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Abstract
Regional metabolic rate for glucose (rCMRGlc) was estimated using [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) and positron emission tomography (PET) in five patients (four men, one woman; mean age 68; mean disease duration 2-4 years) with clinical findings consistent with the syndrome of cortico-basal ganglionic degeneration (CBGD). Left-right rCMRGlc asymmetry, (L - R)/(L + R) x 100, was calculated for 13 grey matter regions and compared with regional metabolic data from 18 normal volunteers and nine patients with asymmetrical Parkinson’s disease (PD). In the CBGD group mean metabolic asymmetry values in the thalamus, inferior parietal lobule and hippocampus were greater than those measured in normal control subjects and patients with asymmetrical PD (p < 0.02). Parietal lobe asymmetry of 5% or more was evident in all CBGD patients, whereas in PD patients and normal controls, all regional asymmetry measures were less than 5% in absolute value. Measures of frontal, parietal and hemispheric metabolic asymmetry were found to be positively correlated with asymmetries in thalamic rCMRGlc (p < 0.05). The presence of cortico-thalamic metabolic asymmetry is consistent with the focal neuropathological changes reported in CBGD brains. Our findings suggest that metabolic asymmetries detected with FDG/PET may support a diagnosis of CBGD in life.

Cortico-basal ganglionic degeneration (CBGD) is an often misdiagnosed extrapyramidal syndrome characterised by progressive unilateral rigidity associated with lateralised cortical signs and involuntary movements.1,4 While focal cortical findings (that is, limb apraxia, cortical sensory impairment, alien limb syndrome or cortical reflex myoclonus) are essential for diagnosis, other signs such as supranuclear gaze palsy, gait disturbance and action tremor may also be present.2-7 Dementia, when evident, occurs only late in the clinical course. The motor manifestations of CBGD are typically refractory to levodopa and dopamine agonists. MRI and CT scans may be unrevealing early in the clinical course, although some degree of asymmetrical cerebral atrophy may develop as the disease progresses.4,4 Although the presence of focal cortical dysfunction is necessary for the clinical diagnosis of CBGD, the extrapyramidal manifestations may dominate the presenting picture. Consequently, CBGD may be confused with typical early Parkinson’s disease (PD). In an effort to define metabolic parameters that might distinguish CBGD patients from PD patients in life, we used [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) and positron emission tomography (PET) to study patients with clinical signs suggestive of CBGD and compared the results with those reported by us previously in PD patients and normal volunteers.8

Methods
We studied five patients [four men and one woman, mean (SD) age 68 (5) years, mean disease duration 2-4 years] with clinical findings compatible with CBGD. The diagnosis of CBGD with neuronal achromasia was confirmed at necropsy in one of these patients (Patient 1). All patients presented with unilateral limb rigidity or tremor which progressed to involve the other limbs within three years of onset. At least two of the following lateralised cortical findings were present in each of the five patients: limb apraxia (4/5), cortical sensory impairment (4/5), and pyramidal signs (5/5). Intellectual decline was not evident in these patients, and there were no oculomotor abnormalities; motor symptoms were universally refractory to levodopa and dopamine agonists. Family histories were negative in all patients, and there was no history of drug exposure, head injury,encephalitis, or metabolic disease. Space-occupying lesions and cerebrovascular lesions were excluded with MRI and/or CT examinations. CT scans in patients 1 and 5 showed mild asymmetrical fronto-parietal cortical atrophy. MRI in patient 3 showed symmetrical biparietal atrophy; MRI scans in the remaining two patients were normal. PET measurements in these patients were compared with values reported by us previously for a group of PD patients and normal control subjects. A summary of clinical data is provided in table 1.

Case reports
Patient 1 This 65 year old right handed woman developed an action tremor of the right arm five years before the study. Over the following year, she developed an occasional
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Table 1 CBGD Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age(Sex)/Side</th>
<th>Duration of Illness</th>
<th>Limb Apraxia</th>
<th>Involuntary Movements</th>
<th>Cortical Sensory Impairment</th>
<th>Pyramidal Signs</th>
<th>Cortical Atrophy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>65/F/R</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mild*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>63/M/L</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mild*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>67/M/R</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mild*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>68/M/R</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mild*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>76/M/R</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Mild*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: F, female; M, male; L, left; R, right.
a Side refers to the laterality of the presenting signs and symptoms.
b Asymmetrical fronto-parietal atrophy.
c Symmetrical bilateral apraxia.

tremor of the right lower extremity, became unsteady in her walking, and had occasional falls. By the time she was 63, she was falling frequently. At that time, she was found to have cogwheel rigidity of the right arm and leg, right sided bradykinesia, and an action tremor of the right upper extremity. There was generalised hyperreflexia which was worse on the right side, but plantar reflexes were flexor. A CT scan was normal. She was placed on levodopa without relief. By the time of PET study, at the age of 65, she had generalised bradykinesia and rigidity as well as right arm apraxia and involuntary writhing movements of the right arm. Occasionally, the right arm would rise in the air by itself. Speech was extremely soft and laboured, without aphasia; intellectual functions were preserved. She was unable to stand without assistance and was wheelchair bound. A second CT scan revealed mild fronto-parietal atrophy, worse on the left side. The patient died of aspiration at the age of 66.

Necropsy examination revealed mild gyral atrophy of the posterior frontal and anterior parietal lobes bilaterally, more marked on the left, and the left medullary pyramid was reduced in size. Coronal sections confirmed the gyral atrophy with sparing of white matter and revealed grey discolouration and slight atrophy of the putamen bilaterally, also more marked on the left. Transverse sections of the brainstem disclosed asymmetrical depigmentation of pars compacta of the substantia nigra, more notable on the left, but sparing of locus ceruleus. The cerebellum was in general unremarkable. Microscopically, moderate neuronal loss was observed in the lateral putamen, globus pallidus, caudate nucleus, lateral thalamus, and pars compacta bilaterally, but more prominently on the left side. This neuronal loss was accompanied by astrocytosis and also by loss of myelinated fibres in the pallidum, putamen and left pyramid. Lewy bodies, neurofibrillary tangles and Pick bodies were not detected; spongiform degeneration or status spongiosus was not seen. Neuronal loss with astrocytosis was also observed in the deeper neocortical layers of the grossly atrophic areas. This was seen in association with the most notable microscopic finding: ballooned or swollen, achromatic neurons. These neurons appeared to be enlarged, distorted, and eosinophilic pyramidal cells of layer V and, less frequently, layer III and were restricted primarily to atrophic posterior frontal-anterior parietal cortex. Their cytoplasm was faintly fibrillar, hyaline or vacuolated and could not be stained with Nissl stains, but were argyrophilic with the Bielschowsky stain. In summary, subcortical asymmetrical neuronal loss and astrocytosis were identified primarily in the lateral putamen and substantia nigra, while swollen, achromatic neurons were noted primarily in deep layers of the frontoparietal neocortex.

Patient 2 This 62 year old right handed man developed a postural tremor of the left hand one year before the study. Over the following year, he developed progressive left sided bradykinesia and rigidity, gradually affecting all four limbs, and he began to fall. He was given levodopa and bromocriptine without benefit. By the time of the study, at the age of 63, he had masked facies and generalised bradykinesia, which was worse on the left side. There was an action tremor affecting the left arm as well as apraxia, particularly in dressing. Additionally, he was found to have an impairment of left hand proprioception and graphesthesia; pin-prick and vibratory sensation were preserved. There was marked left sided hyperreflexia with an equivocal left plantar response. Intellectual function and speech were unimpaired. An MRI scan was normal.

Patient 3 This 67 year old right handed man developed an action tremor of the right arm four years before the study. Subsequently, he began dragging his right leg and noticed slurred speech. By the age of 65 he had severe cogwheel rigidity of the right arm and leg with dystonic posturing of the right arm and hand. Graphesthesia was impaired in the right hand with preservation of the primary sensory modalities. In addition, there was moderate rigidity and action tremor of the left arm and leg and generalised bradykinesia. He had generalised hyperreflexia with an extensor plantar response on the right; speech was dysarthric and of low volume. He had difficulty with serial seven's, but his mental status was otherwise normal. A CT scan was normal. He was placed on levodopa and bromocriptine without benefit. By the time of the PET study, two years later, he was wheelchair bound and mute, but could follow simple commands. An MRI scan showed symmetrical bilateral atrophy.

Patient 4 This 68 year old right handed man developed difficulty manipulating a pen with his right hand three years before the study, followed by progressive difficulty in using his right hand. Over the next year he began dragging the right leg and fell repeatedly. His wife noticed that his speech was halting, and that he occasionally used the wrong words. The response to levodopa was poor. At the time of the study, he had mild, generalised bradykin-
esia and rigidity, more pronounced on the right. He had continual groping movements with the right arm, which he was aware of, but could not suppress. There was marked apraxia and loss of graphesthesia and stereognosis of the right hand with similar, but milder, difficulties on the left. Reflexes were increased on the right but both plantar reflexes were flexor. Speech was slow but of normal volume, and the mental status was unimpaired. An MRI scan was normal.

**Patient 5** This 75 year old man with a history of alcohol abuse and pernicious anaemia began falling and having difficulty using his right arm approximately one year before the study. He gradually developed a coarse postural and action tremor of the right arm. A trial of levodopa and bromocriptine was pursued without benefit. By the time of the study at the age of 76, he had generalised cogwheel rigidity and bradykinesia, most severe in the right arm, and an action tremor of both arms, much more severe on the right. In addition, he had groping movements of the right arm which could only briefly be suppressed. Stereognosis and praxis were impaired in the right arm, and voluntary movements of the left arm were mirrored on the right. Reflexes were absent at the ankles and normal elsewhere; there was spasticity of both legs with bilateral extensor plantar responses. Speech and mentation was normal. A CT scan showed mild fronto-parietal atrophy, more severe on the left side.

**Control Subjects** Eighteen normal volunteer subjects (mean (SD) age 27 (5) years) without a history of recent medical illness, neurological disease, developmental disorder or substance abuse, served as a control population for the metabolic studies. The group consisted of 12 men and six women; all but two of the subjects were right handed. Regional metabolic measurements in CBGD patients were also compared with similar values from nine typical PD patients (mean (SD) age 52 (10) years). These patients, described by us previously, (patients 1–5 and 11–14,14) had asymmetrical Parkinsonism, but lacked the lateralised cortical signs characteristic of CBGD; these patients all responded consistently to anti-Parkinsonian medication. All control subjects had a complete neurological examination, audiometric screening and neuropsychological evaluation before FDG/PET scanning.

**FDG/PET**

Patients and control subjects fasted overnight and were allowed a light breakfast six hours before FDG/PET scanning. Patients taking levodopa and other anti-Parkinsonian drugs remained on these medications during PET studies. FDG, produced by a modification of Tewson’s synthesis,4 was more than 97% radiochemically pure (specific activity 500 mCi/m mole). With the PC4600 positron camera,10 serial PET images (10 x 1 min, 5 x 2 min, 3 x 5 min, 3 x 10 min) were obtained following the injection of 5–10 mCi of the tracer during a controlled resting state: eyes patched and auditory stimulation (music and intermittent verbal commands delivered through acoustically isolated earphones). The time course of plasma $^{18}$F radioactivity was determined by sampling radial arterial blood.

Region of interest (ROI) analysis was performed on $128 \times 128$ PET reconstructions that were corrected for random coincidences, electronic dead time and tissue attenuation. A single scalar correction was used to compensate for scatter effects in transmission, cross-calibration, and emission scans. Twenty four (12 per hemisphere) standardised cortical and subcortical grey matter (GM) ROIs and two cerebellar and two brainstem ROIs were outlined on reconstructed PET brain slices with reference to a neuroanatomical atlas.13 In four CBGD studies compartmental GM rate constants ($k_r-k_i$) and cerebral blood volume were estimated from the time course of blood and regional brain radioactivity.14 To reduce noise, individual subjects’ mean rate constants, averaged across GM ROIs, were used to “functionalise” raw-count images acquired between 45 and 55 minutes after tracer injection.15–18 In the remaining study (patient 5), rCMRGluc was determined using average GM rate constants derived from the four dynamic FDG/PET studies.14,18 “Peak” rCMRGluc values were obtained by averaging the upper 10% of functionalised ROI pixel values. Whenever anatomical regions straddled contiguous PET brain slices, rCMRGluc was calculated by weighting component ROI values by the number of thresholded pixels. To facilitate comparison with previously published rCMRGluc data, the lumped constant was assumed to be 0.42.17 Absolute rCMRGluc values were obtained from patient and control scans for a standard set of ROIs in the two hemispheres. Regional metabolic asymmetry was computed as $(L-R)/(L+R) \times 100$, where $L$ and $R$ refer to left- and right-sided rCMRGluc, respectively. Group mean regional differences for CBGD and PD patients and normal volunteers were compared using the following procedures: 1) paired Student’s $t$ tests incorporating the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons; 2) analysis of variants (ANOVA) with the Newman–Keuls multiple comparison test to determine which groups were different from each other. Differences between groups were considered significant when comparisons using both methods exceeded 95% confidence limits ($p < 0.05$). Intrasubject correlations were calculated between contrast measures in different brain regions.

**FDOPA/PET**

In patient 2 (table 1), presynaptic dopaminergic function was assessed with $[^{18}$F]fluoro-L-dopa (FDOPA) and PET using a method described by us previously.8 Rate constants for FDOPA uptake ($K_r$) for right and left striatum were calculated using a multiple-time graphical approach.8,10 Mean striatal $K_r$ and the left-right difference in striatal $K_r$ were compared with values derived from the typical PD patients using Student’s $t$-test.8
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Results

FDG/PET

Typical FDG/PET images from a CBGD patient (patient 1) are demonstrated in fig 1. In CBGD patients, estimated values for \( k \) ([0 048 (0 005), mean (SEM)] were significantly lower than control values ([0 084 (0 004), \( p < 0 0001 \)]) but not PD values ([0 061 (0 004), \( p = 0 11 \]). Estimated values for \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) for CBGD patients did not differ from PD or control values. The mean global metabolic rate (GMR) for CBGD patients ([3 95 (0 47)] was significantly lower than mean values for normal subjects ([6 41 (0 197), \( p < 0 0001 \]) and PD patients ([4 90 (0 28), \( p < 0 001 \)]. Mean rCMRGlc values for the CBGD group were reduced (p < 0 05) in the lateral temporal, paracentral, and inferior parietal regions, and in the frontal operculum compared to the normal group (fig 2). Mean rCMRGlc values for CBGD and PD patients did not differ significantly.

Left-right rCMRGlc asymmetry measures for the CBGD patients are given in table 2. Mean metabolic asymmetry measures differed significantly between groups in each of the following brain regions: thalamus (p < 0 02), hippocampus/temporal cortex (p < 0 01), and the inferior parietal lobule (p < 0 0001). In each of these regions, mean asymmetry for the CBGD group was greater than that of normals or asymmetrical PD patients (fig 3). Asymmetry measures in normal subjects and asymmetrical PD patients did not differ significantly. No significant between group differences in metabolic asymmetry were observed in other brain regions.

FDOPA/PET

An FDOPA/PET image (patient 2) at two hours post-injection is illustrated in fig 4. FDOPA uptake rate constants (\( K_r \)) for left and right striatum were 6 75 and 4 69 (min\(^{-1}\) \times 10\(^{-3}\)) respectively, reflecting a relative reduction in \( K_r \) contralateral to the more affected limbs. These values were lower than those measured in two normal volunteer subjects ([14 94 (2 42)], but the significance of this observation cannot be determined because of the small sample size. These \( K_r \) values did not differ from mean striatal \( K_r \) values derived from 11 PD patients ([6 1 (0 85); \( p = 0 89 \]). In this patient, the difference between right and left \( K_r \) values was also not different from the mean \( K_r \) difference in PD patients ([1 32 (0 50), \( p = 0 67 \]).

Discussion

We suggested the diagnosis of CBGD in five patients based upon clinical findings of lateralised cortical dysfunction in the setting of...
Asymmetrical levodopa-resistant Parkinsonism. In one case, the diagnosis was confirmed pathologically by the presence of neuronal achromasia. We found that, as a group, these CBGD patients were metabolically distinguished from control populations primarily by greater mean metabolic asymmetry in the thalamus, hippocampus, and inferior parietal cortex. It should be noted that the demonstration of focal alterations in glucose metabolism and asymmetries with FDG/PET may be confounded by the presence of underlying cortical atrophy on CT or MRI scans. Although focal cortical atrophy may affect rCMRGlc measurements because of volume averaging with surrounding cerebrospinal fluid, partial volume effects may be reduced by our thresholding strategy. Moreover, significant metabolic asymmetries were detected in three CBGD patients without discernible anatomical asymmetry in CT and/or MRI scans. CT disclosed asymmetrical cortical atrophy in two other cases, but the radiographic changes were mild and would not fully account for the cortical rCMRGlc asymmetries noted on the PET scans. Furthermore, the additional finding of thalamic rCMRGlc asymmetries which correlate with metabolic asymmetries in associated cortical regions suggests that the cortical asymmetries are not an artifact of asymmetrical loss of cortical tissue. Although mean absolute hippocampal, thalamic and inferior parietal asymmetries measured were significantly greater in the CBGD population, the patients' individual asymmetry values were less consistent. As shown in Table 2, significant metabolic asymmetries were evident in a variety of brain regions, including several not characteristically involved in necropsy descriptions (for example, the hippocampus/medial temporal cortex (ROI 30) and the calcarine cortex (ROI 60)). Moreover, some of the significant metabolic asymmetries, notably in the hippocampus (patient 2) and operculum and cerebellum (patient 5), indicated relative metabolic reductions ipsilateral to the more severely affected

**Table 2: CBGD: Metabolic Left-Right Asymmetries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Cereb</th>
<th>BasGgl</th>
<th>Thal</th>
<th>Lat-Temp</th>
<th>Oper</th>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Post-Temp</th>
<th>Med-Front</th>
<th>Lat-Front</th>
<th>Calc</th>
<th>Cuneus</th>
<th>Infr-Par</th>
<th>Paracentral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2-92</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>-14.68</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>-10.79*</td>
<td>-12.35</td>
<td>-3.41</td>
<td>-6.89*</td>
<td>-14.03*</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>7.28*</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3-82</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>-9.23</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>-3.97</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>8.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-94</td>
<td>-4.08</td>
<td>-2.93</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1-70</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-2.94</td>
<td>-0.92*</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>6.22*</td>
<td>-7.16</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD (n = 9):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Cereb</th>
<th>BasGgl</th>
<th>Thal</th>
<th>Lat-Temp</th>
<th>Oper</th>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Post-Temp</th>
<th>Med-Front</th>
<th>Lat-Front</th>
<th>Calc</th>
<th>Cuneus</th>
<th>Infr-Par</th>
<th>Paracentral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2-75</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NORMAL (n = 18):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Cereb</th>
<th>BasGgl</th>
<th>Thal</th>
<th>Lat-Temp</th>
<th>Oper</th>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Post-Temp</th>
<th>Med-Front</th>
<th>Lat-Front</th>
<th>Calc</th>
<th>Cuneus</th>
<th>Infr-Par</th>
<th>Paracentral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Metabolic asymmetries ≥5% are underlined. Statistically significant differences in regional asymmetry between CBGD patients and 18 subjects are designated as: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Cereb = Cerebellum; BasGgl = Basal ganglia; Thal = Thalamus; Hippo = Hippocampus; Lat-Temp = Lateral temporal; Oper = Operculum; Post-Temp = Posterior temporal; Med-Front = Medial frontal; Inf.-Par = Inferior parietal; Paracentral = Paracentral.
The metabolic landscape of cortico-basal ganglionic degeneration: regional asymmetries studied with positron emission tomography

In addition to significant metabolic asymmetries, we found CBGD patients to have:
1) lower mean k, values;
2) lower global metabolic rate for glucose [GMR];
3) lower mean rCMRGlc in the lateral temporal paracentral, inferior parietal and frontal opercular regions.

We feel, however, that these findings must be interpreted with caution, given potential ageing effects in the comparison of populations not strictly controlled for this parameter. Nonetheless, significant alterations in kinetic rate constants, global and regional metabolic rates for glucose, and metabolic asymmetries have not been documented consistently in investigations of normal ageing, suggesting that the metabolic changes encountered in CBGD may not be attributed simply to the advanced age of these patients.

Contrary to expectations, estimates of GM rate constants revealed a decreased mean k, (forward, or uptake kinetic rate constant) in the CBGD group—compared with normal, but not age-matched, control subjects. CBGD patients, however, did not differ from the PD group with respect to the estimated kinetic rate constants. Since kinetic rate constants have not been found to change in the course of normal ageing, the k, difference between CBGD and normal may indicate a pathological alteration in glucose transport in this disorder. We have similarly found a significant decline in k, in PD patients. It is possible that k, and/or k, abnormalities may also be present in CBGD, but may not have reached statistical significance because of the small sample size and the large coefficient of variation associated with these parameters.

The significant decline in mean GMR for the CBGD group is probably not solely a reflection of reduced mean k,, as the mean GMR for this group was significantly lower than both the PD and the normal control groups, whereas mean k, did not differ significantly between the CBGD and PD groups. Similarly, it is unlikely that the reduction in glucose metabolism was merely an ageing effect. It is likely that the significant decline in global and regional glucose metabolism in CBGD is a reflection of the extensive and regionally selective cortical neuronal loss documented in necropsy studies.

Although metabolic imaging with PET may be useful in distinguishing CBGD from typical PD and other Parkinsonian syndromes, the assessment of nigrostriatal dopaminergic function with FDOPA/PET is less specific. The reduction in striatal FDOPA uptake described in our CBGD patient is quantitatively similar to that encountered by us in typical PD, and by others in the hypokinetic movement disorders. Differential FDOPA uptake in the caudate and putamen could not be assessed with the resolution of our tomographs.

Our PET results in CBGD patients are consistent with published neuropathological findings. Rebez et al were the first to recognise CBGD as a distinct clinicopathological entity, although they termed the illness corticodentatonigral degeneration after the loci of major histopathological change. In
their three original patients, these authors (and subsequently others) noted the presence of asymmetric gliosis and achorastic or pale neuronal inclusions in the parietal, posterior frontal and paracentral cortices, and in the substantia nigra—generally more pronounced contralateral to the more affected limbs. These morphological changes may be the substrate for the distinctive clinical manifestations and PET scan asymmetries reported here in living patients.

The finding of a significant positive correlation between cortical metabolic asymmetries, particularly in the inferior parietal lobe, and those occurring in the thalamus, reflects the close anatomical and functional relationship of these structures. The presence of uncorrected cortico-thalamic diaschisis in CBGD patients is consistent with the pathological finding of localised thalamic cell loss and gliosis,\(^1,2,3\) which was presumed secondary to cortical neuronal loss.\(^1\)

Interestingly, the original authors noted that the thalamic pathology was most pronounced in the nucleus lateralis posterior,\(^1\) strongly suggested to be closely associated with the inferior parietal lobe.\(^27,28\) Whether the reversed asymmetry in hippocampal metabolism found in some of our patients represents a form of crossed diaschisis is uncertain, but is not a manifestation of primary pathology, as this region seems to be spared pathologically.\(^1,6\)

Although we have been unable to demonstrate an interrelationship between cortical and thalamic metabolic asymmetries in normal or PD brains, Akiyama et al.\(^29\) have reported a correlation between cortical and thalamic metabolic asymmetries in Alzheimer’s disease. Focal cortical hypometabolism and cortico-thalamic diaschisis may be evident in both CBGD and Alzheimer’s disease, but these two entities are clearly distinguishable on clinical grounds—our patients presented with progressive lateralised motor dysfunction and not with intellectual decline. Furthermore, while some histopathological resemblance has been noted between CBGD and Pick’s disease, the topography of the pathological\(^2\) and metabolic changes\(^2\) is quite different in the two diseases and suggest a major nosological distinction.

In conclusion, our FDG/PET findings, which are consistent with previous clinical and pathological descriptions of CBGD, may allow for the distinction of this entity from typical PD in life. Although the diagnosis of CBGD can only be confirmed at necropsy, the variety of histopathological changes found in the brains of patients with putative CBGD\(^14\) suggests that the clinical syndrome may have several neuropathological substrates. Thus, in addition to supporting the clinical diagnosis of CBGD, FDG/PET may also prove useful ante mortem insights into the metabolic pathways which underlie this unusual illness.
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