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Abstract
Selected aspects of verbal memory and executive function were compared in 11 demented Parkinson's disease (PD) patients and 11 Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients with equally severe dementia, with 11 healthy controls matched for age and education. Semantic and episodic memory were impaired in all patients compared with controls, but to a relatively greater degree in AD patients than in those with PD. In contrast, demented PD patients were relatively more compromised on executive tasks. These findings, taken in the context of the neuropathological and neurochemical overlap between demented PD and AD patients, suggest that differences in neurobehavioural patterns in patients with these diseases are relative, rather than absolute.

It is estimated that about one in five patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) will become demented. Despite widespread agreement on PD's association with cognitive deficits, the characterisation of these decrements and their similarity to those observed in the dementia of Alzheimer's disease (AD) remains controversial. Many aspects of Parkinson's dementia (neuropathological, neurochemical, neuropsychological) have been associated with AD. Conversely, possible differences between groups have also been stressed. Loss of executive function is generally regarded as a central component of the intellectual deficit in PD; its decline has been described in demented as well as in nondemented PD patients, even in the early stages of the disease. In contrast, executive dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease patients is usually milder and begins later in the course of the illness. Loss in memory function on the other hand, is a major feature of Alzheimer's disease. It is generally viewed as a central and early feature in AD patients in whom impairment of both episodic and semantic memory has been described. Memory impairment has also been reported in PD patients, but has been attributed by some researchers to frontal lobe dysfunction. Deficits in episodic memory, notably contextual-bound knowledge, frequently occur in PD demented and non-demented patients. Abnormalities in semantic memory (retrieval of learned knowledge that is context-free) in PD patients is less certain.

Previous studies generally did not match Parkinson's and Alzheimer's patients for global dementia severity making direct comparisons in cognitive performance difficult. In an attempt to clarify possible differences between dementia types, we compared demented PD and AD patients on tests of executive function and memory which are prominent in the description of their neurobehavioural deficits. To insure comparable levels of overall cognitive impairment, both patient groups were matched for degree of dementia (Mattis Dementia Rating Scale), as well as age and education.

Subjects and methods
Eleven patients (10 men and one woman) with idiopathic PD and dementia (DSM-III-R criteria) were compared with 11 patients (five men and six women) with AD (NINCDS-ADRDA criteria) matched for severity (Total Mattis Dementia Rating Scale score: mean (SEM) 125 (2) and 122 (2) respectively), and with 11 healthy control subjects (six men and five women, Total Mattis Dementia Rating Scale score: 143 (0.5)). Entry criteria for PD patients included at least two of the following typical signs: tremor, rigidity or bradykinesia. No patients with atypical signs (that is, supranuclear ophthalmoparesis, long tract or cerebellar dysfunction) were included. In all subjects, the diagnosis of PD had always preceded the development of dementia. Admission criteria were set arbitrarily to a Total Mattis Dementia Rating Scale score between 136 and 110 to allow for inclusion of mildly to moderately impaired individuals. No patient had a history of alcoholism, other neurological disorders or stereotaxic surgery. Subjects were matched for age (66 (2) years, controls; 66 (3), AD; 69 (1), PD) and educational level (17 (1) years, controls; 18 (5), AD; 16 (2), PD). Mean duration of symptoms was 13 (1) years for PD patients and 5 (1) years for AD patients (p < 0.001). AD patients' mean score on the Hachinski Scale was 1. PD patients had a mean score of 3 (0-1) on the Hoehn and Yahr Scale and 17 (2) on the modified Columbia Scale while treated. There was no clinical evidence of a motor deficit in the AD patients or controls. Ten PD patients were receiving optimal doses of one or more anti-Parkinsonian medications in the following daily doses: levodopa-carbidopa 645 (100) mg (range, 200–1200 mg) in 10 patients; bromocriptine 21 (7-5) mg (2.5–40
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**Table:** Neurocognitive performance of healthy controls and demented patients with Alzheimer's (AD) and Parkinson's disease (PD).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Healthy Controls</th>
<th>AD (n = 11)</th>
<th>PD (n = 11)</th>
<th>AD vs. PD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Digit Symbol Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backwards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIS-R Arithmetic Subtest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocabulary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conceptualisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Retention Test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Figure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pers. Associates Scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIS-IQ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results:

In comparison with control subjects, patients with PD and AD had significant deficits on several tasks, with the most notable being in the WAIS-R Arithmetic subtest, Vocabulary, Similarities, and Conceptualisation. PD patients also showed significant impairments in memory retention and complex figure construction. AD patients had more pronounced deficits in the Pers. Associates Scale and WAIS-IQ.

The following neuropsychological tests were employed to assess patients' performance:

- Digit Symbol Test
- WAIS-R Arithmetic Subtest
- Vocabulary
- Similarities
- Conceptualisation
- Memory Retention Test
- Complex Figure
- Pers. Associates Scale
- WAIS-IQ

Although some patients showed improvement in PD status, no significant differences were found between control and demented groups. The study highlights the importance of early intervention in PD to prevent cognitive decline.

Statistical analysis revealed significant differences between groups in the WAIS-R Arithmetic subtest, Vocabulary, Similarities, and Conceptualisation. The performance of patients with PD was more impaired on these tasks compared to control subjects. AD patients showed more pronounced deficits in the Pers. Associates Scale and WAIS-IQ.

**References:**


**Note:** The data were analyzed by Analysis of Variance, and where appropriate, Pearson correlation measures.
Learning Test (RAVLT) (trials 1–5) were comparable in both patient groups (fig). AD patients forgot significantly more words than PD patients on trial 6 (administered after a 30 minute delay) relative to trial 5 using a measure which represented forgetting rate (total words recalled on trial 5 minus total words recalled on trial 6). Even though PD patients benefited slightly more than AD patients from recognition memory testing (delayed multiple choice testing, one of four words) of the RAVLT words, there was no significant difference between the groups. Both groups of patients had poorer recall of WMS stories and orientation than did control subjects, but patients with AD were significantly more affected that PD patients (table). Recall of WMS paired-associate items were diminished for both groups compared with controls, but failed to differentiate between the AD and PD patients (table).

Semantic memory, was differentially compromised in both patient groups in only two measures. Both the information subtest of the WAIS-R and the information subtest of the WMS (two unrelated scales) revealed that Alzheimer's patients were more impaired than PD patients. AD patients were significantly more affected than controls on the information subtest of the WMS, but controls were different from both patient groups on the information subtest of the WAIS-R (table). AD and PD patients performed significantly worse than control subjects in naming, in the FAS Fluency Test, in the Supermarket Fluency Test, and in the vocabulary and similarities subtests of the WAIS-R. Correlational analysis revealed a consistent relationship for both patient groups between dementia severity (Total Mattis Dementia Rating Scale) and a measure of semantic memory (Supermarket Fluency Test r = 0.67 for PD and r = 0.66 for AD patients, respectively, p < 0.05). Only Parkinsonian patients showed a significant association between duration of symptoms and additional semantic memory tasks (that is, FAS Fluency Test, r = −0.73, WAIS-R vocabulary subtest, r = −0.66, p < 0.05).

Executive function abnormalities differed between the PD and AD patients. Performance on the conceptualisation subtest of the MDRS was significantly more dysfunctional in PD than in AD patients although controls performed better than both patient groups (table). Both patient groups performed significantly worse than controls on the WCST as measured by the number of categories and perseveration. Although no significant differences were found between patient groups on any of the measures of the WCST (table), it appeared that some PD patients had particular trouble achieving even one category on the WCST. A Chi-square analysis was computed which compared the percentage of PD and AD patients who achieved one or more categories versus those who were unable to achieve even one category. The results of the analysis indicated that 45% of PD patients compared with 9% of AD patients and 0% of the controls were unable to achieve a single category (p < 0.01). PD patient performance on the MDRS conceptualisation subscale, but not WCST, was strongly correlated with immediate story recall (r = 0.79, p < 0.01).

Mood as assessed by the Hamilton Depression Scale was no different between PD and AD patients, although PD patients tended to have higher scores, which were slightly above the normal range. PD patients were significantly more depressed than controls (table).

**Discussion**

Even though PD and AD patients were matched for global cognitive impairment, there were differences in their specific pattern of intellectual deficits. Some aspects of memory were relatively less affected in PD than in AD patients, while the reverse was seen on executive functions. These results support a previous observation that performance on memory and executive function tests distinguish demented Parkinsonian from Alzheimer’s patients. The above described “functional dissociation” favours the presence of relative neuropathological distinctions between the two diseases.

The two patient groups differed on recall of the Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS) stories and on the 30 minute delayed recall of eight unrelated words as well as on orientation, but were similarly affected on the remaining measures of episodic memory. The results suggest that PD patients are similarly impaired to AD patients when learning words without
any inherent organisation (as in the Rey Auditory Learning Test) or learning difficult associations (as in the Pair Associates of the WMS). When PD patients have to retrieve information with a structure, such as the WMS stories, however, they are less impaired than AD patients. This would imply that PD patients may be more able to utilise contextual information and organisation in encoding and recall than patients with AD. PD patients perform better on delayed recall on the RAVLT indicating a less steep forgetting curve. Delayed recognition memory was similarly impaired in both patient groups, not withstanding previous reports of spared recognition memory in non-demented PD patients. Recognition memory may be relatively preserved in the early stages of AD. If we had studied patients in more advanced stages, AD patients may well have performed worse on recognition memory testing that PD patients.

Surprisingly, measures that assessed semantic memory generally failed to reveal differences between PD and AD patients. These results suggest that previous findings may have been an artifact of the failure to match patient groups for severity of dementia. Semantic memory performance in PD was associated with the duration but not the severity of motor symptoms. In both groups of patients, semantic memory performance was related to the degree of overall dementia. In contrast to the comparison of their memory performance, patients with PD were significantly more impaired than AD patients on a task evaluating conceptual relationships. Although there was no difference between the patient groups on most of the variables studied from the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST), PD patients tended to perform worse than AD, and had significantly more difficulty in achieving even one category of the WCST. The PD patients’ performance on the conceptual relationship task was highly correlated with their performance on an episodic memory measure (story recall) which supports the hypothesis that PD patients encounter difficulties on episodic memory tasks at least partially because of their compromised ability to access organised (that is, conceptual) verbal encoding strategies. PD and AD patients may thus fail on episodic memory tasks for different reasons. In patients with PD, deficits on episodic memory tasks may be due to difficulty in identifying conceptual relations between items. This difficulty could partially reflect slowed information processing which would limit the time and scope of effortful conceptual encoding and retrieval. In addition, depression may also reduce cognitive effort; although PD patients were more depressed than controls, depression was not associated with cognitive performance in our patient population. Patients with AD may fail to access items from episodic memory because of problems in contextual encoding and retrieval. Such deficits noted in AD patients are usually linked to their hippocampal lesions, while frontal lobe dysfunction could be responsible for the conceptual impairments in patients with PD.

Abnormalities in effortful semantic memory performance observed in PD patients may also be explained by the limited use of conceptual strategies due to frontal lobe dysfunction. In AD patients, semantic memory deficits may be attributed to a degradation in the knowledge representation systems where items are permanently stored. This degradation would be dependent on the severity of posterior cortical lesions.

Qualitative differences in the dementia process associated with AD and PD found in this and previous studies may support contention that distinct pathogenetic mechanisms are involved. Nevertheless, in view of the considerable neuropathological and neurochemical overlap between AD and PD when dementia is present these differences may well be more relative than absolute.
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