Associated postural adjustments in Parkinson’s disease
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SUMMARY Postural activity in muscles of the back and legs associated with voluntary forward elevation of the arm at the shoulder with the subject standing was examined in 14 patients with Parkinson’s disease and nine normal individuals. The time of onset of EMG activity in each of the postural muscles, relative to the onset of activity in the prime mover, was the same for both patient and normal groups and did not depend on load. The amplitude of the EMG bursts and their frequency of occurrence, was less in patients off drug treatment and immobile, than when mobile on therapy. We conclude that the timing of associated postural adjustments is normal in Parkinson’s disease, although their size may be decreased.

Patients with Parkinson’s disease lose their balance more easily and fall over more frequently than do normal subjects. However, it is not known to what extent such postural instability reflects deficits in the “feedforward” (or programmed) adjustments of posture which precede activation of the prime mover or in “feedback” (or reflex) mechanisms of compensation. There is some evidence that feedback mechanisms may be compromised in Parkinson’s disease. Traub et al found that anticipatory postural reflexes in leg muscles, produced by small, unexpected, displacements of the arm, were smaller in patients with Parkinson’s disease than in normal controls. However, although there was a tendency for the patients who were more severely affected clinically to have the smallest postural reflexes, there were a number of very unstable patients whose postural reflexes were relatively normal. The conclusion was that although impairment of these reflexes may contribute to postural instability, there must be other factors which contribute to the imbalance of patients with Parkinson’s disease.

Previous reports of feedforward responses in Parkinson’s disease have suggested an abnormality of the timing of postural EMG bursts relative to the prime mover. In this paper, we have examined the feedforward adjustments in leg and trunk muscles which accompany and precede voluntary movement of the arm in a freely-standing subject. This activity anticipates and reduces body sway produced by the voluntary movement in normal subjects. It usually occurs before the onset of EMG activity in the prime mover and is thought to be part of a preformed package of instructions sent to postural muscles in association with activation of the prime mover. Our results differ from those of Bazalgette et al who found that in Parkinson’s disease postural EMG activity occurred after the prime mover.

Methods

(A) Patients (table 1)
Fourteen non-demented and consenting patients aged 55-7 ± 13 years (mean ± SD; range 29-76 years) with idiopathic Parkinson’s disease and nine consenting control subjects aged 49-3 ± 15 years (mean ± SD, range 33-67 years) were studied using the same paradigm.

Patients were chosen if their motor disability was predominantly of the akinetic type and if they showed little tremor. All patients had moderate to severe disease, showed severe immobility in the untreated state and demonstrated either freezing, festination or retropulsion. Thirteen of the 14 had impaired balance when untreated but not all suffered from falls (table 1).

All fourteen patients were studied at least 12 hours after their last dose of anti-Parkinsonian medication when immobile and in the OFF condition. Ten of these patients were
## Table 1  Clinical features and treatment of the patients with Parkinson's disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age yr</th>
<th>Disease duration yr</th>
<th>Drugs§</th>
<th>Akinesia</th>
<th>Rigidity</th>
<th>Tremor</th>
<th>Postural reflexes¶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WW*</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>S 125 4 hourly</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>S 110 2 hourly</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BU</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>S 110 3 hourly</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMc</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>S 125 4 hourly</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>S 125 2 hourly**</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR†</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>S 220 3 hourly</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS*</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>S 110 2 hourly</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Artane amantadine</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>S 110 2 hourly</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>S 110 2 hourly**</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC†</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>S 275 3 hourly</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC*</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S 275 2 hourly**</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JB*</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>S 275 3 hourly**</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG†</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>S 110 2 hourly**</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*not studied ON therapy, †not studied at 12 N, ‡not studied at 24 N, §§ = Sinemet therapy, ** = also taking bromocriptine, pergolide or artane.

†Akinesia, rigidity and tremor were scored clinically as, 0 none; + mild; ++ moderate; +++ severe.

‡Postural reflexes were scored clinically as, 0 normal postural responses; + abnormal postural responses, no falls; ++ abnormal postural responses, occasional falls; +++ abnormal postural responses, many falls

studied both when relatively immobile and OFF treatment, and immediately after being rendered mobile by their normal morning dose of levodopa.

(B) Experimental design

Subjects stood erect and unsupported with their feet together and their arms hanging loosely by their sides. With their right hand they held a strap, which was connected via a light chain to a pulley on the shaft of a brushless torque motor (Printed Motors Type G16M) situated directly behind them at wrist height. They were instructed to keep the strap taut and to pull it forwards 15–20 cm as rapidly as possible, in their own time, against a force offered by the motor (12 N or 24 N). At the start of the movement the pulley rested on an end stop so the subject did not feel the opposing load until after the arm had moved. The arm was kept extended at the elbow and the movement was produced by forward elevation of the arm at the shoulder. Movements were rejected if they were accomplished by elbow flexion. The position of the arm was monitored by a potentiometer attached to the spindle of the torque motor. A velocity signal was electronically derived from the position signal. EMG activity was recorded from surface electrodes over anterior deltoid, posterior deltoid, erector spinai (ES) at the level of L1, biceps femoris in its mid portion (HAMS), tibialis anterior (TA) and triceps surae (TS), from its lower half. Signals were passed through a Devices 3120 amplifier (with high and low pass filters set respectively 3 dB down at 80 Hz and 2-5 kHz), were further amplified by Devices 3160 amplifier and then were rectified and smoothed (filter time constant 0-03 s).

Each single movement was recorded on-line by a PDP 12 computer with a sampling rate of 250 Hz per channel. Signals were collected for 500 ms before and 500 ms after the onset of EMG activity in anterior deltoid. Fifteen to thirty movements were made by each subject against the two background forces of 12 N and 24 N. Averaged records were aligned to the onset of EMG activity in the anterior deltoid.

In three control subjects and in two patients there was no anticipatory activity in TA when they stood normally on the floor. In these individuals, activity in TA was encouraged by making the subject unstable on a slight uphill slope (approximately 5°). In those with obvious anticipatory activity in TA, background activity in TS was encouraged, by leaning slightly forwards. However, a preceding silence in TS, as reported by Bouisset was seen in only three control subjects and in two patients.

(C) Measurement of data

The latency, amplitude and duration of the EMG bursts in each single record were measured using the computer display unit. A classical triphasic EMG burst pattern was usually seen in the deltoid muscle. EMG bursts in postural muscles were deemed to be significant if they occurred suddenly, were not part of ongoing tonic activity and were followed by an EMG silence. In some records there was no activity in certain postural muscles, while in others it was not possible to identify discrete bursts. The frequency with which obvious activity in postural muscles was absent was noted.

The latency of the bursts from each muscle was related to the onset of the anterior deltoid EMG. Bursts of activity in postural muscles which started 150 ms or more after the onset of anterior deltoid activity were disregarded. The amplitude of each EMG burst was measured by integrating the EMG record for the duration of the burst. Data from individual records have been averaged and mean values from each subject have been statistically analysed.

We shall refer to three subgroups of patients. The whole group studied after withdrawal of dopamine agonist therapy (n = 14), and those studied both ON and OFF therapy (n = 10). We have termed these: (1) “total patients OFF”, (2) “paired patients ON” and (3) “paired patients OFF”. Not all subjects performed both tasks. Of the nine “normal subjects”, eight performed the 12 N task and nine the 24 N task. Of the fourteen “total patients OFF”, 12 performed the 12 N task and 13 performed the 24 N task; however, of these only 11 performed both tasks. Of the 10 patients studied both OFF and ON, eight performed the 12 N task and nine performed the 24 N task; of these only seven performed both.

When comparing the amplitude and velocity of the arm movement in “normal subjects” and “total patients OFF”
we have used unpaired Student's t test for comparing the entire groups and have combined data from both tasks in an analysis of variance (ANOVA). To compare the amplitude and velocity of the arm movement in patients before and after levodopa therapy we have used paired t tests at each load, and have combined data from both loads in an ANOVA for repeated measures. To compare latencies of the EMG bursts we have used Student's t test and ANOVA as in the comparison of arm movement but when comparing the EMG activity (size and duration) of different groups we have used non-parametric statistics. In the comparison of the "paired patients OFF" with the "paired patients ON" the paired Wilcoxon test was used. For the analysis of the presence or absence of postural activity we have used the Chi squared test.

Results

All groups of subjects performed the task satisfactorily. Figure 1 illustrates typical responses obtained by averaging the signals from each trial at each of the two loads in one normal (fig 1, top) and one Parkinsonian subject OFF treatment (fig 1, bottom). Postural muscle activity was larger when movements were made against the larger load. Since there was a degree of variability in the timing and the size of responses from trial to trial, such averaged records highlight the earliest of a series of movements and reduce the apparent amplitude of EMG activity in all muscles. Accordingly, statistical analysis was performed on measurements of each individual trial.

1) Arm movement (table 2)

Within any one of the groups of subjects ("normals", "total patients OFF", "paired patients ON", "paired patients OFF"), the average final distance moved by the arm was the same in both the 12 N and the 24 N tasks. Intergroup comparisons showed only one difference between the groups: movement amplitude in the 12 N task was slightly larger in the patients ON versus patients OFF (paired t test, p < 0.05). Otherwise, the distance moved was the same for all tasks and all groups of subjects.

The velocity of arm movement in normals was faster in the 24 N than in the 12 N task (p < 0.05 paired t test). However, although there was a tendency for the velocity of movement to be greater in the 24 N task than in the 12 N task in the three subgroups of patients, this did not reach statistical significance. The velocity of arm movement was slower in the "total patients OFF" group than in normal subjects for both the 12 N and the 24 N tasks (p < 0.05 unpaired t test for both). Levodopa increased the speed of patient's arm movement (ON versus OFF: ANOVAR using data from both 12 N and 24 N tasks: p < 0.025; F 7.44; df 1, 6) such that the "patients ON" were not statistically different from normal (p > 0.05; F 2.17, df 1, 13).

Fig 1 Averaged records from a normal subject (top) and a patient with Parkinson’s disease OFF therapy (bottom). Arm position and velocity are plotted in the top records. Below these are rectified EMG traces from the prime mover agonist, anterior deltoid (AD) and antagonist posterior deltoid (PD), and from various ipsilateral postural muscles erector spinae (ES), biceps femoris (HAMS), tibialis anterior (TA) and triceps surae (TS). Records on the left are for the 12 N task and those on the right are for the 24 N task. The vertical lines in each record indicate the onset of activity in anterior deltoid. The records show that there is anticipatory activity in the postural muscles of both the normal and the Parkinsonian subject.

2) Prime mover agonist and antagonist EMG activity (table 2)

In "normal subjects" and in "total patients OFF" the size but not the duration, of the first burst of agonist EMG activity (AG1) in anterior deltoid was larger in
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Table 2. The amplitude and velocity of arm movement (A), and the size and duration (B) of the integrated EMG burst in the prime mover (anterior deltoid) and the antagonist (posterior deltoid) in normal subjects and in patients with Parkinson's disease.

(A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance moved (cm)</th>
<th>Arm movement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 N</td>
<td>24 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Normal subjects</td>
<td>(2) Parkinson's disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OFF</td>
<td>14.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired OFF</td>
<td>14.7 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired ON</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance moved (cm)</th>
<th>Arm movement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 N</td>
<td>24 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>15.8 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Normal subjects</td>
<td>(2) Parkinson's disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OFF</td>
<td>14.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired OFF</td>
<td>14.7 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paired ON</td>
<td>17.0 ± 0.9*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Amongst the normal subjects, eight individuals were studied at 12 N and nine were studied at 24 N. Amongst the group of patients with Parkinson's disease, 12 were studied at 12 N and 13 were studied at 24 N. Amongst the group of patients studied both ON and OFF, eight were studied at 12 N and nine were studied at 24 N.

Comparison of 12 N vs 24 N task

*p < 0.05 paired Student's t test
†p < 0.05 Wilcoxon

Comparison of “total patients OFF” vs “normals”

*p < 0.05 unpaired Student's t test

Comparison of “paired patients OFF” vs “paired patients ON”

*p < 0.05 paired Student's t test
†*p < 0.05 Wilcoxon
**p < 0.05 ANOVAR for repeated measures for both 12 N and 24 N results

movements made against the larger load (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon). There was a trend for larger EMG bursts in the 24 N task compared with the 12 N task in the anterior deltoid for “paired patients OFF” and “paired patients ON” but this did not reach statistical significance (p > 0.05, Wilcoxon).

Differences in electrode placement and skin resistance make it difficult to compare absolute EMG amplitudes between different groups of patients. A more reliable comparison can be made between muscle activity in the same subject ON and OFF therapy. This showed an increase in the size, but not the duration, of AGI in the “paired patients ON” for the 12 N task (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon) but not for the 24 N task (p > 0.05, Wilcoxon).

The size, but not the duration, of the antagonist burst of EMG activity in posterior deltoid was larger in the 24 N than in the 12 N task in “normal subjects” (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon); however, there was no such difference in the three subgroups of patients (p > 0.05, Wilcoxon). Levodopa increased the duration of the antagonist burst in the 12 N task for the “patients ON” (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon) but not for the 24 N task (p > 0.05, Wilcoxon).

(3) Postural muscles

(a) Absence of postural responses (table 3). The majority of arm movements were accompanied in both normal and Parkinsonian subjects by EMG activity in all postural muscles studied (table 3A). However, there were occasions in subjects from both normal and patient groups when no detectable EMG activity occurred prior to that in the agonist muscle in one or more of the postural muscles recorded. These failures generally were more numerous both in “normal subjects” and in “total patients OFF”, when they pulled against the smaller (12 N) load (p < 0.01 for ES and TA in “normal subjects”) and p < 0.05 for HAMS and TA in “total patients OFF”; Chi squared test). Apart from erector spineae in the 12 N task, patients had more failures than the normal subjects in all three postural muscles for both tasks (p < 0.05, Chi squared test). The same pattern was seen in the patients studied both OFF and ON; when OFF, they had fewer postural responses than normal; when ON the number of postural responses was close to normal.

Horak et al have found that postural muscle activity is enhanced during rapid arm movements. The velocity of arm movements tended to be slower in
patients with Parkinson's disease than in normal subjects (see table 2) which may have accounted for the difference in the number of postural responses between the two groups. To analyse this possibility in detail we measured those movements with velocities less than 85 cm/s, between 85–125 cm/s and those greater than 125 cm/s (table 3B). There are good a priori reasons to suspect that activity in tibialis anterior is a major factor in the maintenance of equilibrium. If one considers the freely standing subject, with the knees locked, as an inverted pendulum pivoted at the ankles, then forward motion of the arm produces a reactive force on the body, causing it to tilt backwards. The force of this backward sway will be transmitted through the ankle to the tibialis anterior. Taking this into consideration, we have analysed the responses in tibialis anterior.

Normal subjects usually executed the arm movement with velocities greater than 85 cm/s. Patients with Parkinson's disease often executed the arm movement with a velocity less than 85 cm/s. However, even taking velocity into account, the number of postural responses remained less in the patients than in the normal subjects (table 3B).

(b) Latencies The time relationships between the onset of activity in different muscles are shown in fig 2. The onsets of activity in postural muscles relative to that in anterior deltoid are plotted for “normal subjects” and for “total patients OFF” (fig 2, left) and for the same patients studied ON and OFF therapy (fig 2, right). Although there was a tendency for activity in the postural muscles to begin later relative to that of the agonist in the “total patients OFF” group compared with controls, and in those OFF treatment compared with those ON treatment, none of these differences achieved statistical significance (p > 0.05, ANOVA combining data from all three muscles “normal subjects” versus “total patients OFF”—12 N: F 0.57, df 1, 17; 24 N: F 3.78, df 1, 17. “Paired patients OFF” versus “paired patients ON”—12 N: F 0.42, df 1, 7; 24 N: F 2.63, df 1, 8). Even the hamstrings latency in “total patients OFF”, (−23 ± 9 ms, at 12 N and −28 ± 11 ms at 24 N) was not statistically different from the normal subjects (−50 ± 6 ms at 12 N and −55 ± 11 ms at 24 N) in an unpaired Student’s t test or in an analysis of variance (p > 0.05, ANOVA combining data from both tasks, “total patients OFF” versus “normals”: F 3.4, df 1, 17). In addition, the latency did not depend upon the size of the load in any group of subjects (p > 0.05, ANOVA combining data from all three muscles at each load).

(c) Amplitudes As previously stated, comparisons of absolute EMG size between different groups are unreliable so we have compared the size of EMG activity in the same subject ON and OFF therapy (fig 3). This showed that the size and duration of the first burst of EMG activity in erector spinae was no different for the 12 N or the 24 N tasks, nor were they increased after levodopa (p > 0.05, Wilcoxon).

For the hamstrings the size and duration of the first burst of EMG activity was greater in the 24 N task than in the 12 N task for the “paired patients OFF” (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon) but not for the “paired patients ON” (p > 0.05, Wilcoxon). After levodopa therapy there was no significant increase in the size or the duration of EMG activity for both tasks (p > 0.05, Wilcoxon).

For the tibialis anterior the duration but not the size of the first burst of EMG activity increased for the larger (24 N) task in the “paired patients OFF” (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon), but neither increased in the “paired patients ON” (p > 0.05, Wilcoxon). Levodopa caused an increase in both the size and the duration of EMG activity in tibialis anterior, and did so in both tasks (p
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Normal vs Parkinson's disease

Parkinson's disease off vs on treatment

Fig 2 Mean latencies (with standard errors) of the onset of EMG bursts in posterior deltoid (PD) and associated postural responses in erector spinae (ES), biceps femoris (HAMS) and tibialis anterior (TA) relative to the onset of activity in the anterior deltoid (shown at time 0). Panels on the left show the normal group (open symbols) and the total group of Parkinsonian patients, (closed symbols); panels on the right show data for those patients who were studied both ON (open symbols) and OFF treatment (closed symbols). The upper panels show data for the 12 N task and the lower panels show data for the 24 N task. There was no significant difference between the latencies of any of the postural muscles in any group.

< 0.05, Wilcoxon). Two striking examples of the difference in EMG activity of patients with Parkinson's disease OFF and ON therapy are shown in fig 4.

Discussion

These data suggest that, for voluntary forward flexion of the arm, (1) patients with Parkinson's disease deliver instructions to their postural muscles at the right time, relative to the onset of activity in the prime mover, but (2) the amount of activity is less than normal (the latter conclusion is based on the comparison of EMG activity in postural muscles in patients studied ON and OFF treatment, and on the reduced number of responses in postural muscles in patients compared with controls). These conclusions are particularly appropriate to data derived from the tibialis anterior, which for reasons argued earlier,
bears the brunt of anticipatory postural correction in this task.

Our findings differ from those of Bouisset,3-6 who found a delay in the onset of postural muscle activity, as compared with normals when patients with Parkinson’s disease raised their arms. However, they did not study tibialis anterior and their conclusions were based on mechanical records of leg movement.8 We have shown that postural responses, although present at the correct time, are smaller in patients than normals, so may not have contributed as much to mechanical changes.

Another point of interest in our study was that the patients with Parkinson’s disease activated the three postural muscles studied (erector spinae, hamstrings and tibialis anterior) in the same temporal sequence as normal subjects. Accordingly, we conclude that patients with Parkinson’s disease deliver the complete program for forward flexion of the arm, with its associated postural activity, with correct timing but reduced activity in the initial EMG bursts. In other words, the form of the complete program seems preserved in Parkinson’s disease but its content is reduced.

This raises the possibility of whether the reduced activity in the postural muscles of patients with Parkinson’s disease is appropriate to their reduced velocity of movement. Since the activity in the prime mover, and the resulting velocity of arm movement, tended to be reduced in the patients with Parkinson’s disease, it could be argued that the reduced postural activity in the present task was appropriate to the activity in the prime mover. This question can only be established by measurement of reactive forces and
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body sway and by comparison of these with the anticipatory postural muscle activity. A further study along these lines is required to settle this point.

In conclusion, the results suggest that the patients with Parkinson's disease produce feedforward adjustments of posture at the right time, but whether they are of appropriate size remains to be determined, although we can say that no patient fell over when undertaking the task studied.
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