Dichotic listening and manual performance in relation to magnetic resonance imaging after closed head injury

HARVEY S LEVIN,* WALTER M HIGH, JR.,* DAVID H WILLIAMS,* HOWARD M EISENBERG,* EUGENIO G AMPARO,† FAUSTINO C GUINTO, JR.,† JEFF EWERT‡

From the Division of Neurosurgery* and the Department of Radiology,† The University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston and Department of Psychology,‡ University of Houston, Texas, USA

SUMMARY In order to investigate post-traumatic hemispheric disconnection effects, dichotic listening and intermanual tasks were administered to 69 patients who had sustained a closed head injury of varying severity. The manual tasks consisted of naming objects palpated in either hand, transfer of postures from one hand to the other and writing. Consistent with predictions, the degree of ear asymmetry in dichotic listening performance was directly related to the severity of the head injury as reflected by the degree of impaired consciousness. Depth and localisation of parenchymal lesion characterised by magnetic resonance imaging were also related to the degree of ear asymmetry. Parenchymal lesions situated in sites which could potentially interfere with callosal auditory and geniculocortical pathways produced a greater disparity in response to left versus right ear inputs as compared with parenchymal lesions in areas such as the frontal lobes which are purportedly unrelated to asymmetries in dichotic listening performance. The results provide further evidence for the effects of multifocal brain lesions involving the white matter on tasks which require intra and/or interhemispheric integration.

Strich first published microscopic findings of diffuse axonal injury (DAI) which she attributed to mechanical disruption (that is, shearing, tearing) of nerve fibres at the moment of impact in severe closed head injury (CHI). Focal haemorrhages involving the corpus callosum, the dorsolateral quadrant(s) of the rostral brainstem and the white matter of the superior cerebellar peduncle are frequent pathological findings in DAI.1−3 Degeneration of the cerebral white matter, which presumably occurs over periods of up to several months, has been implicated in lateral ventricular enlargement13,4 and long tract signs such as ataxia.7

Consistent with disruption of intra and interhemispheric white matter connections in DAI, clinical reports have documented neurobehavioural disturbances such as ideomotor apraxia using the left hand, alexia without agraphia and tactile anoma for objects palpated by the left hand.8−10 Utilising the dichotic listening technique, Alexander and Warren11 recently reported a survivor of severe CHI who exhibited an exaggerated right ear advantage (that is, left ear suppression), a finding which the investigators attributed to a haemorrhagic lesion in the callosal auditory pathways. Although neuropathologic findings by Oppenheimer12 indicated the presence of DAI and corpus callosum lesions in two patients who sustained relatively mild head injuries and died from other causes, more recent neuropathological and antemortem magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies13,14 have shown that deep white matter lesions are generally restricted to CHI which produces coma. This positive relationship between impairment of consciousness and depth of lesion is also compatible with previous experimental work in nonhuman primates by Ommaya and Gennarelli.15 In view of previous studies16−20 implicating nontraumatic brain lesions in the auditory interhemispheric connections, geniculocortical pathways and temporal cortex in abnormal dichotic listening, it is plausible that the localisation of brain lesion is related to ear asymmetry.
in performance by head injured patients. Consequently, this study evaluated the relationship between severity of acute CHI, depth and localisation of intracranial lesion defined by MRI, and neurobehavioural evidence of hemispheric disconnection.

Methods

Patients

Selection criteria for this prospective study included hospitalisation for CHI of varying severity, resolution of post-traumatic amnesia, a negative history of antecedent neuropsychiatric disorder (including previous hospitalisation for head injury or chronic substance abuse) and an age range of 15 to 60 years. This report is confined to right handed patients to simplify analysis of hemispheric asymmetries which are known to differ in those that are left handed. We studied 69 right-handed patients with a mean age of 25.6 years (SD = 9.9) and a mean education of 11.8 years (SD = 2.4) who sustained CHI of varying severity (table 1).

As shown in this table, the interval from injury to the examination tended to be longer in more severely injured patients. All patients were hospitalised in Galveston (The University of Texas Medical Branch) or Houston (Medical Center Del Oro) at the time of examination or had been discharged within three months of the study. Following the guidelines of a recent three centre study, a minor head injury was defined as loss of consciousness for no longer than 15 minutes, a Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score of 13 to 15 on admission and for the duration of the hospital stay, a normal neurological examination, and normal findings on the first computed tomodgraphy (CT) scan (if performed). Patients with GCS scores in the 13 to 15 range who had a positive CT scan (that is, evidence of a high density lesion, brain swelling) and/or intracranial surgical procedures (for example, repair of depressed fracture) were grouped with the moderate to severe injuries (table 1). Intracranial lesions visualised by MRI, which were undetected by CT, were not considered in the classification of severity of injury.

Thirteen right handed controls, mean age 24.0 years (SD = 7.1), mean education 12.1 years (SD = 1.7), were also tested. All patients and controls passed an auditory screening test given to each ear separately and to both ears simultaneously.

Procedures

Dichotic listening

The dichotic tape consisted of six consonant vowel (C-V) nonsense syllables (ta, pa, ka, ga, ba, da). Pairs of C-V syllables were presented simultaneously at equal volumes to the right and left ears via earphones. The patient was instructed to call out the syllables presented on each of 60 trials. Earphones were reversed to the opposite ears after the first 30 trials to mitigate confounding by any possible subtle differences in their output. A laterality index [(right ear responses—left ear responses)/(right ear responses + left ear responses)] × 100 was computed for the correct responses given by each individual.

Other measures of hemispheric disconnection

Patients were asked to write two sentences with each hand to dictation. They were asked to identify hidden common household objects by palpating them with either hand and tested for matching postures with the ipsilateral or contralateral hand, in the absence of visual cues. The examiner placed the patient's hand and fingers (hidden from the patient's view) in one of 10 positions followed immediately by returning the hand to the resting position. The patient was asked to then duplicate the posture using either the same hand or the contralateral hand.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

The MRI scans were obtained in Houston and Galveston within one week of the neurobehavioural testing. MRI was performed in Houston on a 0.35 Tesla magnet with a proton resonant frequency of 15 MHz, with imaging in the transaxial and coronal planes using the spin-echo technique, slice thickness 7 mm and slice interval 3 mm. The repetition time (TR) was 2000 s and echo times (TE) were 38 and 56 ms. MRI was performed in Galveston on the Teslacon System using a 0.6 Tesla magnet with a proton resonant frequency of 25-4 MHz. Images were obtained in contiguous 8 mm slices in the transaxial and coronal planes using two spin echo (SE) sequences primarily: (a) repetition time (TR) was 500 ms and echo time (TE) was 32 ms and (b) TR = 2000 ms and TE = 60 ms, 120 ms. Two radiologists interpreted the neuro-imaging findings independently of other data on the severity of the head injury and hemispheric disconnection symptoms. Radiologists also coded the MRI results on research forms which were compatible with computer entry. Intracranial abnormalities on MRI were coded as lesions provided that they were present on both the first and second echoes of T2-weighted images.

Results

Dichotic listening

Effects of severity of head injury. Figure 1 depicts a box plot showing the median and interquartile range of the laterality index for the control group and the patients who are divided into subgroups according to the severity of their head injury, and the depth and localisation of the brain lesion. Laterality indices for patients and controls (see table 1) on the dichotic listening task were ranked and an analysis of variance was performed on the ranks to test the presence of a difference in asymmetry of performance across the control (n = 13), minor CHI (n = 7), and moderate to severe CHI (n = 62) groups. As reflected by the greater laterality index for moderate to severe injuries shown in fig 1, the presence of a group effect for asymmetry in ear scores was confirmed (F = 3.12, p < 0.05). Post hoc comparisons revealed that the moderate to severe CHI groups had a significantly greater right ear advantage in dichotic listening performance than the control group. There were no differences between the mild versus moderate/severe CHI groups in age or education. Deviation from the predominant pattern of right ear advantage in the head injured patients is also reflected in fig 1 which...
The figure depicts dichotic listening performance in relation to intracerebral localisation of lesions. It shows box plots for different groups of patients, with each box representing the distribution of dichotic listening scores. The groups include controls, mild CHI patients, moderate CHI patients, and severe CHI patients. The box plots indicate the median, interquartile range, and outliers for each group.

The table provides demographic characteristics, clinical features, and dichotic listening performance in head injured patients and control group. It includes age, gender, laterality of the lesion, and dichotic listening scores for different levels of injury severity (mild, moderate, severe).

To elaborate on the data:
- **Demographics**: The table lists age, gender, and laterality of the lesion.
- **Clinical Features**: It notes the presence of additional injuries and CT findings.
- **Dichotic Listening Scores**: Scores are presented for each group, with subgroups for mild, moderate, and severe injuries.

The analysis suggests that dichotic listening performance is influenced by the location and severity of intracerebral lesions, with significant differences observed between control and head injured groups. Further studies are needed to understand the neurocognitive implications of these findings.
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Subgroups classified by depth of lesion

| Subcortical white matter or deeper (n = 42) | Subgroups classified by localisation of lesion
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>15.7-40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>2.0-15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>3-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>3-891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>28.3-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-7</td>
<td>0-48.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients with lesions confined to the extradural compartment (n = 4) and mild head injuries (n = 7) were excluded from analysis. Patients with mild head injury (n = 7) were excluded from analysis.

Subcortical white matter classified in sites purportedly relevant to dichotic listening as compared with the 37 patients in the low risk group. This impression was confirmed by both an analysis of variance of the ranks of the laterality coefficient, F(1,60) = 4.83, p < 0.04 and a significant relationship between extreme right ear advantage (> 64) and intrahemispheric localisation of lesion, Chi-square (1,df) = 5.71, p < 0.02. The groups at high versus low risk for extreme ear asymmetry did not differ with respect to age, F(1,60) = 0.18, p < 0.68 or education, F(1,60) = 0.97, p < 0.33. A pattern of lower GCS scores in the patients at greater risk for hemispheric disconnection fell short of significance, F(1,60) = 2.54, p < 0.12. Similarly, duration of impaired consciousness (that is, until the patient consistently obeyed commands) also tended to be longer in patients with strategic localisation of lesion (table 1), but this difference was also nonsignificant, F(1,60) = 2.78, p < 0.11. In addition, the interval between injury and the dichotic listening test was longer in the patients at risk for extremely asymmetric performance, a difference which approached significance, F(1,60) = 3.49, p < 0.07. An assessment of the effects of lateralisation of lesion on performance was not feasible because of insufficient numbers of patients with unilateral insults which could be matched for depth.

The MRI findings of the four severely injured patients who exhibited a left ear advantage included left pontine, left frontal white matter, and bilateral frontal cortical lesions in patient 1; bilateral frontal white matter lesions in patient 2; left frontal cortical contusion in patient 3; bifrontal contusions extending into the white matter on the left in patient 4. Of the four patients with mild to moderate head injury who had a left ear advantage, the first patient had bifrontal lesions extending into the white matter and a right temporal cortical lesion. The second patient had a left parietotemporal white matter lesion and right periventricular white matter lesion; the third patient had a left frontal cortical lesion, whereas the fourth patient had a right frontotemporal cortical lesion. We concluded that MRI revealed no distinctive localisation of brain lesion in patients with left ear advantage.

Table 2 Relationship between dichotic listening performance and other measures of hemispheric integration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Writing to dictation</th>
<th>Tactile naming</th>
<th>Gesture matching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ipsilateral</td>
<td>Contralateral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients with exaggerated asymmetry on dichotic listening*</th>
<th>(n = 6)</th>
<th>(n = 11)</th>
<th>(n = 14)</th>
<th>(n = 14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right ear advantage (n = 15)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errors present</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No errors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left ear advantage (n = 7)</td>
<td>(n = 3)</td>
<td>(n = 4)</td>
<td>(n = 4)</td>
<td>(n = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errors present</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No errors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients without exaggerated asymmetry (n = 47)</td>
<td>(n = 32)</td>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
<td>(n = 30)</td>
<td>(n = 29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errors present</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No errors</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Exaggerated asymmetry was defined as a laterality index outside the range of the control group (that is, > 64).
Writing, matching hand postures, tactile naming

Writing to dictation, matching hand postures and tactile naming were analysed according to the presence of any errors. Table 2 summarises the pattern of errors by CHI patients on these tests, whereas the data of control subjects are not shown because their performance was essentially flawless. It is seen that errors in writing to dictation and naming objects palpated in the absence of visual cues were relatively common as compared with the infrequent errors in duplicating gestures using either the ipsilateral or contralateral hand. The moderate to severely injured patients were

Fig 2. T2-weighted MR image (TR = 20, TE = 56) obtained three months after this 21 year old right handed man (PS) sustained a moderate (GCS score = 10) closed head injury in a motor car accident. Increased intensity in the anterior inferior portion of the right temporal lobe is present (A). Focal atrophy in the right temporal region including enlargement of right temporal horn, is seen on the T1-weighted (TR = 0.5, TE = 28) images (B, C). This patient exhibited total left ear suppression on dichotic listening despite bilaterally preserved tactile naming, transfer of hand postures and writing.
more likely to commit errors on each test than the controls (Fisher's Exact method, $p < 0.01$). In contrast, the probability of patients with mild injuries committing errors on these tests was similar to controls ($p > 0.05$). There was also no indication that MRI evidence of lesions involving the white matter was related to a greater likelihood of errors on these tests than other patients who sustained injuries producing similar impairment of consciousness ($p > 0.05$).

**Discussion**

Consistent with the MRI evidence for multifocal lesions which frequently involved the cerebral white matter, exaggerated asymmetry was predicted on the dichotic listening test and on other measures of hemispheric integration. The longer anatomic pathway involved in processing left ear verbal input (or using the left hand to palpate objects to be named or to write) includes interhemispheric fibres connecting right auditory cortex to Wernicke's area and intrahemispheric connections (for example, from left somatosensory cortex to left perisylvian speech areas to name objects palpated by right hand). In view of evidence that even partial section of the corpus callosum may be sufficient to produce left ear suppression,\(^18\) it is plausible that injury to callosal fibres

---

**Fig 3** \(T_2\)-weighted MR image (TR = 20, TE = 30) obtained 12 months after this 17 year old right handed woman (MH) sustained a severe head injury (GCS score = 6, duration of impaired consciousness = 25 days) shows a focal area of increased intensity in the left body of the corpus callosum anterior to the splenium. CT findings were limited to a right frontoparietal subdural fluid collection (also present on MRI). In contrast to patient PS, this young woman exhibited hemispheric disconnection limited to impaired left tactile naming and contralateral transfer of postures despite a normal right ear advantage on dichotic listening.
Our findings have implications for the clinical evaluation of postacute patients sustaining severe CHI. The asymmetry in dichotic listening performance exhibited by a subgroup of the moderate to severely injured patients is not readily explained by a global cognitive impairment which would presumably impair processing of material presented to either ear. Similarly, it is unlikely that difficulty in copying simple hand postures, naming common objects presented to either hand, or unilateral dysgraphia can be attributed to intellectual deficit. Contrary to an interpretation based on global cognitive deficit, marked asymmetries in dichotic listening performance were frequently dissociated from deficits on the manual and tactile tests (table 2). Patients who sustained a mild head injury had a moderate right ear advantage in dichotic listening performance similar to uninjured right handed control subjects. Moreover, mild CHI patients had no difficulty in performing hand postures (both ipsilateral and contralateral matching), naming palpat ed objects or writing with either hand. Consequently, we suggest that left ear suppression under dichotic listening and defects in intermanual transfer of information are among the constellation of neurobehavioural sequelae of severe CHI.

The presence of errors on the manual and somatosensory tests of interhemispheric integration was related to severity of injury, but not to the presence of exaggerated right ear advantage. As reported in studies of postcommissurotomoy patients and experimental investigations of fibre degeneration after callosal lesions,24 portions of the corpus callosum body which subserve somatosensory information are anterior to the callosal auditory pathways. Moreover, disruption of intrahemispheric connections could selectively disturb performance on somatosensory or auditory tests.

Our findings corroborate and extend previous studies of behavioural disconnection after CHI9 11 and indicate that both the clinical features and their neuroanatomic substrate are heterogeneous. This variability in disconnection symptoms and localisation of brain lesion is compatible with observations by Strich12 that nerve fibres in a specific plane were often totally disrupted despite preservation of adjacent fibres running in a different direction. Finally, our finding that cortical lesions were also present in the preponderance of patients with deep parenchymal lesions is consistent with the centripetal model of head injury effects proposed by Ommaya and Gennarelli.15
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contributed to the left ear suppression exhibited by a subgroup of our patients. Similar to our finding of a relationship between exaggerated ear asymmetry and traumatic cerebral white matter lesions documented by MRI, dichotic listening studies have shown left ear suppression in patients with multiple sclerosis.25 26 However, a rival explanation of the exaggerated right ear advantage in our patients would invoke attentional deficit.28 The results provide no compelling reason to reject an attentional deficit interpretation.
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