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Abstract

Two patients aged 21 and 50 years presented with facial hemiatrophy and unilateral spasms of the masticatory muscles. Masticatory muscle biopsy showed normal findings in both patients and facial skin biopsy specimens only showed atrophy, although morphea (localised facial scleroderma) had been diagnosed nine years previously in the second patient. The involuntary movements consisted of brief twitches and prolonged contractions clinically and electromyographically similar to those of hemifacial spasm and cramps. The jaw jerk and the silent periods were absent in the affected muscles. Direct stimulation of the muscle nerve and transcranial stimulation of the trigeminal root demonstrated slowing of conduction and after-activity due to autoexcitation. Observations in other reported cases and these two patients suggest that hemimasticatory spasm is produced by ectopic activity secondary to focal demyelination of the trigeminal motor nerve fibres. The proposed cause of the neuropathy is focal damage to the masticatory nerves caused by compression, possibly resulting from the deep tissue changes that occur in facial hemiatrophy.

Hemimasticatory spasm (HMS) is a rare condition, characterised by unilateral forceful contractions of one or more masticatory muscles. In most patients it is associated with ipsilateral facial hemiatrophy.1,2 The cause of the spasms is not understood.

Kaufman,3 who first studied the electromyography (EMG) characteristics of hemimasticatory spasm, stressed the close similarity with hemifacial spasm, and proposed that the spontaneous activity was generated in the trigeminal nerve fibres. Thompson and Carroll4 found similar EMG characteristics and also proposed a trigeminal neuropathy. Other authors, however, suggested CNS, sympathetic ganglia, or muscle dysfunction.5-7 Because none of the previously reported patients underwent masticatory nerve stimulation, conduct velocity and after-discharges could not be studied.

We studied two patients with HMS and facial hemiatrophy and demonstrated ectopic activity and slowing of motor conduction in the trigeminal nerve. We also reviewed published reports of patients literature in whom a diagnosis of HMS was supported by EMG findings, to examine the presence of a common pathophysiological mechanism.

Case reports

PATIENT 1

A young man first noticed a hollowness of his left cheek at the age of 17. One year later, brief involuntary twitches appeared in his left temporalis muscle. Clinical examination disclosed dilatation of the left pupil and the facial asymmetry; CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain were normal. Left facial hemiatrophy was diagnosed.

At the age of 20 years, when the patient came to our observation, the involuntary movements were still restricted to the temporalis muscle. Small twitches alternated with severe and painful, prolonged contractions (lasting up to several minutes). Clinical examination showed only marked hypertrophy of the left temporalis muscle and hollowness of the cheek. Facial sensation was normal and the patient never complained of paraesthesia or neuralgia.

No clinical or laboratory evidence—including antinuclear antibodies, extractable nuclear antigen, native DNA, and mitochondrial antibody titres—was found of connective tissue disease.

The patient has been taking 1200 mg carbamazepine daily for six months and reports moderate benefit.

PATIENT 2

A 50-year-old woman first noticed small spots of abnormal pigmentation on the right side of the face at the age of 41 years. On her admission to a dermatological division, a skin biopsy was consistent with the diagnosis of localized scleroderma of the face, or morphea. Corticosteroids were prescribed. At the age of 44 years she began to have involuntary twitches of the right masseter and temporalis muscles; the twitches increased in duration and frequency over the years.

At her first neurological assessment, the dyschromia was less apparent, but the face was frankly asymmetrical because of a slight hollowning of the right cheek and marked hypertrophy of the right masseter and temporalis muscles. The involuntary masticatory muscle contractions consisted of brief twitches and prolonged spasms, occurring many times a day either spontaneously, or
more often, triggered by chewing, speaking or other voluntary movements of the mouth and jaw. Tonic contractions could last up to a few minutes; they were most painful and prevented the patient from opening her mouth. Like patient 1, she had never had sensory symptoms other than the pain induced by the muscle spasms. Neurological examination disclosed no abnormal signs apart from the spasms and hypertrophy of the masticatory muscles. MRI and CT scans showed hypertrophy of the right temporalis, lateral pterygoid, and masseter muscles, and dislocation of the right temporomandibular joint.

Serum tests for speckled and homogeneous antinuclear antibodies, and smooth muscle antibodies gave positive results; circulating immune complexes were increased. Extractable nuclear antigen, native DNA, and mitochondrial antibody titres were negative. No evidence was found of kidney, intestinal, or other systemic manifestations of vasculitis.

The painful spasms were attenuated by carbamazepine 600 mg daily and transiently blocked by injection of local anaesthetics into the masseter and temporalis muscles. Diazepam was ineffective. After completion of all the investigations, injection of bovine serum albumin (30–50 U, Oculinum) into the affected muscles was repeated three times, with clinical benefit.

The following investigations were approved by the local ethical committee and both patients gave their informed consent.

Investigations

MUSCLE AND SKIN BIOPSY

In both patients, an elliptical biopsy specimen, including skin and underlying temporalis muscle, was obtained from the affected side. In patient 2, a further skin biopsy specimen was taken from an area of slight dyschromia on the right forehead.

In both patients, the muscle was histologically normal and skin specimens only showed a flattened epidermis and atrophy of dermal appendages. In particular, no evidence of scleroderma was found in patient 2, in whom morphea had been diagnosed nine years beforehand.

A masticatory nerve biopsy was excluded because of the consequent functional damage.

STANDARD EMG EXAMINATION

The masseter, temporalis, suprahyoid, and facial muscles were bilaterally examined by concentric needle recordings (filters 50–5000 Hz). No abnormalities were found in either patient in the muscles clinically unaffected by the spasm, neither were denervation potentials found in the affected muscles. The voluntary and involuntary motor unit potentials examined were all normal, although difficulty in providing a steady level of voluntary activity and the frequent occurrence of involuntary activity hindered an exhaustive analysis of single motor unit potentials.

Paroxysmal spontaneous activity was recorded from the left temporalis in patient 1 and the right masseter and temporalis muscles in patient 2. Involuntary EMG activity was similar in the two patients. Brief trains (30–100 ms) of two to seven motor unit potentials reaching a discharge frequency of 100 Hz (fig 1A), or brief bursts (50–200 ms) of multiple motor unit potentials occurred spontaneously, irregularly, and arrhythmically (fig 1B). The bursts would occasionally become more frequent and intense, with the progressive recruitment and synchronisation of more and more motor units, leading to a large (12–15 mV) compound potential, discharging tonically at a high frequency (fig 1C). The discharge frequency was always around 60 Hz in patient 1 and 70 Hz in patient 2. This activity corresponded to the painful tonic contractions. The maximum duration of the contractions was 2 minutes in patient 1 and 30 s in patient 2. In patient 2, the prolonged spasm sometimes affected the temporalis muscle alone with complete electrical silence in the masseter or vice versa. At other times the spasm moved from one muscle to the other (fig 2), but never spread to involve the facial muscles or contralateral muscles of the jaw. In both patients, these patterns of involuntary EMG activity usually followed a strong voluntary clenching of the teeth, but could also be elicited by electrical stimulation of the mentalis or infraorbital nerves, though not the supraorbital nerve.

STIMULATION OF TRIGEMINAL MOTOR NERVE FIBRES

Signals were recorded through surface electrodes (filters 10–2000 Hz) placed bilaterally
over the masseter and temporalis and by concentric needles inserted into the anterior temporalis.

The masseteric and deep temporal nerves in the infratemporal fossa (fig 3) were stimulated by delivering electrical shocks (0.5-5 mA, 0-1 ms) through two fine needle electrodes inserted below the zygomatic arch and anterior to the temperomandibular joint, and direct motor responses (M waves) were recorded from the masseter and temporalis muscle. In patient 1, the masseter M wave was normal and symmetrical; the left temporal M wave was slightly delayed (right latency 1-8 ms, left latency 2-2 ms) and normal in amplitude (4-8 mV on the right, 5-1 mV on the left). In patient 2, the response in the right masseter was clearly delayed (2-4 ms on the right, 1-5 ms on the left), although symmetrical in amplitude (6 mV on both sides) (fig 4).

The trigeminal root was stimulated transcranially by means of a high tension, low impedance electrical cortical stimulator (Digitimer), with the anode placed at the vertex and the cathode about 10 cm laterally, slightly anterior and superior to the ear. The

Figure 2 Temporalis and masseter spasm in patient 2. Simultaneous recordings from right temporalis (T) and masseter (M) muscles. Large compound potentials of synchronised motor units discharging tonically in the temporalis muscle whereas the masseter is silent (1). After a few seconds, the number of motor units discharging synchronously in the temporalis decreases whereas similar activity starts in the masseter (2). The spasm ceases simultaneously in the two muscles (3). Calibration 50 ms/2 mV.

Figure 3 Schematic drawing of the infratemporal fossa and course of the masticatory nerves. Lateral view (left) and frontal section (right). The mandibular nerve leaves the cranial cavity through the foramen ovale of the greater wing of the sphenoid, and runs down into the infratemporal fossa, behind the lateral pterygoid muscle. At its exit from the foramen ovale it first gives off the motor branches that supply the jaw closing muscles. The temporalis motor nerve turns laterally, running horizontally between the greater wing of the sphenoid and the upper belly of the lateral pterygoid muscle (3), and divides into the posterior temporal nerve (1) and masseteric nerve (2). The temporal muscle is also innervated by the median and anterior temporal nerves, which originate from the mandibular nerve and the buccal nerve (4). The deep temporal nerves (1) turn sharply around the temporal crest and run upwards between the skull and the temporal muscle. The masseteric nerve (2) turns downwards, passing between the zygomatic arch and the mandibular notch to reach the masseter muscle from its inner surface. The lateral pterygoid muscle is innervated by nerve branches (not shown) originating, close to the muscle, from the mandibular nerve and the buccal nerve; the medial pterygoid muscle is innervated by a small nerve (not shown), which originates slightly below the foramen ovale and remains posterior to the mandibular nerve. All the nerve branches that supply the jaw closing muscles originate before the mandibular nerve divides into its terminal mixed nerves: the lingual (5) and inferior dental (6) nerves. The jaw opening muscles are innervated by the mylohyoid nerve (7), which originates from the inferior dental nerve immediately before it enters the mandibular canal. The dashed line (left) represents the coronoid process of the mandible. S1 and S2 (right) indicate approximate sites of nerve excitation with percutaneous needle stimulation (S1) and transcranial stimulation (S2).

Figure 4 Motor conduction study. Recording from the right (R) and left (L) temporalis muscle in patient 1 (A) and the right and left masseter muscle in patients 2 (B). Electrical stimulation of the masticatory nerves (masseteric and deep temporal nerves) in the infratemporal fossa (1) and transcranial stimulation of the trigeminal motor root (2). In (A), the direct motor responses evoked by stimulation of the deep temporal nerves (1) are only slightly asymmetrical, whereas those evoked by stimulation of the trigeminal motor root (2) are markedly delayed in the left temporalis. In (B), the responses evoked by stimulation of both the masseteric nerve (1) and the trigeminal motor root (2) are clearly delayed on the right masseter. In both patients, the amplitude of the responses to distal stimulations (1) is normal. Averages of four trials, except the bottom records in (A), where four single trials are superimposed to differentiate the direct motor response (reproducible, time locked waves) from the late asynchronous activity. Horizontal calibration 2 ms. Vertical calibration is 2 mV in (A) (except in the bottom records, where the calibration is 0-5 mV), and 5 mV in (B).
followed by late activity consisting of high frequency discharges of synchronised multiple motor unit potentials lasting 250 ms. (B) In the left temporalis of patient 1, the M wave is followed by late activity consisting of asynchronous motor unit potentials, starting after a brief pause of electrical silence. Calibration is 50 ms/1 mV in (A), 10 ms/2 mV in (A2), and 5 ms/1 mV in (B).

Figure 5. After-discharges evoked by stimulation of the masticatory nerves. (A) In the right masseter of patient 2, the M wave is followed by after-activity consisting of high frequency discharges of synchronised multiple motor unit potentials lasting 250 ms. (B) In the left temporalis of patient 1, the M wave is followed by late activity consisting of asynchronous motor unit potentials, starting after a brief pause of electrical silence. Calibration is 50 ms/1 mV in (A), 10 ms/2 mV in (A2), and 5 ms/1 mV in (B).

An electrical field is thought to excite the trigeminal motor nerve fibres intracranially, near their exit from the skull (fig 3). In patient 1, the responses from the masseter were normal and symmetrical. Because a large stimulus artifact and signals from facial muscles obscured surface recordings, responses from the temporalis muscle could only be examined by needle recording: in this condition, the latency of the response in the left temporalis (4 ms) was markedly longer than that in the right temporalis (2.5 ms) and longer than the response simultaneously recorded in the left masseter (2.2 ms). In our experience the temporalis and masseter responses to stimulation at different sites along the trigeminal nerve pathway differ minimally in latency (mean 0.2 ms). In patient 2, the response in the right masseter was markedly delayed (3.5 ms on the right, 2 ms on the left) and dispersed (fig 4).

We concluded that both patients had marked slowing of conduction of the masticatory nerves, more pronounced between the two sites of stimulation (fig 3), without a reduction in amplitude of the M waves evoked by distal stimulation.

Stimulation of the masticatory nerve fibres in both patients also induced autoexcitation with involuntary discharges in the target muscle. In patient 2, the M wave in the right masseter was often followed by an after-activity consisting of high-frequency (110 Hz) discharges of synchronised multiple motor unit potentials lasting about 250 ms (fig 5A). In patient 1, the M wave in the left temporalis was occasionally followed, after a 10 ms pause of electrical silence, by a late-activity of asynchronous motor unit potentials, lasting about 30 ms (fig 5B).

The presence of ephaptic responses in the masseter muscle after stimulation of the deep temporal nerves or in the temporalis muscle after stimulation of the massetric nerve could not be properly evaluated, because stimulation of the masticatory nerves in the infratemporal fossa is not sufficiently selective. Transcutaneous electrical stimulation of the masseter muscle belly, however, did not evoke ephaptic responses in the temporalis and vice versa, whereas it often triggered prolonged spasms in the affected muscles.

Figure 6. Jaw jerk and silent periods. The jaw jerk (A) and the silent period (B) evoked by taps to the chin are absent in the left temporalis muscle of patient 1. The early and late silent periods evoked by electrical stimulation of the mentalis (C) are absent in the right masseter of patient 2, after stimulation of the right side (C1) and left side nerve (C2). Calibrations are 5 ms/0.5 mV in (A), and 20 ms/0.5 mV in (B) and (C).

TRIGEMINAL REFLEXES

The jaw jerk evoked by tapping the patient’s chin with a triggered hammer was recorded through surface electrodes from the masseter and temporalis muscles bilaterally. In patient 1 the jaw jerk was normal and symmetrical in the masseter, whereas it was absent in the left temporalis and normal in the right (right latency 7 ms) (fig 6A). In patient 2 the jaw jerk was absent in the right masseter and normal in the left (left latency 7 ms).

The silent period of jaw closing muscles after the chin tap and the early and late silent periods after electrical stimulation of the mental or infraorbital nerve (SP1 and SP2 of the masseter inhibitory reflex, also called exteroceptive suppression) were recorded during maximal clenching of the teeth. Although both patients had some difficulty in producing strong and steady contractions without interference by involuntary movements, the silent periods were apparently normal in the unaffected muscles and even in the affected muscles, when these were spasm-
Table  Reports of patients with hemimasticatory spasm demonstrated by EMG examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sex and age of onset (years)</th>
<th>Muscles</th>
<th>EMG</th>
<th>Trigeminal function</th>
<th>Facial hemihyperophy and connective tissue disease</th>
<th>Proposed site of lesion and mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaufman, 1980</td>
<td>F25</td>
<td>L. masseter</td>
<td>Mild chronic denervation. Bursts of single or multiple unit 200 Hz discharges. Recruitments of synchronised units leading to tonic contraction</td>
<td>Clinically normal</td>
<td>Facial hemihyperplasia</td>
<td>Peripheral nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laprestle and Deil, 1982</td>
<td>F15</td>
<td>R masseter</td>
<td>Polysynaptic potentials of variable amplitude. No clearcut myogenic or neurogenic signs. Cramp recorded from the masseter</td>
<td>Clinically normal</td>
<td>Multiple localized scleroderma. Antinuclear antibodies. Facial hemihyperplasia</td>
<td>Muscle and CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thompson and Carroll, 1983</td>
<td>F57</td>
<td>L. temporalis and masseter</td>
<td>20-100 ms bursts of high frequency discharges. Crescendo of multiple unit bursts leading to prolonged spasm (200 ms - 1 s)</td>
<td>Previous episodes of facial numbness. Normal clinical examination and blink reflexes</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Peripheral nerve. Inflammatory disorder or vascular compresion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parisi et al, 1987</td>
<td>F38</td>
<td>R masseter</td>
<td>Rapid discharges of high frequency motor units</td>
<td>Clinically normal</td>
<td>Multiple localized scleroderma. Facial hemihyperplasia</td>
<td>Sympathetic cervical ganglia (or peripheral nerve, or nucleus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshii et al, 1989</td>
<td>M44</td>
<td>L. masseter and pterygoids</td>
<td>Synchronised spasm discharges lasting for 1-2 s</td>
<td>Clinically normal</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1 by Auger et al, 1992</td>
<td>F20</td>
<td>R masseter and temporalis</td>
<td>10-200 ms bursts of high frequency discharges. Multiple unit activity during prolonged spasms lasting up to 1 minute</td>
<td>Normal clinical examination, blink reflexes and jaw-jerk. Differ block of silent periods</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Motor root or nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2 by Auger et al, 1992</td>
<td>F20</td>
<td>R temporalis and masseter</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Motor root or nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1 (this report)</td>
<td>M18</td>
<td>L. temporalis</td>
<td>50-100 ms bursts of high frequency multiple unit discharges. Recruitment of synchronised motor units leading to 60 Hz tonic activity lasting up to 2 minutes.</td>
<td>Normal clinical examination and blink reflexes. Absent jaw-jerk. Differ block of silent periods</td>
<td>Facial hemihyperplasia</td>
<td>Peripherial muscle-nerve. Focal demyelination by compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2 (this report)</td>
<td>F44</td>
<td>R jaw closers</td>
<td>As above with 70 Hz tonic activity lasting up to 30 s</td>
<td>As above</td>
<td>Morphea. Antinuclear and smooth muscle antibodies. Facial hemihyperplasia</td>
<td>Peripherial muscle-nerve. Focal demyelination by compression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND = No data.

Free of the spasms, the affected muscles—that is, the left temporalis in patient 1 and the right jaw closers in patient 2—showed afferent block: in other words, the silent periods were completely absent in these muscles and normal in the contralateral muscles, regardless of the side of stimulation (Fig 6). Electrical stimulation of the mentalis and infraorbital nerve on the affected side evoked normal silent periods in the contralateral muscle (patient 1, right temporalis latency 12 ms, patient 2, left masseter latency 11 ms); this finding was important because it allowed us to exclude damage to or interference on the input from cutaneous afferents. Electrical stimulation of the right and left supraorbital nerves consistently evoked normal and symmetrical early and late blink reflexes in the orbicularis oculi muscles11 12 in both patients.

Discussion

DIAGNOSIS OF HEMIMASTICATORY SPASM

The occurrence of ‘masticatory spasms’, in association with facial hemihypertrophy, described first in the last century1 13 has since been documented in several clinical reports. The clinical diagnosis is difficult, however, even if the spasm is unilateral, because involuntary movements of the jaw are present in a variety of conditions, including mechanical or inflammatory disorders of the mandible and temporomandibular joint, cephalic tetanus, focal motor epilepsy, tonic spasms of multiple sclerosis, and unilateral dystonia of the jaw.14

Clinical ambiguities can easily be resolved by the characteristic electromyographic findings. In this review, we have therefore collected only the reports of unilateral involuntary movements of the jaw corroborated by an EMG description and corresponding to our definition of HMS. As the table shows, these criteria were met in 10 patients only. Two of them are described in the present report, seven were also diagnosed as having unilateral masticatory or masseter spasm,15 16 and one had a different diagnosis.6

Hemimasticatory spasm more commonly presents in women (4:1) in the third and fourth decade (age range 15–57 years). It is often, although not always, associated with facial hemihypertrophy. Localised scleroderma and clinical or laboratory signs of connective tissue disease are relatively frequent. Neurological examination is usually normal—except for the spasm—and facial sensation is always normal. The spasm involves one or more jaw closing muscles on one side, most frequently the masseter. Jaw openers are never affected. Ipsilateral involvement of other cranial muscles has been described in two patients.16

Clinically, the involuntary movement consists of brief twitches (resembling those of hemifacial spasm) and prolonged spasms (lasting a few seconds to several minutes, and resembling cramps) or prolonged spasms alone. The spams are intensely painful, vio-
lent, and sometimes of sudden onset: during a spasm patients may bite their tongue, dislocate their temporomandibular joint, or even break teeth. The involuntary movement may be evoked by yawning, speaking, closing the mouth, chewing, or other voluntary movements of the mouth and jaw, as well as by electrical shocks delivered to the muscle belly or the facial skin.

EMG recordings show no denervation potentials and in most cases the motor unit potentials are normal. The spontaneous activity resembles that of hemifacial spasm (short bursts of 100–200 Hz discharges of one or few synchronised motor unit potentials), and that of muscle cramps (tonic 50–70 Hz discharges of a compound potential comprising many synchronised motor unit potentials). The duration of these paroxysms, as well as the number of motor units involved, varies widely from case to case and even from time to time. The hallmarks are high frequency discharges and the recruitment of synchronised motor unit potentials, features that are easily identified and only present (in masticatory muscles) in this rare condition.

The finding of an absent or delayed jaw jerk in the affected muscles is also common, yet not diagnostic, as jaw jerk asymmetry can also be found in multiple sclerosis and even in temporomandibular joint dysfunction. Almost unique to HMS is the finding of an afferent block of the masseter silent periods: during the spasm, no inhibition of the affected muscles can be exerted reflexly by any sensor input, regardless of the site of stimulation. This unusual feature may occur in a few other conditions, one of which is cephalic tetanus. Nevertheless, a complete afferent block to the muscles on one side of the face or even in one muscle alone is exceptional.

To attenuate the spasm, carbamazepine, phenytoin, haloperidol, clonazepam, and diazepam have been tried with varying results, the best being obtained with carbamazepine. Other treatments tried include mandibular anaesthesia, 'rubbing' of the mandibular nerve, masseter myotomy, surgical lesions of the motor root, and local injection with botulinum A toxin.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF HEMIMASTICATORY SPASM

We have demonstrated a peripheral motor nerve lesion, as originally proposed. Nerve conduction studies showed slowing of conduction in the extracranial course of the masticatory nerve fibres, without a reduction in amplitude of the M waves or obvious EMG signs of chronic denervation. Biopsy specimens of the affected temporal muscle appeared histologically normal in both patients. These findings indicate demyelination with sparing of the axon.

The jaw jerk was absent or delayed in several patients (table). This indicates damage to the large diameter (Aα) afferent fibres from muscle spindles; a demyelination of even a few of the jaw jerk afferents could easily abolish the reflex response. In contrast, medium size exteroceptive fibres (Aβ) were spared, as shown by the general finding of a normal tactile sensation and normal latency of exteroceptive reflexes when tested (table). Temperature and pain sensation are invariably normal in patients with HMS. One explanation could be that the disease preferentially affects the largest nerve fibres. Alternatively, it only affects muscle nerves, in which the function of small afferent fibres is difficult to assess.

Muscle-nerve damage would explain not only why patients with HMS have no sensory disturbance, but also why they often have spasms in one or two jaw closers only (table), yet never have spasms in the jaw openers, muscles that are innervated by a branch of the inferior dental nerve (fig 3). These observations argue against damage to the motor root or the intracranial portion of the mandibular nerve, where the motor fascicles are closely grouped, but favour damage to the individual muscle nerves that pass through the infratemporal fossa. This was so in our two patients, in whom the slowing of conduction was prominent in the infratemporal fossa (figs 3 and 4).

The mechanism of facial paroxysmal involuntary activity has been discussed by Kaufman and by Thompson and Carroll, who emphasised the close similarity between hemimasticatory and hemifacial spasm. In our view, our patients and others reported also had cramp-like activity. As in cramps, in HMS the muscle may be hypertrophied, the contractions may also be prolonged, decidedly painful, and sometimes intense enough to produce severe damage to tongue, teeth, and temporomandibular joint. In the EMG, these prolonged spasms nicely fit the description of cramps—that is, irregular motor unit discharges that progressively increase, leading to recruitment of a large part of the muscle and to synchronous discharges at rates from 40 to 60 Hz. Common to hemifacial spasm and cramps, however, is ectopic excitation. This may be responsible for the high frequency (100–200 Hz) discharges, synchronisation of the whole muscle or more muscles, and after-activity. Synchronisation is tentatively explained by lateral spread of discharges to adjacent nerve fibres, leading to local circuits of re-excitation. After-activity consists of paroxysmal discharges that may follow a voluntary orthodromic contraction or antidromic impulses, and is attributed to autoexcitation of the same axons after the passage of an impulse.

In our two patients, we observed the synchronisation of the whole or a large part of the muscle (fig 2C); autoexcitation was demonstrated by recording after-discharges following the direct motor response evoked by stimulation of the masticatory nerves (fig 3). These findings lend support to the hypothesis that the spontaneous activity 'arises' in a demyelinated peripheral nerve. In our patients, unlike those with hemifacial spasm, we were unable to record ephaptic
ETIOLOGIC CONSIDERATIONS
Although still debatable, the primary cause of hemifacial spasm is thought to be compression—possibly inducing demyelination—of the nerve near its emergence from the pons.24–25 Cramps occur in a number of different conditions associated with neuropathy.26–28 Evidence has been found of segmental demyelination and axonal degeneration.29–30

The cause of the trigeminal neuropathy that produces masticatory spasms is unknown.

Some patients with HMS also have clinical or laboratory signs of immune connective tissue diseases; these may cause mononeuropathies through several mechanisms. Although connective tissue diseases are often associated with trigeminal damage, these neuropathies nearly always produce sensory impairment alone.27 Only three of the 103 patients described by Lecky et al.30 and Haguen et al.31 also had signs of a motor trigeminal impairment. In addition, blink reflex as well as histologic studies indicated axonal loss rather than demyelination;32,33 in our patients, the absence of motor unit changes in the EMG and the normal muscle biopsy findings ruled out axonal loss.

Motor trigeminal neuropathy is always an exceptional finding, probably arising only when focal pressure causes damage restricted to the muscle nerve. As studies of experimentally induced pressure neuropathies demonstrate, compression can and does lead to ectopic activity.34–36

The possibility of cross-compression by an arterial loop—as has been proposed for hemifacial spasm and trigeminal neuralgia—seems unlikely. Despite frequent surgical documentation of cross-compressions between vessels and cranial nerves in the posterior fossa, none of these reports mentions a concurrent motor disturbance resembling masticatory spasm. Furthermore, in two patients with HMS, surgical exploration failed to disclose anomalous vascular contacts along the intracranial root37 or the distal course of the nerve.38

In our opinion, when searching for the cause of HMS we should consider its frequent association with facial hemiatrophy—surely not a chance event. In the patients reported not to have facial hemiatrophy, this might not be clinically apparent. The process may take 10 years to develop38 and muscle spasms are sometimes prodromic to the development of the superficial tissue atrophy.39 Facial hemiatrophy often involves not only the skin and subcutaneous tissues, but also deep tissues such as fat, muscles, ligaments, cartilage and bone.40–42 Deep tissue changes might lead to stretching, angulation, or compression followed by focal demyelination, of the masticatory nerves. Owing to their anatomical relations (fig 3), these nerves may suffer entrapment, in particular the nerves supplying the musseter and temporal muscles, which are most frequently affected in HMS. The temporomasseteric nerve runs in a confined space between the lateral pterygoid muscle and the unyielding surface of the skull; the deep temporal nerves and the masseteric nerve turn sharply around bone crests, and finally run a narrow course between the bone and their own target muscles.

Addendum
Since this paper was submitted, Auger and colleagues (Neurology 1992;42:2263–6) have reported a third patient with HMS, similar to the two patients described in the table.
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