individual opinions, with which the editors do not always concur: the well known divergence of opinion on the value of the various surgical techniques to treat tremor, spasmodic torticollis and dystonia illustrate the enthusiasm of the neurosurgeon and the modulated scepticism of the editors.

I found this a most valuable text, controversial, up to date, and in most sections balanced and authoritative. The rather obsessionally long classifications (for instance one and a half text pages on myoclonus; 18 alleged patterns of drug related fluctuations and dyskinesia) tell us that their present basis is insecure and suggest that in time they will disappear as new information brings with it simplicity. Remember the anatomical nightmares of basal ganglia pathways we had to learn in the days of stereotactic thalamotomy? If the chapters on dopamine receptors and tardive dyskinesia were too brief or too specialised to be of general help to neurologists, this is a minor criticism.

I would venture the view that this is the outstanding work on Parkinsonism and movement disorders written to-date. The editors point out that it is not totally comprehensive, but as a statement of the position in the Parkinson game, some 15 years after Cotzias’s paper it is a first class compilation which I would warmly commend to all neurological departments.

JMS PEARCE


The Human Brain and Its Universe by the anatomist Kuhlenbeck is a book on philosophy and not on anatomy. Kuhlenbeck possesses a vast amount of learning and a deep understanding of mathematics, physics and philosophy. The main subject matter is consciousness and the data that our consciousness gives us. Of those who have thought about these questions—and all philosophers and many neurologists and psychologists have done so—Schopenhauer is one who is commonly neglected in English-speaking countries. Kuhlenbeck here speaks up for this philosopher. He clarifies his concept by showing that Schopenhauer’s use of the word “will” was a mistake. Schopenhauer used “will” to mean Kant’s Ding an sich, for matter regardless of any human being apprehending it and of any human way of apprehending it.

It is unfortunate that no English-speaking editor has helped Kuhlenbeck with his English, which is a mixture of a word-for-word translation of German and the long-winded Teutonic writing that the Americans have acquired from earlier German science and later refugees from Germany. But it is relieved every now and then by acid remarks about the author’s well-known contemporaries.

PETER W NATHAN

Notice

The 11th Annual Meeting of the International Neuropsychological Society will be held 2–5 February, 1983 in Mexico City, Mexico. For information write to: Dr Manfred Meier, Arrangements Coordinator, Box 390 Mayo, University of Minnesota, Medical School, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA.

Corrections

The authors of the paper “Physiological basis for enduring vestibular symptoms” (Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry 1972;45:126–130) were P Rudge and BR Chambers.

Matters Arising

The letter from Dr Edzard Ernst (Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry 1982;45:185) should have been entitled “Rheological and fibrinolytic findings in multiple sclerosis”.

hormones but also to some extent produce hormones”.

Kuhlenbeck makes no special contributions to the elucidation of this problem that comes from neurology and that could only have been contributed by a distinguished neuroanatomist. And so the reader loses heart as he ploughs through 346 pages of assertions on every subject in the world, occultism, spiritualism, Swedenborg, Buddhism, Berger’s views on the encephalogram and philosophy, cerebral localisation, and Marx and Engels.

PETER W NATHAN