Short report

Idiopathic dystonia and cervical spondylotic myelopathy
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SUMMARY  Cervical myelopathy developed in two patients with idiopathic torsion dystonia. There were marked spondylotic changes in both patients, probably attributable to the incessant dystonic movements of the neck. Previous cervical spine surgery may have exacerbated the myelopathy in one of the patients. Cervical myelopathy complicating idiopathic dystonia must be distinguished from other causes of neurological deterioration, since it may be improved by appropriate neurosurgical treatment.

The clinical spectrum of dystonia encompasses a wide variety of movement disorders ranging from writer’s cramp to generalised dystonia. 1 Idiopathic dystonia is characterised by the absence of clinical involvement of other parts of the nervous system, such as the cerebellum, pyramidal system, retina and cerebral cortex. When there are signs of dysfunction in any of these systems, symptomatic dystonia, which is secondary to some underlying condition, should be suspected. Exceptions to this clinical axiom occur. For example, the other clinical features may complicate corrective neurosurgical procedures or result from unrelated neurological disease. 2 We report a further exception to this axiom; the development of cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) caused or exacerbated by dystonia.

Case reports

Case 1  A 61 year old man with spasmodic torticollis initially presented with involuntary head and neck movement consisting of irregular jerking with torticollis, retrocollis, and facial grimacing. There was clinical evidence of cervical spondylosis, with weakness of left triceps and finger extensors, absence of the triceps jerk and C7 sensory loss but cervical myelography showed no evidence of cord compression. No primary cause for the dystonia was found, and treatment with tetrabenazine and haloperidol was unsuccessful. Because of increasing disability, he was admitted for a trial of high cervical dorsal column stimulation. An extradural stimulator was placed at the C1 level without laminctomy, but this failed to alleviate his symptoms. His disability remained unchanged for several years but three months before his last admission, aged 67 years, he complained of increasing pain in his left shoulder, weakness in both arms and legs, and urinary hesitancy. During this period he became unable to stand or walk. On examination, the torticollis was unchanged, with jerking of the head to the right and some involuntary movement in the right arm. There was wasting of the intrinsic muscles of both hands and the triceps; all the tendon reflexes in the left arm and the right triceps jerk were absent. There was a spastic paraplegia with bilateral extensor planter responses and a sensory level was present at T1.

Cervical spine radiographs showed marked degenerative changes, particularly at the C5, C6 and C7 levels, and myelography revealed a sub-total, extradural block to the flow of contrast at C7/T1 with a narrow canal at C5/6 and C6/7 (fig 1). A decompressive laminectomy was performed at these levels, but he did not improve. His dystonia became more marked and, after a stormy post operative course, he developed respiratory failure and died.

Case 2  This 19 year old student developed dystonia at the age of 14 years. Following the administration of prochlorperazine after a routine appendicectomy he experienced a short episode of torticollis and nine months later, developed fixed laterocollis to the left. The dystonia gradually worsened and he developed generalised torsion dystonia with fixed flexion deformities of the left arm and leg. Investigation failed to reveal an underlying cause.

During the next two years he continued to deteriorate despite medical treatment and a posterior cervical rhizotomy, becoming bed-ridden and affected by attacks of severe tremor. A right thalamotomy abolished the tremor and fixed dystonic posture of his left limbs but failed to influence the fixed laterocollis. After insertion of a dorsal column
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stimulator at the cervico-medullary junction there was marked improvement in his neck posture and mobility, and he was able to return to college and to play sport.

One year later he was readmitted with increasing generalised dystonia. A new power source for the dorsal column stimulator was implanted, but he continued to deteriorate. A left thalamotomy was then performed with some improvement in the dystonia affecting his right hand. He initially maintained this improvement but then over several weeks noticed increasing limb dystonia with frequent dystonic spasms. Several explorations of the stimulator site and its connections were undertaken for recurrent technical difficulties. On the day following one such procedure he developed rapidly progressive weakness in both arms, paraesthiasiae below the neck and then the abrupt onset of an almost complete flaccid quadriplegia with respiratory difficulties and a sensory level in the mid-cervical region. A myelogram showed severe cervical spondylosis with marked kyphosis and forward subluxation of C2 on C3, and C3 on C4, and narrowing of the cervical canal at C3 and C4, the level of the stimulating electrode. There was angulation of the cord at the level of the C3/C4 subluxation (fig 2).

Immediate exploration of the stimulator site was performed but little improvement occurred. A posterior cervical fusion was then performed from the occiput to C4, with synchronous anterior fusion at the C3/C4 level. Over the course of several months there was gradual improvement, particularly in the left arm and leg.

Discussion

It is prudent to recognise CSM as a cause of functional deterioration in the dystonic patient. In case 1, cervical spondylosis without myelopathy was present at the time of diagnosis of the dystonia. The patient developed a cervical cord syndrome due to extradural compression from osteophytes at the lower cervical levels. In case 2, severe cervical spondylosis with subluxation and kyphosis caused an acute myelopathy, probably due to cord traction across the kyphotic zone. In this case, although the cervical spine deformity was probably exacerbated by previous cervical laminectomy, it seems likely that the spondylotic changes were due to the dystonia.
Compression is thought to be the main factor causing myelopathy in cervical spondylosis, but ischaemia may contribute to the cord damage. Barnes and Saunders found little predictive value in measurements of canal diameter, the amount of posterior osteophytosis, and the degree of vertebral subluxation. Other factors, such as traction of the cord over posterior osteophytes or compromise of the transverse area of the cervical canal area during movements may be important, and post-operative reduction in cervical mobility correlates well with clinical improvement. Considerable movement and stretching of the cord occurs during normal neck flexion and quite large localised forces are produced by osteophytic bars projecting into the cervical canal during such manoeuvres. The cord may be rendered ischaemic during such movements, when the cross-sectional area of the canal decreases in extension by up to 16%. The normal canal lengths in flexion and shortens in extension, but if cord movement is restricted by root sleeve and dural fibrosis or osteophytic bars, then traction forces may develop. Adams and Logue considered that cord traction was a significant cause of myelopathy especially in patients with marked kyphosis, when the cord was stretched over a prominent spondylositic bar.

Surgical treatment of CSM associated with dystonia is hazardous because it is difficult to immobilise the cervical spine post-operatively. Despite this, early recognition and treatment is necessary if an optimal result is to be achieved. Collar immobilisation is impractical in patients with dystonia or torticollis. In a small series of cases with CSM complicating athetoid-dystonic cerebral palsy, Hirose and Kadoya found the most severe radiological changes at C3/4, and reported good results with anterior disectomy, osteophysectomy and interbody fusion. Laminctomy may increase cord mobility by destabilising the cervical spinal, so that the results from this approach may not be as favourable, as demonstrated by the outcome in our case 1, and the lack of improvement following laminctomy in case 2.

Patients with dystonia or torticollis are at risk of developing premature cervical spondylosis as a result of the excessive and continuous movement occurring in the joints of the cervical spine, and this complication is a potentially treatable cause of functional deterioration.
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