%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease (n)</td>
<td>1 (4%)</td>
<td>15 (20%)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia (n)</td>
<td>12 (43%)</td>
<td>25 (34%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (n)</td>
<td>5 (18%)</td>
<td>34 (46%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (n)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>15 (20%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation (n)</td>
<td>2 (7%)</td>
<td>6 (8%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMT (mm)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.77 to 0.92)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.83 to 0.98)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque size (mm)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.2 to 4.9)</td>
<td>6.5 (5.7 to 7.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carotid atherosclerosis (n)</td>
<td>10 (36%)</td>
<td>46 (62%)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to DWI (hours)</td>
<td>21.7 (17.4 to 26.0)</td>
<td>16.7 (13.6 to 19.8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of symptoms (hours)</td>
<td>6.1 (4.3 to 8.0)</td>
<td>6.2 (3.9 to 8.2)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion size (mm2)</td>
<td>10.2 (5.2 to 15.1)</td>
<td>191 (36 to 266)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rAI &amp; 0.000</td>
<td>1.20 (1.12 to 1.28)</td>
<td>1.18 (1.15 to 1.20)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rAI &amp; ADC</td>
<td>0.91 (0.86 to 0.97)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.83 to 0.88)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals unless otherwise indicated.
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HISTORICAL NOTE

Gabriel Anton’s (1858–1933) contribution to the history of neurosurgery

The existence of neurosurgery as a scientific discipline, owes its emergence in large to surgeons themselves as well as to neurologists and psychiatrists who utilised the morphological approach. Among the lead contributors to nascent neurosurgery was the neuro-psychiatrist Gabriel Anton, who strongly influenced the development of this discipline during the first two decades of the 20th century. One of his most renowned scientific achievements was the Anton-von Brammannsche Balkenstich method of treating hydrocephalus. In collaboration with fellow surgeons Gustav von Brammann and Victor Schmieden, he proposed new clinical procedures for the treatment of hydrocephalus: the Balkenstich method and the suboccipital puncture.

Preliminary considerations

The establishment of neurosurgery as an independent discipline within the broad field of medicine required a fundamental knowledge of the structure and function of the nervous system. The beginning of modern neurosurgery is strongly associated with names such as Victor Horsley (1857–1916) in England, and Harvey Cushing (1869–1939) and Percival Bailey (1892–1973) in the USA.

The pioneering German surgeon Ernst von Bergmann (1836–1907) insisted on the necessity of establishing a scientific base for neurosurgery. Above all other aspects of neuroanatomical knowledge, clinical practice required expertise in neurological diagnostic methodology. This necessity gave birth to an era of interdependent cooperation between surgeons and neurologists. An excellent example of this fruitful alliance can be found in the beginning of the 20th century at the University of Halle, where many advancements in the field of neurosurgery were coupled with the names of surgeons such as Fedor Krause (1857–1937) and Gustav von Brammann (1854–1913), as well as Gabriel Anton.

Gabriel Anton – life and scientific works

Gabriel Anton was born on August 28, 1858 in Saaz (Bohemia). On completion of his medical education in Prague, he worked as an assistant to Arnold Pick. In 1887 he resigned his position in order to join Theodor Meynert (1833–1892) in Vienna. Meynert was a very important representative of neuroanatomy and neuropathology at this time.

In 1905 Anton received a full professorship in psychiatry at the University of Halle in Germany. He held the chair of psychiatry at the department of psychiatry until his Emeritus in 1926. Gabriel Anton passed away on January 3, 1933.

Anton’s initial inspiration for his scientific activities derived from the ideas of Arnold Pick (1851–1924) and Hans Chiari (1851–1916). His early scientific work dealt with anatomical examinations of brain structure and developmental disorders of the brain. In 1895 he described the neurological and psychological symptoms that result from high cerebral pressure. In certain cases he insisted on the importance of early operative procedures with trepanation for the release of cerebral pressure. His main focus of interest laid on problems arising from hydrocephalus and cerebral oedema. These workings formed the basis for his later theories that in turn impacted the field of neurosurgery.

Anton’s influence on brain surgery

Anton, in collaboration with the surgeon Gustav von Brammann, developed the Balkenstich method (callosal puncture)—an operational procedure that applies a form of ventricle drainage to reduce cerebral pressure. Anton proposed a puncture of the ventricle made through a direct path from the corpus callosum (ventriculopuncture) to allow drainage into the subarachnoid space without implant. Unlike previous methods that required repeated draining procedures, Anton’s drainage procedure took place only once. Thus, the Balkenstich method provided an understanding of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) drainage and avoided the negative side effects accompanying the necessity to continually drain fluids from the brain. The Balkenstich method was primarily recommended to be applied to relieve pressure in case of hydrocephalus. In the following years the Balkenstich method was introduced in clinics around the world.

In 1917, a new cooperation with Brammann’s heir, Victor Schmieden (1874–1945), produced a second major surgical technique for releasing pressure on the brain, known as the suboccipital puncture. This procedure was the puncture of the membrane atlantooccipitalis resulting in a continuous drainage of CSF to the neck musculature.

In 1938 Arne Torkildsen (1899–1968) published his works on ventriculocisternostomy. This “Torkildsen shunt” proved to be very successful in the treatment of hydrocephalus and produced repeated, more permanent successes. The Balkenstich method was finally superseded by Torkildsen’s technique.
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