The effect of mazindol on growth hormone secretion in boys with Duchenne muscular dystrophy
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SUMMARY Mazindol has been reported to improve muscle function in Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) by virtue of its growth hormone (GH) suppression. The effects were studied on GH secretion (in response to growth hormone releasing factor and sleep) of mazindol 2 mg daily for 3 months in five boys with DMD. No consistent change was found following mazindol therapy. Adverse effects were noted in all the boys which may preclude long-term use of mazindol in DMD.

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is a progressive degenerative X-linked disorder of muscle causing impaired motor function and culminating in death in the late teens or early twenties, frequently from respiratory failure. There is no cure for the disease, and despite advances in orthopaedic care and physiotherapy, the mean age at death is essentially unaltered from the time of Duchenne’s description of the condition in the mid-nineteenth century.1 Mazindol has been proposed as a potential therapeutic agent in DMD on the basis of two trials.2,3 Mazindol is a non-amphetamine appetite suppressant licensed in the UK for the short-term treatment of morbid obesity; it is not recommended for patients under 16 years of age.4 Zatz and co-workers suggest that mazindol has a beneficial effect on skeletal muscle function in DMD.2 In a double-blind placebo-controlled trial of mazindol administered to identical twins with DMD over a 12-month period, the rate of deterioration was slower in the boy treated with active drug compared with his brother who received placebo. A previous open trial of mazindol in nine boys with DMD had shown no beneficial effect, although the boys studied formed a heterogeneous group with respect to age and physical condition, and it is possible that such an effect was missed.5 The putative action of mazindol in DMD is growth hormone (GH) inhibition.

It has been reported that growth hormone (GH) may have a harmful effect on the muscles in DMD. The evidence for this has been produced by three groups of workers. Chyatte has shown that exogenous GH administration produced negative nitrogen balance in boys with DMD in contrast to its anabolic effect in control subjects and patients with myotonic dystrophy, but as this was only a short term metabolic balance study it was not possible to draw firm conclusions about the clinical effect on muscle function.5 Zatz described a young boy with DMD and coincidental congenital GH deficiency.6 He showed an attenuated decline in motor performance compared with the usual course of deterioration in DMD and to the pattern of deterioration in other members of the family with DMD but without GH deficiency. Studies in dystrophic mice have provided further support for the theory that growth, or more likely a disproportionate bone growth compared with muscle growth, plays a role in the pathogenesis of muscular dystrophy, showing that those mice exhibiting concurrent congenital dwarfism have a less severe dystrophy than non-dwarf mice.7

In view of the potentially harmful effects of GH on dystrophic muscle it has been suggested that GH inhibitors should be used in further clinical trials of patients with DMD, and mazindol has been proposed as a suitable agent.2 Collipp also suggested that mazindol therapy may be associated with an increased tissue selenium content.3

The evidence that mazindol is a GH suppressor is not universally accepted, with one study suggesting that mazindol administered to obese children has a
suppressing effect, but another, again in obese children, reporting that mazindol has no effect on GH secretion. There has been one publication showing a definite, but slight, increase in plasma levels of GH following mazindol administration to healthy volunteers. There is therefore some doubt about the effectiveness of mazindol as a GH suppressant.

Double-blind multicentre trials are now taking place in the USA which will study mainly the functional response of patients with DMD during prolonged mazindol therapy (M H Brooke, personal communication). The major problem with a formal therapeutic trial of any agent in DMD is that because of the slow and variable decline in muscle function, a large number of boys has to be studied over a long period to ensure that misleading conclusions are not drawn. Such trials have been carried out in the USA and Europe, but the cost is great both financially and in terms of the commitment of the patients and investigators. We have advocated a different approach in which short metabolic studies enable us to characterise the mode of action of a putative therapeutic agent so that a rational decision may then be taken about the likelihood that a long term trial will be worth undertaking. Our study was therefore designed to assess the effect of short-term mazindol (Teronac, Sandoz) treatment on the pattern of GH secretion in the physiological setting of stage IV sleep and following the administration of GH releasing factor (GRF, Kabivitrum). In addition to the studies of GH secretion we measured plasma prolactin concentrations, since this hormone is secreted from the anterior pituitary gland and is closely related structurally to GH. In the light of Collipp's suggestion that mazindol increased tissue selenium content we also measured muscle glutathione peroxidase (GSHPox) activity in samples obtained by percutaneous biopsy, since the only known role of selenium in humans is as a component of this enzyme. In view of the paucity of information about side effects in young boys we closely monitored any adverse reactions.

Patients

Five boys with DMD were studied. The mean age was 6.73 yr (range 5.83–7.50 yr). None of the boys was obese. The diagnosis of DMD was based on the characteristic history and findings on clinical examination together with raised plasma creatine kinase activity. In all cases a muscle biopsy had confirmed the presence of a dystrophic process. The boys had all been attending a muscle clinic with a particular interest in growth and development for at least one year.

The approval of Royal Liverpool Children’s Hospital (Alder Hey) Ethical Committee was given for a 3 month trial in boys aged between 5 and 8 years of age with DMD. Signed informed consent was obtained from the parents.

Methods

The assessments took place before starting mazindol, and again after 3 months therapy with 2 mg of mazindol taken orally once daily, the same as that used by Zatz and Collipp. When the boys were admitted to hospital a cannula was inserted into a vein at least 2 hours before any blood was taken for GH estimation and kept patent with heparin. During the first hospital night the electroencephalogram (EEG) was monitored continuously to allow accurate sleep staging. The baseline sample of blood for GH estimation was taken before the onset of sleep, and subsequent samples were removed during the first episode of stage IV sleep and then every 15 minutes until seven samples had been collected. After an overnight fast, GRF 100 µg was injected via the indwelling cannula and blood samples were taken every 15 minutes for 2 hours. Blood was immediately spun down, plasma separated and stored at −20°C until analysed for GH. The samples for each patient before and after mazindol therapy were analysed simultaneously to exclude the effects of inter-assay variation. Plasma GH was measured in duplicate by conventional radioimmunoassay (sensitivity 0.4 µU/l, intra-assay coefficient of variation 10%).

In addition to the studies of GH secretion we measured height, weight, quadriceps strength with a hand held myometer; time taken to run 10 metres, and resting and post-10 metre run heart rate. Blood was also taken for plasma prolactin concentration and for serum muscle enzyme activities.

Samples of muscle were obtained by percutaneous biopsy under local anaesthesia from the anterior tibial muscle using the conchotome technique, frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and subsequently analysed by the method of Beutler and colleagues for GSHPox activity.

Results

Unacceptable side effects necessitated the withdrawal of one patient from the study after only 2 months on mazindol. Initially he became tearful and depressed, Table 1 Heart rate at rest and after exercise before and during mazindol therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Resting heart rate</th>
<th>Post exercise (10 m run) heart rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>100-8</td>
<td>118-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient c had sprained his ankle before his second assessment and was unable to complete the run. Patient b was withdrawn from the trial after 2 months, hence data are not available for the 3 month assessment.
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and after 4 weeks of treatment, he became aggressive. Two months after the initiation of therapy he had been sent home from school on two occasions because of violent outbursts, with assaults on his teacher and fellow pupils. He was admitted for his follow up assessment immediately, while continuing on mazindol and withdrawn from the trial the next day. The other patients suffered side effects including irritability, sleep disturbances and in one case hallucinations but were able to complete 3 months treatment, most finding that by taking the tablets at night the unpleasant side-effects could be reduced.

One potentially serious adverse effect observed was an increase in both resting and post-exercise heart rate. The mean resting heart rate rose by 17.5% after one month on mazindol (see table 1), and although it fell slightly over the next 2 months it never returned to basal levels. The increase in heart rate following the 10 metre run (table 1) was also greater during mazindol therapy and did not alter during the study, post exercise heart rate increasing by a mean of 19% after 3 months. The electrocardiographic abnormalities characteristic of DMD were present in all the boys, and the appearances did not change during the study. These side effects have been reported to the Committee on Safety of Medicines.

Three patients showed a suppression of GH response to GRF following mazindol treatment, although in none of these did the GH increment fall below the normal response for adult men.18 The other two patients showed a slight increase in GH secretion following GRF (fig 1). The three patients who had

Fig 1 The growth hormone response to intravenous GRF 100 µg before (□) and after (■) mazindol therapy. Note the different scales on the vertical axes. Growth hormone is shown on the vertical axis (mU/l), and time after injection of GRF is on the horizontal axis. The patients are identified by the letters a–e.
suppression of GH response to GRF following mazindol showed different patterns of GH release during sleep (fig 2), two had no change and one showed suppression.

Of the two boys with increased GH response to GRF following mazindol therapy one showed a slight fall in sleep associated GH release and the other a slight increase.

One of the boys fulfilled our laboratory criteria for GH deficiency (peak GH concentration <15 mU/l in two tests) before mazindol but not after, although at no time was there clinical evidence of GH deficiency.

No consistent effect on plasma prolactin concentration was noted during the course of the study, the mean values (range) being 206 mU/l (80–300) before treatment and 172 mU/l (130–240) after treatment.

The mean (standard deviation) muscle GSHPx activity prior to mazindol therapy was 2.48 (SD 0.79) U/g soluble protein and after was 2.75 (SD 0.91) U/g soluble protein. There is no significant difference between the pre- and post-mazindol activity, and all the values are within our normal laboratory range for GSHPx. The changes in height and weight for four separate 3 month periods before and during mazindol therapy are shown in table 2. No effect of mazindol was seen on changes in height. There was an overall reduction in weight gain in the boys compared to the pre-treatment values, with three boys losing weight.

There were no significant changes in strength, motor ability or serum activities of muscle enzymes (see table 3).

**Discussion**

Our results suggest that mazindol does not have a
consistent suppressive effect on GH release by laboratory criteria. Our study is in agreement with both of the previous trials of mazindol in DMD, that is the effect on height increase is not marked. The lack of a consistent effect on prolactin concentration, an anterior pituitary hormone which is structurally closely related to GH, suggests that mazindol does not have any significant influence on the function of the pituitary gland. It is not possible to say from such a short open study whether the drug has a clinically important beneficial effect on the course of the dystrophic process.

Mazindol is not recommended in the UK for treatment of patients under the age of 16 years, but we used the same dose regime as Zatz and Collipp for boys of approximately the age of our patients. Nevertheless the side effects of mazindol in the boys we studied were unacceptable to four of the families concerned and only one parent would have allowed a more prolonged trial. The side effects were to some extent predictable both from the Sandoz data sheet and from the trial reported by Zatz, although the severity was much greater than we had expected.

Should mazindol be shown to be of benefit in longer term trials, we feel that the side effects warrant serious reconsideration of the dose used in young patients, since even a fairly modest dose produces intolerable psychological reactions.

More disturbing than the psychological effects of mazindol was its effect on heart rate. The increase seemed not to be related directly to anxiety, since the severity of the psychological side effects did not correlate with the magnitude of the change in heart rate. Furthermore the increase in heart rate after exercise was also greater while taking mazindol, and it might be expected that anxiety would influence heart rate less after exercise than at rest. This adverse effect, previously undescribed in young boys with DMD treated with mazindol, may be of importance in view of the almost invariable co-existent cardiomyopathy in such patients. There has been one case report of a reversible cardiomyopathy in an obese adult treated with mazindol. The increase in heart rate may also explain the cardiomegaly seen in rats treated with mazindol in doses similar to those used in our patients with DMD (Coakley, Jackson, Wagenmakers and Edwards, 1988, unpublished data). Our finding of a weight decrease while taking mazindol suggests that a possible mechanism for improvement in dystrophy may be a decreased burden on already weakened muscles. It has previously been shown that weight reduction has significant benefits in functional performance in muscular dystrophy.

The reason for the conflicting evidence about the effect of mazindol on GH release is not clear. It has been shown that mazindol administration produces a rise in plasma concentrations of GH in addition to several other pituitary hormones but this followed the administration of one dose of mazindol rather than a prolonged course of the drug, we have demonstrated in the rat that mazindol administration for 6 weeks leads to increased circulating GH concentrations (Coakley, Jackson, Wagenmakers, Ensor and Edwards, 1988, unpublished data). Eight days of a low calorie diet together with mazindol in the treatment of obese children has been shown not to suppress GH, the assessment being based here on the peak GH response to arginine.

The measurement of anterior pituitary function, particularly with respect to GH secretion is fraught with difficulties and many of the older tests are unreliable with false negative rates of up to 25% reported for insulin and clonidine induced GH release. The authors of this report noted that many centres now employ at least two tests of GH release in

### Table 2 The effect of mazindol on changes in height and weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Pre-mazindol</th>
<th>Mazindol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June 86–Sept 86</td>
<td>Sept 86–Jan 87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height increase cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Weight change (kg) | | | |
| a | 1.6 | -0.1 | 1.2 | -0.7 |
| b | -0.3 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 1.8 |
| c | 0.1 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| d | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | -0.8 |
| e | 0.7 | 1.6 | -0.7 | 0.4 |
| Mean | 0.52 | 1.08 | 0.66 | 0.16 |

Patient b's height was not measured on his first attendance (June 86) and hence there are no data available for his height change over the first 3 month period.

### Table 3 Effect of mazindol on muscle function and serum markers of muscle damage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre mazindol</th>
<th>Post mazindol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 m (seconds)</td>
<td>6.1 (5.0–8.0)</td>
<td>5.6 (4.5–7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMVC (Newtons)</td>
<td>66.8 (37.0–100.0)</td>
<td>72.8 (47.0–97.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine kinase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(U/l)</td>
<td>4648 (4100–9960)</td>
<td>7593 (2615–13268)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aldolase (U/l)</td>
<td>39.55 (22.1–57.0)</td>
<td>55.73 (23.5–84.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBD (U/l)</td>
<td>513 (468–557)</td>
<td>549 (419–820)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results presented as mean (range).

10 m—the shortest time taken to cover 10 metres (best of 3 attempts).
CMVC—the maximum voluntary contraction of the quadriceps muscle (best of 3 attempts).
HBD—serum hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase activity.
U/l—units per litre.
view of the unreliable results obtained when only one is used. The data from the published reports of the use of mazindol in muscular dystrophy suggest a discrepancy between the clinical effect on growth and the effect on growth hormone secretion. Zatz studied the peak response of GH to levodopa administration in twins with muscular dystrophy and found a suppression to 5-8 ng/ml (11.6 mU/l), compared with a pretreatment peak of 22-0 ng/ml (44-0 mU/l). The effect on growth was less marked; both children showed a slowed height gain, suggesting that there may have been another factor involved. The effect on weight in Zatz's trial was much more clear-cut, the child treated with active drug initially weighing 2-5 kg more than his twin brother who received placebo. At the end of the trial, the twin receiving active drug weighed 0-7 kg less than the placebo treated brother, and had lost a total of 1 kg. The effect of fluctuation in weight of this order on muscle function in people with muscle diseases is not known, but there is no doubt that weight control plays a significant role in the preservation of overall muscle performance and that weight reduction may lead to an improvement in functional state.21

The effect of mazindol in Collipp's trial in muscular dystrophy was less marked in terms of functional changes in muscle.2 The effect on GH was assessed by comparing peak values in response to oral clonidine before and after mazindol therapy in nine boys. The mean peak value prior to mazindol was 8-6 ng/ml (17.2 mU/l), falling to 5-9 ng/ml (11.8 mU/l) after 6 months on the drug. Standard deviations or standard errors were not given, but the inference of significance drawn by Collipp suggests that deviation from the mean was exceedingly small. Collipp showed that mazindol did not alter height gain during the treatment, but there was a significant decrease in weight gain. There was a significant increase in glutathione peroxidase (GSHPox) concentrations in blood during mazindol treatment. GSHPox is a selenium dependant enzyme which is responsible for removing potentially harmful lipid peroxidation products, and selenium deficiency has been shown to cause muscle disease in animals,16 and a cardiomyopathy in humans.21 Selenium has been suggested as a therapeutic agent on the basis that muscle damage in the dystrophies may be related to increased lipid peroxidation,24 although there is no convincing evidence that supplementation alters the course of muscle wasting in DMD.25-26 A study of selenium metabolism carried out in our Muscle Research Centre in adults with muscular dystrophy and healthy controls has shown that additional dietary selenium can lead to an increase in plasma, but not red cell or muscle GSHPox activity (Jackson, Coakley, Stokes, Oster and Edwards, 1988, unpublished data). Our results suggest that not only does selenium supplementation not alter tissue
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