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SUMMARY The effect of conditioning stimuli on the F-response was investigated in normal subjects using stimulus pairs with interstimulus intervals of 1 to 400 ms. The "recovery curve" for the F-response was found to be similar to that for the H-reflex but differences were found between male and female subjects. The significance of these findings is discussed.

The F response is a late muscle response thought to result from retrograde activation of motor neurons following peripheral stimulation of their axons.1-4 It has received attention largely as a means of assessing conduction in the proximal segment of the motor neurons.5-11 In addition it has been suggested that the size and frequency of F responses during a period of stimulation are indices of motor neuron excitability.12 Although Magladery et al13 considered that the F response was not altered by shocks preceding the test stimulus by 30-80 ms, subsequent observations have shown that the F response may in fact be depressed by conditioning stimuli.14-15 However, there has been no systematic study of the effects of conditioning stimuli on the F response.

We have studied the effects of conditioning stimuli on F response numbers and amplitudes in healthy males and females and have constructed a "recovery curve" comparable to that which has been used more widely for the H reflex.

Subjects and methods

Nine subjects (five males and four females, 25 to 41 years of age) were studied. None had symptoms of median nerve dysfunction and in all motor and sensory nerve conduction was normal. F responses were recorded from the abductor pollicis brevis muscle of the dominant upper limb using gold disc electrodes placed over the motor point of the muscle and metacarpophalangeal joint of the thumb during stimulation of the median nerve at the wrist (cathode proximal). Stimuli were 0-1 ms square wave electrical pulses 25% supramaximal for the M response. The subject was recumbent in a noise-free room with dimmed lights and was allowed to relax in this position for a period of 10-15 minutes before commencement of stimulation. The ambient room temperature was maintained between 23 and 35°C.

The subsequent procedure was as follows:

(i) A sequence of 50 single stimuli were delivered at a rate of 1 Hz.

(ii) Paired stimuli at interstimulus intervals ranging from 1 ms to 400 ms were then delivered at a rate of 0-5 Hz; 50 consecutive pairs of stimuli were given at each interstimulus interval with an interval of 2 minutes between each stimulus sequence.

(iii) A final sequence of 50 single stimuli were given at a rate of 1 Hz.

Responses were displayed on the cathode-ray oscilloscope screen of a Medelec MS6 electromyograph and were photographed using a fibre optic recording system. Records were subsequently analysed and the following parameters were derived:

(i) The numbers and mean peak-to-peak amplitude of F responses recorded during the initial and final single stimulus sequences.

(ii) For each interstimulus interval, the number and mean peak-to-peak amplitude of F responses elicited by the test stimulus (F2), as well as the total amplitude of all F2 responses recorded at each interstimulus interval. Responses elicited by the conditioning and test stimuli could only be reliably distinguished with inter-stimulus intervals greater than 2 ms.

Results

The mean F response latencies in the nine subjects ranged from 26-9 ms to 31-2 ms. Plots of F2 response numbers at increasing interstimulus intervals in each of the nine subjects are shown in fig 1 and 2. It will be seen that in each subject there was a period of variable duration following the conditioning stimulus during which F2 numbers and amplitudes were reduced. In both males and females,
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The "recovery curve" for the F response is similar to that previously reported for the H reflex. As in the case of the H reflex, there is depression of the test response in the first 5 ms after the conditioning stimulus, which is most likely to be due to refractoriness of motor axons after the conditioning volley. An additional factor to be considered is the possibility of collision between the descending volley resulting from antidromic firing of motoneurons by the conditioning stimulus and the ascending volley set up by the test stimulus. This could occur with interstimulus intervals of up to 35 ms, this being a reasonable estimate of the maximal afferent, turn-around and efferent conduction time for the F response, and could account at least in part for the depression in F2 responses at these interstimulus intervals. However, it is likely that depression of motoneuron excitability due to presynaptic inhibition of 1A afferents and to recurrent (Renshaw cell) inhibition6,21 is also partly responsible for the depression of test responses in the first 35 ms after the conditioning stimulus and for the longer-lasting depression found up to 80 ms after the conditioning stimulus in males. The facilitation found in a number of subjects from 80 to 300 ms is similar to that which occurs with the H reflex and has been attributed to the effects of spindle afferent discharges during muscle relaxation.22,23 The possible involvement of segmental spinal interneuronal circuits and of supraspinal mechanisms also need to be considered.24

The technique described provides an alternative to the H reflex for studying the excitability and refractory properties of the motoneuron pool. However, it has two main limitations. First, because of the refractory period of peripheral axons and the problem of "collision" referred to above, the first

Discussion

The "recovery curve" for the F response is similar to that previously reported for the H reflex. As in the case of the H reflex, there is depression of the test response in the first 5 ms after the conditioning stimulus, which is most likely to be due to refractoriness of motor axons after the conditioning volley. An additional factor to be considered is the possibility of collision between the descending volley resulting from antidromic firing of motoneurons by the conditioning stimulus and the ascending volley set up by the test stimulus. This could occur with interstimulus intervals of up to 35 ms, this being a reasonable estimate of the maximal afferent, turn-around and efferent conduction time for the F response, and could account at least in part for the depression in F2 responses at these interstimulus intervals. However, it is likely that depression of motoneuron excitability due to presynaptic inhibition of 1A afferents and to recurrent (Renshaw cell) inhibition is also partly responsible for the depression of test responses in the first 35 ms after the conditioning stimulus and for the longer-lasting depression found up to 80 ms after the conditioning stimulus in males. The facilitation found in a number of subjects from 80 to 300 ms is similar to that which occurs with the H reflex and has been attributed to the effects of spindle afferent discharges during muscle relaxation. The possible involvement of segmental spinal interneuronal circuits and of supraspinal mechanisms also need to be considered.

The technique described provides an alternative to the H reflex for studying the excitability and refractory properties of the motoneuron pool. However, it has two main limitations. First, because of the refractory period of peripheral axons and the problem of "collision" referred to above, the first
25–35 ms of the curve does not necessarily reflect changes in excitability of the motoneurons themselves. Secondly, because only a relatively small proportion of motor units contribute to individual F responses, the technique does not assess the properties of the whole motoneuron pool. Nevertheless, it may be useful for evaluating the duration of depression and the time course of late facilitation after conditioning stimuli, particularly in large motoneurons which are thought to be preferentially activated in F responses.4

The differences in the recovery curve in males and females are of interest and to our knowledge have not previously been reported. The basis for these differences is uncertain and warrants further investigation.
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