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The expanding syndrome of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a clinical and molecular odyssey

Martin R Turner, 1 Michael Swash2,3

ABSTRACT
Recent advances in understanding amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) have delivered new questions. Disappointingly, the initial enthusiasm for transgenic mouse models of the disease has not been followed by rapid advances in therapy or prevention. Monogenic models may have inadvertently masked the true complexity of the human disease. ALS has evolved into a multisystem disorder, involving a final common pathway accessible via multiple upstream aetiological tributaries. Nonetheless, there is a common clinical core to ALS, as clear today as it was to Charcot and others. We stress the continuing relevance of clinical observations amid the increasing molecular complexity of ALS.

INTRODUCTION
Jean-Martin Charcot (1825–1893), in his comments of 1887, underestimated the complexity of the neurodegenerative disease he named “la sclérose amyotrophique”:

The diagnosis as well as the anatomy and physiology of the condition amyotrophic lateral sclerosis is one of the most completely understood conditions in the realm of clinical neurology.

The history of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is beset by misunderstandings and false beliefs. From its 19th century beginnings, the path ahead has too often been considered straightforward, when in reality a secure information base was lacking. The enormous enthusiasm around the discovery, 20 years ago, of genetic linkage between the superoxide dismutase (SOD1) gene and ALS now seems unjustified. SOD1 mutations are found in fewer than 2% of all cases, and these lack the molecular hallmark (TDP-43) common to nearly all the remainder. 1 To ignore this group as an outlying ALS “phenocopy”, however, seems equally misguided. Major investments in international multicentre clinical trials appear to have been based on poorly substantiated theoretical assumptions, fuelled by a desire shared by all of us who interact with those living with ALS, to do something rather than do nothing. Unsurprisingly, they have thus far yielded disappointingly negative results.

Why then have there been so many false avenues? The answers are fundamental to extrapolating clinical observations into basic research and therapeutic trials and, eventually, into clinical practice. Debate about the historical value and ongoing reliance on animal models continues more generally in Medicine. 2 However, the factors leading to success or failure in the development of ideas in neuroscience, especially when applied to clinical problems, are complex. Sometimes, success follows a chance observation, albeit usually one that arises from a hypothesis-driven approach to unlock the basic pathobiology of the disorder. Here, we review the emergence of ALS as a disease, as both a clinical and now a molecular syndrome, and emphasise their convergence.

THE DEFINITION OF A DISEASE
As in many other diseases, Charcot’s first description was formulated in the context of his insightful clinical and pathological observations with his colleague Jean Cruveilhier (1791–1874), in a climate of novel ideas that arose from several European centres. Nevertheless, the description given in Charcot’s 1874 publication, 3 in his ‘Tuesday Lectures’, and presaged in his earlier reports, set the scene for all subsequent investigations.

Charcot’s genius consisted not only in describing the condition and its pathology, but also in naming it and separating it from other neurological conditions, especially those associated with muscular weakness and wasting. A name is the defining characteristic needed to facilitate universal understanding and recognition of a syndrome or, for example, in biology, of a species. An accepted nomenclature also defines and limits a syndrome. Several of Charcot’s predecessors had also recognised the clinical features of ALS, for example, the Edinburgh surgeon Sir Charles Bell (1774–1842), Aran (1817–1861) who probably first recognised progressive muscular atrophy (PMA) and Cruveilhier himself. However, they failed in this essential aspect; they did not name the disorder. Much intellectual effort at this time was devoted to considering whether muscular wasting was due to disease of the muscle or nerve. The latter concept emerged only slowly as recognition of the importance of the separate functions of the anterior and posterior rootlets of the spinal cord developed, following the independent work of Bell in Scotland and François Magendie (1783–1855) in France. The British anatomist Jacob Augustus Lockhart Clarke (1817–1880), working privately in a room at his home, contributed to this evolving concept in relation to studies of muscular dystrophy. In 1862, with Charles Bland Radcliffe (1822–1889), Lockhart Clarke described the clinical features and the pathological findings in “An important case of paralysis and muscular atrophy, with disease of the nervous centres”, thus anticipating Charcot by 3 years, but again without naming the disorder. 4

There was a fundamental difference between the approach taken to neurological investigation in
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France and Britain in the last half of the 19th century. Charcot and his followers were concerned with separating one disorder from another, for example, multiple sclerosis, epilepsy and Parkinson’s disease and, especially, considering the role of psychological disorders in neurology. In Britain, there was an emphasis on understanding the phenomena of the disordered nervous system, rather than defining diseases per se, most clearly exemplified by the work of John Hughlings Jackson (1835–1911) and his pupil David Ferrier (1843–1928). This emphasis on the biology of disease, initially most frequently shared between British and German neurologists, has developed in modern times as a world-wide endeavour leading to modern neurophysiological and molecular neuroscientific studies. The resulting clinical insights led to a more robust definition of concepts such as rigidity and spasticity, delineation of particular patterns of weakness, recognition of the dystonias, and an understanding of the different types of epilepsy. Disordered mental and intellectual function was also studied as part of this late 19th century revolution, especially in subsequent years by German scientists, such as von Monakow, Liepmann and Goldstein.

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT IN THE LATE 19TH CENTURY

For Charcot and his contemporaries, the neurological examination was far from its present form. Tendon reflexes, plantar responses, detailed testing of muscle strength designed to check characteristic patterns of weakness, modality-based sensory testing, visual field examination, pupillary reflexes and tests of muscle tone were either unknown or incompletely developed. Spasticity, as an increase in muscle tone or a disorder of stance and gait, was recognised and well described by the paediatrician and orthopaedic surgeon William John Little (1810–1894) in the context of his description of clubfoot (from which he himself suffered) and cerebral palsy.

Charcot referred in his lectures XI, XII and XIII to two patterns of motor system degeneration resulting in muscle atrophy and weakness, which he termed protopathic and deuterogetic. The former consisted of muscular atrophy and weakness associated with degeneration of the anterior cornua of the spinal cord, varying in its extent at different levels. The latter term was used to describe the combined degeneration of the anterior cornua with degeneration of the lateral columns of the cord, that is, the corticospinal tracts. However, Charcot did not correlate these pathological features with the pattern of the clinical disorder. In his Lectures, delivered at the Hôpital Salpêtrière in the period 1858–1867, he stated “the role of alterations of the nerve cells themselves had not yet been elucidated”. However, he also stated “in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, the symmetrical lesions of the lateral columns, whence paralysis and contracture result, is the first to make its appearance; while the alteration of the anterior grey substance, with which muscular atrophy is connected, would be a consecutive phenomenon”. (lecture XVI), a prescient observation in relation to the modern concept that the motor cortex may be first affected in ALS.

A similar problem confronted Lockhart Clarke, himself a pioneer of histological technique in studying the nervous system, especially the spinal cord.7 With Radcliffe he noted that without the use of his innovative techniques: the “ordinary and inefficient method of examining the nervous centres...would have resulted in ranking the case as one of simple muscular atrophy”. He stated that the pathological changes “clearly and satisfactorily explained” the clinically evident muscular paralysis and atrophy. Lockhart Clarke’s description of the pathology is remarkably complete, including atrophy of the anterior roots, particularly severe degeneration of the lateral columns in the cervical region, and atrophy of the bulbar motor nerves. Later, he also noted relative sparing of the lumbosacral cord and conus medullaris, with severe involvement of the brain stem. These important observations were acknowledged by Charcot in his 1881 Lectures. Technique, as ever in science, is everything.

Charcot noted that in ALS muscular wasting was usually more prominent in the upper limbs and rigidity was more prominent in the lower limbs. He described “fibrillary twitches of muscles” (as had Lockhart Clarke), and the limitation of the disorder to the motor system. It was left to the greatest 19th century classifier of neurological disease, William Richard Gowers (1845–1915), to suggest in his Manual of Diseases of the Nervous System that the several progressive disorders of the motor system could be considered as syndromic variants. This was a view with which Charcot concurred, and which led (Lord) Walter Russell Brain (1895–1966), in the 6th edition of his influential Textbook of Neurology published in 1962, to use the all-inclusive term Motor Neurone Disease, thus encompassing the four main clinical subtypes: ALS (essentially limb-onset disease), progressive bulbary palsy, PMA, and progressive spastic paraparesis (now termed primary lateral sclerosis, PLS). Neither Gowers nor Brain regarded these terms as indicating mutually exclusive disorders. Rather, they thought of them as describing clinical syndromes making up a single entity, the clinical features being determined by the relative distribution of pathological change in the upper motor neuronal and lower motor neuronal (UMN and LMN) systems, and its spatial distribution through the nervous system.

Charcot and Gowers both recognised that ALS appeared to begin focally and spread through the body via contiguous neuronal systems, a clinical observation that was neglected until more modern times.7 8 Indeed, spread of the disease through the neuraxis has become a subject of contemporary re-investigation.9 Gowers recognised three syndromes as related. The fourth, PLS, was described by Wilhelm Heinrich Erb (1840–1921), although in the early literature it is difficult to distinguish PLS from the familial spastic paraplegias. Gowers also noted that at autopsy patients with the syndrome of PMA also showed degeneration of the corticospinal pathway, as was characteristic of ALS itself, an observation confirmed in more recent times.10 11

It is a central tenet of clinic-based prognostication in ALS that the rate of disease progression appears relatively fixed for an individual. The past rate of progression generally does reflect future deterioration, albeit with slightly more rapid progression in disability noted at the start and end of the disease course.12 Gowers was among the first to note this broad concept: “When the progress at commencement is rapid, it usually continues rapid, until the disease has attained a wide extent, although the acute local onset mentioned below may be followed by slow extension. When it begins slowly, it is usually slow throughout.” 11 He also identified “a special group” which is now recognised as the ‘flail arm’ variant of ALS, and uniformly slow in its rate of progression14 (figure 1). It was also known to Gowers that at autopsy in ALS there are always many preserved anterior horn cells; indeed, the process of motor neuronal loss is strikingly patchy and multifocal, despite the more demarcated and sequential spread of symptoms reported by patients. Typical of the inbuilt redundancy in most human biological systems, it has been estimated that one-third of large motor neurons must be lost before there is visible atrophy.15

GENETIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

William Osler (1849–1919) described the first cases of hereditary PMA.16 Gowers also recognised the occurrence of familial
ALS, an observation that has assumed particular significance since the genetic revolution of modern times. Until recently, the literature on ALS was dominated by explorations of commonly assumed causative hypotheses, many derived from individual patient experience. There are many early reports implicating trauma, but unbiased hospital record linkage studies have not supported an association. Lou Gehrig, an American baseball celebrity from the 1930s, is inextricably associated with the disease in the USA. Various lifestyles, including unusual exercise regimes as in athletes, leisure-time activity, exposure to heavy work, soldiers in training, professional football players and handedness have all provided seductive associations of uncertain significance. At present, the only established risk factors for the development of sporadic ALS, apart from assumed genetic risks, are increasing age, male gender and a very modest effect of smoking. An inverse relation between dietary intake of $\omega$-3 fatty acids and risk of ALS is the most recent observation.

**ALS AS AN ANTERIOR BRAIN DISORDER**

The apparent symptomatic resistance of sensory and oculomotor neurons, along with those subserving sphincter functions, was noted in the earliest descriptions of ALS. The spinocerebellar pathway (Clarke’s column), an afferent pathway that takes origin in spinal cord segments among segmental neuronal pools that are effectively largely destroyed by the disease, is a sensory pathway that is consistently affected in pathological studies of ALS. This may underlie the early symptom of impaired balance often reported by patients at diagnosis, though this has not been systematically studied. In addition, clinicians have long noted minor sensory and autonomic involvement in patients with ALS. Overlap between mild Parkinsonian features and ALS were well documented, and cross-over phenotypes have re-emerged within the spectrum of ALS linked to C9orf72 expansions. The Guamanian ALS-Parkinson’s-dementia complex represents an extreme, not least since it appears to be an acquired, non-genetic form of the disease.

The demonstration of ALS as a cerebral pathology involving regions beyond the primary motor cortex emerged first from autopsy series. Cognitive impairment and occasional psychosis were recognised among earlier descriptions of ALS (reviewed in ref. 30). The mid-1980s saw the emergence of a more subtle dysexecutive neuropsychological syndrome, followed by positron emission tomography studies providing further evidence of wide cerebral involvement in ALS. The finding of a shared neuropathological signature of cytoplasmic ubiquitinated...
inclusions of the protein TDP-43 in ALS and frontotemporal dementia (FTD)\textsuperscript{44} is probably the most important clinicomolecular discovery in ALS research to date. Overt FTD manifests in only 10–15% of patients with ALS, typically as an early symptom,\textsuperscript{35,36} and is strongly associated with a G\textsubscript{4}C\textsubscript{2} hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9orf72.\textsuperscript{37}

The link between ALS and FTD represents an extension of ALS as a motor system disease to the frontal and temporal lobes, themselves parts of the brain concerned with the expression of thought, planning, personality and speech, all aspects of brain function that are strictly ‘motor’ in a wider sense. It lends support to the view that ALS pathogenesis is in some way linked to the neocortical evolutionary development of the anterior motor brain.\textsuperscript{8} The phrase “what wires together, dies together”\textsuperscript{40} focuses on an idea that there may be discrete systems whose boundaries have a role in defining the expression of degenerative processes.\textsuperscript{39} Thus, the long-held concept of ‘selective vulnerability’ of motor neurons has given way to a broader notion of ALS as a multisystem disease that may in part be defined by properties inherent to the motor system as well as the individual neuron.\textsuperscript{40} This can be understood as a consequence of interconnected brain networks. Motor neurodegeneration may be a process selective at that level, rather than a disorder of susceptible neuronal subtypes.\textsuperscript{41}

**THREADS OF CONTINUITY BETWEEN CLINICAL AND MOLECULAR TAXONOMIES**

Neurodegeneration seems to have been unmasked by the recent marked increase in the average human lifespan. Indeed, in early life, there may even be unrecognised biological advantages of mutations associated with neurodegenerative disease in the post-reproductive years.\textsuperscript{42,43} Does sporadic ALS still exist as a valid concept,\textsuperscript{44} and is all ALS due to inherited factors at some level? Significantly, no major environmental risk factors have so far been discovered. At present, less than 10% of ALS in the UK population is associated with single gene mutations, the majority being expansions of the C9orf72 hexanucleotide repeat.\textsuperscript{45}

Next-generation sequencing offers the hope of characterising the multiple rare-variant signatures that may underlie perhaps all of the remaining cases.

Hereditary ALS and all the associated phenotypes are syndromes that can result from several different gene expressions and mutations, apparently specific to discrete protein pathways, and not all associated with ubiquitinated inclusions based on mutant TDP-43 aggregation (table 1). Mutations in TDP-43 itself account for only a very small proportion of hereditary ALS, perhaps indicative of this gene’s fundamental role in development, so that most mutations are incompatible with embryogenesis. It is axiomatic, therefore, that the common clinical phenotype, as instantly recognisable today as it was to Charcot, is an end product of upstream cellular functions that may be disrupted by a variety of mechanisms that appear disparate. These may include some or all of the excitotoxicity, neuroinflammation and mitochondrial dysfunction, for which evidence has been independently gathered over recent decades (reviewed in ref. 46). This observation is relevant to many genetically determined disorders, for example, mitochondrial disorders, limb-girdle and other muscular dystrophies, spinocerebellar degenerations, and the familial spastic paraplegia syndromes. The syndrome of ALS is therefore a single disease in the clinical sense, but a phenotype that results from a number of different, perhaps related biological abnormalities. Once initiated, or at some tipping point in a finely balanced equilibrium throughout development and early adult life, ALS appears to progress inexorably, albeit at different rates among individuals. It therefore becomes important to consider the processes underlying and modulating the common patterns of neuronal dysfunction and death in ALS, and not limit the research focus to the causative role of a monogenic mutation.

Studies of the C9orf72 G\textsubscript{4}C\textsubscript{2} hexanucleotide repeat mutation have opened a more precisely defined window into the mechanism of neuronal, and perhaps also astrocytic, degeneration in ALS which might therefore apply to nearly 10% of all cases. Repeat-associated non-ATG (RAN)-translated dipeptide products are toxic,\textsuperscript{47,48} driving neurodegeneration by expressing abnormalities in RNA-binding proteins, perhaps through the formation of prion-like polymeric protein assemblies in the cytosol. It is suggested that a slowly accumulating toxic effect might account for the late onset and progressive course of the disease (see commentary in ref. 49). Such dipeptide products have been detected postmortem in a 26-year-old with learning difficulties but without dementia or motor symptoms,\textsuperscript{50} raising the possibility of developmental as well as degenerative influences for this expansion. However, to the clinician, the relatively abrupt onset and often rapid progression of ALS is striking, and provokes the concept of a tipping point in loss of balance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core clinical observation</th>
<th>Molecular clues</th>
<th>Key knowledge gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined UMN and LMN degeneration</td>
<td>Ubiquitinated neuronal inclusions found in cortical and anterior horn neuronal cell bodies\textsuperscript{44}</td>
<td>Variable clinical expression of UMN versus LMN pathology, including extremes (PMA, PL5)\textsuperscript{44}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable site of symptom onset</td>
<td>Higher proportion of bulbar-onset disease linked to C9orf72 G\textsubscript{4}C\textsubscript{2} expansions; and under-represented in SOD1 mutation-associated ALS\textsuperscript{50}</td>
<td>Many examples, including: Isolated bulbar variants;\textsuperscript{11} Reduced bulbar-onset with younger age;\textsuperscript{74} Lack of upper-limb onset in PLS (personal observation—MRT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable age at symptom onset</td>
<td>FUS mutations linked to ALS with basophilic inclusions occur in young adults\textsuperscript{55}</td>
<td>The apparent fall in incidence of ALS in those aged above age 85 years\textsuperscript{26}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial cases</td>
<td>C9orf72 G\textsubscript{4}C\textsubscript{2} expansions plus SOD1, TDP-43, and FUS mutations account for two-thirds of those with a family history of ALS or FTD\textsuperscript{77}</td>
<td>Only 10% of all ALS cases carry one of these gene mutations\textsuperscript{77}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable rate of disease progression</td>
<td>SOD1 ‘A4V’ (dominant, rapid) versus ‘D90A’ (typically recessive, slow) mutations\textsuperscript{78}</td>
<td>Typically relatively stable rates of disease progression in individual patients with ALS (familial and apparently sporadic)\textsuperscript{29,52} Carriers of C9orf72 G\textsubscript{4}C\textsubscript{2} expansions within the same pedigree may develop pure FTD instead of ALS\textsuperscript{52}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive involvement</td>
<td>C9orf72 G\textsubscript{4}C\textsubscript{2} expansions strongly associated with ALS-FTD; Under-represented in SOD1 mutations\textsuperscript{52}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; FTD, frontotemporal dementia; LMN, lower motor neuronal; PL5 primary lateral sclerosis; PMA, progressive muscular atrophy; UMN, upper motor neuronal.
tolerance for reasons yet to be discovered, possibly resulting
from an extraneous source acting on the basis of builtin suscep-
tibility. However, no such crucial external influence is currently
evident.

Whether RNA or protein mishandling will prove to be patho-
genic themes common to all ALS is not yet certain, and clearly
it raises a fundamental question as to why such profound distur-
bances in basic cellular functions can be tolerated for many
decades prior to the onset of symptoms, even accepting the
enormous functional reserve of the nervous system. Gowers’
‘abiotrophic doctrine’ hints that such fundamental aspects of
cellular function must presumably become derailed through
interaction with as yet poorly-defined age-related phenomena.51
ALS (and other neurodegenerative disorders) may represent a
loss of the cell’s capacity to safely handle proteins that have a
natural tendency to aggregate, or involve a loss of quality
control in protein manufacture that produces more aggregation-
prone variants. Or, indeed, both processes may occur. A number
of genetic mutation-driven cell stressors may be envisaged to
accelerate such loss of tolerance, explaining why a SOD1 ‘A4V’
mutation can be just as aggressive to the motor system as a
pathological C9orf72 hexanucleotide expansion. Why only the
latter tends to involve cognitive impairment is not yet clear, but
many scientists are focused on differential gene expression
across brain regions. The process of trying to understand the
biological basis for varying phenotypes within the syndrome is
an ongoing challenge, and may require the use of unbiased,
multifactorial, machine-learning approaches.52

ALS can be recognised as a syndrome with variable clinico-
pathological involvement in three ‘compartments’: LMNs,
UMNs and their frontotemporal connections. When the three
are clinically coincident, it is associated with more rapidly pro-
gressive disease.53 Conversely, long survival (10–20 years) has
been linked to relatively pure UMN (PLS) or LMN (PMA)
involvement.54–56 Similarly, the median survival in ‘pure’ FTD
is of the order of 10 years, suggesting a relative resistance to the
spread between these three neuronal networks. Furthermore,
the rate of disease progression measured according to the ALS
Functional Rating Scale is largely constant over the central part
of the disease course in any given patient with ALS.12 Whether
these phenomena are a property of physical ‘wiring’, which
might include a role for interneuronal circuitry57 or glial-
neuronal interactions,58 is not yet clear. Much more needs to be
understood concerning the potential transmission of dysfunc-
tion from cell to cell,59 as this might lead to a practical approach
to arresting the disease. Perhaps the extremes of PLS, PMA and
FTD are the natural place to start this search (figure 2).

ALS has the shortest median survival among neurodegenera-
tive disorders. The relative infrequency of ALS may reflect the
relative inaccessibility of the motor system to the protein ‘aggre-
gopathies’ apparently common to all neurodegenerative
diseases. Such a privileged status would seem likely within an
evolutionary framework in which stable and reliable motor
system function exerts a very large selection pressure. Once
breached, however, it may be that the descending motor system
(with the notable exception of oculomotor and sphincter
pathways) is somehow more permissive to disease propagation, with
faster progression compared to more neocortically-centred neu-
rodegenerative processes. For example, primary FTD often
shows signs of motor involvement at the end of a relatively long
disease course. This is consistent with a connectivity-driven
model rather than selective neuronal vulnerability, and might
hinge on an initial, stochastic, regionally-defined pathological
‘seed’. This would be consistent with affected members of the
same family showing different phenotypes, most recently high-
lighted in those carrying G4C2 expansions in C9orf72, where
relatively pure ALS and FTD may both occur. Such reasoning
has led to the suggestion that cytosolic protein aggregates in
ALS behave like prions, causing dysfunction by self-replicating
protein misfolding resembling the particular protein structure
associated with the prion protein. Mutant SOD1 protein
appears to aggregate,59 and RNA protein aggregates60 have
also been reported to behave in this manner. Cell-to-cell trans-
mision may result from pinocytosis of protein aggregates or
micro-RNAs in the intercellular compartment, extruded from
damaged or dead cells via exosomes.61 Such hypotheses would
place vesicle trafficking as a strong candidate to be involved in
variable progression rates observed in ALS.

Can anyone develop ALS? Given the apparent incomplete
penetrance of genes associated with ALS, a more puzzling corol-
ary is whether some individuals will never develop the

Figure 2. The cell versus the system in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
(after Talbot86). From the top: Genetic, environmental and stochastic
events influence events at the cellular and also the motor system level.
These interact with each other to result in the core ALS syndrome of
mixed upper and lower motor neuron signs associated in the majority
with relatively minor cognitive impairment. However, rarer pure upper
motor neuron (primary lateral sclerosis, PLS), lower motor neuron
(progressive muscular atrophy, PMA) and frontotemporal dementia
(FTD) variants are recognised. Moreover, these are all characteristically
slower in progression, possibly reflecting the relative containment of
pathology due to as yet unidentified ‘firewalls’ between neuronal
networks.
condition, perhaps due to hitherto unexplored protective genes, limited expression of pathogenic genes across brain networks, or a less permissive neuronal milieu on a larger scale. Cohort studies, using Gompertzian statistics, have suggested that there might be a susceptible population, thus accounting for the apparently reduced incidence of the disease among the very old, while accepting that mortality at different age ranges reflects competition by different diseases. 

At present, we cannot identify the onset of the disease other than by the patient’s observations of the onset of focal weakness and wasting, or mental change, but these onset features are vague in their timing, and must represent much earlier changes than exist subclinically for many months or even years. The study of presymptomatic individuals carrying highly-penetrant ALS gene mutations is an important emerging initiative. Continuing discussion as to whether ALS begins in the motor system or simultaneously in various sites in the motor system is consistent with concepts of spreading pathology in other neurodegenerative diseases, such as Parkinson’s. Consistent patterns of preferential muscle involvement in ALS, such as the lateral hand muscle wasting known as the ‘split hand’, have been linked to cortical representations associated with the development of the opposable thumb. The fasciculation so characteristic of ALS is itself evidence of the increased excitability at a lower motor neuronal level.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Careful clinical observation has been pivotal to the current understanding of ALS. The first essential in the story was to give the disorder a name based on the core clinical features that remain instantly recognisable today despite the new taxonomies based on single gene defects or the predominant cellular inclusion. Gowers was a ‘lumper’, not a ‘splitter’, and Lord Brain followed with the term MND.

Let us keep looking in spite of everything. Let us keep searching. It is indeed the best method of finding, and perhaps thanks to our efforts, the verdict we will give such a patient tomorrow will not be the same we must give this patient today.

Charcot’s call to activity has not lost its urgency over 125 years later. Until more is understood about the common underlying pathophysiology, along with a greater appreciation of the at-risk population for the apparently sporadic disorder, history may judge current therapeutic trials in ALS to have been misguided. Current research efforts aimed at understanding the basic pathobiology of the disease are proposed to lead to putative therapies, which should be testable against outcomes in clinical trials. Clinical trials have also proved to be of great benefit in terms of understanding the natural history of ALS, in improving patient management, and in fostering a scientific environment from which quantum leaps in understanding will emerge in time, perhaps serendipitously.

Charcot’s classical patient-centred approach has moved from the Lecture Theatre to the Laboratory, where it must now both inform and reflect research activity. Fibroblast-derived, induced pluripotent stem cells hold promise of individualised models of disease. This is a reality that could not have been predicted, but which holds genuine hope for delivering the long-awaited breakthrough in therapy or, better still, prevention. However, expansion in the clinical syndrome of ALS has occurred despite, rather than as a result of, new molecular insights, and will remain fundamental to the correct interpretation of the latter.
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