Quantitative electromyography: a new analogue technique for detecting changes in action potential duration
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SUMMARY An automatic method using an analogue analyser is described for obtaining an index which is based on the mean phase duration of an EMG signal. This method gives similar values for motor unit action potential duration to an alternative digital method of analysis based on the distribution of time intervals between zero crossings of the EMG signal. The analysis has been found to give good discrimination of normal and myopathic EMG signals.

An electromyographic signal (EMG), obtained from a concentric needle electrode, consists of a summation of individual action potentials from several of the motor units of the muscle. In diseased muscle the amplitude and waveform of the individual action potentials are often changed and so present an obvious approach to the diagnosis of various diseased states. However, the practical problems of measurement are considerable as the individual action potentials cannot usually be distinguished in the total signal.

Many methods of characterizing normal and abnormal signals have been tried with varying degrees of success. One of the parameters which has been found particularly useful, especially in detecting carriers of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, is the duration of the action potentials which make up the waveform. These durations have been measured manually (Buchthal, 1957; Bosch, 1963; Davey and Woolf, 1964; Hausmanowa-Petrusewicz, Prot, Niebroj-Dobosz, Emeryk, Slucka, Hetrnska, Bendarzewska, and Pucek, 1965; Gardner-Medwin, 1968), and also automatically by detecting the zero crossings or turning points of the signal (Rose and Willison, 1967). However, the manual measurements are extremely time consuming, and the automatic methods are expensive in terms of equipment and can give misleading results, since small noise deflections from the baseline cannot always be distinguished from the action potentials.

A simple analogue computer technique has been developed which is able to detect changes of duration and has been found to give excellent discrimination between normal and abnormal electromyographic patterns.

METHODS

Electromyography was performed on 35 children referred to the Neuromuscular Clinic for investigation. In 20 of these the diagnosis of myopathy was made on the basis of clinical picture, raised creatine kinase levels, and muscle biopsy (10 had Duchenne muscular dystrophy, four limb girdle dystrophy, two dermatomiositis, three congenital myopathy, and one dystrophia myotonica). In the other 15 children, all investigations were negative and they served as the control group.

EMG signals were recorded, using Disa concentric needle electrodes (type 9013K051), from the gastrocnemius, quadriceps, deltoid, and biceps muscles, and samples were obtained from several points in each muscle. The EMG was recorded at maximum strength of contraction as far as this was possible in children, but no fixed load was used.

The EMG signal was amplified using a Tektronix plug-in (type 2A61) amplifier, and recorded on magnetic tape. It was then analysed automatically using an analogue analyser connected to the recorder in the replay mode (see Appendix for details of the analyser). The analyser could also be connected in parallel with the recorder to give immediate results which were found to be within ±5% of those obtained using the recorder in the replay mode.

The output of the analogue analyser was displayed on a chart recorder and gave a value £ which represents the reciprocal of the amplitude weighted mean phase duration of the EMG signal (see Appendix for
theoretical derivation of $\psi$). This value is closely related to the value $\phi$ used by other workers in carrier detection. This value is the ratio of the mean number of phases per potential to the mean potential duration measured manually—that is, it is the reciprocal of the mean phase duration. The value $\psi$ differs from $\phi$ in that it is weighted according to the relative amplitude of the EMG signals, but is obtained automatically.

The integrators in the analogue analyser had a two second time constant and so the value $\psi$ displayed was an integrated value for the previous few seconds. The complete unit was calibrated using a sine wave input of known frequency. As the output from the divider is independent of the amplitude of the sine wave, a calibration directly in terms of frequency was possible.

The index $\psi$ was found for each muscle sample point. The mean value for all the points sampled and the maximum value for any one point was obtained.

Strict comparisons of the values of $\psi$ with other measurements of durations are difficult, as $\psi$ gives a duration which is weighted according to the relative amplitude of the signal deflections (see Appendix). However, comparisons were made with measurements of the distribution of time intervals between zero crossings, as measured by a digital computer (Biomac 1010) and with values of durations quoted by other workers from manual measurement of records.

**RESULTS**

It was found that, while the EMG recorded from different points in a muscle gave values for $\psi$ varying by up to 20%, the values obtained for one point were largely independent of the strength of contraction of the muscle (Fig. 1). This was surprising, as at high contractions the action potentials from different motor units of the muscle overlap and it was expected that this would change the mean duration of the negative and positive deflections of the signal.

Figure 2 shows the time interval histogram and the mean duration of the intervals between zero crossings for a single normal EMG. The analogue analyser gave values of $\psi$ for this same record corresponding to a mean duration of 2-1 msec. Agreement between the two methods is good in this case and was found to be so in most normal signals. The mean duration of the intervals between zero crossings was calculated for all the 35 patients. The correlation coefficient between these durations and the results, using the simple analogue method, was 0-83 ($p < 0-001$). There was disagreement in a number of abnormal records, particularly when there were large changes in the size of the potentials in the EMG. As the analogue analyser gives a mean duration which is amplitude weighted, these differences are to be expected.

A mean normal value for the index $\phi$ quoted by other workers (Gardner-Medwin, 1968) derived from manual measurement of durations is 400 sec$^{-1}$, corresponding to action potentials of duration 7-5 msec and three phases per potential. The index $\psi$ used here gave a value of 470 sec$^{-1}$

![FIG. 1. This shows the small change in the index $\psi$ when the EMG is changed by varying the contraction of the muscle. The EMG is shown after rectification and integration (TC, one second).](image)
for a control group of 15 subjects and is thus in reasonable agreement.

The mean and maximum values for $\psi$ in the myopathic and control groups are compared in Table I and plotted in Fig. 3. The discrimination between the two groups is very good, the values for $\psi_{\text{max}}$ being different at a significance level better than 0.1%. The range of $\psi_{\text{max}}$ in the control group is 428–656 sec$^{-1}$ and if values outside these ranges are taken as abnormal then 75% of the myopathic group are identified as abnormal.

**TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\psi$</th>
<th>Myopathic</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>662 ± 45 (sec$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>470 ± 16 (sec$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($n = 20$)</td>
<td>($n = 15$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>883 ± 94 (sec$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>518 ± 16 (sec$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>($n = 20$)</td>
<td>($n = 15$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 25% of the myopathic group which are not identified as giving $\psi_{\text{max}}$ above the maximum of the control group do not have $\psi_{\text{max}}$ values on the upper side of the normal mean. This is well shown in Fig. 3 which suggests that these five cases might well be a separate group, but clinically they were heterogeneous with three cases of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, two of whom were identical twins, one case of congenital muscular dystrophy, and one case of Becker-type muscular dystrophy.

The results given have not been corrected for the age of the patients. While there is a known increase of motor unit action potential duration with age, the specification of normal limits of $\psi_{\text{max}}$ at various ages will require a very much greater number of control subjects than the present series.

**FIG. 2.** A histogram showing the intervals between the zero crossings of a normal EMG signal. The arrow marks the mean of the measured durations.

**FIG. 3.** The distribution of results in the myopathic and control groups. Both the maximum and mean values of the index $\psi$ are shown.

**DISCUSSION**

It is unlikely that any one index derived from an EMG will enable an accurate diagnosis to be made of a primary muscle disease. In practice, many features of a signal need to be considered. However, of the various quantitative measurements made to date, the index $\psi$, the reciprocal of the mean phase duration, appears to be a valuable index.

Previous methods of obtaining $\psi$ have been time consuming because of the necessity to measure individual motor unit action potentials and to obtain control records in order to eliminate subjective variations. The index used in this work is closely related to $\psi$, being the reciprocal...
of an amplitude-weighted mean phase duration but, unlike $\phi$, it can be determined automatically. The analyser can be connected on line to the EMG recorder and gives an immediate value. As the derivation is such that $\phi$ is nearly independent of action potential repetition frequency, and so of contractile force of the muscle, the method is particularly suited to measurements on children who cannot be asked to produce given muscle contractions. This analogue method of measuring motor unit action potential durations has been shown to give values similar to the duration of action potentials as determined by other workers making manual measurements and to an alternative digital method of analysis. In clinical practice the method of analysis has been found to give good discrimination of normal and myopathic EMG signals.

The usefulness of this method in detecting carriers of Duchenne muscular dystrophy is currently being investigated.
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APPENDIX

THEORY The electromyographic signal (EMG) which we wish to analyse is a signal such as drawn in Fig. 4 and is made up of discrete waveforms of various durations $\tau_n$ and having various numbers of positive and negative deflections, $S_n$. Other workers have measured the following:

The mean number of deflections

$$\frac{S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + \cdots + S_N}{N}$$

The mean duration

$$\frac{\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 + \cdots + \tau_N}{N}$$

The ratio of these two was used by Van den Bosch (1963) and given the symbol $\phi$, thus:

$$\phi = \frac{S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + \cdots + S_N}{\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 + \cdots + \tau_N}$$

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\text{mean duration of the deflections}}$$

(1)

Bosch (1963) obtained $\phi$ by manual measurement. Any automatic method of analysis needs to be able to distinguish between action potentials and small deflections caused by noise. One way in which to do this is to weight the mean duration according to the amplitude of the deflections. Thus, instead of the index $\phi$ defined by equation (1) we may obtain:

$$\psi = \frac{n \geq N \sum a_n}{\sum \sum a_n \tau_n}$$

(2)
where \( a_n \) and \( \tau_n \) are the amplitude and duration respectively of the \( n \)th deflection of the waveform. When \( a_n \) is constant we find that \( \psi = \phi \).

If we consider the EMG to be approximated to the form shown in Fig. 5 and term this \( p(t) \), which consists of positive and negative deflections of various amplitudes and durations but an assumed sinusoidal form, then we can show:

\[
\int_0^T |p(t)| \, dt = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{2}{\pi} a_n \tau_n \tag{3}
\]

and

\[
\int_0^T |\dot{p}(t)| \, dt = \sum_{n=1}^{N} 2a_n \tag{4}
\]

and so on substituting into equation (2):

\[
\psi = \frac{\int_0^T |\dot{p}(t)| \, dt}{\int_0^T |p(t)| \, dt} \tag{5}
\]

Thus \( \psi \) may be determined by evaluating this equation using a relatively simple analogue computer such as shown diagrammatically in Fig. 6.

The major assumption in this analysis is that the various negative and positive deflections are sinusoidal in form. This is obviously an approximation and can only be justified in that the results of this means of analysis are comparable with those found both by manual measurement from the recording and with measurements of the distribution of zero crossings made by using a small digital computer.

The circuit designs used to evaluate \( \psi \) from equation (5) are conventional and use operational amplifiers in deriving the four stages of differentiation, integration, taking the modulus, and division. The output from the analogue divider becomes subject to increased errors at low input voltages and for this reason a large constant was added to the denominator input of the divider when the input signal fell below a fixed level. Thus rather than giving an inaccurate measurement at low signal inputs the analyser reads zero below a fixed input level.

**FIG. 5.** \( p(t) \) is an EMG signal. The notation used in the derivation of \( \psi \) is shown.

**FIG. 6.** A block diagram showing the system used to evaluate the factor \( \psi \) from the EMG signal \( p(t) \).