Direct and reflex responses in perineal muscles on electrical stimulation
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SUMMARY Responses in the external anal and urethral sphincters as well as in the bulbocavernosus muscle have been evoked by supramaximal electrical stimulation of the penis (or clitoris), perineum and the peri-anal region and recorded electromyographically in 82 male subjects 5 to 73 years old and in nine female subjects 18 to 55 years old, who had no systemic diseases or demonstrable sacral nervous system lesion. On perineal stimulation (including the penis or clitoris) reflex responses with a typical latency of 33 ms and which exhibit no habituation were obtained in all muscles examined. Stimulation of the peri-anal region gave habituating reflex responses with a typical latency of 55 ms in all muscles examined. On perineal, and sometimes also peri-anal stimulation, stable short latency responses with typical latencies of 5 and 13 ms were recorded; both were considered to be direct responses. The different evoked muscle responses obtained by stimulation in the perineal and peri-anal region have to be distinguished when the bulbocavernosus and anal reflexes are recorded for evaluation of sacral nervous system lesions.

The need for evaluation of the sacral nervous system arises in various clinical conditions, commonly with disorders of micturition, defaecation and erectile impotence. Apart from the usual clinical examination, neurophysiological procedures have been introduced to provide more detailed information about the functional status of structures supplied by sacral segments of the spinal cord. Electromyography of external sphincter muscles is established and measurement of pudendal nerve motor conduction velocity and sacral roots conduction velocity have been reported.

The functional status of the reflex arcs subserving the lower sacral segments as a whole can be assessed by eliciting the bulbocavernosus and the anal reflexes. While the bulbocavernosus reflex has been reported to be unreliable, later reports have shown the clinical usefulness of this reflex and the reliability of EMG records of the reflex response. Rushworth introduced electrical stimulation of the glans penis and reported a latency of 35–40 ms of the electromyographically recorded reflex response in the bulbocavernosus muscle. Using the same technique, Ertekin and Reel reported a mean value of 36.1 ms in 14 healthy males and similar values have since been formed by other authors.

Pedersen reported a reflex response in the external anal sphincter with a mean latency of 50 ms, obtained by electrical stimulation of the peri-anal skin in 30 healthy subjects; a simultaneous reflex response could be recorded in the external urethral sphincter in ten subjects studied. Similar findings were reported by Vodušek et al. Henry and Swash reported an early anal reflex response with a mean latency of 8.9 ms in 13 healthy subjects. A preliminary report of Tørring, Pedersen, Klemar and Schröder described two short latency responses in the external anal sphincter muscle previous to the 50 ms reflex response. Since all authors eliciting "sacral reflexes" have claimed that they are clinically useful, this topic needs further clarification.

Subjects and methods

Eighty-two male subjects 5 to 73 years old (mean age 29.3 ± 14.9 SD) and nine female subjects 18 to 55 years old were examined. They were referred for neurophysiological evaluation because of pain problems (pains in the groin or back, dysuria, coccygodynia), premature ejaculation and enuresis. The patients with enuresis had normal findings on urodynamic testing. All patients had a neurological examination as well as EMG studies of pelvic floor muscles with normal results. In particular, all had the anal reflex; all the
males had the bulbocavernous reflex and the sensation in sacral dermatomes was intact in everyone. The recordings were made with a Medelec MS6 EMG apparatus and concentric EMG needles inserted into the bulbocavernous muscle and the external urethral and anal sphincters that were reached by the standard percutaneous approach. A bipolar surface electrode (Disa 13462) was used for stimulating the penis (or clitoris), the lateral perineal region and the peri-anal region. The electrodes were applied to the dorsal aspect of the penis with the anode lying at the base of the glans and the cathode proximal to the anode. Supramaximal single stimuli of 0.2 ms duration were applied with a frequency of 0.2-5 Hz, or randomly. The latency of evoked responses was measured to the first deflection and approximated to the first higher millisecond (to the first higher tenth of a millisecond in short-latency responses). Recordings were made from different sites in the muscle and the response with the shortest latency was accepted. For various reasons all subjects were not examined for all of the reported evoked responses.

Results

Electrical stimulation of the penis elicited reflex responses which were detected in the bulbocavernous muscle (fig 1), external urethral sphincter muscle and external anal sphincter muscle. Stimulation of the clitoris produced the same type of evoked responses in the external urethral and anal sphincter muscles. Increasing stimulus voltage increased the amplitude and decreased the latency of the evoked responses. Care was taken to stimulate with supramaximal stimuli, which were occasionally described as unpleasant, but never as painful. The recordings from the bulbocavernous muscle were always straightforward; they never showed spontaneous activity of motor units at rest. The responses in the external urethral and anal sphincters usually overlaid some background activity, which tended to build up on repeated stimulation; random stimulation and averaging of responses were therefore often required to obtain an identifiable response. The mean latencies of these reflex responses for our group of adults are given in the table. In six boys who were 5 to 14 years old a mean latency of 30.5 (SD ± 3.3 ms) was obtained with a range from 25 to 36 ms.

Stimulating the perineal region about 2 cm anteriorly to the anal aperture elicited the same type of response as that produced by stimulating the penis (or clitoris) but a slightly shorter latency was usually obtained. On the other hand, the applied stimuli usually had to be stronger. We called the reflex response obtained by stimulation of the penis, clitoris and perineum the R3 response.

Strong electrical stimuli to the perineal or the peri-anal region also produced an early evoked muscle response in the bulbocavernous, external urethral sphincter and external anal sphincter muscles with latencies from 2.6 to 8.0 ms (table, fig 2). In four subjects this response was not seen, probably because of a large stimulus artefact; in six others the response was seen but an exact onset for measurement of the latency could not be ascertained. This type of response, which we called the R1 response, could usually be elicited in the external anal sphincter with perineal or peri-anal stimulation. The duration...
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Table 1  Direct and reflex responses in perineal muscles in adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>Mean (ms)</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Range (ms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External anal sphincter</td>
<td>R1†</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4-9</td>
<td>1-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R2†</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13-2</td>
<td>0-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R3 (M)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35-2</td>
<td>4-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R3 (F)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34-0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R4†</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>56-0</td>
<td>8-47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External urethral sphincter</td>
<td>R1‡</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5-1</td>
<td>1-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R3 (M)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>33-0</td>
<td>3-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R3 (F)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33-6</td>
<td>3-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R4†</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>55-1</td>
<td>6-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulbocavernosus muscle</td>
<td>R1‡</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4-7</td>
<td>1-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R3 (M)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32-3</td>
<td>3-94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R4‡</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53-2</td>
<td>3-70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*  Mean age 33.2 ± 7.3 years.
R3– bulbocavernous reflex response; R3 (M) are the responses on penile stimulation; R3 (F) are the responses on clitoral stimulation.

†  Stimulated peri-anally.
‡  Stimulated in the perineum.

Of the R1 response was from 5 ms to as long as 30 ms in some external anal sphincters. Once a supramaximal stimulus was applied the shape of the first 5-10 ms of this response was very stable and did not change significantly on increasing the stimulus rate to 5 Hz.

In nine out of 33 subjects a discrete evoked muscle response was recorded in the external anal sphincter with a latency of 11.6 to 14.1 ms (mean 13.2 ms). This response followed the short latency R1 evoked muscle response and was called the R2 response. The latency of the R2 response was easier to ascertain when the stimuli used were not supramaximal, so that the R1 response did not reach its maximal amplitude (fig 3). The long duration R1 responses described above are believed to be a complex response with the R2 component blending into the R1 component and sometimes even R1, R2 and R3 responses blended together.

On peri-anal stimulation, a long latency reflex response was obtained in the bulbocavernosus, external urethral and anal sphincters which on increase of stimulus voltage increased in amplitude and decreased in latency to a minimum value of 38 to 83 ms (table, fig 1). The threshold for this reflex response, which was called the R4 response was higher than that for the R3 response. The stimulation required to produce the R4 response was usually perceived as unpleasant, often as painful and the response tended to habituate even on regular stimulation of 0.5 Hz (in the sphincter muscles the long-lasting increase in tonic activity after stimulus tended to obscure this habituation). The R4 response could be obtained with insignificant changes in latency on both ipsilateral and contralateral peri-anal stimulation and the response on contralateral side often showed less stimulus artefact. When the stimulating electrode was moved anteriorly in the para-anal region both R3 and R4 responses could be recorded, sometimes even R1, R3 and R4 (fig 2). By moving the stimulating electrode more anteriorly only the R3 response was left of the longer latency responses.

Fig 2  On stimulation in the anterior peri-anal region a direct (R1) response as well as both R3 and R4 reflex responses could be elicited in the bulbocavernosus muscle. Calibration: 1 mV, 10 ms per division.

Fig 3  Two short latency responses (R1 and R2) in the external anal sphincter on peri-anal stimulation. Calibration: 1 mV, 10 ms per division.
With a particular position of the stimulating electrode R1 responses only (without a reflex response) could be sometimes obtained in the external anal sphincter.

In conclusion: both the R3 and R4 responses could be recorded in all examined muscles in all subjects in whom they were sought by using different stimulation sites. The R1 response could not be seen (due to stimulation artefact) in four out of 39 examined subjects.

Applying the technique to two patients with myelomeningocele who had no sensation in the perineal area as well as signs of a partial denervation in the pelvic floor muscles, we were able to detect a small amplitude R1 and no R3 or R4 in the external anal sphincter and bulbocavernosus muscles.

Discussion

Direct responses in the external urethral sphincter muscle and the external anal sphincter muscle have been recorded on pudendal nerve stimulation close to the ischiadic spine by needle electrode\(^5\) with average latencies of 5-1 ms (for external urethral sphincter) and 5-5 ms (for external anal sphincter), which corresponds well to the latencies of our R1 response. Using concentric EMG and single fibre EMG needle electrodes Trontelj \textit{et al.}\(^19\) have detected direct responses with latencies up to 10 ms from the levator ani muscle on stimulating with needles inserted into the same muscle (presumably stimulating intramuscular nerve branches). Stimulating sacral roots at the level of the S, or S, sacral foramen (using needle electrodes) Jelasic \textit{et al.}\(^18\) have obtained direct responses in the external urethral and anal sphincter muscles with a latency between 3 and 5 ms for a distance between 8 and 11 cm. On stimulating the peri-anal skin with surface electrodes, Töring \textit{et al.}\(^14\) have described two short-latency responses (with latencies 2-8 ms and 13-18 ms respectively), which were generally stable on repeated stimulation. Our results show the same grouping of shorter latency responses (which we called the R1 and R2 responses in the recordings). The R1 and R2 responses have a stable shape, show no habituation and were shown by Töring \textit{et al.}\(^14\) to be unaffected by epidural anaesthesia. Similarly, we have been able to record an R1 response but no bulbocavernosus or anal reflex responses in two patients with myelomeningocele, who lacked sensation in the peri-anal region. The R1 can thus be interpreted as a direct response, and so can probably the R2, considering that the external anal sphincter receives motor innervation both from the inferior rectal nerve and the perineal nerve,\(^10\) and both possibly could have been stimulated simultaneously. H- and F-type responses were described in the levator ani muscle with latencies of about 30 ms,\(^9\) longer than the latency of the R2 response. Henry and Swash\(^11\) have reported what they termed the anal “reflex” in the external anal sphincter with a mean latency of 8-9 ms (6-9-11.5 ms) obtained by peri-anal stimulation. It would appear that they recorded the previously mentioned short latency responses, not observing the longer latency reflex responses; the opposite occurred when Pedersen \textit{et al.}\(^9\) reported on human anal reflexes, detecting the short latency responses only later.\(^8\)

Since Rushworth\(^13\) described the electromyographically recorded bulbocavernosus reflex on electrical stimulation of the penis several authors have provided values obtained from a rather small number of normal men. Our mean value for this so-called bulbocavernosus reflex (our R3 response) is somewhat lower than that reported by Ertekin and Reel\(^12\) for 14 men (36-1 ms, range 27-5 ms to 42-5 ms) and Siroky \textit{et al.}\(^4\) for 9 (?) men (35 ms, range 28-42). This might be partly due to our search for the shortest latency reflex response in different sites of the muscle. We have consistently found reflex responses in the external urethral sphincter as well as the external anal sphincter. Others have observed such responses in only 21% of normal subjects.\(^12\) Recording from different sites of a single bulbocavernosus muscle gave up to 2 ms difference in reflex latency readings, which might be partly due to difficulties in determining the onset of the reflex response. Therefore we should be cautious in interpreting side to side differences as indicators of spinal cord delays.\(^14\) It is interesting to note that Dick \textit{et al.}\(^13\) have recorded from the bulbocavernosus muscle what they called “nerve action potentials” with latencies from 29 to 40 ms. These were recorded following electrical stimulation of the penis and of the anterior urethra. The shortest reflex responses that Trontelj \textit{et al.}\(^19\) detected from levator ani muscle on stimulation with needle electrodes in the same muscle had the latency of 35 ms which corresponds with our R3 response.

Pedersen \textit{et al.}\(^9\) reported EMG recordings of the anal reflex responses on electrical peri-anal stimulation. In 30 subjects they obtained a mean value of 50 ms (SD ± 10-5 ms) for the anal reflex latency, which is slightly shorter than our values for the R4 response. It was also our experience that the reflex response could be recorded in all subjects, but we had occasional difficulty in determining the exact onset of the response (despite using random stimuli and averaging technique). The wide spread of recorded latencies makes the anal reflex latency determination less useful in an actual search for slight lesions of the sacral portion of the nervous system.

Both the bulbocavernosus (R3) and the anal reflex (R4) have much longer latencies on threshold
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stimulation. Other investigators have noted that the bulbocavernous reflex showed little habituation;\textsuperscript{12, 14} this is also our experience. The shortest latency R3 response might well include few interneurons. The anal reflex, however, shows marked habituation which together with its longer latency speaks for a larger complexity of interneuron connections subserving the R4 response. The afferent limbs of the two reflex pathways may be different as well. The relatively low strength stimulus necessary for eliciting the bulbocavernous reflex depolarizes probably mostly A beta fibres (in the dorsal penile nerve), whereas the depolarisation of A delta fibres might be necessary for eliciting the nociceptive anal reflex; certainly the threshold for the latter is higher. In this context it is interesting to note the so-called “electromyelography”\textsuperscript{12}-reflex responses recorded in the external anal sphincter muscle on electrical stimulation of the bladder neck. The latencies of this reflex, which has a visceral afferent limb, are between 50–80 ms.\textsuperscript{22, 23}

Conclusion

In conclusion, direct responses from the bulbocavernosus and the external sphincter muscles can be detected by electrical stimulation of the pudendal nerve branches in the perineal region with a typical latency of 5 ms. Additional responses with a typical latency of 13 ms are sometimes observed in the external anal sphincter muscle and they are probably direct responses, too. Oligosynaptic reflex responses with a typical latency of 33 ms and which exhibit no habituation can be obtained by nonpainful electrical stimulation of the perineal region, including the penis, clitoris and the anterior urethra. Polysynaptic, habituating responses with a typical latency of 55 ms can be obtained by strong (usually painful) electrical stimulation of the peri-anal region. The detection of both direct and reflex responses is a valuable addition to an EMG examination of muscles innervated by the S2–S4 segments.
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