Controlled acute trial of a thyrotrophin releasing hormone analogue (RX77368) in motor neuron disease
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SUMMARY Twenty five patients with motor neuron disease completed a double blind randomised cross over trial of RX77368, a stabilised TRH analogue, iv over 2 hours against saline. Temporary improvement in bulbar symptoms including speech, respiratory parameters, tongue movements and swallowing were seen. Fasciculations increased and spasticity decreased. Change in muscle force with drug was different from placebo but both increase and decrease in force were seen and did not result in detectable changes in function. Side effects were clinically significant in 50% of the patients and cleared within 12 hours. Prolonged rise of thyroxine and an increase in plasma levels of prolactin, thyroid stimulating hormone and growth hormone were seen and followed characteristic patterns.

Adult sporadic motor neuron disease has an incidence of about 1–1.5/100 000 which is of the same order of magnitude as that of multiple sclerosis. Its prevalence, however, is much less than that of multiple sclerosis because about 75% of the patients die within 5 years of onset, usually from complications related to weakness in bulbar muscles.

Since the initial report of a temporary effect of high dose intravenous thyrotrophin releasing hormone (TRH) on weakness and spasticity in patients with motor neuron disease, other groups in the USA, Japan, France and Germany have either confirmed some of the findings or failed to do so. It has been suggested that longer acting TRH analogues with a higher benefit/side effect ratio might be tried in motor neuron disease but no controlled study has been published so far on their actions in this disease.

L-pyroglutamyl-hystidyl-L-3,3 dimethyl prolineamide (RX77368), a stabilised analogue containing a 3,3 dimethyl substituted prolineamide residue which impairs degradation by peptidases, is 14–200 times more potent than TRH on a molar basis on various tests of neuropharmacological activity, yet its endocrine effect is of the same magnitude. Its systemic availability is four times more than that of TRH and its much greater neuropharmacological potency possibly relates to slow enzymatic degradation in nervous tissue. In humans plasma half life is 1080 minutes and half life in homogenates of brain tissue is 168 minutes, compared with 5–33 minutes and 18 minutes respectively for TRH. There is evidence to suggest an action of RX77368 on rat motorneurons.

We have studied the acute effects of RX77368 in consecutive motor neuron disease patients using a double blind, randomised, crossover design after an initial pilot study. Preliminary reports of this work have appeared elsewhere.

Patients, material and methods

Two normal male volunteers aged 30 and 32 years and twenty eight patients participated; fourteen were male and fourteen female. Mean age of the twenty six patients that entered the controlled trial was 59.2 years (range 41 to 81) and their median duration of illness was 20.5 months (range 6–108). Mean age for males was 55.6 years (range 41–71) and for females 62.8 years (range 52–81). Median time to severe incapacity was 13.5 months (range 5–54). All gave informed consent. The protocol was approved by the local Ethical Committee. All patients were in good general medi-
Diagnostic criteria (a) Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS): simultaneous occurrence of upper and lower motor neuron signs in one or more limbs (n = 16). (b) Progressive Muscular Atrophy (PMA): lower motor neuron signs in one or more limbs (n = 4). (c) Progressive Bulbar Palsy (PBP): Flaccid and/or spastic paralysis of bulbar muscles with or without pyramidal signs in the limbs (n = 8). Bulbar signs were mild in one and prominent in four cases classified as ALS. They are grouped below as “bulbar syndrome” (n = 13) for analysis of drug effects. (d) Mixed forms: other combinations of the above were labelled in this report by the forms at onset or the predominant signs. (e) A progressive clinical course. (f) Normal cerebrospinal fluid. A rise in protein up to 1-6 g/l can occur and was accepted. (g) Electromyographic evidence of denervation, including fasciculations in upper or lower limbs (preferably both). No reduction in maximal motor conduction velocity beyond what would be expected by the degree of wasting. Normal sensory conduction (particularly in mixed nerves with evidence of denervation in their motor distribution) except where coincidental entrapment neuropathy can be demonstrated. (h) Normal myelography in cases where it was judged clinically indicated.

All patients had weakness in the upper limbs. Four patients with PBP and one with ALS and prominent bulbar signs did not have weakness in the lower limbs. Onset of disease was obtained on careful questioning. Severe incapacity (17 cases) was defined as the presence of one or more of the following: unintelligible or nearly unintelligible speech and/or very impaired swallowing with easy choking or aspiration and inability to cough (n = 6), seriously impaired walking (less than 100 yards, frame or wheel chair required) (n = 8), inability to manipulate objects usefully with the dominant upper limb (n = 1), inability to perform activities of daily living unaided (feeding, bathing, toileting, dressing) (n = 2). Mean Norris scale score was 65.5 (range 36–85).

Assessments
All patients had a full general medical examination including haematological, renal, hepatic, cardiovascular and endocrine investigation. Neurological examination included detailed assessment of muscle force both with the Medical Research Council scale and with a hand held dynamometer (Penny and Giles Transducers Ltd, Dorset, UK). Twenty one muscle groups were assessed with the latter in the controlled study, by the same examiner, in standard positions for force testing in each patient. They included neck flexion, right and left shoulder abduction, elbow extension and flexion, wrist extension, finger extension, index finger abduction, thumb abduction, hip flexion, knee extension and foot dorsiflexion. Maximal voluntary isometric contraction (MVC) was defined as the highest force after three satisfactory trials with adequate auditory stimulation which were all recorded. Spasticity was scored clinically (0 nil, 1 slight, 2 moderate, 3 severe). Fasciculations were deemed unchanged, decreased or increased by observation. Vital capacity (VC) and Peak flow (PF) were measured with a portable electronic spirometer. Maximal inspiratory and expiratory pressures (MIP, MEP) were measured with a specially designed instrument containing pressure gauges. All neurological assessments were made by the same neurologist. Tape recordings of a standard piece of reading (100 words) were later scored blindly by one senior speech therapist using phonemic analysis. Swallowing was scored by observation and palpation in a scale from 0 (none) to 5 (normal). Lateral and vertical tongue movements were scored for range of movement (0–5). Palatal movement was scored for sustained elevation during 5 seconds (number of seconds and quality) and for five successive elevations (number and completeness of elevation). Scoring of repetition of a word with labial consonants was used to assess lip movement. The Norris score was computed. Walking a standard distance of 6 meters was timed. Electrophysiological measurements were carried out with a Nupratic 2000 M machine (Dantec). Dantec 13L20 disposable surface electrodes on abductor digiti minimi (ADM) and a Dantec 13L22 nerve stimulator were used. In decremental studies the amplitude of the negative peak of the 4th response is compared to the first response, at 3 Hz. The temperature of the limb examined was monitored throughout and ranged from 31 to 33°C. Pulse and blood pressure were monitored using a Sentron Automatic Blood Pressure Monitor (Bard Biomedical).

Radioimmunoassays of thyroxine (T4), tri-iodothyronine (T3), thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH), prolactin (PRL), growth hormone (GH) and cortisol were all performed in the endocrine laboratory at Westminster Hospital.

Procedure
All patients were admitted to hospital. The two normal volunteers received doses ranging from 25.5 μg to 1730 μg. In the open pilot study five patients were given intravenous infusions of RX77368 in doses ranging from 0-10 mg/kg to 1 mg/kg over 100 to 199 minutes. Twenty-six patients entered the double blind, placebo controlled, randomised, double crossover trial; three of them had taken part in the pilot study. MVC, VC, PF, MIP and MEP were measured daily for the first 5 days and also five times over 24 hours mimicking recordings during infusions. For respiratory parameters measurements over 24 hours were used as a baseline (fig 2, table 1). On days 5 and 12, 300 μg/kg of RX77368 over 2 hours or saline were given in random order. Patients were fasted for 9 hours prior to the infusions. Measurements of MVC and respiratory parameters were performed immediately before infusion, at 1 hour, at the end of it and again 5–7 hours and 24 hours after it. Spasticity and fasciculations were scored before, at the end of, and up to 24 hours after infusion. Assessment of speech and other bulbar mediated functions was performed for each infusion at least three times; before, at the end and 4–8 hours after it. Walking was timed before and at the end of the infusions. Blood pressure and skin temperature were monitored throughout the infusions.

Analysis of data and statistical methods
Large fluctuations in force are seen in normals and in patients with neuromuscular disorders (fig 1). For this reason we obtained a mean MVC and standard deviation from ten baseline assessments (daily recordings for 5 days and five recordings over 24 hours) for each individual muscle.
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Fig 1  Dynamometry.  Top: MVC recorded four times each day. Reproducible increase in left elbow extension (LEE) but not in left finger extension (LFE) with RX77368 (days 3 and 8). Note increase in coefficient of variation (CV) for LEE with marked random fluctuation of first MVC each day (Male, 71 years, PMA). Bottom: force of five attempts in quick succession at half hour intervals before and during infusion (AB...F, day 1) and two days later (G). MVC (first or second point in each curve) decreases with no change in fatigability (slope of each curve) in right shoulder abduction (RSA, open circles). There is no change in force in right elbow extension (upper traces). (Female, 50 years, PMA). TRHA = RX77368. Force in Newtons.

Table 1  Respiration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Means* Rx</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Mean change ± SE (RX-S)</th>
<th>% change† Mean ± SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>6.84 g/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p = 0.04)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>± 3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2.52</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>8.64 g/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p = 0.03)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>± 3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-8.28</td>
<td>10.08 g/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p = 0.02)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>± 3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP‡</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-2.62</td>
<td>-13.09</td>
<td>10.47 g/cm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p = 0.05)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td>(11)</td>
<td></td>
<td>± 5.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>-15.9</td>
<td>41.21/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p = 0.03)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>± 16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p = 0.04)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td>(16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC‡</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p = 0.02)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Differences from preinfusion, then differences from similar measurements during 24 hour baseline.
†Mean change (RX–S) expressed as percentage of mean 24 hour baseline.
‡Bulbar syndrome. Time: hours from beginning of infusion. ( ) numbers of patients. Statistics: split plot ANOVA (RX77368 versus saline). MEP and MIP, maximal expiratory and inspiratory pressure; PF, peak flow; VC, vital capacity.
group in each patient. Recordings during and after each infusion were classified as increase or decrease in force if their value was above or below two standard deviations from both the mean baseline MVC and the pre-infusion MVC. To allow comparisons between muscles with large differences in strength, MVCs during and after infusion were expressed as a proportion of each (saline or drug) pre-infusion MVC and compared at each assessment using a Wilcoxon Matched-Pairs Signed-Ranks test (fig 4). Increase/decrease in force as summarised in table 2 was compared by muscle group using a chi-squared test with continuity correction and by the patient's exact chi-squared test with order of infusion taken into account. A further analysis by total number of muscles tested was carried out using McNemar's chi-squared test with continuity correction. For respiratory and neurophysiological parameters, Norris scale, bulbar function and phonemic analysis, the response in each of the treatment periods was expressed as changes from the baseline level, that is the run-in period. Analysis of responses for the various parameters recorded was carried out using a split-plot type analysis of variance with treatment period and treatment order effects or similar non-parametric tests, such as the Mann Whitney U-test. The methodology in evaluating the above effects is described by Hills and Armitage.38 Where evidence of a treatment order effect was shown, the treatment comparison was based on response in the first treatment period only.

Endocrine data were analysed with Duncan's one-way analysis of variance, except for GH, which because of non-homogeneous variances was analysed by calculating the area under the curve (AUC) and comparing treatment with placebo using the Mann-Whitney U test. AUC was calculated using the formula $t(1/2 a + b + c... + m + 1/2n)$ where $t$ is the fixed time interval, and $a$ to $n$ are the responses.

Results

A. NEUROLOGICAL FINDINGS

Pilot study

Apart from minor headache, no side effects were seen in the two normal volunteers. Four patients with motor neuron disease reported subjective improvement, starting at the end of the infusion and lasting up to 72 hours, but in one there was a 5 hour latency. Either stronger arms or legs were described. Change in force was apparent to the examiner in all patients. Out of 47 muscles tested with a dynamometer, improvement was seen in nine muscle groups in five patients and deterioration in five muscle groups in three patients (fig 1). Four muscles in three patients too weak to be tested with a dynamometer showed increase in MRC ratings. In one, biceps increased from 2 to 3 in the MRC scale for 48 hours after infusion. There was no detectable effect on muscle fatigability (fig 1). The effect on muscle force was also observed in a hypothyroid patient whose thyroid hormones did not change with the infusion. The change in muscle force did not lead to striking changes in functional capacity. Increase in fasciculations was seen in four patients. No change in tone, deep tendon reflexes nor plantar responses was observed.

Controlled study

Twenty five patients completed the study. One refused the second infusion after noting a beneficial
Fig 4. Effect on muscle force. Mean MVC ± SE (bars) in right biceps expressed as proportion of pre-infusion MVC at 1, 2, 6 and 24 hours after beginning of infusions of RX77368 and saline. For criteria to separate subsets with increase and decrease in force see methods. Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Matched-Pairs Test.

effect with the first one (drug). There was no overall subjective preference for drug against placebo. However, 10 of the 13 patients with bulbar syndrome preferred RX77368 (Fisher’s exact χ² test, p = 0.02); they reported clearer speech, stronger voice and easier swallowing for up to 72 hours after infusion.

Temporary improvement in all respiratory parameters, except MIP, was seen with the drug (table 1). A small absolute fall in MIP with the drug was seen for all patients and in the bulbar subgroup, but the fall was significantly more marked with placebo. This resulted in a positive mean change in this parameter too. In the last column of table 1 the absolute difference between drug and placebo infusion has been expressed as a percentage of the mean 24 hour baseline to give an idea of the magnitude of the drug effect. For all patients, the mean % change ranged from 8-6% to 19-1% of the mean 24 hour baseline values. In the bulbar subset mean % improvement in vital capacity from baseline was 15-9% (SE = 6-9) (Table 1). Figure 2 shows the time course of changes in mean vital capacity for all patients and for the bulbar subset.

Eight of the patients with bulbar syndrome had predominantly spastic dysarthria which showed clinical improvement with RX77368 lasting up to 72 hours, but one of them did not have placebo infusion (Fisher’s exact χ² test, p = 0.05). None of the four patients with anarthria improved. A further patient did not have dysarthria. Seven dysarthric patients had phonemic analysis. A marked reduction in the total number of phonemic errors with RX77368 in each of the six patients who had both drug and placebo infusions is shown in fig 3.

In the bulbar subset there was evidence of significant improvement in total range of tongue movements at the end of drug infusion (Mann-Whitney U Test, p = 0.04) and of swallowing 4 hours after drug infusion (Mann-Whitney U Test, p = 0.05). Palatal movement (repetitive elevation) was worse with the drug 4 hours after infusion (Mann-Whitney U Test, p = 0.05).

Fasciculations increased about 1 hour into the drug

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Dynamometry. Analysis of force (χ² test with continuity correction or Fisher’s exact χ² where appropriate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>By total number of muscles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>80 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>76 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>225 (59%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II</th>
<th>By muscle group (n = 21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Between groups RX &gt; S</td>
<td>S &gt; RX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Within groups RX</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force &gt; Force</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force = Force</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>III</th>
<th>By patient (n = 25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) Between groups RX &gt; S</td>
<td>S &gt; RX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in force</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) Within groups RX</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force &gt; Force</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force &gt; Force</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force = Force</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RX = RX77368; S = saline; see text.
infusion in 18 patients (Fisher's exact $\chi^2$ test, $p < 0.001$) and this effect lasted several hours. In one patient increase in fasciculations was recorded for the placebo infusion.

Spasticity decreased in six out of 13 patients who had it following drug infusion compared with no decrease following placebo (Fisher's exact $\chi^2$ test, $p = 0.03$). In one spasticity increased. Of nine patients with normal tone one showed increase and eight no change with the drug. No change was seen in four hypotonic patients.

No change was detected in deep tendon reflexes or plantar responses.

The main results of analysis of force are summarised in table 2. The total number of muscles with increase and decrease in force was significantly higher with drug, and for those showing no change was significantly higher with placebo. When expressing the total number of muscles with change in force as a percentage of the number of muscles tested in each patient the mean percentage of muscles with increase in force was 19.7 for the drug and 13.2 for placebo (split-plot ANOVA, $p = 0.07$). The mean percentage of muscles with decrease in force was 20.6 for the drug and 10 for placebo (split-plot ANOVA, $p = 0.004$). The mean number of muscles increasing in force per patient was 3.2 for drug and 2.1 for placebo (split-plot ANOVA, $p = 0.06$). The mean number of muscles decreasing in force was 3.0 for drug and 1.6 for placebo (split-plot ANOVA, $p = 0.003$).

Analysis by muscle group shows that for the majority (15) of the 21 muscle groups tested increase and decrease in force was seen in more patients following drug than following placebo infusion (between treatment comparison). A within treatment comparison showed no real trend in respect of the direction of the change in force over the muscle groups after either drug or placebo treatment (table 2).

Analysis by patient showed that in a greater proportion of patients more muscles changed in force with the drug than with placebo. The number of patients showing more muscles increasing and decreasing in force with drug than with placebo is close (14 and 16 respectively, table 2) as is the number of patients showing more muscles increasing and decreasing in force with placebo than with drug (six and five respectively, table 2). Differences of over four muscles changing in force between drug and placebo infusion were only seen in favour of drug, in four out of the fourteen patients for increase in force and in two out of the sixteen patients for decrease in force. A within treatment comparison showed no real trend in respect of the direction of change in force for the patients in the study after either drug or placebo treatment (table 2).

The findings for change in force are exemplified for right biceps in fig. 4. This muscle group was tested in 22 patients, with a significant increase in MVC in seven and decrease in six patients during drug as compared with placebo infusion. The ten patients in whom criteria for change in force were not met are not represented in the graph. One patient appears in both graphs as she showed increase in force at 2 hours and decrease at 6 hours after infusion.

There was a minor but statistically significant reduction in Norris scale score (split-plot ANOVA, $p = 0.034$) with the drug related entirely to increase in fasciculations.

There was no difference in timed walking between placebo and drug.

No significant difference (split-plot ANOVA) between placebo and drug was found for (1) decrement in amplitude of compound muscle action potential (MAP) of ADM with repetitive stimulation of the ulnar nerve at 1 and 2 hours after starting infusions 9 (n = 12); (2) peak to peak amplitude of the MAP of ADM measured before and at 1 hour and 2 hours after starting infusion (n = 15); (3) distal motor latency to ADM (n = 17) and maximal motor nerve conduction velocity (n = 16) of the elbow-wrist segment of the ulnar nerve measured before and at the end of infusion.

B. ENDOCRINE FINDINGS

Pilot study

Only three of the four patients given two infusions were evaluated. In two of the three the maximum response of TSH to RX77368 was less for the second infusion than for the first, and the dose-response curve is shifted to the right (fig 5 Panel D). This is despite the fact that they received a larger total dose during the second infusion than the first. In the third patient, RX77368 was infused at 20 mg/hour (total dose 40 mg) first, and at 15 mg/hour (total dose 30 mg) on the second occasion. Despite such a modest reduction in dosage, there was a considerable reduction in the TSH response to the second infusion (fig 5 Panel C). One patient had primary hypothyroidism and his markedly elevated levels of TSH did not respond to RX77368. His normal prolactin levels were elevated after 30 minutes, then subsided during the rest of the infusion (fig 5 Panels A and B). Thyrroxine remained undetectable throughout the infusion.

Controlled study

One patient with treated hypothyroidism was excluded from analysis of thyroid function. In the remaining subjects TSH (fig 6) rises progressively during the infusion. After the infusion, levels decline over 12 hours.

Prolactin (fig 6) levels peak after 30 minutes infusion, thereafter falling to a plateau at 90 minutes.
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Fig 5  Endocrine responses. Pilot study. Panels a and b: a previously untreated hypothyroid patient with motor neuron disease was infused with RX77368 10 000 μg/hr (▲) and saline (△) one week apart. Panel c: a patient was given two infusions of RX77368 four days apart. The first infusion rate was 20 000 μg/hr (□), the second rate was 15 000 μg/hr (■). Panel d: two patients were each infused with RX77368 on two separate occasions, four days apart. Patient 1, first infusion, (●) total dose of 25-2 mg, second infusion, (△) total dose of 52-5 mg. Patient 2, first infusion, (▲) total dose of 8-46 mg, second infusion, (●) total dose 59 mg.

After the infusion, levels rapidly decline to normal within 6 hours.

RX77368 stimulates the integrated secretion of GH (p < 0-05) (fig 7). The maximum level of GH was 10-1 ± 1-4 μg/l at 120 minutes after the start of the infusion. Cortisol (fig 7) was not significantly affected by RX77368. The expected diurnal decline in cortisol did not occur in either the RX77368 or placebo group.

Thyroxine (fig 6) levels rose within 60 minutes of the start of the infusion, and the increase was significant by 120 minutes. Levels rose even higher 6 hours after the end of the infusion and did not return to normal until 72 hours after the end of the infusion. T₃ levels rose earlier than T₄, and the increase was significant by 90 minutes. T₃ levels returned to normal within 48 hours.

Side effects were clinically important in 13 patients (50%). They included cold and warm sensations, nausea, shivering, paraesthesia and dysesthesia, vomiting, irritability, sweating, tiredness, nasal secretion, dry mouth, headache, motor agitation, itchy throat and cough. Transient minor elevation in blood pressure was seen in one elderly patient with bulbar syndrome. They usually cleared within 12 hours. During the pilot study vaginal sensations, palpitations, hiccup, insomnia, abnormal taste, urgency of micturition, flatulence and visual obscuration were also seen.

Monitoring of skin temperature, blood pressure, electrocardiogram and haematological renal and liver function laboratory parameters showed no toxic side effects in both the pilot and controlled studies.

Discussion

TRH, a tripeptide found in the hypothalamus, was originally described for its effects on anterior pituitary function. However, about two thirds of total TRH are found in extrahypothalamic sites including cerebral cortex, hippocampus, brain stem and spinal cord and it is believed to be a neurotransmitter or neuromodulator. The role of TRH in the spinal cord is unknown but it is found in high concentrations in the anterior horns, close to the anterior horn cells. TRH receptors have been described in human spinal cord and their density is diminished and distribution altered in motor neuron disease.

Motor neuron disease is associated with spinal cord depletion of TRH content relative to wet weight but not relative to protein content. TRH and analogues may accelerate recovery from spinal trauma in cats and have excitatory effects on rat motorneurons.

Engel et al gave very large doses of TRH in an open study to patients with motor neuron disease, and observed a temporary increase in power and reduction in spasticity. Considerable side effects were seen, notably nausea and vomiting. Temporary beneficial effects of TRH in spinocerebellar degenerations were described by Sobue et al.

We have infused a TRH analogue, L-pyroglutamyl-L-hystidyl-L-3,3 dimethyl prolineamide (RX77368), 0-3 mg/kg IV over 2 hours, and have produced temporary improvement in patients with motor neuron disease with bulbar syndrome, both subjectively and in various tests of bulbar function. In addition, there is increase in fasciculations, and a statistically significant reduction in spasticity and change in muscle force with the drug. Fifty percent of the patients did not have clinically significant side effects.

There are many methodological problems in a trial of this nature. Diagnostic criteria have been stringent. To avoid interobserver variation one experienced observer was used for all neurological and respiratory assessments. Another observer performed all other
Fig 6  Endocrine responses to a 2 h infusion of RX77368. Statistical analysis was performed using Duncan's 1-way analysis of variance. P values of less than 0.05 are considered significant.

Fig 7  Cortisol and growth hormone response to infusions of RX77368 (●) and saline (○). The infusions started at t = 0 and finished at t = 120. Statistical analysis was performed by calculating the area under the curve from t = 0 to 120 minutes and comparing the RX77368 infusion with saline using the Mann-Whitney U test. P values of less than 0.05 are considered significant.
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bulbar function measurements including scoring of tape recordings of speech. Variations in bulbar involvement, degree of spasticity, pyramidal weakness and patchy lower motor neuron weakness result in marked variations in clinical picture and functional capacity from patient to patient. There are also fluctuations in performance in each patient over time. The test situation, with 2 hour infusions and a number of measurements being performed, is likely to introduce further variation. Attempts to control for these factors included random cross-over design and appropriate baseline measurements in each patient, against which both drug and saline infusions were compared. The infusions were separated by 7 days, an interval deemed adequate from other data from our group and treatment × period interaction was considered in the statistical analysis. The double blind nature of the trial may be questioned because of the side effects of the drug. However in 50% of the patients they were not clinically significant and a few patients had side effects with placebo (nausea, vomiting). Measurements that showed the most clear drug effect (speech, respiration) are unlikely to have had observer bias (see methods).

The most striking beneficial effect of RX77368 was improvement in predominantly spastic dysarthria both in clarity and volume, as described for native TRH (fig 3). The power of the trial to detect the mean 41% improvement seen with a mean standard deviation of the difference of 20% was greater than 0·9. Subjective improvement in speech in seven out of 12 patients given native TRH by Caroscio et al was not confirmed by analysis of their tape recordings. The cross-over intervals in this trial were short, 72 hours between drug and placebo and 96 hours between iv and sc routes. Mitsumoto et al and Brooke et al only measured the time required to repeat three syllables ten times and repetition of a standard sentence respectively. The effect lasted up to 72 hours in our patients and was reported for up to 48 hours by Caroscio's patients. The effects on respiratory parameters (table 1, fig 2) might also be useful. Improvement in vital capacity with native TRH has been noted by some but not by others. Transient improvements in range of tongue movements and swallowing were noted both subjectively and objectively in patients with bulbar syndrome with the methods used here. Palatal movement paradoxically showed deterioration after infusion. Improvement in force of tongue elevation and in facial muscles has been reported for native TRH.

Data on muscle force show that a similar number of muscles, muscle groups and patients have increase as have decrease in force with the drug at the dose used (table 2, fig 4). The effect on force was modest, rarely extended beyond 6 hours after infusion and was seldom clinically useful. Only in four out of 14 patients (29%) who had more muscles that increased in force with drug than with placebo was the difference of four or more muscles. Increase in fasciculations, lack of an effect on fatigability (fig 1) and lack of decrement of the MAP of ADM argue against an action of the drug on the neuromuscular junction and are consistent with a direct or indirect action on anterior horn cells. Lack of effect on maximal motor nerve conduction velocity and distal motor latency are against an action on distal fastest conducting motor fibres. A complex effect at various levels, including blood vessels and muscle, cannot be ruled out. In some muscles we observed both increase and decrease in force with the drug, in any order. The direction of change in force in any muscle in an individual patient could not be predicted at the dose used, that is did not differ from direction of change in force with placebo (table 2, within group analysis). The "autorefractory state" described for native TRH with initial increase in force and later decrease in force with subsequent doses was not observed by us. Decrease in force was seen in some muscles with small doses and further decrease with subsequent doses (fig 1). It is uncertain whether differences in methodology or in the actions of TRH and RX77368 account for this discrepancy. Faden et al suggest that neurological recovery after spinal cord injury in cats improves with TRH and with an analogue with a substitution in the pyroglutamyl ring of the tripeptide (CG3509) but not with another analogue which has modifications to both the pyroglutamyl and proline rings (MK771). Future identification of the mechanisms underlying change in force with TRH and analogues and in particular, its direction, in patients with motor neuron disease, is needed. There is no compelling reason to assume that pharmacological agents will have a similar effect on all muscle groups. The anatomical location and synaptic connectivity of anterior horn cells in lamina IX of Rexed is said to be different for proximal and distal muscles and the fibre type composition of different muscles varies.

The findings on muscle force are consistent with the lack of observable difference between drug and placebo in both timed walking and Norris scale. However, several patients reported general improvement in strength and in various activities, including walking, with the drug. The distance timed was only 6 metres and the Norris scale may not be sensitive enough to detect this subjective impression. Further refinement of techniques for assessing functional status in motor neuron disease seems desirable.

High doses of TRH or its analogues had not been given to humans before their use in motor neuron
disease. Monitoring the endocrine responses, both as a biological marker of TRH activity and to assess the potential endocrine side effects is important.

TRH is used for the assessment of pituitary-thyroid function as a bolus dose of up to 500 µg. We have given dosages probably up to 800 times larger on an equipotent dosage basis. Most of the previous literature relating to the actions of TRH on the endocrine system is not therefore comparable. Certain similarities, however, do exist. Lower dose infusions of TRH have shown a similar PRL response to ours, whereas very low dose infusions have shown only a small, transient increase in PRL. The TSH responses seen in these other studies were also similar. The mechanisms responsible for the pattern of prolactin output we have seen is not clear. It may be related to prolactin inhibiting its own output at the level of the pituitary or to changes in hypothalamic dopamine output.

Our pilot study suggests that there is a down regulation in the biological response to a second infusion of RX77368, despite a return to basal concentrations of T4 and T3. It is unclear whether such down regulation is mediated via peripheral thyroid hormones, TSH or by the analogue itself. TRH receptor down regulation occurs at various sites within the CNS, but studies have not been performed on spinal TRH receptors. The endocrine effects of long term infusions of TRH or its analogues are difficult to predict. In the existing literature, TSH concentrations remain elevated in ewes but return to normal in monkeys and lambs. Peripheral thyroid hormones remained elevated throughout these studies.

RX77368 stimulates the release of GH. Whilst TRH is not commonly recognised as a GH releasing factor, in some clinical situations, such as acromegaly and “normal variant tall stature”, GH releasing activity is seen. Since RX77368 has no effect on cortisol release it is unlikely that its effects on the release of other hormones is mediated via a stress response. In the recovery phase, after termination of the infusion of RX77368, T3 concentrations return to normal more rapidly than T4. Since most T3 is formed by peripheral monodeiodination of T4, it would appear that this conversion is inhibited in patients after the administration of RX77368. This would minimise the potential of this analogue to cause thyrotoxicosis. This potential hazard could be controlled with anti-thyroid drugs. If the spinal TRH receptors are shown to be significantly different from those on the pituitary, spinally active analogues may also be designed which do not affect TSH secretion.

It is unlikely that the changes in neurological parameters are the result of changes in peripheral thyroid hormones. (1) Changes in muscle force and bulbar function parameters preceded significant changes in T3 and T4. (2) Neurological changes were observed in a patient with primary hypothyroidism whose thyroid hormones did not change during drug infusion. (3) Neuropharmacological effects of TRH and analogues in experimental animals are not mediated via peripheral thyroid hormones. (4) The effects of thyroxine at cellular level are not seen for several hours.

This trial was designed to establish the acute effects of the analogue at a dose judged from the pilot study to maximise neurological action with an acceptable degree of clinical side effects. Prolonged therapy should be explored to establish whether the improvements seen can be maintained. Although others have failed to show a change in the course of the illness so far with native TRH, any symptomatic effect that might improve quality of life would be important. In addition, any possible effect of this and other TRH analogues on the course of the disease is worth studying.
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