Muscle strength, endurance and recovery in the post-infection fatigue syndrome
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SUMMARY A test of muscle strength and "fatiguability" was administered to 20 normal subjects and 20 patients suffering from post-infection fatigue syndrome. Maximal isometric torque for the elbow flexors was measured before, during and after an endurance sequence of 18 maximal static contractions (10 s duration, 10 s rest interval). The maximal isometric strength was not significantly different between the patient and control groups. The relative torque produced at the end of the series of 18 static contractions did not differ significantly between patients and normal subjects. In the patients with post-infection fatigue syndrome there was impairment of the recovery of peak torque at 10 minutes after the endurance sequence (p < 0.02). The prominent subjective complaint of muscle fatigue in patients with post-infection fatigue syndrome contrasts with the relatively normal behaviour of their muscles during a controlled test of fatigue. The syndrome may include a disordered perception of achieved force and exertion.

The syndrome of persistent fatigue after a viral or other infection occurs commonly, and has been variously designated as post-viral fatigue syndrome, myalgic encephalomyelitis, chronic Epstein-Barr virus infection and recently as post-infection fatigue syndrome (PIFS). Profound muscle fatigue, precipitated by minimal physical activity is the major complaint amongst patients with PIFS. Despite this prominent symptom, little objective abnormality has been found in the muscles of affected individuals. Muscle biopsies have demonstrated inconsistent and mild, non-specific changes on both light and electron microscopic examination. Standard electromyography, has similarly shown no definite abnormality. Single-fibre electromyography has been reported to demonstrate increased jitter, but without any impulse blocking. Increased jitter alone does not account for the symptom of muscle fatigue, as failed transmission in the motor unit evidenced by impulse blocking on the electromyograph is required to produce sub-maximal contraction of the muscle. An abnormal early intracellular acidosis has been reported in the exercised muscle of patients with PIFS, based on 31P nuclear magnetic resonance imaging. As the latter two studies did not include control subjects, the significance of their findings remains unclear. Biochemical analysis of a range of mitochondrial and glycolytic enzymes in muscles of patients with PIFS has shown no abnormality.

To document objectively the muscle fatiguability in voluntary contractions, we measured maximal isometric strength before, during and after a series of maximal contractions of the elbow flexors. This technique has been used previously to study isometric strength and endurance in normal subjects and in various patient groups.

Patients and methods:

Consecutive patients who fulfilled our diagnostic criteria for PIFS (table 1), were subjects in this study. These criteria incorporate the characteristic features of the history (including subjective fatiguability of muscles), abnormalities on physical examination (including lymphadenopathy), and abnormal laboratory investigations (including T cell lymphopenia and cutaneous anergy) in a weighted scale analogous to the Duckett-Jones criteria for the diagnosis of rheumatic fever. Twenty-five patients were tested, five of whom were subsequently excluded (see below). The patient group included 10 males and 10 females (table 2). Abnormal cell-mediated immunity was present in 15 of the 20 patients (T4 lymphopenia in nine patients, T8 lymphopenia in 11...
Table 1 Criteria for the diagnosis of PIFS

To fulfil the criteria a patient must have:
Chronic persisting or relapsing fatigue of a generalised nature, causing major disruption of usual daily activities, present for greater than six months.

Two major criteria OR one major AND three minor criteria (below):
(1) Symptoms: Persistent at least six months continuously, or relapsing on three or more occasions with a similar pattern over six months or more.

Major
Concentration/memory impairment
Minor
Myalgia
Arthralgia
Headaches
Depression
Tinnitus
Paraesthesiae

(2) Signs: Present on at least one occasion subsequent to the initial illness.

Major
Lymphadenopathy
Minor
Pharyngitis
Localised muscle tenderness

(3) Immunological assessment:

Major
T8 or T4 lymphopenia (absolute count)
Minor
Cutaneous anergy OR Hypoergy

Table 2 Characteristics of subject groups. Ten subjects in each group. Values expressed as mean 1 SD (in parenthesis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age (yrs)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Training Status*</th>
<th>Strength (Nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male controls</td>
<td>35 (11)</td>
<td>176 (7)</td>
<td>65 (7)</td>
<td>1-3 (0-5)</td>
<td>66 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male patients</td>
<td>38 (16)</td>
<td>176 (7)</td>
<td>76 (10)*</td>
<td>1-3 (0-5)</td>
<td>75 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female controls</td>
<td>35 (12)</td>
<td>163 (6)</td>
<td>60 (8)</td>
<td>1-1 (0-3)</td>
<td>44 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female patients</td>
<td>35 (14)</td>
<td>163 (5)</td>
<td>57 (8)</td>
<td>1-1 (0-3)</td>
<td>41 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.02
**1-5 scale, see Methods
sequence are bars.

The peak obtained in the final three contractions of the endurance sequence (that is, contractions 16, 17, 18) was expressed as a percentage of the initial MVC. This percentage was designated as the "fatigue index". Evaluation of the data from the recovery contractions was also made by taking the mean of the peak force achieved in the final three contractions of the endurance sequence, and then expressing the forces during recovery as a percentage of this mean. The percentage obtained in the final recovery contraction (ie at 10 min) was designated as the "recovery index". The data from these indices were analysed using an unpaired two-tailed t test.

**Results**

The maximal isometric muscle strength of the male patients with PIFS (mean 74.8, SD 14.4 Nm), was greater than that of the control subjects (65.7, SD 10.1 Nm; table 2), but not significantly so. The trend in favour of the patients in this strength measurement may be due to the greater weight of the patients (76, SD 10 kg vs 65, SD 7 kg). The maximal isometric strength of the female patients was 41.4, SD 5.7 Nm, and of the female control subjects was 43.7, SD 6.4 Nm. These values were not significantly different.

During the series of maximal isometric contractions, peak force fell in all subjects to approximately 65% (range: 45–81%). This progressive decline in the force achieved in the repetitive con-

---

**Fig 1** Records from a typical experiment in a control subject. Four contractions (1st, 6th, 12th, 18th) from the endurance sequence are shown (upper panel). The three recovery contractions are shown in the lower panel (1 min, 5 min, 10 min). The peak torque obtained in the same contractions in repeated testing sessions are demonstrated by the standard deviation bars.
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Contractions over the 6 minutes of the endurance phase (figs 2 and 3) is similar to that reported in previous studies.9-11 There was no significant difference in the decline in peak force, as measured by the "fatigue index", between the male and female subjects, and no significant difference in the "fatigue index" when the 20 patients were compared with the 20 control subjects (63.0, SD 7.3 vs 66.6, SD 9.4%). When the male and female subjects were analysed separately (figs 2 and 3), the female patients showed significantly more fatigue than the control subjects (63.0, SD 6.5 vs 71.3, SD 9.6%; p < 0.05). There was an opposite trend in the male patients but this was not significant. Two male patients had peak torque values in the endurance sequence which fell repeatedly above the 95% confidence limits for the equivalent contractions in the male control subjects. Two female patients had peak torque values in the endurance sequence which fell repeatedly below the 95% confidence limits for the equivalent contractions in the female control subjects. The values for these four patients were the reason for the small group differences (above).

In the nine subjects (five controls, four patients) who repeated the test on one or more separate occasions, both the maximal torque and the pattern of fatigue and recovery were reproducible (fig 1; see also refs 9, 10, 13). The maximal torque, the "fatigue index" and the "recovery index" all usually differed by less than 10% in comparison with the respective values in previous testing.

In the recovery phase, only the peak torque measurements are relevant, because the contractions were brief, unsustained efforts designed to achieve maximal force but not to induce fatigue (see Patients and Methods). Given that there was no significant difference between the male and female subjects during the endurance sequence, data from the recovery phase testing of both sexes were analysed together. In the initial recovery contraction (at 1 min), the patients achieved a peak torque of 110, SD 12% of the average of the peak torque achieved in the final three contractions of the endurance sequence (contractions 16, 17, 18). At this stage (1 min), the control subjects achieved 115, SD 12%. In the second recovery contraction (at 5 min), the patients achieved 117, SD 13% in comparison with 123, SD 18% in the control subjects. In the final recovery contraction (the "recovery index"), the patients recovered less than the control subjects (118, SD 14 vs 131, SD 19%; p < 0.02). Separate analysis of the data for the sexes showed this difference to be significant for the males but not the females.

When the individual patient records were examined it was apparent that there was no exceedingly good recovery of peak torque in an individual control subject to bias the control data in favour of recovery. Three male patients had peak torque values in the recovery sequence which fell outside the 95% confidence limits for the equivalent contractions in the male control data. The "recovery index" for these three patients was greater than three standard deviations below the mean of the index in the male control

---

Fig 2 Maximal performance of the elbow flexors tested with 18 maximal static contractions (10 s duration, 10 s rest interval: 50% duty cycle), and three brief maximal contractions in the recovery phase in 10 male patients with PIFS (closed circles), and 10 male control subjects. Each data point represents the mean and SD for the peak torque attained in each contraction expressed as a percentage of the peak torque of the initial maximal voluntary contractions (MVC).
subjects. On the other hand the peak torque values in
the recovery sequence of all of the female patients fell
within 2.5 standard deviations of the equivalent con-
tractions in the female control subjects.
When the full muscle test was repeated after a 3
hour rest, the initial maximal isometric strength
achieved in the second session was approximately
90% (mean 88.9%; range 79–102%) of that of the
earlier study in both patients and control subjects. For
both the patients and normal subjects, the "fatigue
index" and the "recovery index" in the second session
were not significantly different from those in the first

---

Fig 3  Maximal performance of the elbow flexors tested with 18 maximal static contractions (10 s duration, 10 s rest
interval: 50% duty cycle), and three brief maximal contractions in the recovery phase in 10 female patients with PIFS
(closed circles) and 10 female control subjects (open circles). Each data point represents the mean and SD for the peak
torque attained in each contraction expressed as a percentage of the peak torque of the initial maximal voluntary
contractions (MVC).

---

Fig 4  Endurance and recovery sequences in the testing session repeated after a 3 hour interval in a male control subject
(left), and a male patient (right). The absolute torque values are shown from the first testing session (open circles, labelled
'AM' for the morning session) and from the second testing session (closed circles, labelled 'PM' for the afternoon session).
The mean of consecutive pairs of contractions in the endurance sequence of 18 contractions are shown, as are each of the
contractions in the recovery phase (1 min, 5 min and 10 min).
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Discussion

This study documents normal maximal isometric strength in patients with PIFS. Thus there is no objective muscle weakness in patients with this syndrome. This finding is consistent with the characteristically normal neurological examination in subjects with PIFS. It contrasts with the reported finding of reduced isometric strength in patients with acute infectious diseases (predominantly viral infections); although in this previous study, myalgia may have limited the performance of a significant proportion of the patients.

The results of this study highlight a discrepancy between the prominent complaint of fatigue and exercise intolerance reported by patients with PIFS, and the lack of a comparable abnormality in the assessment of muscle endurance. The failure to demonstrate any marked abnormality in the pattern of endurance in the muscles of the patients is consistent with the lack of major abnormalities reported in microscopic, electrophysiological and biochemical studies of muscles of patients with PIFS.

A significant impairment of recovery of maximal isometric strength after the endurance sequence testing was demonstrated in the patients in comparison with the control subjects. In the majority of the patients, this deficit failed to disturb the muscle performance over the preceding 360 s of maximal voluntary force production. Two female patients only, demonstrated significantly reduced strength in the endurance sequence. Three male patients only, had reduced maximal isometric strength in the recovery sequence testing. By far the majority of the patients, all of whom fulfilled the diagnostic criteria for PIFS and all of whom complained of profound muscle fatigue demonstrated normal maximal isometric strength, endurance and recovery in this study.

An important consideration in any test of maximal voluntary strength is the degree to which the subjects recruit motoneurons of the relevant muscles. There is considerable evidence that well motivated subjects, provided that they are free of muscle, joint or other pain, are capable of sustaining voluntarily, the maximal force possible from a muscle group (that is, show no "central fatigue"). This is based upon the failure of interpolated electrical stimuli to the nerve or muscle to increase the force output from the voluntarily contracting muscle (for review see ref 19). The ability to activate a muscle maximally by voluntary effort has been documented for limb and even respiratory muscles, and has recently been shown for the elbow flexors. In view of these findings and the surprising similarities in maximal strength and endurance between the control and patient groups in this study, it is unlikely that poor motivation played any role during the endurance sequences studied here. Nevertheless, it is possible that the failure of the patients with PIFS to recover as quickly as normal could result from inability to generate a maximal motor command from the central nervous system.

The repeated maximal isometric contractions produced by the subjects in this study are clearly greater than the relatively milder demands placed on the muscles by the patients in their normal activities of daily life. However, such normal activities do in fact produce the complaint of profound muscle fatigue in these patients as the predominant feature of the syndrome. Therefore the demonstration of normal muscle function in the majority of patients using this vigorous testing regimen, implies that the muscle is unlikely to be the major site of dysfunction in patients with PIFS.

The immunological and serological abnormalities noted in the patients in this study are prevalent in patients with PIFS. These abnormalities have been linked in a hypothesis suggesting low-grade persistent intracellular infection and localised lymphokine (interferon) release producing a generalised disorder of cell membranes (including within the CNS) as the pathophysiological basis of PIFS. Fatigue and other symptomatology suggestive of PIFS have been reported as common complaints in patients receiving therapy with lymphokines such as recombinant alpha interferon.

Given the normal strength, endurance and recovery of the majority of patients with PIFS as documented in this study, it is possible that the "fatigue" of PIFS is associated with an abnormality of perception of muscle force and effort rather than of actual force production.
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