Antiepileptic drugs in psychiatry

F Ovsiew

The potential risks of AEDs widely used in psychiatric patients are not fully understood

Antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) have been widely used by psychiatrists to treat disorders other than epilepsy for two decades. Here I survey those uses and selectively review the side effects of AEDs in the psychiatric context.

AEDs are now so widely used for non-epileptic indications that the appellation "anti-epileptic drug" may verge on mislabelling (to paraphrase David Healy's comment about serotonin-reuptake inhibitors and "antidepressants"). Nonetheless, no better designation is available, and I refer to these drugs as AEDs. Oddly, the newer AEDs may prove to be more useful in psychiatric illness than in epilepsy, where their greater efficacy than the older agents has not yet been conclusively demonstrated.¹

An assumption that the mechanisms of action of AEDs are the same in epilepsy and in psychiatric disorders would go beyond the evidence. Activity against kindling has been proposed to explain the psychotropic effect,² but this seems to account for neither the spectrum of psychiatric benefits nor the range of beneficial drugs. A division of psychotropic effects into "sedating" (mediated by GABAergic action) and "activating" (mediated by antiserotonergic action)³ likewise may fail to capture the diversity of psychotropic "principles" by which the diverse category of AEDs exerts psychotropic effects.⁴

Although I review scientific data regarding the use of AEDs in psychiatry, a non-scientific factor, of uncertain power, may partly account for the rise in prescription of AEDs. The interest of pharmaceutical firms in expanding the use of their products and their willingness, at least in some instances, to use improper means have recently been highlighted by the guilty plea of a major company in a case involving marketing an AED for off-label indications.⁵ Since the scientific evidence in the realm reviewed is far from complete, the clinician needs to be alert for non-scientific influences on practice.

AEDS IN MOOD AND ANXIETY DISORDERS

Lithium remains the agent for bipolar mood disorder with the best documentation of efficacy across the phases of the disorder, depressed and manic, acute and maintenance.⁶ However, AEDs are now commonly used in the treatment of bipolar mood disorder. Limited data suggest that over the course of the 1990s the use of AEDs approximately doubled, while the use of lithium fell below that of AEDs.⁷ At least three findings account for this development. First, certain syndromes of bipolar mood disorder respond poorly to lithium. Patients responding poorly to lithium include those with mixed bipolar states (states that include a mixture of manic and depressive features, often presenting in atypical fashion, for example as characterological irritability) and patients with rapid cycling (defined as having more than four episodes of mood disorder in a year). Second, bipolar mood disorder may be much more common than assumed: though conventional epidemiology has it that unipolar major depression is several times more common than bipolar mood disorder, recent studies suggest that half of patients presenting with major depression may have bipolarity.⁸ Third, patients with bipolar disorder often suffer from sub-syndromal depressive symptoms during much of their lives, but the use of antidepressants in these patients risks evoking mania or exacerbating cycling.⁹

Thus agents with efficacy for bipolar depressive or mixed states or for lithium refractory patients are particularly welcome, and considerable high quality evidence—and much more anecdotal or uncontrolled evidence—supports the use of AEDs for these indications. Reports of psychotropic effects of valproic acid (an amide derivative of valproic acid available in Europe but not in the United States) and carbamazepine emerged soon after their introduction.⁴ Divalproex (an enteric-coated formulation of valproic acid and sodium valproate, the most widely used preparation of

valproic acid in the United States because it has fewer gastrointestinal side effects than valproic acid) and carbamazepine have now been shown to be effective in the treatment of acute mania, with a spectrum of action that includes the "non-classical" manic states of dysphoric mania and rapid cycling.^{3, 10} Efficacy for prophylaxis is less securely supported by clinical trial evidence, although many clinicians employ these agents as well as the newer AEDs in this fashion. Evidence for antidepressant efficacy is modest. Oxcarbazepine appears, on limited evidence, to have similar efficacy to carbamazepine but better tolerability.^{11, 12}

Of the newer AEDs, lamotrigine has occasioned particular interest. Its efficacy for bipolar depression was foreshadowed by reports of efficacy against depressive symptoms in epileptic patients.¹³ Evidence of its acute and prophylactic effects against bipolar depression is now available, with efficacy against manic relapse in maintenance treatment also finding support.¹⁴ Whether it is effective as acute treatment for mania is a less pressing clinical matter, because the required slow titration precludes reliance on its use in many such circumstances. Topiramate is less well studied, but open trials indicate good tolerability as well as efficacy for non-classical mania.¹⁵ Levetiracetam is of considerable interest for bipolar disorder, with reports of benefit for mania, depression, and rapid cycling, but adequate data are not available.^{16, 17} Limited uncontrolled data suggest utility of zonisamide and tiagabine for bipolar disorder.^{18, 19} On present evidence, gabapentin does not appear effective for bipolar disorder.^{20, 21}

However, gabapentin—an attractive compound because of a favourable side effect profile and a lack of drug interactions—appears on limited evidence to be of considerable use in anxiety states, including social phobia, post-traumatic stress disorder, panic disorder, and opiate withdrawal.^{22–24} The role of other AEDs in the treatment of anxiety is less well studied.

Fortunately, electroconvulsive therapy can safely be undertaken in patients treated with AEDs (and indeed in patients with epilepsy).^{25, 26} Often it will be necessary to reduce the dose or hold a dose prior to the treatment so as not to elevate the seizure threshold, especially later in a course of ECT when the seizure threshold has risen.

AEDS IN OTHER MENTAL DISORDERS

The potential use of AEDs in schizophrenia, the subject of many open trials, was highlighted by a blinded, placebo

controlled study in which lamotrigine was shown to be effective (in combination with clozapine) in treatment refractory schizophrenic patients.^{27 28} The anti-glutamatergic action of lamotrigine is of particular interest because of a current focus on glutamatergic mechanisms in schizophrenia; lamotrigine via inhibition of glutamate release may be synergistic with clozapine, which is a glutamate antagonist.

The overlap of mood disorder, especially sub-syndromal bipolar mood disorder with rapid cycling and dysphoria or irritability, and borderline personality disorder (BPD) is a matter of active investigation.²⁹ The problem of BPD is a major one, because of the morbidity associated with the disorder—a 10% lifetime suicide risk is estimated—and because of the patients' intense utilisation of mental health resources. An empirically validated pharmacological approach to these patients is desirable; study data and clinical experience show roles for antipsychotics and antidepressants. Carbamazepine reduced impulsivity in early trials.³⁰ In recent studies, divalproex and lamotrigine showed benefit for aggression, mood stability, and impulsivity even in the absence of a diagnosable mood disorder.^{31 32}

AEDS FOR AGITATION AND IN THOSE WITH LEARNING DISABILITY

AEDs have come to be widely used for agitation and aggression in the demented elderly and in children and adults with learning disability. A neuroprotective role for divalproex has even been suggested.³³ In general, the data supporting the practice of treating agitation with AEDs are not of high quality; a recent Cochrane review found major methodological flaws in existing studies and could not support the use of divalproex in dementia.³⁴ Further, clinicians need to be aware of the special risks of adverse behavioural effects of AEDs in the developmental disability population.³⁵ Nonetheless, open trial data and clinical anecdotes continue to encourage clinicians to use AEDs as less toxic alternatives to antipsychotics in these populations.^{33 36}

AEDS AND WEIGHT

Weight gain produced by psychiatric drugs and the related "metabolic syndrome" of insulin resistance, dyslipidaemia, abdominal obesity, and elevated blood pressure emerged as a focus of psychiatric concern with the advent of the newer antipsychotic agents. However, weight gain is an important consideration with antidepressants, lithium, carbamazepine, and divalproex.³⁷ The potential of divalproex to

cause fatty liver (by virtue of its association with the metabolic syndrome, not by idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity) is an additional concern.³⁸ Perhaps this latter risk extends to other agents that promote weight gain. The advent of AEDs that are weight neutral, such as lamotrigine and levetiracetam, or produce weight loss, such as topiramate and zonisamide, is thus an important development.³⁹ Topiramate may find a specific use in the treatment of bulimia.^{40 41} Zonisamide has been the subject of an impressively favourable trial in which it was prescribed solely for weight loss.⁴² Especially in psychiatric patients who are on other psychotropic drugs that promote weight gain, these considerations are of substantial importance to patients' general health and to their compliance with psychiatric regimens.

AEDS AND HORMONAL SIDE EFFECTS

Reproductive endocrine disorders are common in epileptic women, but the role of AEDs in the dysfunction remains controversial.^{43 44} Evidence from several centres demonstrates that valproate produces elevated androgen levels,^{45–48} although the elevations may not correlate with symptomatic measures of androgen excess (the polycystic ovary syndrome).^{45 47} This effect appears not to depend solely on valproate induced weight gain. Of particular interest is whether women with epilepsy and women with bipolar mood disorder are equally affected when valproate is administered. In one of the few direct tests of this question, valproate produced elevated androgens (compared with lithium treated bipolar patients) in both epileptic and bipolar women, but produced more clinical symptoms of hyperandrogenism in the epileptics than in the bipolars.⁴⁹ A differential effect is biologically plausible because of the known effects of epilepsy itself on reproductive endocrine function, so research on epileptic patients cannot easily be extrapolated to psychiatric populations. In view of the wide use of valproate in women with psychiatric disorders—not to mention female adolescents and children—such focused research is urgently required.

Reproductive endocrine disorders associated with AEDs are not confined to women. In epileptic men, AEDs including carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, and valproate were found to produce alterations of sex hormones and of sperm morphology and function.^{50 51} This issue is entirely unstudied in male patients with psychiatric disorders.

COGNITIVE AND BEHAVIOURAL SIDE EFFECTS OF AEDS

The literature on the cognitive, emotional, and behavioural impact of AEDs on epileptic patients has been held up as a warning to psychiatrists about the potential for adverse effects in psychiatric patients.⁵² This potential was recognised early in the psychiatric literature when carbamazepine used in borderline personality disorder was reported to cause depression.⁵³ Such effects might be particularly likely because of vulnerability indicated by psychiatric history,^{54–56} and might be hard to recognise because of concurrent primary psychiatric symptoms.

However, adverse behavioural effects in epileptic patients might be a consequence of interaction between the epileptic brain and the AED, so such effects might be less likely in psychiatric populations. As an example, topiramate has salient adverse cognitive effects in epileptics, including executive cognitive dysfunction and a distinctive anomia, but these effects do not seem to be as prominently mentioned in the limited literature on the psychiatric use of topiramate.^{15 57 58} This might be because of their subtle nature, or it might be because of psychiatrists' inadequate sensitivity. On the other hand, since the occurrence of the anomia appears to be related to a left temporal seizure focus and that of depression and adverse cognitive effects to the presence of hippocampal sclerosis,^{56 59} and the occurrence of adverse behavioural effects may be critically related to abolition of seizures,⁶⁰ such phenomena may simply be less common in patients without organic disease.⁶¹ While certainly the warning provided by the epilepsy literature must be taken seriously in psychiatry, only systematic observations of AED use in psychiatric patients will resolve the issue.

Similarly, reports of parkinsonism and cognitive impairment due to valproic acid, which have repeatedly appeared in the neurological literature, are almost completely absent from the psychiatric literature.^{62–64} Either psychiatrists have been oblivious to this important phenomenon, or the drug has different effects in epileptics and patients with mood disorder.

AEDS AND BONE LOSS

AEDs cause bone loss and an increased risk of fracture by multiple mechanisms, notably by reducing serum vitamin D and increasing bone turnover. Enzyme inducers and enzyme inhibitors share this side effect, but evidence on its occurrence with the newer AEDs is limited. For example, lamotrigine may not produce bone loss.⁶⁵ The compelling

evidence of risk has led experts to propose that epileptic patients on AEDs should be screened at least with a baseline bone density scan and annual measurements of serum vitamin D, calcium, and alkaline phosphatase and should be treated with supplemental calcium and vitamin D.⁶⁵⁻⁶⁶ The elevated risk of fractures is not related solely to trauma during convulsions; the risk of fracture not related to a seizure is also elevated several-fold in patients on AEDs. Thus the risk could reasonably be expected to be present in patients treated with the same agents for psychiatric indications, especially in the elderly or developmentally disabled patient with limited mobility and exposure to sunlight. However, to my knowledge evidence on this point is not available. The issue is virtually unmentioned in the psychiatric literature, and recommendations for screening and prophylaxis for bone loss in psychiatric patients have not been provided.⁶⁷

CO-MORBIDITY OF EPILEPSY AND PSYCHIATRIC ILLNESS

A crucial aspect of the use of AEDs in psychiatric patients is their use when the psychiatric patient also has epilepsy. The prevalence of psychiatric illness in epilepsy is high, particularly depression, which is under-recognised but has a substantial impact on quality of life.⁶⁸⁻⁶⁹ As a first step in assessing such situations, consideration of adverse effects of the AEDs being used to treat epilepsy is appropriate.³² This caution applies particularly to the learning disability population, in which such side effects may be both more common and more difficult to recognise.³⁵ The potential psychotropic effects of AEDs can, in part, guide the choice of agent for epilepsy; for example, the benefit of lamotrigine for depression has already been mentioned.¹³

When other psychotropic drugs are to be used in patients with epilepsy, consideration of drug interactions is necessary and complex. Certain antidepressants produce marked changes in AED levels, while others (such as citalopram, escitalopram, and sertraline) do not. De Leon⁷⁰ recently provided a useful summary of the literature on dosage adjustment of antipsychotic drugs necessitated by concurrent administration of AEDs.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This review has surveyed the utility and selected aspects of the adverse effects of AEDs for psychiatric indications. Without doubt these agents are a welcome advance. That their use has, in some respects, gone beyond the systematic evidence for efficacy testifies to the

difficulty and urgency of providing effective treatment to many psychiatric patients. (The use of AEDs in epilepsy routinely goes beyond the evidence, when drugs approved on the basis of add on trials are used as first line treatment or monotherapy.) That the potential risks of AEDs in psychiatric patients are not fully understood signals a need for research and for caution on the part of clinicians, for caution does not have to mean undertreatment.

J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry
2004;**75**:1655-1658.
doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2004.036863

Correspondence to: F Ovsiew, Department of Psychiatry MC 3077, University of Chicago Hospitals, 5841 S. Maryland, Chicago, IL 60637, USA; f-ovsiew@uchicago.edu

REFERENCES

- Perucca E. NICE guidance on newer drugs for epilepsy in adults. *BMJ* 2004;**328**(7451):1273-4.
- Post RM. Do the epilepsies, pain syndromes, and affective disorders share common kindling-like mechanisms? *Epilepsy Res* 2002;**50**(1-2):203-19.
- Ketter TA, Wang PW, Becker OV, et al. The diverse roles of anticonvulsants in bipolar disorders. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* 2003;**15**(2):95-108.
- Harris M, Chandran S, Chakraborty N, et al. Mood-stabilizers: the archaeology of the concept. *Bipolar Disord* 2003;**5**(6):446-52.
- Lenzer J. Pfizer pleads guilty, but drug sales continue to soar. *BMJ* 2004;**328**(7450):1217.
- Bauer MS, Mitchner L. What is a "mood stabilizer"? An evidence-based response. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004;**161**(1):3-18.
- Blanco C, Laje G, Olsson M, et al. Trends in the treatment of bipolar disorder by outpatient psychiatrists. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002;**159**(6):1005-10.
- Angst J, Gamma A, Benazzi F, et al. Toward a re-definition of subthreshold bipolarity: epidemiology and proposed criteria for bipolar-II, minor bipolar disorders and hypomania. *J Affect Disord* 2003;**73**(1-2):133-46.
- Judd LL, Schettler PJ, Akiskal HS, et al. Long-term symptomatic status of bipolar I vs. bipolar II disorders. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2003;**6**(2):127-37.
- Muzina DJ, El-Sayegh S, Calabrese JR. Antiepileptic drugs in psychiatry—focus on randomized controlled trial. *Epilepsy Res* 2002;**50**(1-2):195-202.
- Benedetti A, Lattanzi L, Pini S, et al. Oxcarbazepine as add-on treatment in patients with bipolar manic, mixed or depressive episode. *J Affect Disord* 2004;**79**(1-3):273-7.
- Centorrino F, Albert MJ, Berry JM, et al. Oxcarbazepine: clinical experience with hospitalized psychiatric patients. *Bipolar Disord* 2003;**5**(5):370-4.
- Edwards KR, Sackellares JC, Vuong A, et al. Lamotrigine monotherapy improves depressive symptoms in epilepsy: a double-blind comparison with valproate. *Epilepsy Behav* 2001;**2**(1):28-36.
- Goodwin GM, Bowden CL, Calabrese JR, et al. A pooled analysis of 2 placebo-controlled 18-month trials of lamotrigine and lithium maintenance in bipolar I disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2004;**65**(3):432-41.
- Suppes T. Review of the use of topiramate for treatment of bipolar disorders. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2002;**22**(6):599-609.
- Bräunig P, Kruger S. Levetiracetam in the treatment of rapid cycling bipolar disorder. *J Psychopharmacol* 2003;**17**(2):239-41.
- Grunze H, Langosch J, Born C, et al. Levetiracetam in the treatment of acute mania: an open add-on study with an on-off-on design. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2003;**64**(7):781-4.
- Kanba S, Yagi G, Kamijima K, et al. The first open study of zonisamide, a novel anticonvulsant, shows efficacy in mania. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 1994;**18**(4):707-15.
- Schaffer LC, Schaffer CB, Howe J. An open case series on the utility of tiagabine as an augmentation in refractory bipolar outpatients. *J Affect Disord* 2002;**71**(1-3):259-63.
- Pande AC, Crockatt JG, Janney CA, et al. Gabapentin in bipolar disorder: a placebo-controlled trial of adjunctive therapy. *Gabapentin Bipolar Disorder Study Group. Bipolar Disord* 2000;**2**(3 Pt 2):249-55.
- Frye MA, Ketter TA, Kimbrell TA, et al. A placebo-controlled study of lamotrigine and gabapentin monotherapy in refractory mood disorders. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2000;**20**(6):607-14.
- Martinez-Raga J, Sabater A, Perez-Galvez B, et al. Add-on gabapentin in the treatment of opiate withdrawal. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2004;**28**(3):599-601.
- Hamner MB, Brodrick PS, Labbate LA. Gabapentin in PTSD: a retrospective, clinical series of adjunctive therapy. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* 2001;**13**(3):141-6.
- Pande AC, Pollack MH, Crockatt J, et al. Placebo-controlled study of gabapentin treatment of panic disorder. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2000;**20**(4):467-71.
- Harden CL, Goldstein MA. Mood disorders in patients with epilepsy: epidemiology and management. *CNS Drugs* 2002;**16**(5):291-302.
- Zarate CA Jr, Tohen M, Barabgar G. Combined valproate or carbamazepine and electroconvulsive therapy. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* 1997;**9**(1):19-25.
- Tiihonen J, Hallikainen T, Ryyanen OP, et al. Lamotrigine in treatment-resistant schizophrenia: a randomized placebo-controlled crossover trial. *Biol Psychiatry* 2003;**54**(11):1241-8.
- Hosák L, Libiger J. Antiepileptic drugs in schizophrenia: a review. *Eur Psychiatry* 2002;**17**(7):371-8.
- Perugi G, Toni C, Traverso MC, et al. The role of cyclothymia in atypical depression: toward a data-based reconceptualization of the borderline-bipolar II connection. *J Affect Disord* 2003;**73**(1-2):87-98.
- Gardner DL, Cowdry RW. Positive effects of carbamazepine on behavioral dyscontrol in borderline personality disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 1986;**143**(4):519-22.
- Pinto OC, Akiskal HS. Lamotrigine as a promising approach to borderline personality: an open case series without concurrent DSM-IV major mood disorder. *J Affect Disord* 1998;**51**(3):333-43.
- Hollander E, Tracy KA, Swann AC, et al. Divalproex in the treatment of impulsive aggression: efficacy in cluster B personality disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2003;**28**(6):1186-97.
- Tariot PN, Loy R, Ryan JM, et al. Mood stabilizers in Alzheimer's disease: symptomatic and neuroprotective rationales. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2002;**54**(12):1567-77.
- Loneragan E, Cameron M, Luxenberg J. Valproic acid for agitation in dementia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004;(2):CD003945.
- Kanner AM. Psychiatric comorbidity in patients with developmental disorders and epilepsy: a practical approach to its diagnosis and treatment. *Epilepsy Behav* 2002;**3**(6S1):7-13.
- Moretti R, Torre P, Antonello RM, et al. Gabapentin for the treatment of behavioural alterations in dementia: preliminary 15-month investigation. *Drugs Aging* 2003;**20**(14):1035-40.
- Zimmermann U, Kraus T, Himmerich H, et al. Epidemiology, implications and mechanisms underlying drug-induced weight gain in psychiatric patients. *J Psychiatr Res* 2003;**37**(3):193-220.
- Luef GJ, Waldmann M, Sturm W, et al. Valproate therapy and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Ann Neurol* 2004;**55**(5):729-32.
- Biton V. Effect of antiepileptic drugs on bodyweight: overview and clinical implications for the treatment of epilepsy. *CNS Drugs* 2003;**17**(11):781-91.

- 40 Hedges DW, Reimherr FW, Hoopes SP, et al. Treatment of bulimia nervosa with topiramate in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, part 2: improvement in psychiatric measures. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2003;**64**(12):1449–54.
- 41 Hoopes SP, Reimherr FW, Hedges DW, et al. Treatment of bulimia nervosa with topiramate in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial, part 1: improvement in binge and purge measures. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2003;**64**(11):1335–41.
- 42 Gadde KM, Francis DM, Wagner HR 2nd, et al. Zonisamide for weight loss in obese adults: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2003;**289**(14):1820–5.
- 43 Rasgon N. The relationship between polycystic ovary syndrome and antiepileptic drugs: a review of the evidence. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2004;**24**(3):322–34.
- 44 Harden CL. What valproate does and doesn't do. *Epilepsy Currents* 2004;**4**(2):59–60.
- 45 Stephen LJ, Kwan P, Shapiro D, et al. Hormone profiles in young adults with epilepsy treated with sodium valproate or lamotrigine monotherapy. *Epilepsia* 2001;**42**(8):1002–6.
- 46 McIntyre RS, Mancini DA, McCann S, et al. Valproate, bipolar disorder and polycystic ovarian syndrome. *Bipolar Disord* 2003;**5**(1):28–35.
- 47 Morrell MJ, Isojärvi J, Taylor AE, et al. Higher androgens and weight gain with valproate compared with lamotrigine for epilepsy. *Epilepsy Res* 2003;**54**(2–3):189–99.
- 48 Nelson-DeGrave VL, Wickenheiser JK, Cockrell JE, et al. Valproate potentiates androgen biosynthesis in human ovarian theca cells. *Endocrinology* 2004;**145**(2):799–808.
- 49 Akdeniz F, Taneli F, Noyan A, et al. Valproate-associated reproductive and metabolic abnormalities: are epileptic women at greater risk than bipolar women? *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2003;**27**(1):115–21.
- 50 Isojärvi J, Löfgren E, Juntunen KS, et al. Effect of epilepsy and antiepileptic drugs on male reproductive health. *Neurology* 2004;**62**(2):247–53.
- 51 Bauer J, Blumenthal S, Reuber M, et al. Epilepsy syndrome, focus location, and treatment choice affect testicular function in men with epilepsy. *Neurology* 2004;**62**(2):243–6.
- 52 Boylan LS, Devinsky O, Barry JJ, et al. Psychiatric uses of antiepileptic treatments. *Epilepsy Behav* 2002;**3**(5S):54–9.
- 53 Gardner DL, Cowdry RW. Development of melancholia during carbamazepine treatment in borderline personality disorder. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1986;**6**(4):236–9.
- 54 Mula M, Trimble MR, Sander JW. Psychiatric adverse events in patients with epilepsy and learning disabilities taking levetiracetam. *Seizure* 2004;**13**(1):55–7.
- 55 Mula M, Trimble MR, Yuen A, et al. Psychiatric adverse events during levetiracetam therapy. *Neurology* 2003;**61**(5):704–6.
- 56 Mula M, Trimble MR, Lhatoo SD, et al. Topiramate and psychiatric adverse events in patients with epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2003;**44**(5):659–63.
- 57 Bootsma HP, Coolen F, Aldenkamp AP, et al. Topiramate in clinical practice: long-term experience in patients with refractory epilepsy referred to a tertiary epilepsy center. *Epilepsy Behav* 2004;**5**(3):380–7.
- 58 Kockelmann E, Elger CE, Helmstaedter C. Significant improvement in frontal lobe associated neuropsychological functions after withdrawal of topiramate in epilepsy patients. *Epilepsy Res* 2003;**54**(2–3):171–8.
- 59 Mula M, Trimble MR, Sander JW. The role of hippocampal sclerosis in topiramate-related depression and cognitive deficits in people with epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2003;**44**(12):1573–7.
- 60 Mula M, Trimble MR. The importance of being seizure free: topiramate and psychopathology in epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2003;**4**(4):430–4.
- 61 Cramer JA, De Rue K, Devinsky O, et al. A systematic review of the behavioral effects of levetiracetam in adults with epilepsy, cognitive disorders, or an anxiety disorder during clinical trials. *Epilepsy Behav* 2003;**4**(2):124–32.
- 62 Easterford K, Clough P, Kellett M, et al. Reversible parkinsonism with normal beta-CIT-SPECT in patients exposed to sodium valproate. *Neurology* 2004;**62**(8):1435–7.
- 63 Iijima M. Valproate-induced parkinsonism in a demented elderly patient. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2002;**63**(1):75.
- 64 Wils V, Goluke-Willems G. Extrapyramidal syndrome due to valproate administration as an adjunct to lithium in an elderly manic patient. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 1997;**12**(2):272.
- 65 Ali H, Schuh L, Barkley GL, et al. Antiepileptic drugs and reduced bone mineral density. *Epilepsy Behav* 2004;**5**(3):296–300.
- 66 Heller HJ, Sakhaee K. Anticonvulsant-induced bone disease: a plea for monitoring and treatment. *Arch Neurol* 2001;**58**(9):1352–3.
- 67 McGuire JM, Baram V, Asher JM. Osteopenia associated with divalproex sodium. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2004;**24**(3):357–8.
- 68 Cramer JA, Blum D, Reed M, et al. The influence of comorbid depression on quality of life for people with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 2003;**4**(5):515–21.
- 69 Boylan LS, Flint LA, Labovitz DL, et al. Depression but not seizure frequency predicts quality of life in treatment-resistant epilepsy. *Neurology* 2004;**62**(2):258–61.
- 70 De Leon J. Psychopharmacology: atypical antipsychotic dosing: the effect of co-medication with anticonvulsants. *Psychiatr Serv* 2004;**55**(2):125–8.

Emotion

Clear indications of emotion depend on vivid stimuli

J Zihl

Implications for style of communication with depressed patients

In this issue, recognition of emotion in depressed subjects is the focus of a paper by Kan *et al* (pp 1667–71).¹ In contrast to others, these authors report that recognition of positive and negative visual and prosodic emotions is not impaired in depressed patients. Differences in methodological approaches most likely explain the discrepancy. Whereas earlier authors relied on presentation of static visual images, Kan and colleagues used moving facial stimuli, and it appears that the nature (clarity) of the latter stimulus helped depressed subjects to correctly assess facial emotions.

Since the literature is abundant with reports on facial emotion recognition deficits in a variety of neurological conditions (e.g. stroke, temporal lobe epilepsy, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease), neuropsychiatric disorders

(e.g. schizophrenia, frontotemporal dementia, dementia of the Alzheimer type, autism) and other disorders (e.g. adolescent mood and anxiety disorders, body dysmorphic disorder, social phobia), the results of the study by Kan *et al* has broad implications. It is, however, necessary to replicate their protocol and findings before drawing any firm conclusions on this issue. At the same time, it would also be important to seek possible differences in emotion recognition within the category "depression". For example, impaired emotion recognition may not be a common finding in all depressed patients. Further, as has been shown for schizophrenic patients,² the possibility that cognitive deficits may also contribute to difficulties in facial recognition should be considered, especially in depressives that have cognitive dysfunction.

It is worthwhile noting that brain imaging studies on facial recognition in normal subjects also (mostly) depend on presentation of static faces. In studies where moving faces have been used, greater responses to dynamic versus static emotional expressions were found.³ Given that dynamic properties of an image increase attention to faces moving in the context of emotional expressions,⁴ it is not at all surprising that transmission of high quality facial emotion improves emotion recognition, thereby also enhancing face-to-face teleconferencing in virtual space.⁵ It is highly likely that similar principles hold true for all types of facial confrontation.

The observations made by Kan and colleagues also have very practical implications. The main difficulty in discerning facial and, of course, prosodic emotion correctly may be as much due to the disposition of the "actor" (e.g. psychiatrist, neurologist, psychologist, family, friends) as to patients' emotional perceptual difficulties. This interpretation takes on greater importance when one considers that facial and vocal expressions represent the main source of information in social perception and thus, social interaction. In this context, the work by Kan *et al* has far reaching implications for style of communication with depressed patients, as well as for the diagnostics and therapeutics of patients with neurological or psychiatric disorders.

J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry
2004;75:1658–1659.
doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2004.048009

Correspondence to: Max-Planck-
Department of Psychology, Neuropsychology
Unit, University of Munich, Leopoldstr 15,
D-80802 Munich, Germany;
zihl@psy.uni-muenchen.de

REFERENCES

- 1 Kan Y, Mimura M, Kamijima K, *et al*. Recognition of emotion from moving facial and prosodic stimuli in depressed patients. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2004;75:1667–71.
- 2 Sachs G, Steger-Wuchse D, Kryspin-Exner I, *et al*. Facial recognition deficits and cognition in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Res* 2004;68:27–35.
- 3 Bar KS, Crupain MJ, Voyvodic JT, *et al*. Dynamic perception of facial affect and identity in the human brain. *Cerebral Cortex* 2003;13:1023–33.
- 4 Lander K, Bruce V. The role of motion in learning new faces. *Vis Cognition* 2003;10:897–912.
- 5 DeSilva LC, Miyasato T, Kishino F. Emotion enhanced face to face meetings using the concept of virtual space teleconferencing. *IEICE Transact Information & Systems* 1996;E79D:772–80.

Stroke

Using PET to identify carotid occlusion patients at high risk of subsequent stroke: further insights

J-C Baron

Identifying carotid occlusion patients at risk of stroke

Although atherothrombotic occlusion of the internal carotid artery (ICA) can cause a devastating stroke, in many instances it is a benign event, causing only transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs), a minor stroke, or even no symptom at all. Despite optimal medical treatment, however, the subsequent risk of stroke in patients with symptomatic ICA occlusion is around 7% per year, with 6% ipsilateral. It would be important to be able to identify patients at high risk of subsequent stroke to implement appropriate prevention. Studies performed over the last two decades have provided strong evidence that haemodynamic factors play a major role in such risk. The association of focally decreased cerebral blood flow (CBF) and increased oxygen extraction fraction (OEF)—coined “misery perfusion”—was first described in a patient with medically intractable TIAs distal to a previously documented ICA occlusion with poor collateralisation.¹ This abnormal physiology pointed to reduction in perfusion pressure beyond the lower limit of autoregulation, so quite logically an extracranial-intracranial bypass was performed, after which both the TIAs and the misery perfusion resolved.¹ Prospective studies have since documented that in patients with symptomatic ICA occlusion the presence of misery perfusion (or severely impaired vasodilatory reserve as a surrogate) considerably increases the risk of subsequent ipsilateral stroke, with an odds ratio of 7 to 8.^{2–5} This in turn led to challenge of the negative results of the international EC-IC bypass

study, of which haemodynamic assessment was not part. Accordingly, two trials this time focusing only on patients with documented misery perfusion or inadequate vasodilation reserve are currently underway in the US and Japan. However, whether knowledge of the pattern of collaterals predicts the presence of misery perfusion and therefore avoids complicated physiological investigations would be important to know.

In view of the contradictory findings from earlier studies, Yamauchi *et al*⁶ have revisited the issue and their results are published in this issue (pp 1697–701).

Their hypothesis was that cortical metabolic depression secondary to diaschisis or to selective neuronal damage might confound the occurrence of high OEF. By reducing synaptic activity, cortical diaschisis—a frequent occurrence in striato-capsular infarction, probably secondary to disruption of the thalamo-cortical fibres,⁷—might reset the perfusion-metabolism imbalance and thus “mask” misery perfusion (fig 1). Selective neuronal damage secondary to episodes of ischaemia is another putative cause of cortical metabolic depression.⁸ Supporting their hypothesis, Yamauchi *et al* found that the presence of ophthalmic or leptomeningeal collateralisation (assessed by conventional four vessel angiography) predicted the occurrence of misery perfusion, but only in the absence of striatocapsular infarction.

Figure 1 Idealised graph illustrating the expected increase in oxygen extraction fraction (OEF) from its physiological value of around 40% in the face of a primary reduction in cerebral blood flow (CBF) below its physiological value of around 50 ml.100 g⁻¹ min⁻¹, and the resetting of this relationship towards normal levels of OEF in the presence of primary metabolic depression such as induced by superimposed diaschisis.

The clinical implications from this work are potentially important—the presence of ophthalmic or leptomeningeal collaterals in a patient with no striatocapsular infarct accurately predicts increased OEF, and in turn a high risk of subsequent ipsilateral stroke. It remains to be seen if some patients without misery perfusion as a result of subcortical infarct are still at a high risk of subsequent ipsilateral stroke, and whether other indicators of impaired haemodynamic reserve would identify them. Also, whether the clinical presentation—especially continuing haemodynamic type TIAs—helps in identifying ICA occlusion patients at high risk of stroke would be worth investigating.

This study has pathophysiological implications in cerebrovascular disease

beyond chronic ICA occlusion. For instance, does diaschisis afford neuroprotection to the penumbral cortex in the acute stage of stroke in the presence of an early striato-capsular core?

J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry
2004;**75**:1659–1660.
doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2004.048728

Correspondence to: J-C Baron, Department of Clinical Neurosciences and Stroke Unit, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Box 83, Cambridge, CB2 2QQ, UK

REFERENCES

- 1 Baron JC, Boussier MG, Rey A, *et al*. Reversal of focal "misery-perfusion syndrome" by extra-intracranial arterial bypass in hemodynamic cerebral ischemia: a case study with ^{15}O positron tomography. *Stroke* 1981;**12**:454–9.
- 2 Grubb RL, Derdeyn CP, Fritsch SM, *et al*. Importance of hemodynamic factors in the prognosis of symptomatic carotid occlusion. *JAMA* 1998;**280**:1055–60.
- 3 Yamauchi H, Fukuyama H, Nagahama Y, *et al*. Significance of increased oxygen extraction fraction in five-year prognosis of major cerebral arterial occlusive diseases. *J Nucl Med* 1999;**40**:1992–1998.
- 4 Kuroda S, Houkin K, Kamiyama H, *et al*. Long-term prognosis of medically treated patients with internal carotid or middle cerebral artery occlusion. Can acetazolamide test predict it? *Stroke* 2001;**32**:2110–16.
- 5 Ogasawara K, Ogawa A, Yoshimoto T. Cerebrovascular reactivity to acetazolamide and outcome in patients with symptomatic internal carotid or middle cerebral artery occlusion. *Stroke* 2002;**33**:1857–1862.
- 6 Yamauchi H, Kudoh T, Sugimoto K, *et al*. Pattern of collaterals, type of infarcts, and haemodynamic impairment in carotid artery occlusion. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2004;**75**:1697–701.
- 7 Feeney DM, Baron JC. Diaschisis. *Stroke* 1986;**17**:817–30.
- 8 Kuroda S, Shiga T, Ishikawa T, *et al*. Reduced blood flow and preserved vasoreactivity characterize oxygen hypometabolism due to incomplete infarction in occlusive carotid artery disease. *J Nucl Med* 2004;**45**:943–9.

Stroke

Variations in care and outcome in the first year after stroke: a Western and Central European perspective

H S Markus

Large differences in outcome and resource utilisation

Stroke is a major health burden throughout Europe. Despite a reducing incidence in Western Europe,¹ the rise in the elderly population and increasing incidence rates in Eastern Europe will result in it becoming a mounting population burden. It consumes a large amount of healthcare resources; in the UK about 5% of the National Health Services' budget is spent on stroke care. Therefore optimal models for delivery of stroke care, which result in good outcome at reasonable cost, are of great importance. Although robust data has shown that care in a stroke unit (with most trials looking at rehabilitation units) is associated with improved outcome,² we have limited understanding of which components of this organised care are responsible for this benefit. Comparing practice and outcome across different European countries may give clues that can help us to develop new hypotheses and interventions, which should then be ideally tested in controlled studies.

In this issue (pp 1702–6) the BIOMED European Study of Stroke Care Group present data from 11 centres in several Central and West European countries.³ The group have previously shown wide variation in early (3 month) mortality and dependency, even after adjustment for case mix and other confounding factors. Three month mortality ranged from 42% in one UK centre to 19% in France.⁴ Centres involved in this study may not be typical of stroke care within their countries; their involvement in a research project of this type suggests they are already particularly interested in stroke care. Indeed a UK audit suggests wide variations in stroke care provision within a single country.⁵ However, the national differences noted by the BIOMED group are consistent with data from other sources. For example, in the International Stroke Trial there were wide national differences in outcome, not fully explained by case mixed variables, and the UK again had poor outcome.⁶

What explains these differences? One needs to be cautious that they are not, at least partly, explained by differences in case mix including hospital referral practice, ethnic and other differences in stroke subtype, and socio-economic differences. The latter was not controlled for in the BIOMED project, while some residual confounding by stroke severity is likely despite attempts to control for it. However, such confounding is unlikely to fully account for these differences, and the wide variations in patterns of stroke care seen throughout Europe appear to be important. Many of these have been described previously by the European BIOMED Group.⁷ One feature that varies widely across Europe is the intensity with which the acute episode of stroke is managed. In many units (e.g. Dijon, France and Kuopio and Turku, Finland), almost all patients are admitted directly to a neurology unit, while in others admission is to a dedicated acute stroke unit (e.g. Florence, Italy and Copenhagen, Denmark). In contrast many patients in the UK are admitted to a general medical ward. Fifty percent in Dijon are admitted to an intensive care unit while in other countries the figure is zero. There are wide variations in the use of physiological monitoring, and attempted control of cerebral blood flow, fever, blood glucose, and hydration, and in the treatment of severe cerebral oedema with drugs, craniectomy, or hypothermia. There are also wide variations in availability and use of early brain imaging and other investigational facilities, as well as total medical, nursing, and therapist time utilised per patient. Importantly total cost is not directly related to outcome.

For example, in one UK centre overall cost was one of the highest, but outcome was one of the worst.⁷ The great majority of stroke care cost is due to bed occupancy, including nursing and therapy costs. Therefore improved acute care—if it results in reduced length of stay—is likely to be very cost effective.

This paper extends the previous findings to focus on longer term (12 month) survival and outcome, and again demonstrates large differences in both outcome and resource utilisation. For example, availability and support from family and friends differed widely between countries. A number of variables were identified as being associated with death and dependency, and these included male gender, pre-stroke handicap, and at presentation the presence of coma, incontinence, swallowing problems, or weakness.

How can we use this and other data to improve stroke care? A number of messages are emerging from different studies. Stroke care should be in specialised units and should be delivered by appropriately trained teams. Increasing evidence suggests early admission to a specialised unit, early brain imaging and diagnosis, and attention to early physiological care is associated with improved outcome,^{8–10} although the evidence for benefit of most specific acute

interventions is not well founded.¹¹ However, many questions remain. We understand little about which components of rehabilitation therapy are beneficial and what the dose–response relationship is for these. There are also many uncertainties in acute care. For example, how aggressive should we be with blood pressure lowering and other physiological interventions in the immediate post stroke period? What is the role of interventions such as craniectomy, hypothermia, and extending the time window for thrombolysis? Many of these issues are being addressed in randomised controlled trials, but others are difficult to test in this way, and answering them all in sufficiently powered trials is a major challenge. Until then comparative data provided by this and other studies will provide useful clues to best management. It will also act as a useful reminder to those countries with poor outcome, such as the UK, of the need for an improvement in stroke services.

J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry
2004;**75**:1660–1661.
doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2004.048157

Correspondence to: H S Markus, St George's Hospital Medical School, Cranmer Terrace, London SW17 0RE, UK; h.markus@sghms.ac.uk

REFERENCES

- 1 Rothwell PM, Coull AJ, Giles MF, *et al*. Oxford Vascular Study. Change in stroke incidence, mortality, case-fatality, severity, and risk factors in Oxfordshire, UK from 1981 to 2004 (Oxford Vascular Study). *Lancet* 2004;**363**:1925–33.
- 2 Stroke Unit Trialists' Collaboration. Organised inpatient (stroke unit) care for stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2002:CD000197.
- 3 Wolfe CDA, Tilling K, Rudd A, *et al*. Variations in care and outcome in the first year after stroke: a western and central European perspective. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2004;**75**:1702–6.
- 4 Wolfe CD, Tilling K, Beech R, *et al*. Variations in case fatality and dependency from stroke in western and central Europe. The European BIOMED Study of Stroke Care Group. *Stroke* 1999;**30**:350–6.
- 5 Rudd AG, Irwin P, Rutledge Z, *et al*. The national sentinel audit for stroke: a tool for raising standards of care. *J Roy Coll Physicians Lond* 1999;**33**:460–4.
- 6 Weir NU, Sandercock PA, Lewis SC, *et al*. Variations between countries in outcome after stroke in the International Stroke Trial (IST). *Stroke* 2001;**32**:1370–7.
- 7 Grieve R, Hutton J, Bhalla A, *et al*. A comparison of the costs and survival of hospital-admitted stroke patients across Europe. *Stroke* 2001;**32**:1684–91.
- 8 Indredavik B, Bakke RPT, Slordahl SA, *et al*. Treatment in a combined acute and rehabilitation stroke unit. Which aspects are most important? *Stroke* 1999;**30**:917–25.
- 9 Ronning OM, Guldvog B. Stroke unit versus medical wards: neurological deficits and activity of daily living. A quasi randomised controlled trial. *Stroke* 1998;**29**:586–90.
- 10 Jarman B, Aylin P, Bottle A. Acute stroke units and early CT scans are linked to lower in-hospital mortality rates. *BMJ* 2004;**328**:369.
- 11 Intercollegiate Working Party for Stroke. *National clinical guidelines for stroke*. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2000.