ABSTRACT
Introduction Previously, controlled trials have demonstrated the efficacy and tolerability of fixed doses of incobotulinumtoxinA (Xeomin, NT 201, botulinum toxin type A free from complexing proteins) to treat cervical dystonia (CD). To explore the clinical relevance of these findings, this study evaluated long-term use of flexible dosing regimens of incobotulinumtoxinA in a setting close to real-life clinical practice.
Methods Patients with CD received five injection sessions of incobotulinumtoxinA using flexible intervals (10–24 weeks) and dosing (≤300 Units) based on patients’ needs. Outcome measures included Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale (TWSTRS), the Dystonia Discomfort Scale (DDS), Investigator Global Assessment of Efficacy (IGAE) and Patient Evaluation of Global Response (PEGR).
Results Of 76 patients enrolled (men: 34%; naïve to botulinum toxin: 25%), 64 completed the study, receiving treatment over a duration of 49.3–114.1 weeks (total maximum duration: 121 weeks). Mean TWSTRS-Total and DDS scores significantly improved from study baseline to 4 weeks after each injection session (ranges of improvement: TWSTRS-Total: −11.7 to −14.3; DDS: −20.2 to −23.0). Up to 81.6% of investigators rated the efficacy as ‘good’ or ‘very good’ (IGAE) and up to 78.9% of patients rated the treatment response as ‘improved’ (PEGR). The most common adverse events were dysphagia, nasopharyngitis and headache.
Conclusions In this long-term study, incobotulinumtoxinA was administered using more flexible dosing regimens than those permitted in previous controlled trials. Repeated injections of highly purified incobotulinumtoxinA are effective and well tolerated for the treatment of CD in a setting close to real-life clinical practice.

INTRODUCTION
Cervical dystonia (CD) is a condition characterised by sustained contractions of the neck and shoulder muscles, and is distinguished from other focal dystonias by a high incidence of associated pain (present in 75% of patients), which significantly contributes to patient disability. Intramuscular injections of botulinum toxin (BT) have been shown to be efficacious and well tolerated when used to treat CD, and are therefore recommended as first-line therapy by current treatment guidelines.

BT type A is produced by Clostridium botulinum as a protein complex composed of the 150 kDa neurotoxin and complexing proteins of bacterial origin, including haemagglutinins. IncobotulinumtoxinA (Xeomin, also known by its Merz drug code NT 201; Merz Pharmaceuticals GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany) is a purified BT type A that differs from other BT drugs in that it contains no complexing proteins, which have been shown to be dispensable for therapeutic efficacy or stability of the neurotoxin. Indeed, in head-to-head trials, incobotulinumtoxinA demonstrated comparable efficacy and safety to onabotulinumtoxinA (Botox; Allergan Inc., Irvine, California, USA), in CD and blepharospasm and glabellar frown lines when equivalent doses were used.

As CD is a chronic condition, it is important to document the safety and efficacy of long-term treatment with BT. Recently, a double-blind, randomised trial indicated that repeated injection sessions of incobotulinumtoxinA were efficacious and well tolerated when used to treat CD over a period of up to 88 weeks. However, the total doses used in the trial were fixed (120 mouse units (MU) or 240 MU) in order to meet the regulatory requirements, and do not represent the approach used in clinical practice, where the dose used is determined based on clinical needs. Therefore, in the present prospective, single-arm study, we sought to further investigate the long-term use of incobotulinumtoxinA in patients with CD in a setting more representative of real-life clinical practice. Importantly, the study employed flexible dosing regimens that could be adapted according to each patient’s individual needs at the discretion of the investigator. Furthermore, the planned study duration was 51–121 weeks: one of the longest evaluation periods for a prospective study of BT type A in the treatment of CD to date. We also report on the first long-term use of the Dystonia Discomfort Scale, a novel patient-rated assessment of the subjective severity of each patient’s symptomatology.

METHODS
This prospective, open-label, single-arm, multicentre Phase IV study was performed between September 2007 and May 2010 at 17 centres in Germany (clinicaltrials.gov identification number NCT00541905). The final study protocol and the informed consent process were approved by the responsible Independent Ethics Committee (The Ethics Commission of the Medical Faculty of Rostock University, Rostock, Germany) and by the competent Regulatory Authority. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles that are outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki, in
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agreement with Good Clinical Practice and the applicable regulatory as well as local legal requirements.

**Patients**

Adult patients (≤75 years) with CD of a predominantly rotational form (spasmodic torticollis) and a need for BT injections were eligible. A Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale (TWSTRS)-Total score at baseline ≥25 with TWSTRS-Severity score ≥10 and TWSTRS-Disability score ≥3 was also required. Concomitant medications for focal dystonia were permitted if patients had received a stable dose for ≥3 months. Pretreated patients had to have shown a repeated stable response to ≤300 MU of onabotulinumtoxinA or incobotulinumtoxinA, or ≤1200 MU of abobotulinumtoxinA (Dysport, Ipsen Ltd, Slough, UK); the most recent treatment had to be ≥10 weeks prior to the first injection session of this study.

Patients were excluded if they had previously received BT type B or concomitant BT treatment of any serotype for any other indication. Other exclusion criteria were BT hypersensitivity, neuromuscular disease such as myasthenia gravis, Lambert–Eaton syndrome, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis or severe swallowing disorder of any origin (dysphagia scale score ≥3).

**Treatment**

During the main period (MP), patients received one injection session with incobotulinumtoxinA, followed by a control visit 4 weeks later. Subsequently, patients entered an extension period (EP), in which they received four additional injection sessions, each followed by a control visit 4 weeks later. Intervals between injection sessions were 10–24 weeks, depending on patients’ needs as assessed during telephone interviews. The first telephone interviews were conducted 8 weeks after each injection session. Patients were asked if they deemed a new injection session to be necessary; if so, a visit was scheduled 10 weeks after the last treatment at the earliest. If not, the telephone interviews were repeated fortnightly. The planned total study duration (MP plus EP) was 51–121 weeks.

Patients received individualised, flexible dosing (≤300 MU total dose, ≤50 MU per injection site), determined by the investigator based on physical and neurological examinations. If applicable, the patient’s response to any previous BT injections (given before or during this study), including duration of effect and adverse events (AEs), was also taken into consideration. Investigators were free to use electromyographic guidance for target muscle localisation.

**Efficacy assessments**

The primary efficacy outcome was the mean improvement in TWSTRS-Total score in the MP (from injection session 1 to the control visit 4 weeks later). The TWSTRS-Total score (range 0–85) is the sum of the severity (range 0–35), disability (range 0–30) and pain (range 0–20) subscores. Secondary efficacy outcomes included mean changes in TWSTRS-Total score from the study baseline to the control visits in the EP (4 weeks after injection sessions 2–5), as well as mean changes in the TWSTRS-Severity, Disability and Pain subscores in the MP and EP. A rater training session was held prior to the study to reduce inter-rater variability, and it was requested that the same investigator performed all assessments for a given patient.

The mean change in DDS score, from baseline to the control visits 4 weeks after each injection session, was also assessed as a secondary efficacy outcome. The DDS documents the subjective severity of each patient’s symptomatology in multiples of 5, ranging from 0 (no complaints) to 100 (maximum subjective severity of the untreated condition). Patients recorded their DDS score every day before going to bed, from the screening visit for the duration of the study. Moreover, the area under the curve (AUC) of DDS score from each injection session to the control visit 4 weeks later was evaluated as a measure of the total burden of a patient’s disease.

Additional secondary variables evaluated were Investigator Global Assessment of Efficacy (IGAE) and Patient Evaluation of Global Response (PEGR). The IGAE consists of a 4-point scale ranging from 1 (very good) to 4 (poor), and the PEGR is a descriptive scale ranging from +4 (complete abolishment of signs and symptoms) to -4 (very marked worsening; adapted from Wissel et al.). The PEGR response rate was defined as the percentage of patients reporting an improvement of ≥2 (ie, moderate improvement). IGAE and PEGR for each treatment cycle were evaluated at injection visits 2–5 or the trial termination visit.

**Safety assessments**

Patients were asked to report AEs during each visit and telephone interview. In addition, patients were actively asked about swallowing difficulties and evaluated for dysphagia using a 5–point dysphagia scale adapted from Comella et al., ranging from 0 (absent, no swallowing difficulties) to 4 (swallowing not possible and resulting in weight loss). Any increase in the dysphagia scale score after baseline was considered to be an AE. Clinical chemistry and haematology tests were conducted at baseline, injection visit 3 and the trial termination visit. Blood samples for the determination of antibodies against BT type A were taken at screening, injection visits 2–5 and the trial termination visit. Samples taken at screening and at the trial termination visit were tested using a fluorescent immunoassay (FIA). The other samples taken during the study were only tested if the patient had a positive FIA result at the trial termination visit. As the FIA cannot discriminate between neutralising and non-neutralising antibodies, FIA-positive samples were subsequently tested using the mouse hemidiaphragm assay (HDA).

The Investigator Global Assessment of Tolerability for each treatment cycle was rated on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (very good) to 4 (poor) at injection visits 2–5 or the trial termination visit.

**Statistical analysis**

Efficacy analyses were carried out based on the full analysis set (FAS; all patients who were enrolled and treated and had a baseline TWSTRS-Total measurement). For mean changes in TWSTRS scores, 95% CIs based on percentiles of the t-distribution were calculated using the last observation carried forward method. Mean changes were regarded as a significant improvement if both ends of the 95% CI were negative (indicating a reduction in TWSTRS scores). For mean changes in DDS scores, point estimates and parametric 95% CIs based on the percentiles of the t-distribution were calculated; significant improvements in DDS scores were assumed if both ends of the 95% CI were negative. All changes in TWSTRS and DDS scores are changes from study baseline, that is, before the first incobotulinumtoxinA treatment, to 4 weeks after the respective injection session. Furthermore, correlation analyses between DDS and TWSTRS-Total scores were applied (Bravais Pearson coefficient). IGAE and PEGR were analysed descriptively; differences in PEGR response rates between treatment cycles were analysed by Cochran’s Q test. Safety data were based on the safety evaluation set (SES; all patients who were enrolled and treated at least once) and analysed descriptively.
RESULTS

Patients

In total, 82 patients were screened; 76 patients received study medication and were included in the FAS and the SES. Nineteen patients (25%) were treatment naïve and 57 patients (75%) were pretreated for CD with BT type A. Patient demographics and baseline disease characteristics are shown in table 1. Twelve patients (16%) were prematurely withdrawn from the study due to lack of requirement for further BT injections (n=2), loss to follow-up (n=2), lack of efficacy (n=1), use of BT in another body region (n=1), prior treatment with BT type B (n=1) or other reasons (n=2). Three patients were withdrawn due to the occurrence of AEs: Hodgkin’s disease (n=1, not considered to be drug-related), myocardial infarction (n=1, not considered to be drug-related) and dysphagia and dyspnoea (n=1, both considered to be mild and drug-related, patient recovered at study end). For the 64 patients (84%) who completed the study and received all five possible injection sessions, the mean (SD) study duration was 64.3 (11.3) weeks (range: 49.3–114.1 weeks). Mean (SD) total doses ranged from 151.4 (57.8) MU at injection session 1 to 192.2 (69.1) MU at injection session 5. Injection intervals in this study were stable over the course of the study and ranged from 86.5 (17.7) days between injection sessions 1 and 2, 8.1 to 23.6 weeks between sessions 3 and 4 and 8.1 to 23.6 weeks between sessions 4 and 5. The mean intervals between injections were stable over the course of the study and ranged from 86.5 (17.7) days between injection sessions 1 and 2 to 89.5 (21.3) days between injection sessions 4 and 5.

Efficacy

TWSTRS

IncobotulinumtoxinA injections led to a significant improvement in the mean TWSTRS-Total score between the first injection session and the control visit 4 weeks later of −11.7 (SD 9.8; 95% CI −13.9 to −9.4).

Significant improvements were maintained after subsequent injection sessions: mean changes in TWSTRS-Total scores from the study baseline to the respective control visits 4 weeks after injection sessions 2–5 ranged from −13.4 (SD 12.4; 95% CI −16.3 to −10.6) to −14.3 (SD 13.1; 95% CI −17.3 to −11.3) (figure 1A). Mean changes in TWSTRS-Severity, TWSTRS-Disability and TWSTRS-Pain subscores also indicated consistent significant improvements (figure 1B).

DDS

Four weeks after each injection session, DDS scores were consistently and significantly improved compared with the study baseline, with mean improvements ranging from −20.2 (SD 27.5; 95% CI −27.1 to −13.2) to −23.0 (SD 26.5; 95% CI −29.5 to −16.5); figure 2). The mean AUC of the DDS scores for the 4 weeks following each injection session was highly stable over the duration of the study, ranging from 1660.1 (SD 725.2; 95% CI 1479.0 to 1841.3) after injection session 4 to 1697.3 (SD 685.9; 95% CI 1538.4 to 1856.2) after injection session 1.

Correlation between TWSTRS total scores and DDS scores

Correlation analysis of TWSTRS-Total scores and DDS scores over the duration of the study indicated a positive moderate relationship (Bravais Pearson coefficient 0.5482).

IGAE and PEGR

The efficacy of incobotulinumtoxinA during each treatment cycle was rated as ‘good’ or ‘very good’ by investigators in up to 82% of cases (table 2). The PEGR response rate for each treatment cycle was up to 79% (table 2). There were no significant differences in PEGR response rates between treatment cycles (p=0.6087).

Safety outcomes

The incidences of the most common AEs (occurring in ≥5% of patients after any injection session) for each treatment cycle are shown in table 3.

Over all five treatment cycles, the most common drug-related AEs were dysphagia (actively questioned for: 28.9%, n=22), neck pain (10.5%, n=8) and muscular weakness (6.6%, n=5).

Dysphagia was also assessed using the dysphagia scale. At study baseline, swallowing difficulties (dysphagia scale score >0) were reported by seven patients (9%). An increase in dysphagia scale score between each injection session and the control visit 4 weeks later was noted for seven (9%, n=76), five (7%, n=72), seven (10%, n=68), three (5%, n=66) and four (6%, n=64) patients after injection sessions 1–5. Swallowing difficulties were mostly mild or moderate (dysphagia scale score of 1 or 2). Two patients who did not report dysphagia at baseline had severe swallowing difficulties that required a change in diet (dysphagia scale score of 3). One of these patients reported severe swallowing difficulties 4 weeks after injection session 4 (160 MU incobotulinumtoxinA), and the other patient reported severe swallowing difficulties 8 weeks after receiving 143 MU at injection session 5. For both patients, all occurrences of dysphagia were resolved within 4 weeks.

There were no clinically relevant changes in the clinical laboratory parameter results. At screening, six patients tested positive for BT antibodies in the FIA. Three of these patients also had neutralising antibodies according to the HDA and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Baseline demographics and disease characteristics (FAS)</th>
<th>Study population (n=76)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender: male, n (%)</td>
<td>26 (34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) age, years</td>
<td>54.4 (10.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) body mass index, kg/m²²</td>
<td>25.1 (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) time since first diagnosis, years</td>
<td>6.0 (6.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) estimated duration of CD, years</td>
<td>9.2 (7.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretreated with BT for CD, n (%)⁠*</td>
<td>57 (75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) number of injection sessions</td>
<td>22.4 (20.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) time since start of treatment, years</td>
<td>6.8 (5.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) TWSTRS scores at study baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39.0 (10.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity</td>
<td>18.3 (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>11.2 (5.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>9.5 (4.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) DDS score at study baseline</td>
<td>72.2 (26.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swallowing difficulties at study baseline (dysphagia scale score &gt;0), n (%)</td>
<td>7 (9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Formulations received at the last injection session prior to study entry were incobotulinumtoxinA (n=32), abobotulinumtoxinA (n=14) or onabotulinumtoxinA (n=11).

‡Baseline DDS scores were available for 71 patients.

Figures 1A and B show low variance in the mean change of TWSTRS-Total scores. Figure 2 shows the mean DDS score at study baseline and after each injection session.
remained FIA-positive and HDA-positive throughout the study. Of these, two patients consistently experienced improvements of TWSTRS-Totals scores between the study baseline and the control visits 4 weeks after each incobotulinumtoxinA treatment. The third HDA-positive patient had an improved TWSTRS-Totals score 4 weeks after the first but not after subsequent injection visits. The other three patients with FIA-positive results at screening were HDA-negative at screening and throughout the study. Not all FIA-positive samples could be tested in the HDA after the screening. No patients developed new antibodies against BT as assessed using the FIA or neutralizing antibodies as per the HDA over the duration of the study.

At injection sessions 2–5 and the trial termination visit, the investigator classified the tolerability of study medication as ‘good’ or ‘very good’ for 94% (68/72), 94% (64/68), 97% (64/66), 97% (62/64) and 95% (70/74) of patients.

**DISCUSSION**

Previously, a controlled clinical trial has demonstrated the safety and efficacy of repeated injections of incobotulinumtoxinA for the treatment of CD when administered at flexible 6–20-week intervals using fixed total doses of 120 or 240 MU. The dosing in real-life clinical practice is generally more flexible and determined by the physician based on each individual patient’s needs. Furthermore, the results of the present prospective, open-label, single-arm, multicentre study confirm the long-term efficacy and tolerability of incobotulinumtoxinA in patients with CD in a setting that more closely reflects real-life clinical practice. Here, incobotulinumtoxinA provided consistent improvements as assessed on a clinical rating scale for CD (TWSTRS) and other investigator-rated outcomes (IGAE). These correlated with improvements in patient-rated outcomes (PEGR and the DDS), suggesting that patients experience meaningful
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**Figure 1** Mean changes in (A) Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale (TWSTRS)-Total and (B) TWSTRS-Severity, TWSTRS-Disability and TWSTRS-Pain subscores from the study baseline to 4 weeks after each injection session. TWSTRS-Total score ranges from 0 to 85 points and is the sum of the TWSTRS-Severity, TWSTRS-Disability and TWSTRS-Pain subscores. TWSTRS-Severity score ranges from 0 to 35 points, TWSTRS-Disability score from 0 to 30 points and TWSTRS-Pain score from 0 to 20 points. Error bars indicate the 95% CI. TWSTRS, Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale.
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**Figure 2** Mean change in Dystonia Discomfort Scale (DDS) scores from the study baseline to 4 weeks after each injection session. DDS scores range from 0% to 100%. Error bars indicate the 95% CI. DDS, Dystonia Discomfort Scale.

**Table 2** IGAE and PEGR by treatment cycle (FAS, n=76)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment cycle</th>
<th>IGAE Reports of ‘good’ or ‘very good’ efficacy, %</th>
<th>PEGR Response rate, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (n=76)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (n=72)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (n=68)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (n=66)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (n=64)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAS, full analysis set; IGAE, Investigator Global Assessment of Efficacy; PEGR, Patient Evaluation of Global Response.

**Table 3** Incidence of the most common (≥5%) AEs by treatment cycle (SES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment cycle</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (n=76)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (n=72)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (n=68)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (n=66)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (n=64)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AE, adverse event; SES, safety evaluation set.

**Table 3** Incidence of the most common (≥5%) AEs by treatment cycle (SES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AE, n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia*</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck pain</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mouth</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back pain</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhoea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patients were directly questioned about swallowing difficulties and evaluated for dysphagia using a 5-point dysphagia scale adapted from Comella et al. at all visits and through telephone interviews.

symptomatic benefits. It was a limitation of the study design that no placebo control arm was included. However, the overall effectiveness of BT treatment, including incobotulinumtoxinA, versus placebo for CD is well established and treatment effects seen in this study are comparable to other clinical trials.7 23 28 29

Moreover, this study encompassed one of the longest evaluation periods (51–121 weeks) to date for a prospective study of BT in the treatment of CD, and thereby provides an important insight into the outcomes of long-term treatment. While other long-term studies have been reported, these were generally conducted retrospectively,26 27 or did not assess clinical efficacy outcomes at the peak effect of treatment.7

The present study also supported the long-term usefulness of the DDS—a novel tool to monitor the full-time course of efficacy throughout a BT treatment cycle. The validity and sensitivity of the DDS over the first treatment cycle of this study will be reported elsewhere (Dressler et al 2013, manuscript in preparation). As a self-assessment tool, the DDS can be easily performed by patients on a daily basis without the need to attend a clinic and could potentially provide a valuable and viable method for the evaluation of BT effects on the severity of CD in routine clinical practice.

IncobotulinumtoxinA was generally well tolerated in this study population, with a safety profile similar to that observed in controlled trials of incobotulinumtoxinA in CD.2 3 8 23 Importantly, no new or unexpected safety risks emerged with long-term treatment. The most common drug-related AE was dysphagia, a well-known and frequent side effect of BT type A and B formulations in the treatment of CD.20 23 24 Moreover, in this study, patients were directly questioned about swallowing difficulties, and additionally, dysphagia was assessed on a rating scale, which may have prompted a greater level of reporting of dysphagia as an AE. The other main drug-related AEs in this study, neck pain and muscular weakness, are also common side effects of BT therapy.20–24

Importantly, no accumulation of AEs was observed over the treatment course but the incidence of AEs tended to decrease over time, similar to trends seen in other long-term studies with incobotulinumtoxinA in CD.34 This may reflect patients’ adjustment to BT treatment over time, an effect that might not be apparent in shorter studies.

Three patients had neutralising antibodies to BT at study baseline according to a mouse HDA; two of these patients remained responsive to incobotulinumtoxinA throughout the study. No patients developed neutralising antibodies during this study, consistent with long-term studies of incobotulinumtoxinA in spasticity and blepharospasm. Thus, this study supports accumulating evidence that incobotulinumtoxinA, with its low bacterial foreign protein content, is associated with low immunogenicity.

In summary, this study shows that repeated injections of highly purified incobotulinumtoxinA, administered using flexible dosing regimens in a setting close to real-life clinical practice, are effective and well tolerated for the long-term treatment of CD.

Contributors All authors made substantial contributions to the conception, design and execution of the study, and interpretation of the data. All authors contributed to the intellectual content of the manuscript, reviewed and critically revised it and approved the final submitted version.

Funding The study was funded by Merz Pharmaceuticals GmbH, Frankfurt am Main, Germany.

Competing interests DD received compensation for consultancies from Allergan, Ipsen, Eli/lilly/Solstice, Merz Pharmaceuticals and Syntaxin. He is a shareholder of Allergan. SP received consultancy fees from Ipsen and Merz Pharmaceuticals. AS is a current employee of Merz Pharmaceuticals. BG is a current employee of Merz Pharmaceuticals. AK received honoraria for lecturing from Allergan, Boehringer Ingelheim, Ipsen, Lundbeck, Medtronic, Merck, Merz Pharmaceuticals, Orion and UCB.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Ethics Commission of the Medical Faculty of Rostock University, Rostock, Germany.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 3.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/

REFERENCES

11 Fevert J. Xeomin is free from complexing proteins. Toxicon 2009;54:697–701.


Long-term efficacy and safety of incobotulinumtoxinA injections in patients with cervical dystonia
Dirk Dressler, Sebastian Paus, Andrea Seitzinger, Bernd Gebhardt and Andreas Kupsch

J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry published online May 18, 2013

Updated information and services can be found at: http://jnnp.bmj.com/content/early/2013/05/17/jnnp-2012-303608

These include:

References
This article cites 29 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at: http://jnnp.bmj.com/content/early/2013/05/17/jnnp-2012-303608#BIBL

Open Access
This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non commercial and is otherwise in compliance with the license. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/ and http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/legalcode

Email alerting service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections
Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

- Open access (239)
- Drugs: CNS (not psychiatric) (1945)
- Neuromuscular disease (1311)
- Ear, nose and throat/otolaryngology (208)
- Headache (including migraine) (459)
- Movement disorders (other than Parkinsons) (766)
- Pain (neurology) (763)

Notes

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/