ABSTRACT

Objective Blood pressure (BP) lowering may increase stroke risk in patients with symptomatic major cerebral artery disease and impaired perfusion. To investigate the relationships among BP, impaired perfusion and stroke risk.

Methods We retrospectively analysed data from 130 non-disabled, medically treated patients with either symptomatic extracranial carotid occlusion or intracranial stenosis or occlusion of the carotid artery or middle cerebral arteries. All patients had baseline haemodynamic measurements with $^{15}$O-gas positron emission tomography and were followed for 2 years or until stroke recurrence or death.

Results There was a negative linear relationship between systolic BP (SBP) and risk of stroke in the territory of the diseased artery. The 2-year incidence of ischaemic stroke in the territory in patients with normal SBP (<130 mm Hg, 5/32 patients) was significantly higher than in patients with high SBP (2/98, p=0.005). Multivariate analysis revealed that normal SBP and impaired perfusion were independently associated with increased risk of stroke in the previously affected territory, while risk of stroke elsewhere was positively correlated with SBP. Overall, high total stroke risk was observed at lower BP in patients with impaired perfusion and at higher BPs in patients without interaction, p<0.01. Overall, the relationship between SBP and total stroke recurrence was J-shaped.

Conclusions Impaired perfusion modified the relationship between blood pressure and stroke risk, although this study had limitations including the retrospective analysis, the potentially biased sample, the small number of critical events and the fact that BP was measured only as a snapshot in clinic.

INTRODUCTION

In patients with atherosclerotic internal carotid artery (ICA) or middle cerebral artery (MCA) occlusive disease, chronic reduction in cerebral perfusion pressure (chronic haemodynamic compromise) increases the risk of ischaemic stroke and can be detected by directly measuring haemodynamic parameters. Accurate evaluation of haemodynamic status is essential for determining patient prognosis. Furthermore, therapeutic strategies to prevent recurrent strokes should differ between patients with and without haemodynamic compromise. However, strategies for selecting treatments based on haemodynamic measurements have not been clearly established.

Identification of patients with impaired perfusion may be essential for informing the proper control of blood pressure (BP) to reduce stroke recurrence. Hypertension is a major risk factor for stroke, and antihypertensive therapy provides general benefits to patients with a history of stroke or transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs). However, the level to which BP should be lowered to achieve maximal benefits among survivors of stroke and TIAs is not precisely known, although post hoc analysis of PROGRESS suggests a goal <130 mm Hg systolic BP (SBP). However, there had been concern that lowering BP might impair cerebral perfusion in patients with atherosclerotic ICA or MCA occlusive disease and thereby increase stroke risk. Previous studies have demonstrated that the risk of stroke increases with BP in the great majority of patients with stenosis of the extracranial ICA or intracranial large artery while patients with symptomatic bilateral carotid stenosis >70% exhibit an inverse relationship between BP and stroke risk. Notably, these studies did not include direct evaluations of baseline patient haemodynamic status. They also did not include patients with symptomatic occlusion of the major cerebral arteries, in which the incidence of impaired perfusion is greater than in patients with stenosis of the major cerebral arteries. Thus, the interpretation of these studies was limited by the fact that the proportion of patients with impaired perfusion was unknown and potentially low. The relationship between BP and stroke risk in patients with impaired perfusion may be different from that in patients without impaired perfusion, and lower BP in this specific population may increase recurrent stroke risk. Therefore, a more thorough characterisation of the relationships among perfusion, BP and stroke risk should be performed to inform selection of the most appropriate treatment regimen for individual patients.

In this study, we retrospectively analysed the relationship between BP during follow-up and stroke risk in a population of a relatively large number of patients with symptomatic ICA or MCA occlusion. We quantitatively evaluated baseline haemodynamic status with positron emission tomography (PET) and $^{15}$O-gas. The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationships among BP, impaired perfusion and recurrent stroke risk in symptomatic major cerebral artery occlusive disease.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

We retrospectively analysed the data collected from 130 medically treated patients enrolled in an observational study that investigated the relationship between haemodynamic compromise and stroke risk in symptomatic patients with atherosclerotic occlusive disease of the major cerebral arteries other than extracranial ICA stenosis. Patients were first referred to the PET unit at Shiga Medical Centre from the outpatient clinic or other hospitals in Shiga Prefecture to undergo haemodynamic parameter evaluation as part of clinical assessment to determine the need for extracranial-to-intracranial bypass. Although the benefit from bypass surgery in patients with haemodynamic compromise remains to be proven, the operation is nevertheless performed in some patients in Japan and elsewhere.

Inclusion criteria for the observational study were as follows: (1) occlusion of the extracranial ICA or occlusion or stenosis (>50% diameter reduction) of the intracranial ICA or MCA as documented by conventional or magnetic resonance angiography, (2) ability to independently carry out daily life activities (modified Rankin scale score <3) and (3) history of TIA or complete stroke involving the relevant ICA or MCA territory at any time before PET examination. TIA was defined as the development of focal symptoms of presumed ischaemic cerebrovascular origin lasting <24 h. The exclusion criteria were (1) history of vascular reconstruction surgery or (2) presence of potential sources of cardiogenic embolism. Overall, 35 of the 165 patients enrolled in the study underwent bypass surgery due to haemodynamic impairment observed on PET and were excluded from the present study.

The study included 103 men and 27 women aged 44–90 years (mean±SD: 64±8 years, table 1). Twenty-one patients had TIA, and 109 had minor stroke. All but two patients had symptoms of cerebral hemispheric (rather than retinal) ischaemia. The interval between the latest ischaemic event and PET evaluation was 8±15 months (range, 4 days to 72 months). Recurrent symptoms prior to PET were identified in 52 patients, including 25 after angiographic demonstration of arterial disease. In 9 of 21 patients with TIA, MRI was normal. In 121 patients, MRI revealed only minor abnormalities in the MCA territory or watershed areas of the hemisphere with symptomatic arterial disease. The symptomatic qualifying artery included the extracranial ICA occlusion in 61 cases, intracranial ICA occlusion in 1 case, intracranial ICA stenosis in 13 cases, MCA occlusion in 24 cases and MCA stenosis in 31 cases. Among vascular risk factors, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischaemic heart disease, hypercholesterolaemia and smoking status were evaluated from patient histories recorded at PET examination (table 1). Hypertension, diabetes mellitus, ischaemic heart disease and hypercholesterolaemia were judged as present based on treatment history. The ethics committee of our centre approved the study protocol, and each patient provided written informed consent prior to their participation in this study.

Table 1 Characteristics of patients with and without normal SBP (<130 mm Hg) during follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Normal SBP</th>
<th>Absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean±SD, y</td>
<td>64±8</td>
<td>63±10</td>
<td>64±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female, number</td>
<td>103/27</td>
<td>26/6</td>
<td>77/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis, number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIA (amaurosis/hemispheric)</td>
<td>21 (2/19)</td>
<td>6 (0/6)</td>
<td>15 (2/13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor stroke</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent symptoms, number</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After demonstration of arterial disease</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of months between last symptom and PET, mean±SD</td>
<td>8±15</td>
<td>9±16</td>
<td>8±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent (6 m or less)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic qualifying artery, number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion/stenosis</td>
<td>86/44</td>
<td>21/11</td>
<td>65/33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracranial ICA occlusion</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial ICA (occlusion/stenosis)</td>
<td>14 (1/13)</td>
<td>3 (1/2)</td>
<td>11 (0/11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA (occlusion/stenosis)</td>
<td>55 (24/31)</td>
<td>14 (5/9)</td>
<td>41 (19/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other medical illness, number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺-antagonist</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic heart disease</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking habit (current and former), number</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased CBFBV</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure during follow-up (mm Hg) (systolic/diastolic)</td>
<td>142±19/72±10</td>
<td>119±7/66±9</td>
<td>149±16/74±9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; CBF, cerebral blood flow; CBV, cerebral blood volume; ICA, internal carotid artery; MCA, middle cerebral artery; PET, positron emission tomography; SBP, systolic blood pressure; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.
PET measurements
All patients underwent PET scans with a whole-body Advance PET scanner (General Electric Medical System, Wauwatosa, WI), which permits simultaneous acquisition of 35 image slices with interslice spacing of 4.25 mm. The intrinsic scanner resolution was 4.6–5.7 mm in the transaxial direction and 4.0–5.3 mm in the axial direction. As part of the scanning procedure but before tracer administration, $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga transmission scanning was performed for 10 min for attenuation correction. Functional images were reconstructed as 128×128 pixels, with each pixel representing an area of 2.0×2.0 mm.

A series of $^{15}$O-gas studies was also performed. $^{15}$O$_2$ and $^{18}$O$_2$ were inhaled continuously through a mask. The scan time was 5 min. Bolus inhalation of $^{15}$O with 3-min scanning was used to measure cerebral blood volume (CBV). Arterial samples were manually obtained during scanning.

Cerebral blood flow (CBF), cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen and oxygen extraction fraction (OEF) were calculated based on the steady-state method. The ratio of CBF to CBV was calculated pixel-by-pixel as an indicator of cerebral perfusion pressure.

Data analysis
We analysed 10 tomographic planes from 46.25 to 84.5 mm above and parallel to the orbitomeatal line, which corresponded to the levels from the basal ganglia and thalamus to the centrum semiovale. The region of interest (ROI) was placed on the CBF images. Each image was examined by placing 10–12 circular ROIs 16 mm in diameter compactly over the grey matter of the tracer administration, $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga transmission scanning was performed for the axial direction. As part of the scanning procedure but before tracer administration, $^{68}$Ge/$^{68}$Ga transmission scanning was performed for 10 min for attenuation correction. Functional images were reconstructed as 128×128 pixels, with each pixel representing an area of 2.0×2.0 mm.

A series of $^{15}$O-gas studies was also performed. $^{15}$O$_2$ and $^{18}$O$_2$ were inhaled continuously through a mask. The scan time was 5 min. Bolus inhalation of $^{15}$O with 3-min scanning was used to measure cerebral blood volume (CBV). Arterial samples were manually obtained during scanning.

Cerebral blood flow (CBF), cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen and oxygen extraction fraction (OEF) were calculated based on the steady-state method. The ratio of CBF to CBV was calculated pixel-by-pixel as an indicator of cerebral perfusion pressure.

Follow-up and outcome
Attending physicians were informed of PET findings, but treatment of risk factors and use of drugs was left to individual clinical judgment. All patients were examined at 1-month or 2-month intervals after PET studies in the outpatient clinic in our centre or related hospitals in Shiga Prefecture. At each visit, an interim history was obtained, BP was measured and a neurological examination was performed. In patients with recurrent stroke, MR imaging or CT scan was obtained and compared with initial studies to confirm recurrent stroke. Patients were followed for 2 years or until stroke recurrence or death. Recurrent ischaemic stroke was defined as the acute onset of new focal neurological deficit of cerebral origin persisting for more than 24 h without primary intracranial haemorrhage on CT or MRI scan.

BP during the follow-up period was defined as the value of BP obtained just before stroke recurrence, death or the end of the 2-year follow-up period. Continuous SBP measurements were categorised in the same way as in the study by Rothwell et al (<130, 130–149, 150–169 and ≥170 mm Hg). We defined normal BP as SBP<130 mm Hg or diastolic BP (DBP) <85 mm Hg. For persons with higher values (SBP 130–139 mm Hg or DBP 85–89 mm Hg) within the prehypertensive range, stroke risk is reported to be substantially increased. A guideline recommends treatment for subjects with SBP≥130 mm Hg.

Statistical analysis
Clinical backgrounds were compared between groups using Student t tests or $\chi^2$ tests, as appropriate; significance was established at $p<0.05$. The incidence of recurrent stroke was compared between groups using Mantel–Cox log-rank statistics and Kaplan-Meier survival curves. Survival analysis of subsequent endpoints began on the day of PET examination, which was considered the date of entry into the study. Multivariate analysis with the Cox proportional hazards model was used to test the effect of multiple variables on stroke recurrence. Covariate selection was performed by including the following covariates in a stepwise model: age, sex, recurrent symptoms (recurrent episodes of ischaemic attack prior to PET or after angiographic demonstration of arterial disease), time between the last symptoms and PET, symptomatic arterial occlusion, extracranial ICA occlusion, complications (hypertension, diabetes mellitus, prior ischaemic heart disease, hypercholesterolaemia), smoking habit, BP during follow-up (categorisation or normal BP) and decreased CBF/CBV or misery perfusion. A forward stepwise selection was performed, and covariates were included and selected based on a significant relationship ($p<0.05$) with an outcome event to enter into the model and $p<0.05$ to remain in the model. The selected covariates were then included in a final model for analysis. The difference of the relationship of follow-up BP level with recurrent strokes in different subgroups of participants (patients with or without impaired perfusion) was evaluated by adding an interaction term to the model.

RESULTS
Thirty-two of the 130 patients had normal SBP (<130 mm Hg) during follow-up (table 1). These same patients also had normal DBP (<85 mm Hg) except for one patient (88 mm Hg). None of the patient characteristics were significantly different between patients with normal SBP and those without. All but two patients in our study were treated with antiplatelet therapy.

On the basis of the CBF/CBV values in the hemisphere supplied by the previously symptomatic artery, 39 patients (30.0%) had decreased cerebral perfusion pressure, and 91 (70.0%) did not. Sixteen of the 39 patients with decreased CBF/CBV had misery perfusion. The incidence of decreased CBF/CBV in patients with arterial occlusion (34/86, 39.5%) was significantly higher than that in patients with stenosis (5/44, 11.3%) ($p=0.001$).

There were seven ischaemic strokes in the territory of the diseased artery, and six (including two haemorrhages) in other vascular territories. One of the 13 strokes was fatal (haemorrhage).
None of the patients was lost to follow-up. In univariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model (table 2), there was a negative linear relationship between SBP and risk of stroke in the territory of the diseased artery; the relative risk per 20 mm Hg was 0.27 (95% CI 0.08 to 0.93, p<0.05). On the other hand, there was a positive linear relationship between SBP and risk of stroke in the other vascular territory; the relative risk per 20 mm Hg was 4.02 (95% CI 1.4 to 10.8, p<0.01). The 2-year incidence of ischaemic stroke in the territory of the diseased artery in patients with normal SBP (5/32; 15.6%) was significantly higher than that in patients without normal SBP (2/98, 2.0%, p<0.005; figure 1, table 3). We observed no new haemorrhagic strokes in the previously affected territory. All five patients with normal SBP and recurrent stroke had been taking BP medication. Six strokes, including two haemorrhages, in the other vascular territory occurred only in patients without normal SBP (6.1%). Therefore, the total stroke incidence was 5/32 (15.6%) in patients with normal SBP and 8/98 (8.2%) in patients without. Four patients without normal SBP died of non-cerebral causes during follow-up.

In multivariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model, normal SBP and decreased CBF/CBV were selected and included in the final model for stroke in the territory of the diseased artery. Normal SBP and decreased CBF/CBV were independently associated with increased risk of ischaemic stroke in the territory. The relative risk conferred by the presence of normal SBP and decreased CBF/CBV was 6.3 (95% CI 1.2 to 32.6, p<0.05) and 12.3 (95% CI 1.5 to 102.9, p<0.05), respectively. When misery perfusion was used as a covariate instead of decreased CBF/CBV, only misery perfusion was selected and included in the final model. For stroke in the other vascular territory, only SBP (categorisation) was selected and included in the final model. The risk of stroke in the other vascular territory was positively correlated with SBP.

In the subgroup comparisons of total stroke recurrence rate with SBP, high total stroke risk was observed at lower BP values in patients with impaired perfusion and at higher BP values in patients without (interaction, p<0.01; figure 2). When data on both subgroups were combined, the 2-year incidence of total strokes in patients with values of SBP within the 130–149 mm Hg range (2/57, 3.6%) was significantly lower than in those whose BP fell outside of that range (11/73, 15.1%),

**Table 2** Univariate analysis of recurrent stroke risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arterial territory</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Other territory</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>124</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean±SD, y</td>
<td>66±5</td>
<td>64±8</td>
<td>1.03 (0.94 to 1.12)</td>
<td>68±5</td>
<td>64±8</td>
<td>1.07 (0.97 to 1.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male, number (%)</td>
<td>6 (86)</td>
<td>97 (79)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.2 to 13.8)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>100 (81)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.05 to 1.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent symptoms</td>
<td>4 (57)</td>
<td>48 (39)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.4 to 9.0)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>50 (40)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.14 to 4.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After demonstration of arterial disease</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
<td>23 (19)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.3 to 8.9)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>24 (19)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.1 to 7.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of months between last symptom and PET, mean±SD</td>
<td>18±23</td>
<td>8±15</td>
<td>1.02 (0.99 to 1.05)</td>
<td>3±4</td>
<td>8±16</td>
<td>0.96 (0.85 to 1.07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent (6 m or less)</td>
<td>4 (57)</td>
<td>96 (78)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.08 to 1.73)</td>
<td>5 (83)</td>
<td>95 (77)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.16 to 12.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial occlusion</td>
<td>3 (43)</td>
<td>83 (67)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.08 to 1.70)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>83 (67)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.09 to 2.39)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracranial ICA occlusion</td>
<td>3 (43)</td>
<td>58 (47)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.19 to 3.85)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>58 (47)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.23 to 5.67)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>6 (86)</td>
<td>72 (59)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.49 to 34.2)</td>
<td>4 (67)</td>
<td>74 (60)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.26 to 7.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>3 (43)</td>
<td>44 (36)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.3 to 6.0)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>44 (35)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.1 to 7.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic heart disease</td>
<td>3 (43)</td>
<td>24 (20)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.6 to 13.7)</td>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>26 (21)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.6 to 13.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolaemia</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
<td>38 (31)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.16 to 4.5)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>38 (31)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.19 to 5.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (current and former)</td>
<td>4 (57)</td>
<td>42 (34)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.54 to 10.8)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
<td>44 (35)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.16 to 4.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Categorisation=1, <130; 2, 130–149; 3, 150–169; 4, ≥170 mm Hg.
BP, blood pressure; CBF, cerebral blood flow; CBV, cerebral blood volume; ICA, internal carotid artery; NE, not estimable; PET, positron emission tomography; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
Cerebrovascular disease

Table 3  Two-year stroke occurrence and SBP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SBP, mm Hg</th>
<th>&lt;130</th>
<th>130–149</th>
<th>150–169</th>
<th>&gt;170</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic stroke in territory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=130)</td>
<td>5/32 (15.6%)</td>
<td>1/57 (1.8%)</td>
<td>1/29 (3.4%)</td>
<td>0/12 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With misery perfusion* (n=16)</td>
<td>3/8 (37.5%)</td>
<td>0/3 (0%)</td>
<td>1/4 (25%)</td>
<td>0/1 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without misery perfusion (n=114)</td>
<td>2/24 (8.3%)</td>
<td>1/54 (1.9%)</td>
<td>0/25 (0%)</td>
<td>0/11 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased CBF/CBV* (n=39)</td>
<td>4/13 (30.8%)</td>
<td>1/15 (6.7%)</td>
<td>1/10 (10%)</td>
<td>0/16 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal CBF/CBV (n=91)</td>
<td>1/19 (5.3%)</td>
<td>0/42 (0%)</td>
<td>0/19 (0%)</td>
<td>0/11 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke in other vascular territory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=130)</td>
<td>0/32 (0%)</td>
<td>1/57 (1.8%)</td>
<td>2/29 (6.9%)</td>
<td>3/12 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With misery perfusion* (n=16)</td>
<td>0/8 (0%)</td>
<td>0/3 (0%)</td>
<td>0/4 (0%)</td>
<td>0/1 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without misery perfusion (n=114)</td>
<td>0/24 (0%)</td>
<td>1/54 (1.9%)</td>
<td>2/25 (8%)</td>
<td>3/11 (27.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased CBF/CBV* (n=39)</td>
<td>0/13 (0%)</td>
<td>1/15 (6.7%)</td>
<td>0/10 (0%)</td>
<td>0/16 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal CBF/CBV (n=91)</td>
<td>0/19 (0%)</td>
<td>0/42 (0%)</td>
<td>2/19 (10.5%)</td>
<td>3/11(27.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Misery perfusion or decreased CBF/CBV in territory.

CBF, cerebral blood flow; CBV, cerebral blood volume; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that lower SBP during follow-up and impaired perfusion significantly increased the risk of ischaemic stroke in the territory of the diseased artery, while higher BP significantly increased the risk of stroke in other vascular territories. We demonstrated this by following 130 patients with symptomatic major cerebral arterial occlusive disease, including 86 patients with arterial occlusion. Overall, high total stroke risk was observed at lower BP values in patients with impaired perfusion and at higher BP values in patients without.

Control of BP based on haemodynamic measurements

Our results indicate that the relationship between follow-up BP level and recurrent stroke risk differs between patients with or without impaired perfusion. We observed a statistically significant interaction between the presence of impaired perfusion and the SBP-stroke risk relationship in the present study. This finding supports a previous report documenting a similar interaction between the presence of bilateral severe ICA stenosis and the SBP-stroke risk relationship that may have been influenced by the presence of impaired perfusion. Impaired perfusion is probably absent in the majority of patients with unilateral stenosis of the extracranial ICA or intracranial large artery, which may explain the reported increase in stroke risk with BP in such patients. Lower BP during follow-up may increase the risk of recurrent stroke in patients with impaired perfusion at baseline.

Aggressive medical management for secondary prevention may progressively decrease recurrent stroke risk in patients with ICA or MCA occlusive disease in general. Treatment of hypertension may prevent progression of large or small cerebral artery disease, which may decrease stroke recurrence. BP control is also needed to prevent cerebral haemorrhage during antiplatelet therapy. However, the present results suggest that patients with impaired perfusion should be treated differently to those without. BP could be strictly controlled only in patients without impaired perfusion, but aggressive BP lowering should be avoided in patients with impaired perfusion. In the present study, patients with impaired perfusion who had normal BP (<130 mm Hg) and recurrent stroke during a follow-up visit exhibited higher BP (≥130 mm Hg) at baseline, while no patients with impaired perfusion who exhibited normal BP at baseline and normal or higher BP during follow-up had recurrent stroke (data not shown). Thus, BP lowering per se, as well as a lower target BP, might be associated with stroke risk in patients with impaired perfusion. We do not recommend lowering BP to the normal level (<130 mm Hg) in patients who had impaired perfusion and higher BP (≥130 mm Hg) at baseline. Treatment with antihypertensive drugs may be safe and reduce stroke recurrence only when individual haemodynamic status is correctly evaluated and an individualised goal is selected based on the presence of impaired perfusion.

J-shaped association

The presence of a J-shaped association between BP and risk of recurrent stroke is a matter of debate. In the present study, the
relationship between SBP and total stroke recurrence was J-shaped. The incidence of total strokes was lowest in patients with SBP values within the 130–149 mm Hg range. Furthermore, the present results clearly demonstrate that this J-shaped association was caused by the combined effects of the negative association with recurrent stroke in patients with impaired perfusion and the positive association with recurrent stroke in patients without impaired perfusion. The negative association in patients with impaired perfusion would be masked in a patient sample with a low prevalence of impaired perfusion. The difference of the proportion of patients with impaired perfusion and large artery disease may have contributed to the discrepant results among previous studies investigating the association between BP and stroke recurrence.17,35

Limitations
This study was a post hoc analysis of an observational study based on just 13 strokes in 130 selected patients; therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that unmeasured confounding variables may explain some of our findings. The patients had a mixture of ICA and MCA diseases, which may make it difficult to accurately compare patient groups. In addition to referral bias, exclusion of patients who underwent bypass may have caused selection bias. Although patients with bypass surgery had a higher incidence of impaired perfusion, they had similar baseline clinical characteristics. BP was obtained with a single-visit measurement, which may have led to a misclassification of BP levels. Although the BP measurements might lead to a change in treatment, using BP measured at the last visit might allow analysis of the relationship between stroke and BP near the time of the event. In some patients, haemodynamic compromise severity might not have been reflected by PET variables in the whole hemisphere; rather, they may have been more regional (eg, in a watershed region). However, such patients with regional haemodynamic compromise may be less susceptible to BP decreases.

Correct evaluations of haemodynamic status using 15O-gas PET could identify a subgroup of patients with impaired perfusion and high stroke risk at lower BPs. The CBF/CBV is the reciprocal of the expression for vascular mean transit time (MTT) that can be evaluated by perfusion imaging with MRI or CT in routine clinical practice.26,37 Hemispheric CBF/CBV values below 7.6/min correspond to MTT values above 7.8 s. Future studies should use perfusion MRI or CT methods to assess relationships among impaired perfusion, BP and stroke recurrence in a larger patient sample. A randomised controlled trial including direct haemodynamic measurement is needed to determine the level to which BP should be lowered to achieve maximal benefits in patients with or without impaired perfusion.

CONCLUSIONS
In patients with symptomatic major cerebral arterial occlusive disease, impaired perfusion modifies the relationship between BP and stroke risk. Total stroke risk may be high at lower BP in patients with impaired perfusion and at higher BP in patients without. For appropriate BP control, patients with and without impaired perfusion should be treated differently, and aggressive BP lowering should be avoided in patients with impaired perfusion. Thus, the identification of patients with impaired perfusion is essential for regulating BP to reduce stroke recurrence.
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