Electromyography and recovery of the blink reflex in involuntary eyelid closure: a comparative study

M Aramideh, J L A Eekhof, L J Bour, J H T M Koelman, J D Speelman, B W Ongerboer de Visser

Abstract
Electromyographic (EMG) activity of orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae muscles was recorded to study the origin of involuntary eyelid closure in 33 patients. The evoked blink reflex in all patients and in 23 controls was also studied. To examine the excitability of facial motoneurons and bulbar interneurons in individual patients and to compare the results with EMG findings, R1 and R2 recovery indices were calculated in all subjects, as the average of recovery values at 0-5, 0-3, and 0-21 second interstimulus intervals. Based on EMG patterns, the patients were divided into three subclasses: EMG subclass 1, 10 patients with involuntary discharges solely in orbicularis oculi muscle; EMG subclass 2, 20 patients with involuntary discharges in orbicularis oculi and either involuntary levator palpebrae inhibition or a disturbed reciprocal innervation between orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae; EMG subclass 3, three patients who did not have blepharospasm, but had involuntary levator palpebrae inhibition in association with a basal ganglia disease. The total patient group showed an enhanced recovery of both R1 and R2 components compared with controls. Although 30 out of 33 patients had blepharospasm (EMG subclasses 1 and 2), R1 recovery index was normal in 64% and R2 recovery index was normal in 54%. Patients with an abnormal R2 recovery index had an abnormal R1 recovery index significantly more often. All patients from EMG subclass 1 had an abnormal R2 recovery index, whereas all patients from EMG subclass 3 had normal recovery indices for both R1 and R2 responses. Seventy five percent of the patients from EMG subclass 2 had normal recovery indices. The results provide further evidence that physiologically blepharospasm is not a homogeneous disease entity, and indicate that different pathophysiological mechanisms at the suprasegmental, or segmental level, or both are involved.
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Blepharospasm is a form of focal dystonia, with an aetiology and underlying pathophysiological mechanisms that are still obscure. Blepharospasm may occur in diseases of the basal ganglia or in association with upper brain stem abnormalities. In most patients, however, it is idiopathic in origin.

One investigation technique that has contributed to our understanding of blepharospasm is the recorded blink reflex recovery, which indicates the state of excitability of the facial motoneurons and bulbar interneurons.

Electrical stimulation of the supraorbital nerve elicits a blink reflex that consists of two components; an early ipsilateral response, R1, mediated through the pons by an oligosynaptic pathway and a late bilateral response, R2, relayed through a polysynaptic medullary pathway. Motoneurons of the facial nerve constitute the final common path for both responses. The recovery curve, also known as excitability cycle, of R1 and R2 responses can be obtained by applying two stimuli, conditioning and test, to the supraorbital nerve at different interstimulus intervals. The excitability of the blink reflex circuit may then be assessed by comparing the size of the test response with that of the conditioning response, which is partly dependent on the functional integrity of the suprasegmental structures. In healthy subjects, the R2 test response shows pronounced suppression at shorter interstimulus intervals, whereas patients with cortical lesions may exhibit an enhanced habituation and patients with basal ganglia disorders a diminished habituation (enhanced recovery) of the response.

Previous work has shown an enhanced recovery of the R2 response in patients with blepharospasm, which suggests hyperexcitability of the bulbar interneurons.

By simultaneous recording of the electromyographic (EMG) activities from the orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae muscles, we have shown that patients with blepharospasm are not a homogeneous group, because differing abnormalities of EMG patterns are found among these patients.

In the present study, we examined the recovery of R1 and R2 responses and EMG activity of orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae muscles in patients with involuntary eyelid closure. The aim of the study was: (1) to evaluate the state of excitability of facial motoneurons and bulbar interneurons in individual patients with a certain EMG abnormality; (2) to see whether there is a correlation
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Table 1  General characteristics of the patients examined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of patients (n)</th>
<th>Mean age (y) (range)</th>
<th>Mean age at onset (y) (range)</th>
<th>Mean duration of illness (y) (range)</th>
<th>Type of dystonia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>focal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>segmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Treatment at time of study:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Botulinum toxin type A (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medication (n)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                          | 33                   | 67 (37–88)           | 57 (25–77)                  | 9 (1–20)                            | 17              |
|                          |                     |                      |                            |                                     | 3               |

between clinical data, EMG subclass, and recovery values, and (3) to provide additional information on possible underlying pathophysiological mechanisms of blepharospasm.

Materials and methods

Thirty three patients, 22 women and 11 men (mean age 67 (range 37–88) years) with involuntary eyelid closure were studied. Table 1 summarises the clinical data of the patients. At the time of the study, seven patients were already under treatment with botulinum A toxin (Dysport) but in all patients voluntary and reflex activity of the orbicularis oculi could easily be recorded. The control group consisted of 23 subjects (six women and 17 men) with a mean age of 49 (range 18–73) years.

The method of EMG recording from orbicularis oculi muscles has been reported previously.19 20 Blink reflex studies were performed with the subject lying supine. Electrical stimuli were applied to the supraorbital nerve at the supraorbital foramen. Surface recording electrodes were placed over the lower portion of orbicularis oculi ipsilateral to the stimulation site. A Grass stimulator was used to apply constant current pulses with a duration of 0·2 ms. The early and late blink reflexes were evoked with stimulus intensity adjusted to three times the threshold of the R2 response. Subjects were requested to close their eyes gently during stimulation, and responses with artefacts due to involuntary movements were rejected. Paired stimuli (conditioning and test) were delivered at interstimulus intervals of 0·5, 0·3, and 0·21 seconds and six trials were performed at each interval. Between successive trials, a rest period of at least 30 seconds was maintained to avoid habituation of the response. The low pass filter was set at 3 kHz and the high pass filter at 1 Hz (6 dB/oct). The sweep time was kept at 200 ms. All responses were stored digitally on a PDP 11/73 computer. In an off line analysis procedure, performed fully automatically, reflex responses were digitally band pass filtered within a range of more than 100 Hz to minimise DC offsets and slow eye drifts and below 900 Hz to reduce the high frequency noise. The responses were then full wave rectified and the average of six trials was computed for each interstimulus interval. Peak amplitude of R1 was calculated within a window from 10 to 25 ms to avoid stimulation artefact, and that of R2 within a window from 32 to 90 ms. The average rest activity level was established within a window from 20 to 100 ms and subtracted from the average response. For each subject, R1 and R2 recovery values were obtained by calculating the size of the test response as a percentage of the conditioning response at each interstimulus interval.

R1 recovery index was calculated in each subject as the mean value of peak amplitude recovery, obtained at interstimulus intervals of 0·5, 0·3, and 0·21 seconds. The R2 recovery index was calculated in the same way. The upper limit of normal for R1 and R2 was defined as the mean + 2·5 SD.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

A correlating study was performed between the clinical data, EMG subclasses, and blink reflex recovery indices by means of Kruskall-Wallis and χ2 tests, with the SPSS statistical program. Differences and correlations were considered significant at P values < 0·05.

Results

Table 2 summarises the results of the recorded EMG and blink reflex recovery.

EMG FINDINGS

According to the EMG patterns, patients were divided into three subclasses. Ten patients belonged to EMG subclass 1, in whom EMG recording showed involuntary discharges in the orbicularis oculi alone, with normal tonic activity of levator palpebrae and normal reciprocal innervation of orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae. EMG subclass 2 consisted of 20 patients. Besides involuntary

Table 2  Mean values of R1 and R2 recovery indices in patients and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No of subjects</th>
<th>Focal segmental dystonia</th>
<th>Mean R1 index (range)</th>
<th>No of abnormal R1 indices</th>
<th>Mean R2 index (range)</th>
<th>No of abnormal R2 indices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>78 (36–120)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>22 (11–42)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole group</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>17/13</td>
<td>125 (35–227)**</td>
<td>10†</td>
<td>42 (6–108)**</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG subclass:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>161 (73–278)**</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60 (45–79)**</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14/6</td>
<td>118 (35–215)**</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32 (6–108) NS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>96 (74–107) NS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60 (28–37) NS</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The recovery indices are given in percentages. * p < 0·05; ** p < 0·001 v controls.
†R1 could not be recorded in five patients, two from EMG subclass 1 and three from EMG subclass 2.
discharges in orbicularis oculi, EMG recording in these patients disclosed either episodes of involuntary inhibition of tonic activity of levator palpebrae or a disturbed reciprocal innervation of orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae. EMG subclass 3 consisted of three patients. Abnormality in these patients was characterised by solely involuntary inhibition of levator palpebrae, with normal activity of orbicularis oculi and normal reciprocal innervation of orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae muscles. These three patients had, therefore, no blepharospasm.

**BLINK REFLEX FINDINGS**

**Controls**

There was pronounced variability in R1 recovery from one subject to another at different interstimulus intervals. The mean recovery of R1 at the 0-5 second interval was 69% and this increased to 78% at 0-21 seconds. Table 2 shows R1 and R2 recovery indices. The mean value of the R1 recovery index was 78% (range, 36%-120%), and the upper limit of normal was 135%. The R2 recovery curve showed less variability. By contrast with R1, recovery of R2 gradually decreased from 29% at the 0-5 second interval to 15% at 0-21 seconds. The R2 recovery index had a mean value of 22% (range 11%-42%), and the upper limit of normal was 43%.

**Patients**

In five patients, the R1 recovery index could not be calculated because the amplitude of R1 was too low with respect to the noise. Recovery of R1 gradually increased from 117% at the 0-5 second interval to 137% at 0-21 seconds. Table 2 shows R1 and R2 recovery indices for the total patient group and for the three EMG subclasses. The R1 recovery index in the patient group differed significantly from that in the control group (P < 0.001). Recovery of R2 gradually decreased from 50% at the 0-5 second interval to 31% at 0-21 seconds. R2 recovery index in the patient group was significantly higher than that in the control group (P < 0.001).

Considering the individual data, 18 of 28 patients (64%) had a normal R1 recovery index, and 18 of 33 patients (54%) had a normal R2 recovery index (table 2). A significant number of patients with an abnormal R1 recovery index also had an abnormal R2 recovery index (P = 0.01, Yates corrected test).

Considering the data from different EMG subclasses, the R1 recovery index differed statistically from control subjects in EMG subclass 1 (P < 0.001, table 2) and less so in EMG subclass 2 (P < 0.05). The R2 recovery index differed statistically from control subjects only in EMG subclass 1 (P < 0.001). All the patients from EMG subclass 1 had an abnormal R2 recovery index, and 50% of them also had an abnormal R1 recovery index. Dystonia of other cranial or cervical muscles was found significantly more often in EMG subclass 1 (P < 0.01, table 2). Figure 1 shows an example of abnormal R1 and R2 recovery curves in one of the patients from this group. All three patients from EMG subclass 3 had normal R1 and R2 recovery indices, whereas all had associated basal ganglia disease; one had progressive supranuclear palsy, and two had multiple system atrophy. Interestingly, 15 patients (75%) from EMG subclass 2 had a normal R2 recovery index. Figure 2 shows normal R1 and R2 recovery curves in one of the patients from this group.

**Discussion**

We investigated the recovery of R1 and R2 components of the blink reflex in patients with involuntary eyelid closure, as one single group or divided into three subclasses according to the EMG patterns of orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae muscles. Patients with EMG subclass 1 had involuntary discharges solely in orbicularis oculi muscle. EMG subclass 2 consisted of patients with involuntary discharges in orbicularis oculi, accompanied by either involuntary inhibition of levator palpebrae motor activity, or a disturbed reciprocal innervation of orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae. In an earlier report, we proposed the term "blepharospasm-plus" to designate the patients with this type of EMG abnormality. The patients from EMG subclass 3 had solely involuntary inhibition of levator palpebrae,21-22 also known as apraxia of eyelid opening,23 with no clinical or EMG signs of blepharospasm.19-20 We included these patients in the present study because the differentiation between blepharospasm and involuntary levator palpebrae inhibition is not always easy to make clinically and synchronous EMG recording from orbicularis oculi and levator palpebrae is often required.23

In controls, R1 recovery showed some variability between subjects at all three interstimulus intervals. From 0-5 to 0-21 second intervals, R1 recovery showed a gradual enhancement, with relatively less suppression at 0-3 seconds. By contrast, R2 recovery showed almost no interindividual variability and from 0-5 to 0-21 second intervals there was a gradual increase in suppression. Also, in the total patient group the recovery of R1 differed from that of R2. There was often an absolute increase of R1 test response when the interval was shortened from 0-5 to 0-21 seconds, whereas the R2 test response diminished at shorter intervals. Such a difference in the properties of R1 and R2 responses has also been found in other studies.24-26 The same facial nerve motoneurons generate both responses and the final effector pathway is common. Therefore, the difference in the recovery behaviours of R1 and R2 responses, in both the controls and patients, should be caused by the bulbar interneurons. Yet it cannot be entirely be explained by the difference in the number of bulbar interneurons through which these responses are relayed, and is probably also dependent on the individual properties of the interneurons, their relation to one another, and the suprasegmental drives on them. A difference in presynaptic
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Figure 1  (A) Rectified and averaged (6 ×) EMG responses at intervals 0-5, 0-3, and 0-21 seconds between the conditioning and test stimuli in a patient from EMG subclass 1. Recovery curve for R1 (B) and R2 (C) in the same patient. The recovery of R1 and R2 responses are enhanced at all three intervals. ISI = interstimulus interval; t/c ratio = test response/conditioning response.

inhibition at the primary cutaneous afferent terminals also cannot be excluded.

The enhanced recovery of the R2 response found in the total patient group confirms the results of previous authors. We also found an increased recovery of the R1 response, whereas Tolosa et al noted a normal R1 recovery and the other authors did not report on the features of R1 recovery in patients with blepharospasm. Although these conflicting results and the lack of information on this issue may require further investigation, some features of the recorded blink reflex are in favour of an enhanced excitability of the oligosynaptic pathway through which the R1 response is transmitted. Willer et al reported on the existence of a crossed trigeminofacial connection, and showed that a contralateral R1 response can easily be obtained in healthy subjects when the test stimulus was preceded by an adequate conditioning stimulus. Yet after single stimulation of the supraorbital nerve, Berardelli et al recorded a contralateral R1 response in six of 16 patients with blepharospasm. Based on these data, it seems that at least the crossed oligosynaptic route mediating the contralateral R1 response is hyperactive in some patients with blepharospasm. Therefore, we agree with the conclusion of Tolosa et al that the facial motoneurons themselves are not hyperactive in patients with blepharospasm. We presume instead that the interneurons involved in the generation of both the R1 and R2 responses may be hyperexcitable.

The pathways through which the basal ganglia can modulate the blink reflex are unknown. It seems, however, that the basal ganglia exert an inhibitory influence on the bulbar interneurons, even if it occurs...
indirectly via cortical pathways because lesions of these pathways can suppress the blink reflex.\textsuperscript{11} Evinger \textit{et al} \textsuperscript{12} showed that apomorphine, a dopamine receptor agonist, and nicotine, which releases dopamine in the striatum, increase the latency of the R2 response without altering the latency of the R1 response. In their recent paper, Basso \textit{et al} \textsuperscript{26} showed that partial or even complete lesions of the substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area in rats do not modify the R1 recovery at all, whereas R2 recovery shows pronounced excitability. Accordingly, in patients with Parkinson’s disease R1 recovery is normal,\textsuperscript{14} and R2 recovery is enhanced,\textsuperscript{14,33} and R2 latency is shortened.\textsuperscript{14} Furthermore, patients with Huntington’s disease exhibit a decrease in blink reflex excitability\textsuperscript{34} and an increase in the latency of the R2 response,\textsuperscript{34,35} comparable with those findings in patients with hemispheric lesions. By contrast, R1 recovery was enhanced in our patients and the previous studies showed that the latency of R2 is normal in patients with blepharospasm.\textsuperscript{15-17} Based on these findings, two important conclusions can be drawn. Firstly, it is conceivable that dopaminergic activity of at least the substantia nigra may not control the state of activity of facial motoneurons directly or indirectly, through the oligosynaptic reflex pathway, and that the enhanced R1 recovery in our patients as a group should have some other origin. Secondly, it is possible that alterations in basal ganglia activity influence the bulbar interneurons somehow differently in patients with idiopathic blepharospasm from those patients with known diseases of the basal ganglia such as Parkinson’s disease.
A causal relation between hyperexcitability of interneurons and the occurrence of involuntary discharges in orbicularis oculi becomes less evident when we consider the data of R1 and R2 recovery indices in individual patients or in patients from different EMG subclasses. Our results showed a normal R1 recovery index in 64% and an abnormal R1 recovery index in 54% of the total patient group, despite the fact that 30 out of 33 patients had involuntary discharges in orbicularis oculi, whether or not in combination with involuntary levator palpebrae inhibition (EMG subclasses 1 and 2). An abnormal R1 recovery index occurred significantly more often in patients with an abnormal R2 recovery index. An interesting finding was that all patients with blepharospasm alone (EMG subclass 1), had an abnormal R2 recovery index in 96% and 50% also had an abnormal R1 recovery index. On the other hand, those patients with involuntary discharges in orbicularis oculi or levator palpebrae inhibition (EMG subclass 2), often had normal R1 and R2 recovery indices. At the time of this study, seven out of 20 patients from EMG subclass 2 were already under treatment with botulinum A toxin. It is unlikely that this influenced the recovery values in these patients, because previous studies showed no difference in the blink reflex excitability in patients with blepharospasm before and after such treatment. Furthermore, it is also unlikely that patients with blepharospasm alone may have had a severe form of orbicularis oculi muscle dystonia, because as noted by Pauletti et al., there is no correlation between the severity of dystonia and the excitability of the blink reflex. The most probable explanation is a difference in underlying pathophysiological mechanisms. There may be a dissociation of the suprasegmental controls resulting in involuntary orbicularis oculi contractions, whether or not accompanied by disturbed levator palpebrae muscle activity, which cannot be examined by simply eliciting the blink reflex. Dissociation between innervation of emotional and voluntary movements of the facial musculature is well recognised in patients with central facial paresis, and with thalamic or pallidal lesions. Symptomatic blepharospasm may occur in disorders of the basal ganglia but never, to our knowledge, as an isolated abnormality. Conversely, no study has yet provided clear evidence for any pathology of the basal ganglia and their involvement in the generation of involuntary contractions of the eyelids in patients with idiopathic blepharospasm. On the other hand, Siegel et al. reported on cells, located within a portion of the medial reticular formation, which showed discharges in relation to the closing movements of eyelids. The authors mentioned that some of these cells probably project directly to the facial cells and some may relay their output over multineuronal pathways. In another report, Siegel et al. also proposed that local interaction of these medial reticular formation cell populations may allow synthesis of the simple reflex movements generated in the brain stem. We suggest that besides the abnormal drives exerted by the basal ganglia, disorders of the segmental structures may also contribute to the enhanced excitability of the blink reflex responses and to the generation of blepharospasm. The findings may explain why the recovery of R1 and R2 responses was often enhanced in patients with blepharospasm alone, who also often had dystonia of other cranial muscles without additional clinical features pointing to abnormal basal ganglia activity.

All three patients from EMG subclass 3, with involuntary levator palpebrae inhibition, had normal R1 and R2 recovery indices. These patients also had abnormalities of, among others, the basal ganglia. Therefore, certain disorders of the basal ganglia may influence the tonic activity of levator palpebrae muscle without altering the excitability of the blink reflex. We are unaware of any previous reports on this issue.

In conclusion, the results of the present paper are in agreement with our earlier findings, and provide further evidence that, physiologically, blepharospasm is not a homogeneous disease entity. They indicate that various pathophysiological mechanisms at the suprasegmental, or segmental, level, or both, are involved.

We thank Dr J. Volger and A. Hilgendorf for their help in statistical analysis.

Cervicogenic headache: an early description

Despite the controversies surrounding the clinical sources and pathological basis for cervicogenic headache, this term was used by, and often is attributed to Otor Spaaasad,1,2 although Barré and Riff had earlier described headache and vertigo, related to cervical arthritis, ascribed to stimulation of the vertebral nerve.3 However, an impressive, but little known description is found in the fourth of 18 lectures given between 1860 and 1862 on Rest and Pain, in John Hilton’s classical text:4

“Suppose a person to complain of pain upon the scalp, is it not very essential to know whether that pain is expressed by the fifth nerve or by the great or small occipital? Thus pain in the anterior and lateral part of the head, which are supplied by the fifth nerve, would suggest that the cause must be some-
NOTICES

Stanley Foundation Research Awards Program

Announcement of available research funds for research on schizophrenia and bipolar disorder

The Theodore and Vada Stanley Foundation, in collaboration with the National Alliance for the Mentally Ill, will be accepting applications for the 1996 Stanley Foundation Research Awards Program. The purpose of the awards is to support research directly related to the causes or treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder.

The research awards are intended to attract established scientists from other areas of biology and medicine (for example, biochemistry, immunology, virology, and neurology) into research on schizophrenia and bipolar disorder as well as to provide support for innovative research by scientists already in the field whose funding sources are limited. Applicants are invited from all stages of career development.

Awards are for one or two years. They may be up to $75,000 per year for studies involving human subjects and up to $50,000 per year for other studies. Funds may be used for salaries, supplies, and equipment, but it is the policy of the Stanley Foundation not to pay indirect costs for administration of the award. In 1995, 49 applications were funded out of a total of 220 received.

Deadline for receipt of applications is 1 March 1996. The 4-page application consists of a brief outline of proposed research object, a budget, and a list of current and pending sources of funding. Notification of awards is made in June and funding to award recipients begins in August.

The research award applications are reviewed by a professional selection committee.

Requests for applications and questions should be directed to: Research Awards Coordinator, Stanley Foundation Research Awards Program, c/o NAMI, 200 North Glebe Road, Suite 1015, Arlington, VA 22203-3734, USA. Tel (703) 524-7600; fax (703) 524-9094

Sixth Meeting of the European Neurological Society June 8-12 1996

Netherlands Congress Centre, The Hague, The Netherlands

Administrative Secretary ENS 1996, c/o AKM Congress Service, PO Box, 400V29, Basel, Switzerland, Tel +41 61 691 51 11, Fax: +41 691 81 89

British Neurosurgery Research Group Meeting together with the North American Research Society of Neurological Surgeons, 1996

This joint meeting will be held in Newcastle upon Tyne, 23-25 May 1996.

For further information contact: Professor A David Mendelow, Newcastle General Hospital, Westgate Road, Newcastle upon Tyne NE4 6BE, UK

World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies Awards to young neurosurgeons

The World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies will give five awards to young neurosurgeons for the best papers submitted for presentation at the XI International Congress of Neurological Surgery to be held in Amsterdam, Netherlands 6-11 July 1997. This will be open to all neurosurgeons born after 31 December 1961. Each award will consist of an honorarium of US $1500, a certificate for the Congress. The papers will be judged by a committee and must contain original, unpublished work on basic research or clinical studies related to neurosurgery. Young neurosurgeons are advised to make eight copies of the manuscript (not more than 10 double spaced typewritten pages exclusive of figures and tables) to:

Albert L. Rhorton, Jr. MD Chairman, WFNS Young Neurosurgeons' Committee Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Florida Medical Center, PO Box 100265; 1600 SW Archer Road Gainesville, Florida 32610 USA.

The submission should be accompanied by a supporting letter from the head of the candidate's neurological department. The last date for submission is 1 October 1996.

Announcement from the British Neuro-psychiatry Association: 1996 meetings

The 1996 Winter meeting—a joint meeting with The British Neuropsychological Society—will be held on Friday 19 January at the London Zoo. "Disorders of reasoning and perception" is the theme of this meeting and there will be a presentation of short scientific papers and single case videos by members of both associations in the afternoon.

The 1996 Summer meeting will be held on 14–16 July at Robinson College, Cambridge. It will include topics on neurodevelopment, language, and the presentation of short scientific papers and single case videos by members. The Association's AGM will be held on 16 July.

For further details of these meetings please contact: Sue Garratt, Administrative Assistant, BNPA, 17 Clocktower Mews, London N1 7BB. Telephone/Fax: 0171 226 5949.

For details of membership of the BNPA, which is open to medical practitioners in psychiatry, neurology, and related clinical neurosciences, please contact: Dr Jonathan Bird, Secretary BNPA, Burden Neurological Hospital, Stoke Lane, Stapleton, Bristol, BS16 1QT. Telephone: 01179 701212 ext 2925/2929 or Sue Garratt at the address given above.

CORRECTIONS


The reference to Osborne et al should be BMJ 1994;308:113.


In table 2 (bottom line) the mean R2 index (range) in the third EMG subclass should be 31 (28–37).