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An algorithmic approach to structural imaging in dementia
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ABSTRACT

Accurate and timely diagnosis of dementia is important to guide management and provide appropriate information and support to patients and families. Currently, with the exception of individuals with genetic mutations, postmortem examination of brain tissue remains the only definitive means of establishing diagnosis in most cases, however, structural neuroimaging, in combination with clinical assessment, has value in improving diagnostic accuracy during life.

Beyond the exclusion of surgical pathology, signal change and cerebral atrophy visible on structural MRI can be used to identify diagnostically relevant imaging features, which provide support for clinical diagnosis of neurodegenerative dementias. While no structural imaging feature has perfect sensitivity and specificity for a given diagnosis, there is a number of imaging characteristics which provide positive predictive value and help to narrow the differential diagnosis. While neuroradiological expertise is invaluable in accurate scan interpretation, there is much that a non-radiologist can gain from a focused and structured approach to scan analysis. In this article we describe the characteristic MRI findings of the various dementias and provide a structured algorithm with the aim of providing clinicians with a practical guide to assessing scans.

INTRODUCTION

There are an estimated 36 million people with dementia worldwide, with this figure expected to double every 20 years as the population ages.1 In response to this challenge a number of countries have committed to long-term national dementia strategies, which include improving timely diagnosis.2–5 For many patients there are delays in diagnosis of dementia, preventing them from accessing relevant care services, information and treatment.6–8 Patients with unrecognised cognitive impairment present in a range of clinical settings often with health concerns unrelated to dementia: in one study 42% of those aged over 70 years admitted to an acute medical admissions unit had dementia, with only half having been diagnosed prior to admission.9

The most common causes of dementia are Alzheimer’s disease (AD), vascular disease (in several forms), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD), but there are many others.10–12 Accurate and early diagnosis has considerable implications for the patient in terms of prognosis and management and will be increasingly important if and when disease modifying treatments become available. Currently, postmortem examination of brain tissue remains the only definitive means of establishing diagnosis in most cases. Molecular biomarkers are now available to provide support for a diagnosis of AD in life, for example, amyloid imaging with positron emission tomography (PET) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis of amyloid-β (Aβ42) and tau,12,13 however, these markers are mainly research tools and definitive blood tests are still lacking. Until these techniques become widely available, structural neuroimaging, in combination with clinical assessment, offers the greatest utility in improving diagnostic accuracy during life.

The UK National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE), European and US diagnostic guidelines14–17 recommend that structural imaging (non-contrast enhanced CT or ideally MRI) should be performed in the evaluation of patients with suspected dementia. In recognition of advances in imaging research, the justification for this has shifted from the exclusion of surgical pathology (e.g., cerebral tumours), although still relevant, to the inclusion of features that help to support a clinical diagnosis of the underlying cause(s) of the dementia. MRI offers a number of advantages over CT18 and is the imaging modality of choice in identifying diagnostic imaging features.14,15,17 While no structural imaging features have perfect sensitivity and specificity for any given diagnosis, there are a number which provide positive predictive value and help to narrow the differential diagnosis to the most likely underlying pathologies. By describing these characteristic MRI findings in the context of a structured algorithm, this article aims to provide clinicians with a practical means of extracting useful imaging features of common dementias in order to improve diagnostic accuracy. While neuroradiological expertise is invaluable in accurate scan interpretation, there is much that a non-radiologist can gain from a focused and structured approach to scan analysis.

SYSTEMATIC ASSESSMENT OF STRUCTURAL IMAGING

Beyond the exclusion of surgical pathology, structural MRI in patients with suspected dementia should be assessed in terms of signal change on MRI and cerebral atrophy in a systematic fashion.19 The assessment of signal change using T2-weighted imaging or fluid attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) can be used to help identify vascular damage, but can also indicate inflammatory, metabolic, toxic or infective processes which may be contributing to cognitive deficits. The presence and topographical pattern of cerebral atrophy has (pathologically proven) positive predictive value for dementia.20 Based on these two broad
categories, a systematic approach to identifying diagnostically relevant imaging features, which provide support for clinical diagnosis, is presented in figure 1 and discussed in detail below and expanded in figures 2 and 3. Using the predominant imaging features of the most common causes of dementia, the diagnosis with the greatest likelihood is presented. Figure 4 presents (mostly pathologically proven) imaging examples of many of the features described.

THE ALGORITHM
Exclusion of surgical pathology
The exclusion of a structural brain lesion potentially amenable to surgical intervention should be the starting point when reviewing structural imaging. These include tumour (e.g., meningioma, glioma), subdural haematoma, arteriovenous malformation and hydrocephalus. Idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus should also be considered, although imaging markers have not yet proved sensitive enough for reliable diagnosis.

Assessment of ‘signal change’
Signal intensity within a single tissue type should be reasonably uniform on MRI. The presence of regions of hyperintensity or hypointensity within the tissue typically reflects pathology. In the context of a suspected dementia, punctate or confluent regions of signal change within white matter or deep grey matter are most commonly associated with vascular pathology, but rarely (and in the correct clinical context) may also indicate inflammatory, metabolic or infective processes. The prevalence of vascular cognitive impairment (VCI) is second only to AD. They share many risk factors, including an increasing prevalence with age, and therefore, in many patients vascular and degenerative pathology coexist. The exact interaction between neurodegenerative and vascular pathology is debated but critically for the patient, it is important that vascular risk factors are managed and treated. Differentiating the relative contribution of vascular from neurodegenerative pathology as the cause of a patient’s cognitive problems is a common clinical problem where MRI plays a central role. If MRI is not possible, CT can also be used relatively effectively to evaluate the presence and extent of cerebrovascular disease, with changes in white matter appearing hypodense.

Clinical and research guidelines require imaging evidence of cerebrovascular disease for a diagnosis of vascular dementia (VaD) or VCI to be made. In the absence of evidence of vascular damage on MRI a vascular cause for cognitive impairment is very unlikely; conversely, extensive vascular changes are very likely to produce significant cognitive deficits. The clinical difficulty lies in determining whether a mild or moderate degree of vascular changes is sufficient to explain the clinical picture, particularly in older people where mixed vascular and neurodegenerative pathology is more common. While it remains important to address treatable vascular risk factors, treating a potential neurodegenerative condition, for example, with cholinesterase inhibition should not be overlooked. Serial imaging may help identify the relative contributions and there is some evidence that the rate of cognitive decline may provide further evidence of the underlying pathology, with patients with VaD typically declining at a slower rate than patients with neurodegenerative pathology.

T2-weighted images including FLAIR are most useful in detecting ischaemic changes while T2* or susceptibility weighted imaging can identify microbleeds suggestive of cerebral amyloid angiopathy or arteriosclerotic small vessel disease (SVD). Diffusion weighted imaging may be useful in cases of rapid cognitive decline suggestive of prion disease. Figure 2 describes a systematic assessment of MR signal changes in the context of dementia.

FLAIR/T2 hyperintensity
- **Located in cerebral white matter**: Hyperintensities in cerebral white matter on T2-weighted or FLAIR imaging, and less prominently on T1-weighted imaging, are more likely to be vascular in origin. If deep grey matter and brainstem hyperintensities are also apparent the term subcortical hyperintensities of presumed vascular origin should be used. The severity of hyperintensities can be visually quantified by application of an established rating scale such as the age-related white matter changes scale or the Fazekas scale. Confluence of hyperintensities in at least two regions, and the beginning of confluence of hyperintensities in a further two regions, is considered to represent the involvement of at least a quarter of the total white matter and is sufficient to assume SVD is the cause of VCI or VaD. However, even in cases of extensive white matter hyperintensities, the existence of mixed pathology should be considered, although it may be difficult to confirm or refute.

---

Extension of confluent hyperintensities into the temporal poles is rare and may indicate that the pathology is not of 'conventional' vascular origin. If the patient has a positive family history of dementing illness and is known to have suffered previously from strokes and/or migraines, cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy (CADASIL) should also be considered and genetic testing may be appropriate (see figure 4). Although a relatively rare form of dementia, CADASIL is included here due to this fairly specific (but not entirely sensitive) feature of non-enhancing hyperintensities extending into the temporal poles, with white matter changes often appearing relatively more severe than expected from the patient’s clinical appearance. While temporal lobe

Figure 2  An approach to signal change assessment in cognitive impairment.

Figure 3  An approach to cerebral atrophy assessment in cognitive impairment.
extension raises the question of CADASIL it may also feature in demyelinating conditions such as multiple sclerosis. If demyelinating disease is a diagnostic consideration then contrast enhanced axial T1-weighted brain scanning with Gadolinium may demonstrate active/new lesions. Less often, multifocal/confluent regions of hyperintensity in a patient with suspected dementia may result from a number of other conditions, including infections, inflammatory demyelinating diseases, leukodystrophies or leukoencephalopathies. Infective processes may need consideration in immunocompromised patients at risk of opportunistic infections, including cerebral toxoplasmosis.

Strategic Infarcts: T2/FLAIR hyperintensities with corresponding T1 hypointensity in strategic locations such as arterial territories, association areas and watershed carotid territories may be sufficient to produce cognitive symptoms which can be termed VaD due to large vessel disease. Hyperintensities with CSF-like signal intensity on all MRI sequences (dark on T1 and FLAIR, bright on T2) in the region of a single deep perforating arteriole are likely to represent recent small deep brain infarcts. The inclusion of a rim of hyperintensity on FLAIR images (thought to reflect gliosis) is sufficient to indicate a lacune of vascular origin, and is useful to help distinguish them from prominent perivascular spaces. Additionally, prominent perivascular spaces will typically appear linear when imaged parallel to the course of the vessel, and round or ovoid, with a diameter generally smaller than 3 mm, when imaged perpendicular to the course of the vessel. Bilateral thalamic lesions are sufficient to imply VaD due to SVD. It should be noted that T2-weighted images are more sensitive to signal change in the thalamus than FLAIR images.

Striatum and/or neocortex: Although rare, Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease is included in the algorithm as it has very characteristic MRI features: hyperintensities in the cortex and/or basal ganglia, particularly in the putamen, best seen on FLAIR imaging. Diffusion weighted imaging sequences have greater sensitivity to hyperintensities within these regions (see figure 4), which may be especially prominent in the early stages of the disease when vacuoles are small resulting in restricted diffusion. In rapidly progressive dementia where there is doubt over the diagnosis of Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, contrast enhanced MRI scanning is recommended to rule out alternative causes.

No Regions of Hyperintensity: The absence of hyperintensities on T2 or FLAIR imaging indicates the patient’s symptoms are very unlikely to be vascular in origin. Neurodegenerative pathology therefore remains the most likely cause of cognitive impairment and structural imaging should be assessed for atrophy.

T2* hypointensity

T2* gradient-echo (or susceptibility weighted imaging) is required in order to detect cerebral microhaemorrhages or microbleeds (CMBs). Radiologically these are defined as small, rounded, homogeneous hypointensities, while pathologically they have been found to represent focal leakage of blood-breakdown products from abnormal (small) blood vessels. The location of CMBs broadly reflects their underlying cause, with CMBs associated with hypertension developing in deep brain regions (basal ganglia, thalamus and brainstem), while the distribution of CMBs associated with cerebral amyloid angiopathy (eg, in AD) is mostly cortical-subcortical (lobar) (see figure 4); although the two conditions often coexist in elderly subjects. A conservative estimate from a large population based study suggests the incidence of microbleeds in the general population is approximately 10%. T2* hypointensities may also result from calcification, iron deposits (from causes other than CMBs), haemorrhagic metastasis or diffuse axonal injury. Care should also be taken to exclude MR artefacts such as flow voids or signals from temporal bones.

Figure 4 Images demonstrating characteristic atrophy in several forms of pathologically proven dementia (Displayed as clinical diagnosis—pathology diagnosis, * indicates pathology findings not available).
Cerebral atrophy assessment

Atrophy is the principal imaging finding in neurodegenerative dementias and is typically best identified on T1-weighted images and assessed on a combination of axial, sagittal and coronal views. Despite a degree of overlap among disease subtypes, some patterns of atrophy are indicative of specific underlying pathology, however, such findings should be considered in the context of the patient’s age and clinical examination. Figure 3 sets out an approach to the assessment of cerebral atrophy.

Focal lobar atrophy

Atrophy with a focal lobar predominance is a useful starting point to help narrow the differential diagnosis in patients with cognitive decline. In particular, an asymmetrical pattern of atrophy (left greater than right or vice versa) or more anterior than posterior atrophy, is more suggestive of underlying FTLD pathology rather than AD pathology.

- **Frontal lobe**: Disproportionate frontal lobe atrophy is associated with FTLD pathology but does not differentiate between different FTLD histopathologies (eg, Pick’s disease or corticobasal degeneration (CBD)). Patients with behavioural variant FTD (bvFTD) may have symmetrical or asymmetrical frontal atrophy with or without additional temporal lobe atrophy.44-46 Despite a typically asymmetric clinical presentation, symmetrical frontal atrophy is the predominant finding in patients with dementia due to CBD pathology.47-49 However, many patients with a clinical diagnosis of corticobasal syndrome are found to have pathology other than CBD at postmortem,50 which may account for some of the variability reported in the literature. Currently imaging features are not included in the diagnostic criteria for CBD.51 Left-sided posterior frontoinsular atrophy, which may be limited to a subtle widening of the left Sylvian fissure, is typically found in cases of progressive non-fluent aphasia44 52 (see figure 4).

- **Temporal lobe**: Asymmetric temporal atrophy is most commonly due to FTLD pathology but can also be due to AD. The semantic dementia variant of FTD has a characteristic pattern of loss: typically, left greater than right focal anterior temporal atrophy particularly involving the temporal pole (which progresses to ‘knife edge’ atrophy), the amygdala and anterior hippocampus and often selective loss of anterior fusiform gyrus, with relative preservation of more posterior structures.44 45 52-54 Asymmetrical atrophy of the right temporal lobe with a similar distribution to SD may also occur, most commonly presenting as bvFTD and often with additional features of prosopagnosia and/or topographical memory impairment.55 While the asymmetry is usually dramatic in SD and the right temporal variant of bvFTD, both temporal lobes usually become involved and over time the pattern of atrophy may start to look more symmetrical (see figure 4).45 56 57 Logopenic aphasia (LPA) is also associated with greater left-sided atrophy in the temporal lobe although in contrast to SD the pattern of atrophy extends more posteriorly than in SD, predominantly affecting the posterior perisylvian and temporoparietal areas (anterior gyrus, posterior middle temporal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus and superior temporal sulcus)44 58 (see figure 4). Unlike the majority of clinical syndromes associated with an asymmetrical pattern of atrophy, LPA is typically found to be a result of AD pathology.59 Nonetheless the presence of an anterior/posterior atrophy gradient in the temporal lobe is suggestive of FTLD rather than AD and can usefully be assessed by scrolling posterior to anterior through coronal T1 slices of the temporal lobe.

- **Parietal/Occipital lobe**: Posterior cortical atrophy (PCA) involving the parietal/occipital cortex is usually the result of underlying AD pathology (see figure 4), however the differential diagnosis includes CBD, DLB and other less common causes. PCA with additional medial temporal lobe (MTL) atrophy supports AD as the most likely cause however the MTL may initially be (relatively) spared in posterior variant AD (PCA), especially in early-onset cases.59 Visual rating of posterior atrophy in combination with MTL atrophy rating has been reported to help discriminate AD from FTD and AD from normal ageing with a sensitivity of 73% and specificity of 87%.60 Gross frontoparietal atrophy (extending into the temporal lobe) confined to a single hemisphere has been described in patients with progranulin mutations and should be considered in the presence of a strong family history.61-63

Focal hippocampal atrophy

Hippocampal atrophy is the most established imaging biomarker of AD and as a result has now been incorporated into both diagnostic criteria.14 16 17 The sensitivity and specificity of visual rating of MTL atrophy are approximately 80% when mild AD cases are compared with elderly control subjects.64 Relative preservation of the MTL is suggested as a means of distinguishing DLB from AD at a group level,65 however this may not be true of older patients or patients with advanced DLB and may not be reliable in individual cases66 (see figure 4), particularly as many patients with DLB pathology also have AD pathology at postmortem.67 Dopamine transporter imaging offers greater utility in distinguishing DLB from AD.68 Hippocampal atrophy is also a feature of hippocampal sclerosis and hyperintensity of the hippocampus on T2 or FLAIR images makes this diagnosis more likely. Focal (and often severe) atrophy affecting the anteromedial temporal lobes has been described in tau mutation (microtubule associated protein tau (MAPT)) carriers, with striking loss of the amygdala, parahippocampus and hippocampal heads bilaterally62 63 67 (see figure 4). Although more often asymmetrical, bvFTD may also demonstrate symmetrical MTL atrophy and/or frontal lobe atrophy.45 56 57

Infratentorial atrophy

Progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) can present as a dementia, often with frontal features or occasionally with progressive non-fluent aphasia. Midbrain atrophy is characteristic of PSP and has been described as having a ‘hummingbird’ appearance in midsagittal slices (see figure 4), with axial slices demonstrating the so-called ‘mickey mouse’ sign. Other imaging features in PSP include dilation of the third ventricle and atrophy of the red nucleus.69 Frontal atrophy may be subtle or marked in PSP. Pontocerebellar atrophy may indicate other neurodegenerative conditions such as multiple system atrophy, which in rare cases may present with a cognitive phenotype.19

Generalised atrophy

Global volume loss without focal lobar atrophy is a common and non-specific finding on structural MRI studies in normal ageing and dementia, and it can sometimes be difficult to determine where normal ageing ends and pathological atrophy begins. Symmetrical generalised atrophy (ie, left=right, anterior=posterior) is typically seen in AD and DLB, and may also accompany white matter changes in patients with vascular disease.

Neurodegeneration

No imaging abnormality demonstrated
When all stages fail to reveal any abnormalities, beyond what is expected for age, the scan is reported as within normal limits. This does not of course exclude dementia, nor does it rule out a neurodegenerative cause particularly if the clinical syndrome is mild. If clinical suspicion persists, a SPECT (single photon emission computed tomography) or PET scan can be helpful to look for changes in cerebral perfusion/metabolism, or repeat MRI scanning in 6–12 months. Normal imaging particularly with repeat imaging after (greater than) 6 months should however prompt consideration of a non-neurodegenerative cause including psychiatric conditions. In the future molecular diagnostic indices such as CSF Aβ1–42 or amyloid PET scanning may increasingly be used to confirm or exclude the presence of AD (amyloid) pathology in these cases.

CONCLUSION
Clinical diagnosis of the cause of cognitive complaints or decline can be difficult. Nonetheless accurate and timely diagnosis is increasingly important to guide management and to provide appropriate information and support. As reflected in current diagnostic guidelines, structural imaging can provide valuable positive as well as negative predictive information and the algorithm described here, based on reported imaging features with greatest diagnostic value, is designed to provide a systematic aid to help differentiate between the different causes of dementia. It is of course important that imaging findings are interpreted in the correct clinical context and that the limitations of making an individual diagnosis on the basis of imaging findings are recognised.

While the diagnostic approach we describe here is based on visual assessment of structural imaging, techniques such as volumetric quantification of brain structures and automated classifier algorithms may play a complementary role in future clinical practice. Brain volumetry is already used extensively in research studies and clinical trials and has the potential to be used at practice. Brain volumetry is already used extensively in research algorithms may play a complementary role in future clinical diagnosis of brain structures and automated classification of pathologies.
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