White matter hyperintensities as a predictor of neuropsychological deficits post-stroke
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Objectives: Cerebral white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are a recognised risk factor for post-stroke dementia. Their specific relations to cognitive impairment are still not well known. The purpose of this study was to explore how the severity and location of WMHs predict neuropsychological test performance in the context of other brain lesions in elderly stroke patients.

Methods: In the Helsinki Stroke Aging Memory Study, 323 patients, aged from 55 to 85 years, completed a detailed neuropsychological test battery and MRI 3 months after an ischaemic stroke. The demographic and MRI predictors of cognition were studied with sequential linear regression analyses.

Results: Age, education and total infarct volume were controlled for, the overall degree of WMHs predicted poor performance in tests of mental speed, executive functions, memory, and visuospatial functions, but not in those of short term memory storage or verbal conceptualisation. However, the contribution of separate white matter regions was relatively low. Only the lesions along the bodies of lateral ventricles were independently associated with speed and executive measures. Additionally, general cortical atrophy clearly predicted a wide range of cognitive deficits while infarct volume had less relevance. Further analyses revealed that executive functions act as a strong mediator between the relationship of WMHs to memory and visuospatial functions.

Conclusions: The degree of WMHs is independently related to post-stroke cognitive decline. The most affected cognitive domains seem to be executive functions and speed of mental processing, which may lead to secondary deficits of memory and visuospatial functions.

Cerebral white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) are a common finding on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in patients with cerebrovascular diseases and also in healthy elderly persons. Their frequency is correlated with increasing age, vascular risk factors, cognitive impairment, and dementia. Several studies with neurologically healthy individuals have shown that WMHs, especially around the periventricular area, are related to deficits in speed of cognitive processing and attention. It has been suggested that executive functions mediated by the frontal lobe are particularly vulnerable to the effects of WMHs. The underlying cause for the observed relationship is assumed to lie in a disruption of the frontal subcortical circuits, which compromises the integrity of the frontal lobe functions. Nevertheless, the clinical relevance of the location of WMHs is still not well known.

Along with the population based studies, much of the literature on white matter has centred on memory clinic samples and dementia outpatients. A central feature of vascular cognitive impairment and dementia with subcortical small vessel pathology seems to be an impairment of executive functioning. Previous studies have also indicated that WMHs are an important risk factor for post-stroke dementia, together with complex interactions of infarct features and brain atrophy. Despite the fact that WMHs are commonly found in stroke patients, studies investigating their specific role in cognitive performance in this population are few. Recently, Burton and co-workers suggested that in older stroke patients the volume of WMHs, particularly in the frontal regions, is related to diminished cognitive processing speed and attention, while the volume of temporal lobe hyperintensities is associated with memory impairment. In addition, Sachdev and colleagues have reported a correlation between white matter pathology and cognitive dysfunction in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack.

The purpose of this study was to explore how the severity and location of WMHs predict performance in neuropsychological tests in elderly stroke patients. In our large stroke cohort, we earlier demonstrated that WMHs are associated with executive dysfunction. In this study, we aimed to investigate in further detail the role of WMHs in executive deficits and mental slowing, and the mediating effects of these deficits to other cognitive functions. Periventricular hyperintensities (PVHs) were analysed separately around both frontal (PVH-F) and occipital horns (PVH-O), and along the bodies of the lateral ventricles (PVH-B). In addition, the non-periventricular WMHs in deep, watershed, and subcortical areas (WMH-D) were examined. Total infarct volume, general cortical atrophy, and host factors were considered to be covariate predictors of cognition.

METHODS

Patients and study design

The Helsinki Stroke Aging Memory Study is a prospective cross sectional study of elderly patients with ischaemic stroke. The patients were 55 to 85 years of age and they were recruited consecutively at the emergency unit of the Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland (n = 486). The details of the protocol and cohort have been reported previously. The patients went through a clinical

Abbreviations: FMME, Fold Object Memory Evaluation; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PVH, periventricular hyperintensity; PVH-B, white matter hyperintensities along the bodies of lateral ventricles; PVH-F, white matter hyperintensities around frontal horns; PVH-O, white matter hyperintensities around occipital horns; WAIS-R, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised; WCST, Modified Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; WMH, white matter hyperintensity; WMH-D, white matter hyperintensities in deep, watershed and subcortical white matter areas; WMS, Wechsler Memory Scale; WMS-R, Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised
assessment, brain MRI, and a comprehensive neuropsychological examination 3 months after the index stroke. In this study, we included patients who succeeded in completing both the neuropsychological test battery and MRI adequately \((n = 323)\). The ethics committee of the Department of Neurology, Helsinki University Central Hospital, approved the study, and all patients participating in the study gave an informed consent.

**Neuropsychological examination**

The neuropsychological examination was conducted by observers blinded to the neuroradiological data. The test methods are clinically widely established and they were presented according to their standard instructions and scoring. The details have been described in previous reports.30

**Mental speed**

Speed of mental processing and attention were assessed with the Trail Making test part A,23 and a modified short form of the Stroop test (24 items with four colours), the coloured dots section (Stroop dots).24 25 In both of these tests, the time taken to complete the task was recorded. No corrections were made for errors.

**Executive functions**

The Trail Making test part B,23 and the short form of the Stroop test, coloured colour names section (Stroop words),26 27 were used in evaluating mental flexibility, set shifting, and response inhibition. In both conditions, the time taken to complete the test and the correct responses were recorded. Errors were not corrected. A modified version of the Wisconsin Card Sorting test (WCST)28 was used in assessing abstract problem solving and the ability to shift cognitive sets. The total number of correct responses and perseverative errors were recorded. Verbal fluency was measured in letter generation (letter K) and semantic category (animals), both in 60 seconds,28 and were considered in conjunction with the other executive tests.

**Memory functions**

Digit span forward and backward tasks were administered according to the Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS)27 in measuring short term storage and working memory. The tasks were included in the final analysis as a sum variable, as they gave identical results. Verbal memory was assessed by using the story A, immediate and delayed recall of the logical memory subtest of the Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised (WMS-R).28 Visual memory was examined with the visual reproduction subtest, immediate and delayed recall, of the WMS-R.28 Additionally, learning was assessed with the Fuld Object Memory Evaluation (FOME),29 in which the variables were the total retrieval of recalled items in five trials and the delayed free recall.

**Verbal reasoning and visuospatial functions**

The Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised (WAIS-R) similarities subtest30 was used in evaluating verbal conceptualisation and abstract thinking. Visuospatial and constructive skills were assessed with the WAIS-R block design subtest.30

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

MRI was carried out with a superconducting system operating at 1.0 T as detailed earlier.19 31 The number, site, side, and type of the focal lesions were recorded. Lesions approaching the signal characteristics of the cerebrospinal fluid on T1 weighted images and measuring over 3 mm in diameter were classed as brain infarcts. The size of the lesion was classified into four groups and the average radii were used for brain infarct volume calculations.16 The total volume of all infarct lesions was used for the purposes of this study.

White matter hyperintensities were evaluated visually on proton density weighted images in six white matter areas: (a) around the frontal and (b) posterior horns, (c) along the bodies of lateral ventricles, and in (d) deep, (e) watershed, and (f) subcortical white matter. The hyperintensities were classified on the basis of size and shape (for details and illustrations, see Mäntylä et al31). The extent of PVHs was graded according to a four point scale: 0, absence of PVHs; 1, small caps or thin lining; 2, large caps or smooth halo; and 3, extending caps or irregular halo. The extent of WMHs in deep watershed and subcortical areas was graded according to a six point scale: 0, absence of WMHs; 1, only small focal lesions; 2, at least one large focal; no confluent lesions; 3, at least one focal confluent; no diffusely confluent lesions; 4, at least one diffusely confluent lesion; and 5, extensive WMHs. In the present study, we focused on the PVHs around frontal and occipital horns and along the bodies of lateral ventricles separately, and on the other areas combined (the most severe grade of deep, watershed, and subcortical WMHs). The analyses were performed assuming that the white matter gradings were quantitative interval scales.

Brain atrophy was rated visually from 0 to 3 (none, mild, moderate, severe) by comparison with standard images. Cortical and ventral brain atrophy was rated separately for both hemispheres based on T1 weighted images. General cortical atrophy was rated in the frontal, parietal, and occipital lobes, and in the temporal neocortex. Medial temporal lobe atrophy was rated on coronal slices in the hippocampal formation and the entorhinal cortex (parahippocampal gyrus). In the present study, the ratings of general cortical atrophy and medial temporal lobe atrophy were all combined into a sum variable (range 0–36). Central atrophy was rated by evaluating the width of the temporal, frontal, and occipital horns, the bodies of lateral ventricles, and the third ventricle. These ratings were also combined into a sum variable (range 0–27) for preliminary descriptive purposes, but they were not included in the analyses because of their multicollinearity with age, cortical atrophy, and white matter ratings.

**Statistical analysis**

The descriptive data of the demographic and clinical variables were studied with the \(\chi^2\) test and Pearson’s correlation coefficients. The predictors and mediators of cognitive functioning were investigated with a sequential (hierarchical) linear regression analysis, which allows examination of several sets of predictor variables in a given order. Each predictor variable was analysed when controlling for previously and/or simultaneously entered variables. A similar method has been used previously in studying MRI predictors of cognition.32 The neuropsychological measures were used as dependent variables individually, and variables including (a) age and years of education, (b) the total volume of infarcts, (c) WMHs in four target areas, and (d) cortical atrophy were used as predictor variables in the subsequent steps respectively. The percentage of missing data in the neuropsychological tests varied between 0 and 14%, with the highest percentage in variables of the Trail Making test B, WCST, and FOME. The missing values were not imputed. Because of multiple analyses, \(p<0.01\) was applied to test statistical significance in order to minimise the possibility of type I error.

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics and MRI findings of the study sample**

The mean (SD) age of the patients was 70.3 (7.6) years, and of the 323 patients, 160 (49.5%) were men. On the average,
they had 9.5 (4.2) years of education. The mean (SD) result of the Mini Mental State Examination was 26.3 (3.2); range 14–30. The diagnostic criteria of dementia according to the DSM-III-R were fulfilled with 47 (14.6%) of the patients.

The frequencies of MRI ratings for WMHs are presented in table 1. As could be expected, most of the MRI findings correlated significantly with age and with each other (data not shown), but sex was not associated with MRI findings. On average, patients had 3.1 (2.3) brain infarcts, with an estimated total volume of 25.7 (39.8) cm³. The mean (SD) of the cortical atrophy ratings was 14.3 (8.7) (range 0–36), and that of central atrophy ratings was 11.4 (8.3) (range 0–27).

Predictors of neuropsychological functioning

The results of the sequential regression analyses, showing the relationships of the demographic and MRI predictors to the neuropsychological tests, are given in table 2. At each step, the explanatory power of the model accumulates as additional sets of predictor variables are entered. Thus, as an example, the highest increase in explained variance in Trail Making A time was produced by the demographic factors (step 1) and WMHs (step 3). At the first step, the subject’s age and years of education were entered into the regression model. The step, as a whole, predicted all the neuropsychological tests at the p<0.001 level. At the second step, the estimated total volume of infarcts was added to the model and was found to be significant for the Trail Making A time and B correct responses, Stroop dots, verbal fluency (animals), WMS-R visual reproduction, and WAIS-R block design.

Independently of the demographic factors and infarct volume, the third step, including the WMHs measured in four target regions, significantly predicted Trail Making A and B time, Stroop dots and words, WCST correct responses, verbal fluency (animals), WMS-R visual reproduction, FOME delayed recall, and WAIS-R block design. Nevertheless, the contribution of hyperintensities in single white matter areas was found to be relatively weak, which was probably affected in part by their strong mutual correlations. Only PVH-B independently predicted Trail Making A time (standardised β coefficient 0.245, p = 0.002) and WCST correct responses (β = –0.238, p = 0.007). The analyses were also performed by using all the six white matter regions as separate variables (deep, watershed, and subcortical regions together with the three periventricular regions). The results remained substantially unchanged, and no specific associations were found between the non-periventricular regions and cognitive performance.

At the last step, cortical atrophy was added to the model and was found to have an independent association with several variables of the Trail Making, Stroop, WCST, verbal fluency, WMS-R logical memory and visual reproduction, FOME, and WAIS-R block design tests.

All the significant associations were in the expected direction. Because of substantial correlations between the predictor variables, relatively high multicollinearity was observed. This can be assumed to be a typical feature of the study population.

### Executive functions and speed as mediators for other cognitive deficits

As WMHs were associated with measures of executive functions and mental speed as well as memory and visuospatial functions, we tested whether there are mediating effects between these relationships. Composite scores were constituted from the standardised z scores of the measures of executive functions (Trail Making B—A subtraction score and B correct responses, Stroop words—dots subtraction score and words correct responses, verbal fluency, and WCST), mental speed (Trail Making A and Stroop dots) and memory performance (FOME, WMS-R logical memory and visual reproduction). WMHs were here considered as a sum variable constituted from the four regional white matter variables. WMH-D was first recoded into a four point scale corresponding to the PVH scales, and therefore the range of the sum variable was 0 to 12.

As analysed with linear regression analysis, WMHs predicted the memory score significantly (standardised

---

**Table 1 Regional frequency distributions (percentages) of WMHs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>PVH-FH, range 0–3</th>
<th>PVH-B, range 0–3</th>
<th>PVH-OH, range 0–3</th>
<th>WMH-D, range 0–5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (1.5)</td>
<td>69 (21.4)</td>
<td>94 (29.1)</td>
<td>16 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>181 (56.0)</td>
<td>142 (44.0)</td>
<td>47 (14.6)</td>
<td>100 (31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>96 (29.7)</td>
<td>63 (19.5)</td>
<td>33 (10.2)</td>
<td>75 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>41 (12.7)</td>
<td>49 (15.2)</td>
<td>149 (46.1)</td>
<td>85 (26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>33 (10.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PVH-B, white matter hyperintensities along the bodies of lateral ventricles; PVH-FH, white matter hyperintensities around frontal horns; PVH-OH, white matter hyperintensities around occipital horns; WMH-D, white matter hyperintensities in deep, watershed, and subcortical white matter areas

---

**Table 2 Sequential linear regression analyses with neuropsychological tests handled individually as dependent variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor variables</th>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>Step 2</th>
<th>Step 3</th>
<th>Step 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total infarct</td>
<td>WMHs in four target regions</td>
<td>Cortical atrophy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Making A, time</td>
<td>0.179‡</td>
<td>0.254‡</td>
<td>0.313‡</td>
<td>0.300†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B, time</td>
<td>0.111‡</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.202‡</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B, correct</td>
<td>0.107‡</td>
<td>0.155‡</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.207‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dots, time</td>
<td>0.173‡</td>
<td>0.202‡</td>
<td>0.237‡</td>
<td>0.264‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words, time</td>
<td>0.056‡</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.124‡</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Words, correct</td>
<td>0.142‡</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.200‡</td>
<td>0.247‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correct responses</td>
<td>0.123‡</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.187‡</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perseverations</td>
<td>0.065‡</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.126‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Fluency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>0.073‡</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animals</td>
<td>0.091‡</td>
<td>0.110†</td>
<td>0.175‡</td>
<td>0.214‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-R, digit span</td>
<td>0.065‡</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-R, logical memory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>0.049‡</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>0.068†</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.113‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-R, visual reproduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>0.193‡</td>
<td>0.262‡</td>
<td>0.323‡</td>
<td>0.368‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>0.188‡</td>
<td>0.229‡</td>
<td>0.274‡</td>
<td>0.317‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOME</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total retrieval</td>
<td>0.090‡</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.169†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed recall</td>
<td>0.053‡</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.107†</td>
<td>0.141†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIS-R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block design</td>
<td>0.181‡</td>
<td>0.267‡</td>
<td>0.304‡</td>
<td>0.332‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarities</td>
<td>0.154‡</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results are expressed as pro-rata of total variance R² explained by the regression models (cumulative in subsequent steps). Statistical significance 1p<0.01, 2p<0.001 represents the incremental explanatory power produced by each step. FOME, Full Object Memory Evaluation; WAIS-R, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised; WCST, Modified Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; WMH, white matter hyperintensity; WMS, Wechsler Memory Scale; WMS-R, Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised.
indirect effects were indicated by the Sobel tests ($z = b$ significant for both memory performance ($p = 0.0001$)). The role of the mediator was also confirmed by the Sobel test, which proved the pathway to be highly significant ($z = -5.95$, $p < 0.0001$). An identical model was applied to test whether executive functions completely mediate the relationship between WMHs and memory performance. $\beta = -0.304$, $p < 0.001$), but when the executive score was added to the model, the predictive value of WMHs dropped substantially, whereas the executive score showed high significance (fig 1). This indicates that executive functions completely mediate the relationship between WMHs and memory performance. $\beta = -0.286$, $p < 0.001$), but when the executive score was added to the model as the other predictor variable, the association declined below the significance level ($\beta = -0.074$, $p = 0.112$). Therefore, a complete mediating effect was found, and the pathway was confirmed by the Sobel test ($z = -5.78$, $p < 0.0001$). In contrast, the mediating effects of mental speed between the relationships of WMHs and other cognitive functions were only partial. When mental speed was controlled, the predictive value of WMHs remained significant for both memory performance ($\beta = 0.155$, $p = 0.01$) and block design ($\beta = -0.154$, $p < 0.01$), although indirect effects were indicated by the Sobel tests ($z = -4.98$, $p < 0.0001$; $z = -4.93$, $p < 0.0001$).

**DISCUSSION**

In our stroke cohort, cerebral WMHs were significantly associated with specific cognitive deficits irrespective of age and coexisting brain pathology. After adjusting for age, education, and infarct volume, we discovered that the overall degree of WMHs predicted poor performance in neuropsychological tests measuring speed of mental processing and executive functions. Furthermore, WMHs predicted poor performance in a visual memory test, a delayed recall of object learning, and a visuospatial task. WMHs were not related to short term storage (working memory), story recall, or verbal conceptualisation.

The contribution of hyperintensities in single white matter regions was low compared with the effect of the overall WMHs. Contrary to our expectations, PVH-FH had no specific relevance either to executive functions or other cognitive domains. Significant independent associations were found only between PVH-B and measures of speed and abstract problem solving. The regional white matter measures strongly correlated with each other, which could reduce the possibility of detecting their subtle relative differences. Interestingly, however, the findings parallel a recent study in which WMHs were related to frontal hypometabolism and executive dysfunction regardless of their location.36

When the relevance of WMHs to cognitive functions was explored, the variables of age, education, total infarct volume, and general cortical atrophy were considered as covariate predictors of cognition. As could be postulated, age and education together clearly predicted neuropsychological test performance. After these demographic factors were controlled for, infarct volume was only modestly related to some of the measured cognitive functions, such as attention, verbal fluency, visual memory, and visuospatial skills. Instead, cortical atrophy was a strong predictor of a wide range of cognitive domains even after the adjustment for both the demographic factors and other MRI measures.

In previous studies with diverse subject samples, mental or psychomotor speed has been the most commonly affected cognitive domains with regard to WMHs. $\beta = 0.304$, $p < 0.001$; $Z = 4.98$, $p < 0.0001$). Our results support the view that white matter damage is an important brain mechanism associated with the slowing of processing speed both in tasks containing a psychomotor component (for example, paper and pencil tests) and in non-motor tasks. Previous studies concerning executive functions have had varying results. Most of the studies have reported correlations between WMHs and executive measures, while some have not.37 A possible explanation for the inconsistencies may lie in different definitions and operationalisation for the broad concept of executive dysfunction. We defined executive functions as mental flexibility, fluency, abstract problem solving and the ability to shift cognitive sets, and chose to use conventional and well established clinical test methods (Trail Making test, Stroop test, WCST, and verbal fluency test). The results augment our previous findings37 and suggest that in elderly stroke patients WMHs are an independent factor predicting executive deficits.

Consistent with our presumptions, WMHs were also associated with memory performance, namely, visual memory and delayed recall of object learning. On the contrary, we found no significant associations between WMHs and short term storage or story recall. Some of the earlier studies have found correlations with visual9 and other17 memory functions, but also with working memory.9 17 37 An important novel finding was that the significant association between WMHs and memory performance disappeared when it was analysed conditionally to executive functions. The apparent relationship was completely mediated by executive deficits, which therefore suggests that memory deficits may be secondary to executive dysfunction. Moreover, mental speed was a partial mediator between WMHs and memory functions. A possible explanation is that patients with these deficits are unable to fully utilise their mnemonic capacity due to inefficient encoding and retrieval strategies,8 and slowed mental processing. In our recent report,38 we demonstrated that visual memory deficits are in fact associated with medial temporal lobe atrophy irrespective of speed and visuospatial skills. In the present study, WMHs were also related to visuospatial and constructive performance as assessed by the WAIS-R block design subtest. A similar finding has been reported earlier with healthy elderly subjects.46 However, in our sample the association was again explained by the mediating role of executive functions.

The strengths of this study are a large and well defined consecutive patient sample and an extensive and clinically relevant neuropsychological test battery. In addition, a careful attempt was made to take into account the versatile brain pathologies typical of elderly stroke patients by using multivariate statistics. As WMHs are strongly correlated with age and with other vascular and degenerative changes, conclusions drawn from simple correlations and bivariate analyses remain debatable. Vascular and degenerative changes may not only coexist but may also be causally related to each other. It should be noted that statistical models encompassing several intercorrelated variables can still be problematic to interpret because of multicollinearity. The possible bias is likely to occur in the conservative direction for the predictor variables that are entered in the model after other correlated variables. Further, it is conceivable that some other factors contributing to cognitive dysfunction remained unaccounted for.39 More research is needed to examine this potential bias and to determine the mediating role of executive functions.
impairment are neglected in our analysis. For example, to avoid expanding the analyses excessively, we considered only the total infarct volume as a global measure of stroke and did not take into account the location or the type of infarcts. Even so, we believe that our results are sufficiently robust to answer whether WMHs have an additive contribution to cognitive deficits of elderly stroke patients. Owing to the cross sectional study design, however, any causal conclusions must be viewed with caution.

To conclude, we state that cerebral WMHs have a significant contribution to the neuropsychological performance of elderly patients post-stroke. Executive deficits and slowing of mental processing are the most prominent cognitive characteristics associated with WMHs and these characteristics may lead to secondary impairments of memory and visuospatial functions. Cognitive deficits are best predicted by the overall degree of WMHs, while the role of the distinct white matter regions is weak. In clinical practice, both WMHs and cortical atrophy should be regarded as relevant contributors of vascular cognitive impairment.
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