Short report

Intramedullary sarcoidosis of the cervical spinal cord
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SUMMARY A 26-year-old male with a history of pulmonary sarcoidosis showed clinical, myelographic and intra-operative evidence of a C5-C6 spinal cord tumour, which was diagnosed by biopsy as a pure intramedullary granuloma. Among the 29 published cases of histologically proven cord sarcoidosis, only five presented with pure parenchymatous infiltration without meningeal involvement. Corticosteroids are the most useful therapy, and surgery is indicated only for minimal biopsy when the parenchyma is invaded.

Spinal cord involvement is a very rare but well documented manifestation of sarcoidosis. Including the first pathological description of the condition by Longcope in 1941,1 only 29 cases of histologically proven spinal cord sarcoidosis diagnosed antemortem or postmortem have been published (reviews in refs 2, and 3–14). Anatomically, the lesions have been variously distributed within the spinal cord but almost invariably involve the meninges. We report a case of pure intramedullary granuloma without meningeal involvement, in which the clinical, radiological and intraoperative findings were suggestive of a cervical cord tumour.

Case report

A 27-year-old Algerian male was referred in October 1982 with a 2 months history of increasing bilateral arm weakness, difficulty in walking, and bladder urgency. He also complained of upper dorsal backache radiating to the anterior chest. His past medical history was remarkable only for pulmonary sarcoidosis. This condition had been diagnosed by bronchial biopsy five years previously, and treated with corticosteroid, levamisole and azathioprine until July 1981.

On examination, he was able to walk for only a few meters without aid. He had a spastic tetraparesis, which was more prominent on his right side. Severe amyotrophy was present in both hands, right greater than left, and was associated with continuous fasciculations which caused minimal finger displacements. All tendon reflexes were brisk, save for triceps reflexes which were inverted; bilateral extensor plantar responses were elicited. Pain and thermal sensation was moderately decreased below T4, and a hypoesthetic band in the right C8–T1 territories was noted. Electromyography showed denervation bilaterally in the C7, C8, and T1 territories. Motor and sensory conduction velocities were normal in both arms. Somatosensory evoked potentials showed reduced amplitude and normal latency of the cervical N20 and N30 components following stimulation of the right median nerve. Visual evoked potentials were normal. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, plasma calcium level, protein immuno-electrophoresis, plasma and urinary osmolalities were all normal.

CSF was clear, with elevation of both protein content (1·66 g/l) and globulin fraction (17%). Cell count (2 WBC.mm³) and glucose level (2·8 mmol/l) were normal. Bacterial and fungal cultures were negative. Angiotensin converting enzyme was 90 nmol/mn/ml in serum (normal value = 35 ± 10 nmol/mn/ml) and was not detected in CSF. Radiographs of the cervical spine were normal. Myelography demonstrated an enlargement of the cervical spinal cord between C5 and T1 levels, suggestive of an intramedullary lesion (fig a). Both cervical spinal angiography and total body gallium scintigraphy were normal. Head computed tomography showed only moderate ventricular enlargement.

A C3–T1 laminectomy was carried out. The dura was tense, and the underlying spinal cord was enlarged. Using an operation microscope, the posterior midline sulcus of
intramedullary spinal sarcoidosis. Histology of the pia was normal. No further extirpation of the lesion was attempted. After a transient postoperative worsening of the tetraparesis, the patient gradually improved over the ensuing 6 months on methylprednisolone and physical therapy. He was then ambulatory with axillary crutches for about 80 m and had normal bladder control. However, recovery ceased and his condition deteriorated again a few months later with a resulting almost complete tetraplegia.

Discussion

Pathological changes are protean in neurosarcoidosis, and spinal cord involvement may variously be related to extramedullary mass,6,11,12 pure leptomeningeal (case 8 in ref 15), mixed leptomeningeal and parenchymatous,1,78 or pure parenchymatous infiltration. This last condition has been reported in only five of the 29 histologically confirmed cases, with a tumour-like granuloma located at the cervical (case 2 in ref 2),14,16 thoracic,17 or lumbar level.5 Indeed, integrity of the leptomeninges was questionable in each case, as macroscopic description was sketchy and histology not mentioned. In our case the lesion was histologically a pure intramedullary spinal cord granuloma, with verified sparing of the adjacent meninges. The clinical, radiological, and intra-operative findings were those of a cervical spinal cord infiltrating lesion, and the diagnosis, although suspected from the history of pulmonary sarcoidosis, could only be established through histological examination of the tissue biopsy specimen.

Spinal cord invasion is a serious complication of sarcoidosis. Its treatment is based primarily upon corticosteroids. Some patients with a spinal cord syndrome complicating systemic sarcoidosis have been conservatively treated with high doses of steroids, and have demonstrated significant clinical improvement,18,19 including a return of the myelographic appearances to normal.20 Although individual patients do vary in their response, this is at present the only way to improve or at least to stabilise the neurological condition. The role of surgery remains more controversial. In terms of functional prognosis, total or subtotal extirpation of the lesion appears to be beneficial in the cases with an extramedullary mass,11,12 but disastrous in the cases with an intramedullary lesion.2,16,17 On the other hand, very limited tissue biopsy by an experienced neurosurgeon seems to be a relatively innocuous procedure in the latter group.21,22 Biopsy is obviously of critical importance when spinal sarcoidosis occurs as the initial manifestation of the disease,2,10,16 but is also to be considered in patients with longstanding history of sarcoidosis, particularly
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when previous immunosuppressive treatment and unusual clinical presentation raise the possibility of spinal cord neoplasm.
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