Urine output increased to 2000 ml in the first three days, and radiography on the third day suggested severe sensorineural hearing loss. On day 7 she complained of pricking, tingling, and numbness of the distal limbs, extreme over the feet. The sensory problems disappeared in her right limbs.

The patient was fully conscious but had a severe hearing deficit. Her limbs were movable but with generalised hyporeflexia, bilateral plantar flexor responses, impaired sensation in pinch and light touch at the distal end of the limbs, and intact position and vibration sensations. Nerve conduction studies performed one month later showed decreased nerve conduction velocities and reduced amplitudes of compound muscle action potentials and sensory action potentials in the limbs (table). No fibrillation potentials or positive sharp waves were found during needle electromyographic examination. Seven months later the distal limbs continued to numb. Serum urea nitrogen was 26 mg/dl and serum creatinine was 2.2 mg/dl. The patient had recovered from the episode of tinnitus, deafness, and numbness. Sensory conduction studies showed low amplitudes of sensory action potential but normal latencies.

Bromate poisoning has occasionally been described in the medical literature with patients presenting with restlessness, depressed consciousness, and generalised confusions. It is encountered mainly in children who ingest the agent accidentally and in adults who attempt suicide, and it can produce severe nephrotic and otoxic sequelae.¹⁻³ Hearing problems are seemingly less severe in children, probably because of the potential for neural regeneration. Fatal events have mainly been ascribed to acute renal failure.

The estimated lethal dose of potassium bromate ranges from about 200 to 500 mg/kg of body weight, equivalent to 10 to 25 g per person of average body weight. Our patient ingested about 7.5 g of sodium bromate (130 mg/kg body weight), not lethal but toxic enough to cause renal failure and deafness.

She had tinnitus soon after ingestion, and deafness occurred five hours later. Renal failure developed on the second day, and oliguria responded poorly to diuretics. Renal function improved after management by haemodialysis but hearing was permanently damaged. Renal biopsy was not performed, but renal tubular necrosis in the proximal convoluted tubules, interstitial oedema, and inflammation have been reported.¹ The pathology of the inner ear is not known.

Our patient had pricking and numbness of the limbs, seven days after ingestion. This sensory discomfort lasted for one year, longer than any previous report, and was accompanied by muscle stretch hyporeflexia. Nerve conduction studies confirmed the clinical findings of sensorimotor polyneuropathy.

Permanent cold wave setting solutions contain a thiocyanate hair care lotion and a bromate solution (either 2%-4% potassium bromate or 10%-20% sodium bromate).¹ The first makes the hair flexible by changing the sulphur-sulphur (S-S) bonds of keratin to sulphur-hydrogen (S-H) bonds. The bromate then reoxidises the S-H bonds to form different S-S bonds and curl the hair. Like chlorate, a strong oxidising agent, bromate can interfere with S-H groups in energy generation pathways to result in methaemoglobinemia, although this may not be manifest.¹ As suggested by Quick et al, the kidney and cochlea have similar antigenicity.¹

Spontaneous recovery may occur as a possible result of reformation of S-S bonds. The primary changes may occur in the Schwann cells and the myelin sheaths, as suggested by the case of a woman who was discovered to have suffered from renal failure after haemodialysis. Her sensory complaints had also disappeared one year later, although she remained partially deaf.
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artery, the proximal carotid "stump" or, in the iatrogenic circumstances of this patient, the aorta. Periorbital directional Doppler, however, demonstrated normal flow in the ophthalmic artery. Secondly, embolisation through extracranial-intracranial anastomosis could be responsible but it is unlikely as these are considered too narrow to allow an embolus responsible for such a large left hemispheric infarction to pass. A third possibility is embolisation of thrombotic material breaking off from the distal soft "white tail" of the thrombus located in the left internal carotid artery. This hypothesis is lacking support: there was arteriographic evidence of internal carotid artery occlusion for at least six years and a "soft white tail" has little chance of persisting for six years after occlusion of the internal carotid artery. Fourthly, infarctions might result from haemodynamic alterations in blood flow, but at onset there was no evidence of haemodynamic attacks with a low flow state during the transcranial angioplasty. Furthermore, the two ischaemic areas were not similar to those described in watershed infarcts. Therefore evidence for cortical low flow infarcts in this patient is lacking.

We believe that the most likely cause of the left hemispheric infarction is an embolism across the circle of Willis, in this case embolisation through the anterior communicating artery caused by thrombotic material broken away from thrombi located either in the aorta or the contralateral, stenosed right internal carotid artery where thrombotic material was floating in the lumen. This hypothesis is strongly supported by the presence of left and right hemispheric infarcts of the same age. Embolism across the circle of Willis seems the only plausible mechanism for left hemispheric infarction in our patient.

**MATTERS ARISING**

Elementary visual hallucinations in migraine and epilepsy

We would like to add a cautionary note to the highly interesting study by Panayiotopoulos1 on the different elementary visual hallucinations in migraine and epilepsy. The paper concludes that visual hallucinations in occipital epileptic seizures are predominantly multicoloured as opposed to predominantly black and white patterns in migraine.

To be able to reach this conclusion, there needs to be certainty that the diagnosis was correct. This is most likely the case for the patients with epilepsy as in all there was either evidence of spike and slow wave activity or a structural occipital lobe lesion. The group of patients assigned to the migraine group are, however, not clearly defined. The appreciable difficulty in being able to differentiate between migraine and epilepsy is stated but too little is said about the possibility of false diagnosis in the migraine group. So it is possible that some of the patients diagnosed as having migraine actually have occipital epilepsy. This would in turn falsify the conclusion of the study.

To illustrate the difficulty of ascribing a diagnosis of migraine to patients without evidence of spike and slow wave activity or a structural occipital lobe lesion we refer to a patient we described earlier who experienced visual hallucinations (distorted vision and false colours). She was repeatedly diagnosed as having migraine. Doppler sonography of the posterior cerebral arteries during symptoms showed increased blood flow velocity typical of a highly reactive circle of Willis due to increased neuronal activity. This enabled the diagnosis of migraine to be excluded and a diagnosis of occipital epilepsy to be established. Ictal EEG was non-specifically slowed.

As we do not know how many of the migraine group in Panayiotopoulos's study really had migraine, we urge caution in the interpretation and application of the proposed conclusion.
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Panayiotopoulos replies:

In my report on elementary visual hallucinations in migraine and epilepsy I thought that I was unduly overemphasising that visual partial epileptic seizures may be misdiagnosed as migraine and the need for a precise description of the visual hallucinations in these two conditions. If anything, I was biased stressing the possibility of falsely diagnosing migraine instead of epilepsy rather than the other way round. Two out of the four illustrative cases were selected to demonstrate this diagnostic error.

Therefore, I thank Wilder-Smith for his letter which reassured me that my above fears were unfounded as he stresses the same point—namely, that visual partial seizures may be misdiagnosed as migraine. He goes one step further however, arguing that visual partial seizures caused by migraine may have had occipital epilepsy. I do not think that this mistake was made because in all 50 patients the diagnosis of migraine was based on strict clinical criteria, a long follow up, response to treatment, and not only on a normal or equivocally abnormal EEG. In particular, all 47 patients with classic migraine had the characteristic migrainous visual prodrome and aura prior to treatment and not only on a normal or equivocally abnormal EEG. The term "coloured" visual hallucinations of this patient were consistent with my findings, misdiagnosis was not indicated and previous attacks were monocularly described as "coloured".

More clinical details along the lines of the lines of my report and previous reports1 from Wilder-Smith would be more enlightening. The patient had clusters of "15-30 second attacks of distorted vision and false colours" associated with simultaneous and equally brief ictal EEG changes. The diagnosis of visual partial seizures should be clear and if these were of acute onset in adult life, MRI instead of Doppler would be more appropriate.

More confidence in the clinical symptoms, which is the main point of my report, may have avoided the need for further investigations and delaying treatment.