ABSTRACT
Pregnancy-related stroke is, fortunately, a rare event. However, when it occurs, there may be implications for management of the patient and delivery of the child. This article reviews the mechanisms and risk factors for stroke related to pregnancy, the presenting features, diagnosis and management of the different stroke types, and the implications for pregnancy and delivery.

Pregnancy (and the puerperium) are pro-thrombotic states. During pregnancy and for approximately 6 weeks after birth, all women are at increased risk of thromboembolic disease (TED). Indeed, in the last report on the Confidential Enquiries into Maternal Deaths in the UK, thromboembolism was the leading direct cause of maternal death. 7

The reported incidence of pregnancy-related stroke varies widely, but probably lies between 11 and 26 deliveries per 100 000 (table 1). 2–6. Studies showing an incidence at the lower end of this range may not be representative, given that a study in non-pregnant women of reproductive age reported an incidence of stroke at 10.7 per 100 000. 9 However, given the data available, it could be predicted that an average maternity unit in the UK delivering approximately 3500 births would expect to see a pregnancy-related stroke every 9 months to 2 years. For this reason, care of such women should be centralised in units with a multidisciplinary team (including a neurologist, obstetrician and rehabilitation services) that is experienced in dealing with such conditions. One study 2 has shown a rate of ischaemic stroke of 11/100 000 deliveries with the postpartum period representing the greatest period of risk. In the same study, intracerebral haemorrhage occurred in 9/100 000 deliveries, again with the highest risk occurring in the postpartum period. This large hospital-based registry study concluded that the risk of ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke was increased in the 6 weeks after pregnancy, but not during pregnancy itself. However, at least one retrospective series 9 showed a tendency for arterial strokes to occur throughout pregnancy, with a higher incidence in the third trimester and postpartum period. The same study showed that most venous events occurred in the puerperium. A large Swedish cohort of more than 650 000 women with more than 1 million deliveries in an 8-year time period concluded that the greatest risk of ischaemic and haemorrhagic cerebral events was in the 2 days before and 1 day after delivery, with an increased but declining risk over the subsequent 6-week postpartum period. 3 It has been estimated that there is a mortality of 10–15% following pregnancy-related stroke and that this is disproportionately higher in black women, in older patients and in those with no prenatal care. 3, 10

DIAGNOSIS
As in other patients, it is important when a stroke does occur to establish as quickly as possible if this is due to cerebral ischaemia or haemorrhage. The clinical history or physical examination is insufficiently sensitive to answer this question and so brain imaging in the form of CT or MRI should be carried out as quickly as possible after symptom onset. Although animal studies have raised concerns about potential teratogenic effects of MRI exposure in early pregnancy, this remains the preferred imaging option in pregnancy. CT scanning does expose the foetus to radiation; however, if MRI is not available, the benefits of CT scanning greatly outweigh the risks in this situation and should be performed. As is the case with all young-onset stroke patients, there should be an extensive diagnostic assessment, including (when appropriate) vascular studies of the extracranial vessels, cardiac investigation and thrombophilia screening.

It is worth pointing out that migraine with an accompanying aura is still sufficiently common in pregnancy that it should be considered in the differential diagnosis, particularly if there is an evolving neurological deficit rather than an abrupt onset.

ISCHAEMIC STROKE
The risk of ischaemic events in pregnancy and the puerperium is influenced by ethnic background and by age. African American women have a significantly higher risk than Caucasians (there is little epidemiological data with regards to Asian women). Furthermore, women over the age of 35 years have an increased risk of pregnancy-related stroke.

Caesarean delivery has been shown to be associated with a 5–12 times increased risk of peripartum and postpartum stroke. 4 This may be due, in part, to a higher likelihood of caesarean delivery among women who have had a stroke during pregnancy and other pregnancy-related conditions such as pre-eclampsia, which increases the risk of both stroke and caesarean section.

Known medical conditions strongly linked to stroke in pregnancy include hypertension, diabetes, sickle cell disease, thrombophilia, smoking and heart disease. Other risk factors in case series or reports are alcohol and recreational drug abuse, particularly cocaine. The presence of a lupus anticoagulant or anticardiolipin antibody is a well-recognised risk factor in pregnancy and an association with stroke in pregnancy has been shown with systemic lupus erythematosus. Other factors that may predispose to risk include multiple gestation and greater parity. At least one study has shown a greater association with migraine headaches; however, it is difficult to know whether this
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That may cause stroke.** The syndrome of amniotic fluid embolus is usually a catastrophic syndrome associated with sudden cardiovascular collapse, disseminated intravascular coagulation and neurological impairment. Strokes may occur secondary to venous or arterial events with, rarely, paradoxical amniotic emboli causing cardio-embolic strokes.**

There are a few rare but specific complications of pregnancy that may cause stroke.** The syndrome of amniotic fluid embolus is usually a catastrophic syndrome associated with sudden cardiovascular collapse, disseminated intravascular coagulation and neurological impairment. Strokes may occur secondary to venous or arterial events with, rarely, paradoxical amniotic emboli causing cardio-embolic strokes.**

**PRE-ECLAMPSIA AND ECLAMPSIA**

The proportion of patients with pregnancy-associated stroke who have pre-eclampsia or eclampsia is between 25%** and 45%.** The development of pre-eclampsia is characterised by hypertension, proteinuria and oedema. However, it is in fact a multisystem disorder that is characterised by widespread endothelial dysfunction and vasospasm. It is most likely to develop after the 20th week of pregnancy but can also arise in the postpartum period. When seizures or coma develop in the context of pre-eclampsia, it is known as eclampsia. In addition to the neurological features of headaches, seizures and confusion, patients may also have sudden-onset focal neurological deficit consistent with stroke. Imaging may show arterial ischaemic events or intracerebral haemorrhage. Intracerebral haemorrhage seems to be a poor prognostic feature; this is probably due to the fact that its occurrence is associated with more significant pre-eclampsia (ie, higher blood pressure and greater endothelial damage).

The differential diagnosis includes cerebral venous thrombosis, which is more common in the postpartum period.

A reversible posterior leucoencephalopathy syndrome can occur as a consequence of eclampsia and pre-eclampsia. Clinically, there may be evidence of altered alertness and behaviour, seizures and visual loss, together with headaches and somnolence. On neuro-imaging, the most common abnormality

---

**Table 1 Incidence of pregnancy-related strokes: comparison of published series**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Ischaemic</th>
<th>Intracerebral haemorrhage</th>
<th>CVT</th>
<th>SAH</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>James et al (2005)</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>US Nationwide inpatient sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ros et al (2001)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>8-year Swedish inpatient register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaiagbin et al (2000)</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Retrospective, hospital-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanska et al (2000)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Retrospective, United States Healthcare data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kittner et al (1996)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Retrospective, hospital-based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharshar et al (1995)</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>25-year Rochester population study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiebers (1985)</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVT, cerebral venous thrombosis; N/A, not applicable; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage.
is white matter oedema; this is seen as hyperintensity on MRI FLAIR images in the posterior cerebral hemispheres with sparing of the calcarine and paramedian occipital lobes. Posterior frontal cortical and subcortical white matter may also be involved, with involvement of the brainstem, cerebellum and basal ganglia being less common. One study suggested that partial or asymmetric MRI changes were more frequent in patients with eclampsia compared with other causes of posterior reversible leukoencephalopathy during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{22} Diffusion-weighted MRI is useful in patients with eclampsia to differentiate cytotoxic oedema occurring in the context of ischaemic infarction compared with reversible vasogenic oedema.\textsuperscript{23}

It has been postulated that pre-eclampsia/eclampsia-associated stroke may be mediated by genetic factors that predispose to both endothelial dysfunction and to a thrombophilic state. Similarly, pre-eclampsia/eclampsia-associated haemorrhage may be associated with a disturbance of cerebral auto-regulation, which is partly genetically determined. A more straightforward link, of course, is hypertension, which predisposes to ischaemia and haemorrhage. The endothelial damage that is inherent in pre-eclampsia also increases the risk of thrombosis.

Treatment is aimed at lowering the blood pressure and rapid delivery of the baby. Seizures should be treated with magnesium sulphate and this may also be used prophylactically in pre-eclamptic patients.\textsuperscript{24–26} One recent study has shown an increased risk of subsequent non-pregnancy-related ischaemic stroke in women who develop pre-eclampsia.\textsuperscript{27} This would suggest that patients who develop pre-eclampsia should, subsequently, be closely monitored for stroke risk factors.

It is, of course, important to consider the numerous causes of stroke in the young, most of which have been reported in pregnancy.\textsuperscript{18} In many cases, it is likely that the occurrence during pregnancy is coincidental, although there are a few specific conditions that are associated with increased stroke risk in pregnancy. There is an increased risk of rheumatic heart disease recurrence in pregnancy, leading to a higher incidence of cardio-embolic disease. High-risk patients should be treated with antibiotic prophylaxis. It is also worth mentioning the relatively higher incidence of cardio-embolic events in pregnant patients with prosthetic heart valves—again, a result of the increased pro-thrombotic tendency of pregnancy. This can occur during conversion from warfarin to heparin and such patients require careful monitoring during pregnancy.

**PERIPARTUM OR POSTPARTUM ANGIOPATHY\textsuperscript{28–31}**

This is a cerebral dysregulation syndrome affecting large- and medium-sized cerebral arteries. The clinical picture typically occurs in women aged 20–50 years, and is usually one of abrupt onset with severe thunderclap headaches, seizures and focal neurological deficit. The imaging findings may often be suggestive as white matter changes have a posterior emphasis and are usually not as extensive compared with eclampsia. Diffusion-weighted MRI may also be helpful in differentiation. The same condition has also been called postpartum cerebral angiopathy when it develops in the puerperium. Migraine and the use of sympathomimetic or serotoninergic vasoactive drugs have been reported as risk factors in the development of peripartum and postpartum angiopathy. Although there is likely to be an overlap with eclampsia in terms of pathogenesis, patients do not have proteinuria and may not be hypertensive. It seems that cerebral vessel vasoconstriction is a relevant mechanism in some, but not all, cases.

**MANAGEMENT**

**Antiplatelet therapy**

Although some retrospective studies have concluded that aspirin use during the first trimester of pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of teratogenicity, this has not been confirmed in prospective studies. The potential risks of aspirin in later pregnancy include maternal and foetal haemorrhage, premature closure of the ductus arteriosus, and (theoretically) prolonged labour and delay in labour onset.

Low-dose aspirin (75 mg) is not infrequently given throughout pregnancy in women who are at risk of pre-eclampsia. The safety of aspirin use during the first trimester remains contentious. In the CLASP study,\textsuperscript{28} aspirin was used from the second trimester without evidence of adverse effects in the mother or fetus, although there was a higher need for blood transfusion after delivery in the aspirin-treated group. However, it is, on balance, safe to use aspirin during pregnancy and whilst breastfeeding after an ischaemic stroke and should also be advised for any future pregnancy.

**Anticoagulant treatment**

In some circumstances, patients will require anticoagulation during pregnancy. The most common reasons for this include a known thrombophilic tendency or the presence of a prosthetic cardiac valve. The development of cerebral venous thrombosis during pregnancy and extracranial arterial artery dissection (which, as mentioned above, appears to be relatively uncommon in pregnancy) may also require anticoagulation. Heparin does not cross the placenta, whereas warfarin does. Heparin is therefore safe during pregnancy and whilst breastfeeding. It is important to monitor for the development of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, which (despite the presence of warfarin) results in a significant pro-thrombotic effect. This complication occurs in approximately 5% of patients receiving unfractionated heparin (UFH) and less in patients on fractionated treatment LMWH.

A large systematic review has shown that it is safe to use LMWH during pregnancy in patients with an underlying thrombophilic disorder.\textsuperscript{30} The optimal management of pregnant patients with prosthetic heart valves remains uncertain. Evidence-based guidelines\textsuperscript{34} have suggested three possible options: (1) either LMWH or UFH between 6 weeks and 12 weeks and close to term only, and to use warfarin at other times; (2) aggressive dose-adjusted UFH throughout pregnancy; or (3) aggressive adjusted-dose LMWH throughout pregnancy. If warfarin is used, the dose should be adjusted to attain a target International Normalized Ratio of 3.0 (range: 2.5 to 3.5).

LMWHs in prophylactic doses are not associated with an increased risk of severe bleeding peripartum, although higher doses (for example, in women with prosthetic heart valves) may increase the risk of maternal postpartum haemorrhage.

**Thrombolysis**

Pregnancy, and the 4 weeks after obstetric delivery, are generally regarded as exclusion criteria for thrombolysis following acute ischaemic stroke due to the risk of increased haemorrhage. There have, however, been a small number of case reports of intravenous and intra-arterial thrombolysis during pregnancy.\textsuperscript{35–39}

In a number of cases, this has been carried out in the first trimester, often inadvertently. A report of eight patients receiving thrombolysis during pregnancy\textsuperscript{39} comprised three patients receiving intravenous...
rt-PA, one receiving intra-arterial rt-PA, one receiving intra-arterial urokinase and three receiving local urokinase (two of whom had a cerebral sinus thrombosis, both unusually in the first trimester). The mixed aetiologies and different forms of thrombolysis and administration make it difficult to draw any firm conclusions. Adverse outcomes in this study included one intrauterine haemorrhage; two asymptomatic, small, maternal intracranial haemorrhages; and one maternal death from massive cerebral infarction following arterial dissection complicating angioplasty. In the seven surviving mothers, three elected to have therapeutic abortions. There were two miscarriages occurring in the first trimester.

Intra-arterial thrombolysis using urokinase has been used in the immediate postpartum period in a patient who developed an acute middle cerebral artery thrombosis 15 hours after delivery. The patient made a rapid and uncomplicated recovery.

In summary, the safety of thrombolysis in acute ischaemic stroke during pregnancy remains unproven. Notification of such patients in an international register may be a useful development to provide information on the expected neurological benefit against the possible risks of haemorrhage in pregnancy.

### Cerebral venous sinus thrombosis

Pregnancy and, in particular, the puerperium carry an increased risk of cerebral venous thrombosis (CVT), with a fatality rate ranging from 4 to 36%. In the majority of patients, symptoms develop within 3 weeks after delivery. Approximately 2% of strokes occurring during pregnancy can be attributed to venous thrombosis. The incidence is higher in under-developed countries. Dehydration is an important and preventable additional risk factor, in addition to the increased risk that is inherent in being pregnant. There is also a higher incidence of anaemia in patients with CVT related to pregnancy than in non-obstetric-related cases. Cases can occur during pregnancy, although they are much less common. Typical presenting features include headache, disturbance of consciousness, focal neurological signs and seizures. Papilloedema has been reported in only half of cases and, in this context, is not a particularly reliable sign of raised intracranial pressure. The involvement of cortical veins may lead to one or more areas of venous infarction with or without haemorrhagic transformation. The presentation in such cases is often with localisation-related seizures and focal neurological deficits, depending on the territories involved. Deterioration in conscious level suggests either multiple lesions in the cerebral hemispheres, bilateral thalami or, more worryingly, transtentorial herniation and brain-stem compression. A stroke-like presentation has been described as a manifestation of cortical-vein thrombosis.

In a retrospective review of 67 cases of CVT occurring predominantly in the puerperium, the authors noted that the patients tended to be younger (mean age of 26 years compared with age 36 years). There seemed to be a tendency to a better outcome in the obstetric group with a lower mortality. Despite the strong association with the postpartum state, it is still advisable that such patients have a full thrombophilia screen to exclude any additional pro-thrombotic tendency. One report showed a link between puerperal CVT and protein S deficiency.

Neuro-radiological features include venous infarction with or without haemorrhage. CT imaging of the brain may show venous infarcts with or without haemorrhage, which do not conform to an arterial distribution. Hyperdensity in the sagittal sinus on an unenhanced CT scan due to occlusion from fresh thrombus—the so-called delta sign—may be a useful clue. MR studies may be useful in identifying CVT, either with conventional studies with or without additional MR venography. The conventional treatment for CVT is anticoagulation, although firm evidence of its benefits is lacking. A number of studies during pregnancy have suggested improvement in survival following anticoagulation, although the numbers studied have been small and did not achieve statistical significance.

One randomised study has compared the effect of intravenous UFH versus placebo in a cohort of 57 women from India with sinus thrombosis in the puerperium. Diagnosis was not confirmed with MRI or angiography, and again showed a non-significant benefit of anticoagulant treatment compared with placebo.

Concomitant intracranial haemorrhage related to CVT (which is present in 40% of patients even before treatment) is not a contraindication for heparin therapy. The optimal duration of oral anticoagulation after the acute phase is unclear. Oral anticoagulation may be given for 3 months if CVT is secondary to a transient risk factor such as the puerperium.

In general, full heparinisation is safe once 24 hours have elapsed since delivery, and warfarin therapy may be started 2–3 days after birth. Both heparin and warfarin are safe whilst breastfeeding.

Thrombophilia screening should be carried out 1 month after stopping anticoagulant treatment and no earlier than 6 weeks post-natally (because of the effects on factors such as protein S, which may be temporarily low during pregnancy and for 6 weeks after birth). A study of 16 consecutive patients with CVT included three patients who were postpartum and one who was pregnant at the time of the event. All had an additional identifiable prothrombotic tendency, such as Protein S or C deficiency and Factor V leiden mutation.

### Haemorrhage

Intracranial haemorrhage has been estimated to constitute 2–7% of the total cases of neurological disorders related to pregnancy. In many cases, this relates to uncontrolled hypertension.

Of the 34 cases of stroke related to pregnancy described by Jaigobin et al., 13 were haemorrhages, seven of which were subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH). Three of these patients had rupture of an underlying cerebral aneurysm, all of which were posterior communicating artery aneurysms. Haemorrhage from either a subarachnoid bleed or intracerebral bleed occurred most commonly in the second trimester and the postpartum period. A bleed from an underlying aneurysm occurred with equal frequency in all trimesters and the puerperium, whereas bleeding from an underlying arteriovenous malformation (AVM) occurred after the first trimester and in the postpartum period.

SAH, mostly from aneurysms, accounts for 3% of all strokes. A saccular aneurysm will be responsible in approximately 85% of patients with SAH. In 10% of patients, SAH is secondary to non-aneurysmal perimesencephalic haemorrhage, which should be considered when haemorrhage on imaging is predominantly confined to the basal cisterns around the midbrain. Other rarer causes include septic aneurysm, arterial dissection, cerebral AVM, dural arteriovenous fistula and vascular lesions around the spinal cord. Pituitary apoplexy should also be considered, particularly if physical examination reveals a field defect, reduction of visual acuity or complex ophthalmoplegia.
Haemorrhagic infarction of a previously asymptomatic pituitary tumour may be precipitated by pregnancy.

A rare cause of intracerebral haemorrhage to consider in pregnancy is choriocarcinoma, a malignant tumour of gestational trophoblasts that most commonly metastasise to the lungs and liver but also to the brain. The metastases are frequently haemorrhagic and so may present during pregnancy with an intracerebral or SAH. Furthermore, trophoblasts from metastatic brain lesions may invade cerebral vessels, leading to cerebral infarction. Choriocarcinoma normally occurs in the context of a molar pregnancy, but may also follow an apparently normal delivery, abortion or ectopic pregnancy.

AVM

In women with a previously asymptomatic AVM, the risk of a haemorrhage occurring during pregnancy is approximately 3.5%.

Pregnancy does not seem to increase the risk of first cerebral haemorrhage from an AVM. For this reason, it would seem reasonable to defer treatment of an underlying AVM that has not bled until after delivery. With regards methods of delivery in such patients, it makes sense to try and avoid a strenuous and painful labour, both of which could lead to large surges in blood pressure and possible haemorrhage. We would therefore advocate either elective caesarean section or vaginal delivery with the use of epidural anaesthesia to reduce pain, together with instrumentatiation (if necessary) to reduce pushing.

In those patients who have presented with a bleed during pregnancy, the decision for interventional treatment is more difficult. An older retrospective study showed no improvement in maternal or foetal outcome after surgery. However, the current treatment for AVMs is primarily endovascular intervention, which has a relatively low risk of mortality and morbidity.

Some patients may have an AVM that is not surgically or endovascularly remediable. The mode of delivery in such patients is controversial. In the retrospective analysis of the literature, for those patients with an AVM not operated on, there was no difference in maternal or foetal outcome between caesarean or vaginal delivery. Some case reports have advocated caesarean section to avoid the risks of hypertension related to the valsalva manoeuvre in vaginal delivery. However, the risks of anaesthesia also have to be considered. On balance, we would advocate elective caesarean section. If a vaginal delivery is undertaken, we would recommend epidural anaesthesia and instrumentation to shorten delivery.

A patient with a treated AVM in which the feeding vessels have all been occluded can undergo a normal labour and delivery.

CEREBRAL ANEURYSM

The incidence of cerebral aneurysms in the general population is relatively high—between 3.6 and 6%. Approximately 1 in 10000 pregnancies is complicated by the rupture of an intracranial aneurysm. This is most likely to occur in the second or third trimester. Although there are no formal studies, it seems unlikely that pregnancy itself greatly alters the natural history of a previously asymptomatic cerebral aneurysm, given the relative infrequency of rupture during pregnancy. It would therefore seem reasonable to defer treatment (either with surgery or, now more commonly, with endovascular intervention) in asymptomatic patients until after delivery. Elective surgical clipping of asymptomatic aneurysms has a morbidity of 10.9% and a mortality of 3.8%. Treatment of aneurysms by clipping, for which there is less long-term follow-up data available, has a 4% morbidity and a 1% mortality, but only achieves complete aneurysm occlusion in 52–78% of cases.

In patients who have bled from an aneurysm during pregnancy, treatment with endovascular coiling is now the preferred treatment of choice, and such patients should be treated prior to delivery.

The method of delivery in patients with a cerebral aneurysm that has not bled should be based on obstetric considerations as there is no evidence that maternal or foetal outcome is improved by caesarean section compared with closely supervised vaginal delivery. In the absence of any convincing data to support one method of delivery over another, the authors tend to favour elective caesarean section. However, instrumental delivery (with forceps or ventouse) under epidural anaesthesia can also be used to limit pushing in the second stage of labour.

Although epidural anaesthesia is generally regarded as safe, there have been a few reports of intracranial or SAH occurring following dural puncture. Presumably, prolonged low cerebrospinal fluid pressure can lead to an increase in transmural pressure across an arterial wall, facilitating rupture of an aneurysm or AVM. For this reason, insertion of an epidural catheter in this context should be injected by an experienced operator to reduce the risk of a dural tap.

RISK OF STROKE RECURRENCE

The overall risk of recurrence of stroke associated with subsequent pregnancies is relatively small. In a multi-centre study of 489 women presenting with cerebrovascular events between the ages of 15 and 40 years, 28 (of 375 patients) had the initial ischaemic event during pregnancy or during the puerperium. Thirteen of the whole cohort had a recurrent stroke but only two of these occurred in a subsequent pregnancy. There were no instances of recurrence in those patients presenting with cerebral venous thrombosis, although one patient in this group had a subsequent arterial stroke that was unrelated to pregnancy. However, these patients have an approximate risk of recurrent stroke of 1% in the following 12 months and 2.5% within 5 years, so assessment for treatable risk factors (including future pregnancy) would seem prudent. Thromboprophylaxis in any future pregnancy (and the puerperium) is advisable.

CONCLUSION

Although uncommon, the development of stroke during pregnancy and the puerperium can be a challenging diagnostic and management problem. For this reason, we would reiterate that such patients are managed in specialised multi-disciplinary units with rapid access to expertise in obstetrics, neurology, neuro-radiology and rehabilitation services.
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