Vibration perception in normal man and medical patients

GENEVIEVE D. GOFF, BURTON S. ROSNER¹, THOMAS DETRE, AND
DAVID KENNARD

From Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, U.S.A.

Interest in sensitivity to vibration as an aid to neurological diagnosis began in 1889 when Rumpf tested this function in a case of syringomyelia (Fox and Klemperer, 1942). Subsequent investigators found altered vibratory sensitivity in various disorders of the nervous system (*e.g.*, Williamson, 1922). Reduced sensitivity, for example, has been reported in peripheral neuritis, diabetes mellitus, and pernicious anaemia (*e.g.*, Cosh, 1953), while hypersensitivity has been seen in the Parkinsonian syndrome (Gordon, 1945). Changes in vibratory sensitivity have been used as positive or negative diagnostic indicators (*e.g.*, Canelas, 1958).

Unfortunately, efforts to establish a simple, standard clinical routine for testing vibratory sensitivity have been plagued by a variety of problems. The first one concerns age and sex differences. Although vibratory sensitivity diminishes with ageing (*e.g.*, Newman and Corbin, 1936; Laidlaw and Hamilton, 1937), few clinical investigations have allowed for this effect. This is quite surprising when one considers the high mean age of patients displaying certain clinical neurological symptoms. Possible sex differences also have been investigated (Mirsky, Futterman, and Broh-Kahn, 1953; Steiness, 1957; Detre, Feldman, Rosner, and Ferriter, 1962), but the results are inconclusive.

Many approaches to clinical testing of vibration also have suffered procedural difficulties, such as inadequate control of the stimulus or of the routine (see discussion in Steiness, 1957). In earlier investigations, furthermore, thresholds were measured at one or, at most, a few frequencies. Since vibratory thresholds are a U-shaped function of frequency (Goff, 1959), measurements at one frequency give much less information than is necessary to assess vibratory functions. Attempts to secure more clinically sensitive tests of vibratory sensitivity have prompted introduction of such techniques as the use of a pneumatic cuff (Steiness, 1959), the in-

duction of nerve blocks and ischaemia (Cosh, 1953), and study of adaptation or 'fatigue' (Frohring, Kohn, Bosma, and Toomey, 1945). These methods possess various disadvantages. The subject is exposed to discomfort; he must often concentrate for extended periods; the testing period becomes lengthy; the investigator must be highly trained; and the subject and investigator often become involved in an emotionally charged interaction.

Detre *et al.* (1962) recently described a method for measuring vibratory thresholds which seems to avoid the procedural problems enumerated above. The method is based on Békésy's (1947) self-recording audiometer. Békésy (1960) had already used the device for vibratory studies. With this method, delivery of the stimulus is standardized and sensitivity is tested at many frequencies. The subject and investigator interact minimally. Further, the subject experiences no discomfort or inconvenience during the 10-minute testing period; this short time minimizes fatigue; a minimum of concentration, intelligence, and verbal ability are required of the subject; and the experimenter does not need extensive training.

Detre *et al.* (1962) reported significant differences in vibration perception between normal subjects and acute psychotics. In contrast, audiograms on normal and schizophrenic subjects did not differ over the same frequency range used for vibratory tests. These controls showed that the results on vibration were modality-specific and were not an artifact of the Békésy method of stimuli presentation; they were not produced by the subject's failure to understand directions or pay attention to the task.

The present study then was designed to accomplish three further aims: (1) to evaluate further Békésy's technique by investigating the reliability of the measurements and the possible learning or practice effects; (2) to establish adequate age and sex norms by testing a large normal population; and (3) to investigate patients with organic diseases in comparison with such norms. Achievement of these

¹Present Address: Department of Psychiatry, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

aims is prerequisite to a sound clinical routine for testing vibratory sensitivity.

METHODS

APPARATUS AND PROCEDURE Previous studies (Gregg, 1951; Cosh, 1953; Wilska, 1954) showed that the fingers yield more reliable and more sensitive vibratory thresholds than do other parts of the body. Therefore, the site of stimulation selected was the index finger and both left and right index fingers were compared. Prior investigators (e.g. Gregg, 1951) also found that heavy pressure on the vibrator causes damping effects and that too light pressure does not yield adequate contact. They noted that the influence of pressure on the threshold is negligible as long as these two extremes are avoided. To assure that the applied force would be kept within acceptable limits, the apparatus described by Detre *et al.* (1962) was modified by mounting the vibrator on a lever balanced against a weight damped in an oil bath. This forced the subject to apply a minimum pressure in order to keep his finger on the vibrator. The experimenter could observe excessive movement of the lever if too much pressure was applied.

The subject sat in a comfortable chair with one arm resting upon the flat surface of the console containing the vibratory apparatus. The index finger being tested rested upon a stylus which delivered the stimulus. The subject held a response switch in his other hand. With the Békésy technique the subject determined his own threshold by pressing the response switch to increase intensity if he did not feel the stimulus and releasing the switch to decrease intensity if he did feel it. An oscillator with a

motor driven frequency control continuously changed the vibratory frequency from 35 c.p.s. to 600 c.p.s. The fluctuations in stimulus intensity produced by operation of the response switch were automatically plotted as a function of the logarithmically changing vibrator frequency. The resulting 'vibrogram' therefore represents the subject's successive vibratory threshold crossings and his variability around threshold over the frequency range tested. Figure 1 shows a sample vibrogram.

Subjects were instructed: 'This is a simple test to find out how well you feel a moving object with your fingertip. Place the tip of your left (right) index finger on top of this little rod. Hold this switch in your right (left) hand. All you have to do is press the switch with your right hand as soon as you feel the rod vibrating and release it as soon as you do not feel the rod vibrating. Concentrate on the feeling in the tip of your finger. First we'll let you practice.' The subject was allowed to practice about a minute with the oscillator held at 50 c.p.s. Instructions were repeated if necessary. The oscillator then was set at 35 c.p.s. The motor drive was engaged to raise the frequency gradually and the subject was informed that the test was starting. The test ended about four minutes later when the frequency of vibration had reached 600 c.p.s. As a control to ensure that the subject was responding only to the vibratory stimulus, the vibrator could be turned off without the subject's knowledge. If the subject continued to respond, instructions and practice were repeated. Audiograms could also be obtained (with instructions reworded appropriately) by simply unplugging the vibrator, plugging in a set of earphones, and making an adjustment of the output amplifier.

FIG. 1. A sample vibrogram (see text for explanation of measurements).

SUBJECTS Four hundred and fifty-one normal subjects were tested, ranging in age from 10 to 72, with great diversification of social background. Subjects included public and private school children, private university and state college students, employees of two large urban companies (ranging from top executives to labourers), housewives, and various others. Thirteen subjects were eliminated because of outside disruptions of the testing situation or because of inability to follow directions. Patients were secured from the Psychiatric Division and from the outpatient clinics of the Yale-New Haven Medical Centre.

DATA ANALYSIS Detre *et al.* (1962) quantified the vibrogram by determining the mean threshold at each of 11 frequencies. They connected these 11 values to obtain a U-shaped curve for each subject. Rather than determine the mean of the upper and lower limits of the vibrogram at any desired frequency, it is easier simply to read the intensity value at that frequency regardless of where it falls on an ascending or descending excursion. In this study intensity values were measured in this manner at various frequencies along the vibrogram. Two methods of analysis then were used. First, for a particular group of subjects the means of the intensities at eight selected frequencies (50, 70, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400, and 600) were plotted to yield a U-shaped vibratory sensitivity curve (Fig. 2). This method of analysis is cumbersome when many age groups are being studied. Furthermore, it permits comparisons only of threshold values, although patients may also differ from normals in variability (Steiness, 1957). Consequently, a more refined method of data analysis was devised, based on the observation that a normal vibrogram approximates a parabola as a function of the square root of frequency. Intensity values at as many as 40 points along the vibrogram were reduced with this method by a digital computer to four basic measurements: F, the frequency at which the subject is most sensitive; T, the threshold at that frequency; 4a, a measure of the shape of the curve, *i.e.*, the width of the

best fitting parabola; and RMS, a measure of the variability. These four measurements are illustrated in Figure 1. (Fortran listing for the computer programme is available.)

RESULTS

NORMAL DATA ANALYSIS Eight normal subjects were each tested from five to eight times over a two-month span of time. The results verified the intra-subject stability of the vibratory measurements. As a further check, 60 normal subjects were tested on both hands. Half the subjects had the right hand tested first; half had the left hand first. Analysis of variance and *t* tests showed no hand difference and no effect with practice. There was a small, insignificant tendency for the left hand to benefit more by practice than the right. Also, as several investigators have reported, the left hand is slightly more sensitive than the right; again, the difference was not significant.

Fifteen of the 438 normal subjects who provided vibrograms (3.5%) gave curves which could not be fitted by the parabolic function. Six other subjects were excluded as having grossly abnormal records, although the computed values of the parameters were not actually impossible. All statistics reported below are from the remaining 417 normal subjects.

Figure 2 shows the vibratory sensitivity curves for men and women. The arrows indicate plus 1 S.D. for the women and minus 1 S.D. for the men. The variability is greater than that reported by other investigators since our method of measurement does not exclude intra-subject variability. Student's *t* test showed highly significant sex differences ($P < 0.001$) at all points but 50 c.p.s. ($P < 0.10$). In Fig. 2 the T (threshold) and F (frequency) values also have been combined for each sex to establish mean points, with ± 1 S.D. for threshold indicated by arrows. These two measures duplicate information secured by the first method of analysis. Student's *t* test yielded highly significant sex differences ($P < 0.001$) for both T and F. Thus, both methods of analysis show that men have lower vibratory thresholds than women. The frequency of greatest sensitivity also is lower in women than in men. The other two computed parameters, 4a and RMS, did not yield a sex difference. Furthermore, all analyses with these parameters in normal subjects were negative and thus are not described further. In order to study the effects of age on T and F, our 417 subjects were subdivided into seven age groups: 10-14, 15-19, 20-24, 25-34, 35-44, 45-54, and 55-72 years. As expected, analysis of variance showed sex to be highly significant ($P < 0.001$) for both T and F. The analysis also revealed that age was significant ($P < 0.01$) for F.

FIG. 2. Vibratory sensitivity curves for normal men and women.

To determine the effect of age alone, individual *t* tests were done on *T* and *F* between age groups within a sex. This analysis revealed that the youngest two groups of women did not differ and so could be combined into one group (*Y*). The remaining female subjects could be divided into a middle age group (*M*) and an older group (*O*). Data for males could be grouped in a similar manner. The mean *F* and *T* values for these three age groups are presented in Table I. The table also shows the significance levels of *t* tests between the age groups. There are no significant differences between the young and middle-age groups of men and no differences between the middle and old-age groups of women.

TABLE I

MEAN FREQUENCY AND THRESHOLD VALUES FOR DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS

Age Groups	Men			Women		
	No.	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>	No.	<i>F</i>	<i>T</i>
Y (10-19)	51	164.5	35.2	46	156.1	41.5
M (20-44)	123	169.6	36.4	116	132.0	43.1
O (45-72)	39	154.8	40.0	42	128.2	42.4

Significance levels of *t* tests between age groups

Y vs. M	ns	ns	0.02	ns
M vs. O	ns	0.05	ns	ns
Y vs. O	ns	0.05	0.02	ns

F = frequency at which subject is most sensitive; *T* = threshold of that frequency.

Vibratory sensitivity curves for these three age groups appear in Fig. 3 and *t* tests between the three age groups at each of the eight frequencies confirmed the above findings. Neither the younger two age groups of men nor the older two age groups of

women differed among themselves. Accordingly, data for the younger two groups of men were combined and compared with those for the oldest group. For women, data from the older two groups were combined and compared with those from the youngest group. Results of these analyses are given in Table II. The findings show that the effect of age in men is to raise the threshold. No significant change occurs in *F*, but the whole threshold curve is elevated at all frequencies. In women the effect of age is different: there is a significant decrease in *F* but no change in *T*. This is consistent with the analysis of the vibratory sensitivity curves, which indicates that women undergo no change with age at lower frequencies but show increased thresholds at higher frequencies.

In summary, our normal population displays definite sex and age differences. Men have lower vibratory thresholds and a higher frequency for maximum sensitivity than women. The effect of age in men is to raise the threshold curve at all frequencies. In women aging produces increased thresholds for higher frequencies only, which results in a lowering of the frequency showing the greatest sensitivity.

ANALYSIS OF DATA FROM PATIENTS. Vibrograms were collected from 85 patients in four diagnostic categories (organic brain syndrome, seizures, diabetes mellitus, and pernicious anaemia). Fourteen patients (16.5%) gave vibrograms whose shape was too deviant for fitting by a parabolic function. The remaining 71 patients were subdivided by sex and by the age groupings found to be fruitful in the normal population. Cells containing fewer than four sub-

FIG. 3. Vibratory sensitivity curves of three age groups of normal men and women.

TABLE II

MEAN FREQUENCY AND THRESHOLD VALUES FOR COMBINED AGE GROUPS AND SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS OF T TESTS

Men				Women			
Age Groups	No.	F	T	Age Groups	No.	F	T
Y + M (10-44)	174	168.1	36.1	Y (10-19)	46	156.1	41.5
O (45-72)	39	154.8	40.0	M + O (20-72)	158	130.9	42.9

TABLE IV

MEAN T AND RMS VALUES AND RESULTS OF T TESTS FOR VARIOUS SEX AND AGE GROUPS

	Organic	Organic	Seizure	Seizure	Seizure	Diabetic	Pernicious Anaemia	Pernicious Anaemia	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Sex	M	F	M	F	F	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
Age	Y	O	Y	Y	O	O	O	O	Y	Y	O	O
No.	8	13	7	9	15	4	4	5	174	46	39	158
<i>Threshold</i>												
Mean	31.06	33.38	53.44	48.28	55.85	64.18	76.50	72.24	36.07	41.49	39.97	42.88
S.D.	11.46	12.73	19.23	19.21	13.39	24.34	13.34	21.98	9.02	10.10	10.84	11.86
<i>RMS¹</i>												
Mean	5.85	6.15	5.54	6.53	6.28	8.48	5.38	8.74	3.96	3.73	3.66	3.98
S.D.	3.72	3.17	4.27	3.80	2.82	6.77	0.08	4.28	1.41	1.24	1.63	1.38
<i>Organic disease in young males</i>												
T			<0.02									
RMS									<0.01			
<i>Organic disease in older females</i>												
T					<0.001	<0.01		<0.001				<0.01
RMS												<0.001
<i>Seizures in older males</i>												
T									<0.001			
RMS									<0.02			
<i>Seizures in younger females</i>												
T												
RMS										<0.001		
<i>Seizures in older females</i>												
T												
RMS								<0.10				<0.001
<i>Diabetes in older females</i>												<0.001
T												<0.001
RMS												<0.001
<i>Pernicious anaemia in older males</i>												
T											<0.001	
RMS											<0.05	
<i>Pernicious anaemia in older females</i>												
T												<0.001
RMS												<0.001

¹RMS = measure of variability

for vibratory thresholds on the index finger. His results for the big toe could reflect the known phenomenon of reduced sensitivity in lower limbs in men due to increased circulatory problems.

Many studies have reported rises in vibratory threshold with age, but no previous study had analyzed how this change interacts with sex difference. The vibrogram of men remains stable until after age 45 and then the entire curve rises. Women's vibrograms are stable only through the teens; thereafter, sensitivity remains constant at the lower frequencies but rises at the higher frequencies. This pattern of threshold changes in women is similar to generally reported effects of age on the audiogram.

Previous investigators have reported no sex differences for patient groups (*e.g.*, Detre, Rosner, Feldman, and Ferriter, 1964), but many have observed the rise in threshold with age. The patient groups tested in this study were too small to permit an analysis of age and sex, although the trend is for the same age differences reported for the normal group. The higher variability in individual patients as against normals may have obscured any real sex differences in patients.

Although the Békésy method seems a reliable and clinically promising test of vibration, analysis of the

resulting curves merits further attention. The vibrograms of 16.5% of the patients tested could not be fitted to a parabola. Since only 3.5% of the normals had such deviant vibrograms, patients' curves may differ from those of normals (and perhaps from each other) in some aspects which our analysis does not tap. Visual inspection of the vibrograms suggests such possibilities as curves which are flat over a wide range of frequencies without necessarily showing a locus of maximum sensitivity or curves in which the two arms of the 'parabola' have quite different slopes. The RMS value in this study is an average measure of the variability throughout the frequency range. Measures of variability as a function of frequency also should be investigated, since some patients are more variable at higher than at lower frequencies. Another possibility for future study is a parameter used by Jerger and Herer (1961) for audition. They found that a comparison between thresholds for interrupted stimulation and for continuous stimulation distinguished between organic and non-organic hearing loss.

SUMMARY

The vibrogram technique based on the Békésy

audiometer yields reliable measures of vibratory sensitivity. It has many advantages over older techniques. Results from 417 normal subjects tested on the left index finger showed that men have lower vibratory thresholds than women. There was an elevation of the vibrograms with age. Men older than 45 years of age suffer a general rise in the threshold curve at all frequencies. In women the effect of age is to increase the thresholds at higher frequencies after 20 years of age, with little change at the lower frequencies. Patients with various neurological and metabolic problems showed greater variability in threshold than the normal population. Patients with pernicious anemia, diabetes, or seizures tended to have thresholds significantly higher than normal. The only difference between patient groups was the significantly lower thresholds of those with organic brain syndrome.

Support for this study was provided by grant MH-07300 from the National Institutes of Health, United States Public Health Service. The Grason-Stadler Company, Inc., West Concord, Massachusetts, designed and constructed the apparatus used in this study.

REFERENCES

- Békésy, G. v. (1947). A new audiometer. *Acta oto-laryng. (Stockh.)*, **35**, 411-422.
- (1960). *Experiments in hearing*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Canelas, H. M. (1958). Sensibilidade vibratória. Valor semiótico em algumas afecções do sistema nervoso. *Arch. Neuro-psiquiat. (S. Paulo)*, **16**, 275-352.
- Cosh, J. A. (1953). Studies on the nature of vibration sense. *Clin. Sci.*, **12**, 131-151.
- Detre, T., Feldman, R. G., Rosner, B. S., and Ferriter, C. (1962). Vibration perception in normal and schizophrenic subjects. *J. Neuropsychiat.*, **3**, suppl. 1, pp. 145-150.
- , Rosner, B. S., Feldman, R. G., and Ferriter, C. (1964). Effects of fluphenazine on vibration perception in seizure disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, **3**, 72-76.
- Fox, J. C., and Klemperer, W. W. (1942). Vibratory sensibility: a quantitative study of its thresholds in nervous disorders. *Arch. Neurol. Psychiat. (Chic.)*, **48**, 622-645.
- Frohning, W. O., Kohn, P. M., Bosma, J. F., and Toomey, J. A. (1945). Changes in the vibratory sense of patients with poliomyelitis as measured by the pallesthesiometer. *Amer. J. Dis. Child.*, **69**, 89-91.
- Goff, G. D. (1959). Differential discrimination of frequency of cutaneous mechanical vibration. *Unpublished dissertation, University of Virginia*.
- Gordon, A. (1945). 'Vibration sense' as a differential diagnostic sign in doubtful cases of parkinsonian syndrome. *J. nerv. ment. Dis.*, **101**, 589-590.
- Gregg, E. C. (1951). Absolute measurement of the vibratory threshold. *Arch. Neurol. Psychiat. (Chic.)*, **66**, 403-411.
- Jerger, J., and Herer, G. (1961). Unexpected dividend in Békésy audiometry. *J. Speech Dis.*, **26**, 390-391.
- Laidlaw, R. W., and Hamilton, M. A. (1937). Thresholds of vibratory sensibility as determined by the pallesthesiometer: A study of sixty normal subjects. *Bull. neurol. Inst. N.Y.*, **6**, 494-503.
- Mirsky, I. A., Futterman, P., and Broh-Kahn, R. H. (1953). The quantitative measurement of vibratory perception in subjects with and without diabetes mellitus. *J. Lab. clin. Med.*, **41**, 221-235.
- Newman, H. W., and Corbin, K. B. (1936). Quantitative determination of vibratory sensibility. *Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. (N.Y.)*, **35**, 273-276.
- Steiness, I. (1957). Vibratory perception in normal subjects; vibratory perception in diabetics; a biothesiometric study. *Acta med. scand.*, **158**, 315-335.
- (1959). Vibratory perception in diabetics during arrested blood flow to the limb. *Ibid.*, **163**, 195-205.
- Williamson, R. T. (1922). The vibrating sensation in diseases of the nervous system. *Amer. J. med. Sci.*, **164**, 715-727.
- Wilks, A. (1954). On the vibrational sensitivity in different regions of the body surface. *Acta physiol. scand.*, **31**, 285-289.