Amitriptyline in migraine prophylaxis

Changes in pattern of attacks during a controlled clinical trial

JAMES D. GOMERSALL AND ALICE STUART

From the Departments of Mental Health and Social Medicine, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen

SUMMARY A double-blind controlled clinical trial of crossover design was conducted in 26 volunteers suffering from migraine. Of 20 subjects who completed the trial, 16 had fewer attacks on amitriptyline than on placebo. Amitriptyline was found to have the greatest effect in reducing attacks with a short warning and in which no specific cause could be recognized. It had least effect in attacks with a long warning and recognized as due to fatigue. The drug was effective only in reducing those attacks with shorter duration and its effect was irrespective of severity. A dosage of between 10 and 60 mg, usually taken at night, was found to be adequate.

Amitriptyline has been found effective in chronic tension headache (Lance and Curran, 1964) and its beneficial use in migraine has been reported (Friedman, 1968; Mahloudji, 1969).

Although its precise mode of action in migraine is uncertain, amitriptyline and the tricyclic antidepressants possess similarities in structure and pharmacological effect to some of the recently introduced prophylactic agents used in this condition.

SELECTION OF SUBJECTS

Volunteers were requested via the British Migraine Association and the press. The criteria of migraine used was the presence of intermittent headache with at least three of the following features: unilateral distribution, sensory prodromata, associated nausea; photophobia and throbbing.

All subjects were asked to keep a record of their migraine attacks. These showed the information listed in Table 1. The charts were returned and discussed every two months with a statement as to their accuracy. It was indicated that attacks causing no disturbance of daily routine should be recorded as mild; those causing some lack of efficiency as moderate, and those causing complete disruption of usual activities should be recorded as severe.

Of 114 volunteers, 94 were excluded as follows. Seventy-five were excluded before or during a 26 week control period. Only those subjects having more than two attacks per month and with over 50% described as at least of moderate severity were

TABLE 1
DATA RECORDED BY SUBJECTS

Feature	Aspects noted
Warning	Time of onset, cessation, and characteristics
Time of onset of attack	Timed to nearest hour
Time of cessation	Timed to nearest hour. Hour wakening recorded if ceasing during the night
Characteristics of the pain	Situation, type
Associated features	Free description allowed, but classified as nausea, photophobia, depression
Any recognizable cause	Free description allowed but classified into: none, weather, psychological, food or drink, menstruation, fatigue
Severity	A subjective estimate on a three point scale of mild, moderate, and severe

considered for the trial. Of the 39 subjects satisfying these criteria, 13 were randomly allocated for a study of psychotherapy, and six were withdrawn during the trial (Table 2). On three occasions during the trial, it was necessary to ask for an estimate of an attack from memory owing to failure to record. Co-operation of subjects was excellent.

Blood pressure was recorded initially and at all subsequent visits. The nature of the trial was explained and permission of the patient and his general practitioner obtained. Characteristics of the 20 subjects who completed the trial are shown in Table 3. The types of migraine recognized are those advised by the Ad Hoc Committee of the National Institute for Nervous Disease and Blindness (1962).

TABLE 2
REASONS FOR EXCLUSION OF 94 SUBJECTS OUT OF
TOTAL OF 114 VOLUNTEERS

Reason for exclusion	Exclusion before control period	Exclusion during control period	Exclusion during trial	Total
Failure to satisfy criteria of migraine	8	1	—	9
Unwilling to participate in trial	—	—	1	1
Under antidepressant treatment	—	—	1	1
Living at a distance	23	1	—	24
Pregnancy	—	—	1	1
Failure to record attacks	—	7	2	9
Allocated for trial of psychotherapy	—	—	13	13
Attacks of insufficient severity	—	35	—	35
Side-effects of drugs	—	—	1	1
Total	31	44	19	94

Total volunteers 114 Subjects remaining after exclusions 20.

METHOD

Each subject received tablets of amitriptyline and placebo in random order for periods of 27 weeks each. Attacks occurring during the first week on each tablet were disregarded in order to counteract any lag in the effect of treatment.

No other form of long-term medication was permitted during the trial except contraceptive tablets, which, it was requested, should not be changed. Ergotamine or other usual medication continued to

be taken at the time of attacks, but again no changes were made in the form of this therapy during the trial period (Table 4).

Subjects were warned of likely side-effects and these occurred with both preparations (Table 5). Instructions were given to commence with three tablets daily and increase to six if no therapeutic

TABLE 4
MEDICATION TAKEN DURING MIGRAINE ATTACKS

Medication during attack			
None (no.) (%)	Ergotamine preparations (no.) (%)	Simple analgesics (no.) (%)	Trifluoperazine (no.) (%)
2 10	11 55	6 30	1 5

effect was observed after two weeks. If undesirable side-effects occurred, however, it was advised firstly that the dose be taken at night, and if the effects still persisted, then a reduction of dosage was made. Patients were reviewed after two and four weeks on each medication, during which time the optimum dose was established and maintained for the last 23 weeks on each tablet (Table 6).

Differences were tested for significance using chi-squared.

RESULTS

Sixteen out of 20 subjects had fewer attacks when

TABLE 3
CHARACTERISTICS OF 20 SUBJECTS WHO COMPLETED TRIAL

Age (yr)												
	21-30 (no.) (%)		31-40 (no.) (%)		41-50 (no.) (%)		51-60 (no.) (%)		61-70 (no.) (%)		Total (no.) (%)	
Male	—		—		3	15	1	5	1	5	5	25
Female	1	5	4	20	8	40	1	5	1	5	15	75
Diagnostic category of migraine												
	Classical				Common				Hemiplegic			
(No.) (%)	11	55			8	40			1	5		
Duration of illness (yr)												
	1-10		11-20		21-30		31-40		41-50			
(No.) (%)	5	25	5	25	6	30	2	10	2	10		

TABLE 5
SIDE-EFFECTS

Principal symptom or sign	In subjects taking:	
	Amitriptyline	Placebo
Dry mouth*	2	1
Drowsiness*	5	3
Constipation	1	—
Weight gain	1	2
Nausea	2	2
Increased headaches†	1	3
Depression	1	1
Hypertension	1	1
Disturbing dreams	1	—
Dizziness	1	—
No side-effects	4	7
Total	20	20

* Five subjects on amitriptyline and one subject on placebo also complained of dry mouth and nausea in addition to the principal side-effect.

† One patient withdrawn from trial because of increased headaches on placebo.

TABLE 6
DOSAGE ESTABLISHED DURING LAST 23 WEEKS
OF TREATMENT

		Amitriptyline (mg)			
10 (no.)	20 (no.)	30 (no.)	40 (no.)	50 (no.)	60 (no.)
2	3	7	1	2	5
Placebo (tabs.)					
—	2	3	4	5	6
1	—	1	3	—	15

Average dose of amitriptyline 30–40 mg.

TABLE 7
EFFECT OF AMITRIPTYLINE ON FREQUENCY OF ATTACKS

Effect on attacks of individual subjects						
Increase (no.) (%)	% Reduction					Total (no.) (%)
	0–29% (no.) (%)	30–49% (no.) (%)	50–69% (no.) (%)	70–100% (no.) (%)		
4 20	3 15	4 20	4 20	5 25		20 100
Effect on total attacks (no.)						
Amitriptyline		Placebo		Total		
207		356		563		

± Reduction on amitriptyline = 42% ($P < 0.001$).
The significance of the differences was not altered when two patients with more than 80 attacks over the period of the trial were excluded.

taking amitriptyline than when taking placebo ($P < 0.01$). The number of attacks was reduced by more than 50% in about half of the subjects, and by more than 70% in a quarter of them. Four patients had increased frequency of attacks varying from 5 to 47%.

Total attacks were reduced from 356 on placebo to 207 on amitriptyline, a reduction of 42% ($P < 0.001$) (Table 7).

Attacks were classified as having no warning, a warning of less than six hours, and a warning of more than six hours. The proportion of attacks in each of these categories was not significantly changed by amitriptyline therapy. There was, however, a difference of the effect of amitriptyline, which caused a significant decrease for attacks with no warning or a short warning, and no significant improvement for attacks with a warning of longer than six hours (Table 8).

TABLE 8
EFFECT ON ATTACKS WITH DIFFERENT DURATION OF
WARNING

Warning (hr.)	Placebo	Amitriptyline	Total	% Improve- ment ±	Signifi- cance
None	104	63	167	39	$P < 0.01$
< 6	226	119	345	47	$P < 0.001$
> 6	26	25	51	4	NS
Total	356	207	563		

Attacks with a duration of less than 24 hours showed a significant decrease with amitriptyline, though this was not better than the average effect of the drug on all attacks. The drug had no significant effect, however, on attacks of longer duration (Table 9).

Both with placebo and amitriptyline, attacks were most frequent in the early morning, declining progressively towards the evening. The drug produced the greatest percentage reduction for those attacks which occurred in the late evening (Table 10).

Where the severity of the attacks was concerned, there was a significant decrease in attacks for each degree of severity, but the effect was not different from the average effect of the drug for

TABLE 9
EFFECT ON ATTACKS OF DIFFERENT DURATION

Duration (hr)	Amitriptyline	Placebo	
<24	167	309	P < 0.001
>24	40	47	NS
Total	207	356	

TABLE 10
EFFECT ON ATTACKS OCCURRING AT DIFFERENT TIMES OF DAY

Time (hr)	Placebo	Amitriptyline	% Improvement	Significance
00.01-08.00	153	87	43	P < 0.001
08.01-12.00	83	50	40	P < 0.05
12.01-18.00	62	40	35	P < 0.05
18.01-24.00	58	30	48	P < 0.01
Total	356	207		

TABLE 11
EFFECT OF AMITRIPTYLINE ON ATTACKS OF DIFFERENT SEVERITY

Degree	Placebo	Amitriptyline	Total	% Improvement	Significance
Mild	105	58	163	45	P < 0.001
Moderate	133	83	216	38	P < 0.001
Severe	118	66	184	44	P < 0.001
Total	356	207	563	42	P < 0.001

all attacks. Amitriptyline thus reduced the number of attacks irrespective of degree (Table 11).

Classifying attacks according to the three reported associated features of depression, nausea, and photophobia, the drug was found to produce a smaller proportion of attacks with associated depression than did the placebo. The decrease was not, however, quite significant at the 5% level (Table 12). The drug did not have any selective effect on attacks associated with nausea and photophobia.

Subjects were asked to try to identify any precipitating cause of each attack. The reported

TABLE 12
EFFECT OF AMITRIPTYLINE ON ASSOCIATED FEATURES OF ATTACKS

Attacks	Placebo	Amitriptyline	Total	% Change with amitriptyline	Significance
Associated with depression	91	39	130	57	P < 0.001
Associated with no depression	265	168	433	37	P < 0.001
% with depression	26	19		27	P < 0.10 NS (decrease)
Associated with nausea	167	108	275	35	P < 0.001
Associated with no nausea	189	99	288	48	P < 0.001
% with nausea	47	52		9	NS (increase)
Associated with photophobia	201	114	315	43	P < 0.001
Associated with no photophobia	155	93	248	40	P < 0.001
% with photophobia	56	55		2	NS (decrease)

causes were classified into six categories: no specific cause, weather, psychological stress, food or drink, menstruation, and fatigue.

Amitriptyline had its greatest effect on attacks with no specific cause, the reduction being two-fold. For attacks recognized as due to other causes, the reduction with amitriptyline was not significant and was least for those attacks attributed to fatigue (Table 13).

When attacks during the period on placebo were compared with those during the control period of equal duration, a slight increase of attacks by 11 subjects when on placebo was shown, which did not reach a significant level.

TABLE 13
EFFECT ON ATTACKS WITH DIFFERENT CAUSE

Cause	Placebo	Amitriptyline	Significance
No specific cause	218	104	P < 0.01
Weather	14	6	NS
Psychological stress	36	24	NS
Food or drink	8	6	NS
Menstrual period	19	14	NS
Fatigue	61	53	NS
Total	356	207	

There was, however, a reduction of duration, there being a significantly greater percentage of attacks recorded of shorter duration during the placebo period. There was a similar reduction in severity of attacks, in that when treated with placebo subjects experienced a lower percentage of severe attacks and a correspondingly higher percentage of mild or moderate attacks but the difference was significant only at the 10% level. The placebo significantly reduced attacks due to psychological stress, though attacks with no specific cause were significantly increased, as were those occurring in the early morning. There were no significant differences for other classifications (Table 14).

TABLE 14
EFFECT OF PLACEBO ON ATTACKS WHEN COMPARED
WITH ATTACKS DURING CONTROL PERIOD

	Placebo	Control	Total	Significance*
Total attacks	356	316	672	NS
Attacks < 24 hr duration	309	253	562	P < 0.05†
Attacks > 24 hr duration	47	63	110	
Mild or moderate	238	191	429	P < 0.10†
Severe	118	125	243	
Attacks with no specific cause	218	169	387	P < 0.05
Attacks due to psychological stress	36	66	102	P < 0.01
Attacks occurring between hr				
00.01-08.00	153	122	275	P = 0.05
08.01-12.00	83	106	189	P < 0.10
12.01-18.00	62	48	110	NS
18.01-24.00	58	40	98	P < 0.10

* Tested for difference from chance.

† Tested for difference of percentages.

DISCUSSION

Results indicate a prophylactic effect in reducing the frequency of migraine, comparable with that shown in more recently introduced prophylactic agents. Sjaastad and Stensrud (1971) in a double-blind study of Catapresan (ST155 clonidine) showed that 62% of patients improved with the drug, 38% having a 50% reduction or more. Wilkinson (1969) in a preliminary trial of this drug showed a 66% improvement, and Shafar, Tallett, and Knowlson (1972) have more recently shown a reduction in mean frequency of attacks by about one-third at the end of a 12 month

follow-up period. Lance, Anthony, and Somerville (1970) found that Pizotifen (BC 105) produced improvement in 50% of subjects. Arthur and Hornabrook (1971) found that this drug gave a 50% reduction in headaches in 40% of their subjects. Dalsgaard-Nielsen (1968) showed improvement in 66% of cases taking Antaminic Substance (B.P. 400 Sandoz) with 36% considerably improved.

The method of selecting subjects gave a population well motivated to persist with regular therapy and which suffered slightly less severe migraine than the subjects chosen for some of the above trials. Although the subjects may have had greater psychological problems—and therefore be expected to respond to amitriptyline—there was no clinical evidence of depression, and all the patients continued in their normal occupations throughout the trial.

The method of recording attacks is suitable only for those who are intelligent and well motivated but has advantages over subjective estimates of improvement. Two of the subjects who had more attacks on amitriptyline reported that they felt considerably better, which was later not confirmed on their charts. The method of recording symptoms also allows elimination of headaches which are not migraine attacks, which was noted as a problem by Weissman (1971). However, it was necessary to disregard only three headaches of a non-migrainous nature in this study.

Plasma levels of tricyclic drugs have been shown to vary considerably in subjects taking the same dose (Braithwaite, Goulding, Theano, Bailey, and Coppen, 1972). There is also a considerable positive correlation between the plasma level and subjective side-effects (Åsberg, Cronholm, Sjöqvist, and Tuck, 1970). In this present study, variation of dosage was allowed in each subject. The dose finally selected was one which produced no appreciable side-effects. It is very likely that greater improvement in migraine would have resulted if a higher dose had been encouraged in the face of initial side-effects, which have been shown to diminish after a few weeks of therapy. It may be that a reason for this drug not previously finding favour as a migraine prophylactic is that migraine sufferers are particularly subject to unpleasant side-effects if given the usual recommended starting dose of

75 mg daily. The drug appeared to have its maximum effect after several weeks of therapy, indicating the need for the relatively long period on each preparation. In most cases, in the present trial, this could have been due to modifications in dosage occurring at the start of treatment.

No explanation is evident for the selective effect of the drug on attacks of shorter warning and shorter duration. Further research on the action of prophylactic drugs on particular types of attack would be of interest. It is possible that the greater reduction of morning and evening attacks could be related to serum levels of the drug, the maximum dose of which was taken by most patients in the evening. The lack of a selective effect on either mild or severe attacks is in contrast with the findings of Arthur and Hornabrook (1971) with Pizotifen, which exerted its effect particularly on the more severe attacks.

There is a clear distinction between the marked effect of the drug on attacks not due to recognizable cause and those where the cause could be more clearly defined, particularly those associated with fatigue. Migraine is postulated to be a reaction to a variety of causal agents and it is possible that the drug had a mitigating effect on attacks by causal agents which may provoke a less vigorous response. One patient who was completely headache free on the drug reported having slight prodromal symptoms in response to his usual, and for him possibly the most potent, provoking stimuli.

A number of possibilities have been put forward as to the mode of action of prophylactic agents in migraine. Plasma serotonin level has been found to drop sharply at the onset of migraine headache and remain at a low level throughout the attack (Curran, Hinterberger, and Lance, 1965). Serotonin was shown to constrict scalp arteries (Lance, Anthony and Gonski, 1967), and it was postulated that methysergide may act in migrainous subjects by maintaining extracranial vasoconstriction on occasions when plasma serotonin falls (Lance, Anthony, and Somerville, 1970). Tricyclic antidepressants have been shown to increase serotonin levels in the rat brain but only in high dosage (Kivalo, Rinne, and Karinkanta, 1961). It is possible, however, to postulate firstly that the tricyclic drug blocks the uptake of serotonin into various tissues, especi-

ally the mast cells, and thereby increases circulating levels.

Other observations, however, suggest that these drugs inhibit the re-uptake from the extracellular space into the nerve ending of constrictor substances such as noradrenaline, which are released as the transmitter substance on nerve stimulation (Glowinski, Axelrod, and Iversen, 1966). A similar effect was shown to take place in peripheral tissues as well as in the brain (Carlsson and Waldeck, 1965). The maintenance of higher levels of such vasoconstrictor substances is another possible mode of action.

Thirdly, amitriptyline may potentiate noradrenergic sympathetic vasoconstriction giving less vasodilation during the migraine attack. Recent work such as that of Alexander and Niño (1969) and Coull, Crooks, Dingwall-Fordyce, Scott, and Weir (1970) on the cardiovascular complications of long-term therapy with psychotropic drugs must be borne in mind.

The only hint of such a problem during the trial were two subjects who suffered from raised blood pressure. The first was a man aged 50 years who had an initially raised blood pressure of 160/105 mm Hg. He had been on amitriptyline therapy for 24 weeks when he complained of dizziness. His blood pressure was found to be 210/110 mm Hg but it was agreed that he should complete the trial. The other subject was a 44 year old lady who had no initial hypertension but complained of depression and increased headaches a week after the change from amitriptyline to placebo. Her blood pressure was found to be 150/100 mm Hg and again it was agreed that the trial should continue. The role of amitriptyline in the occurrence of the hypertension is uncertain. In both cases the blood pressure reverted to initial levels with conservative measures though in neither case was amitriptyline continued beyond the time necessary for completion of the trial. In all other cases there was no change in blood pressure.

The range of prophylactic agents used in migraine is increasing. Amitriptyline as a well-known drug for the treatment of depression may, in lower dosage, be useful for migraine sufferers who respond unsatisfactorily to other preparations. Decisions about therapy in a particular patient must obviously take into consideration the severity of the migraine, response to other

drugs, and the unknown long-term effects of newer prophylactic agents, many of which are related in structure to the tricyclic antidepressants.

We wish to thank Dr. Gordon Hems for his assistance and Drs. J. F. F. Rooney and A. M. C. Duffus of the Clinical Research Department, Roche Products Ltd., for advice and supplying the amitriptyline (Laroxyl) and placebo. We acknowledge with gratitude the persistence of those who recorded attacks during the 18 month trial, and thank Miss Kathleen Morrison and Miss Helen Ramsay for preparing and typing the script.

REFERENCES

- Ad Hoc Committee on Classification of Headache (1962). *Journal of the American Medical Association*, **179**, 717–718.
- Alexander, C. S., and Niño, A. (1969). Cardiovascular complications in young patients taking psychotropic drugs. A preliminary report. *American Heart Journal*, **78**, 757–769.
- Åsberg, M., Cronholm, B., Sjöqvist, F., and Tuck, D. (1970). Correlation of subjective side effects with plasma concentrations of nortriptyline. *British Medical Journal*, **4**, 18–21.
- Arthur, G. P., and Hornabrook, R. W. (1971). The treatment of migraine with BC 105 (Pizotifen): a double blind trial. *New Zealand Medical Journal*, **73**, 5–9.
- Braithwaite, R. A., Goulding, R., Theano, G., Bailey, J., and Coppen, A. (1972). Plasma concentration of amitriptyline and clinical response. *Lancet*, **1**, 1297–1300.
- Carlsson, A., and Waldeck, B. (1965). Rapid release of ³H-metaraminol induced by combined treatment with protriptyline and reserpine. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, **17**, 327–328.
- Coull, D. C., Crooks, J., Dingwall-Fordyce, I., Scott, A. M., and Weir, R. D. (1970). Amitriptyline and cardiac disease. *Lancet*, **2**, 590–591.
- Curran, D. A., Hinterberger, H., and Lance, J. W. (1967). Methysergide. In *Research and Clinical Studies in Headache*, Vol. 1, pp. 74–122. Edited by A. P. Friedman. Karger: Basel.
- Dalsgaard-Nielson, T. (1968). Therapeutic results of prophylactic treatment for 'classic' migraine with antaminic substance (BP 400 Sandoz). *Headache*, **8**, 6–15.
- Friedman, A. P. (1968). The migraine syndrome. *Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, **44**, 45–62.
- Glowinski, J., Axelrod, J., and Iversen, L. L. (1966). Regional studies of catecholamines in the rat brain. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, **153**, 30–41.
- Kivalo, E., Rinne, U. K., and Karinkanta, H. (1961). The effect of imipramine on the 5-hydroxytryptamine content and monoamine oxidase activity of the rat brain and on the excretion of 5-hydroxyindole acetic acid. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, **8**, 105–108.
- Lance, J. W., Anthony, M., and Gonski, A. (1967). Serotonin, the carotid body, and cranial vessels in migraine. *Archives of Neurology*, **16**, 553–558.
- Lance, J. W., Anthony, M., and Somerville, B. (1970). Comparative trial of serotonin antagonists in the management of migraine. *British Medical Journal*, **2**, 327–330.
- Lance, J. W., and Curran, D. A. (1964). Treatment of chronic tension headache. *Lancet*, **1**, 1236–1239.
- Mahloudji, M. (1969). Prevention of migraine. *British Medical Journal*, **1**, 182–183.
- Shafar, J., Tallett, E. R., and Knowlson, P. A. (1972). Evaluation of clonidine in prophylaxis of migraine. *Lancet*, **1**, 403–407.
- Sjaastad, O., and Stensrud, P. (1971). 2-(2, 6-Dichlorophenylamino)-2-imidazoline hydrochloride (ST 155 or Catapresan) as a prophylactic remedy against migraine. *Acta Neurologica Scandinavica*, **47**, 120–122.
- Weissman, L. (1971). Experimental design in headache treatment. *Headache*, **10**, 166–171.
- Wilkinson, M. (1969). Clonidine for migraine. *Lancet*, **2**, 430.