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Abstract
Twenty five patients with probable mild Alzheimer’s disease were assessed for deficits in executive functioning and the impact of these deficits on performance in other neuropsychological domains. The Wisconsin card sorting test, the release from proactive interference paradigm, the verbal fluency test, and the Stroop test were adopted to classify patients with (AD+) and without (AD–) executive deficits. Seven of the patients showed an impairment in executive function (AD+), defined as a performance below the cut off score in at least two of these tests. There were no significant differences in clinical assessments, demographic features, or other cognitive functions between patients.

Executive dysfunction may be an early additional feature in a subgroup of patients with mild Alzheimer’s disease. Impairment on frontal lobe tests does not seem to be related to the severity or duration of disease, or to a different pattern of impairment in other cognitive domains.
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Alzheimer’s disease is characterised by impairment of multiple memory related systems. In addition patients with Alzheimer’s disease have disturbances in several other cognitive domains such as language, visuospatial skills, praxis, and abstraction.1 In addition to clinical, epidemiological, biological, and neuroimaging findings, the evidence of distinct profiles of cognitive deficits has led some authors to suggest the existence of heterogeneous subtypes of patients with Alzheimer’s disease.2 3 For example, there is evidence that in individual patients the presenting symptom may not be the usual memory loss but one or more of a number of deficits involving other cognitive functions. As there is growing evidence, particularly from imaging studies, suggesting a considerable involvement of the frontal cortex in Alzheimer’s disease, study of executive dysfunction is essential to the understanding of heterogeneity of the disease.

Various aspects of memory and learning have been related to frontal lobe dysfunction. It has been suggested that in addition to more direct involvement with selected aspects of memory, such as remote memory, confabulation, and memory for temporal sequences, the frontal lobes play a strategic part as a “cognitive mediation” system in the encoding and retrieval of memories.4 Frontal lobe involvement in the clinical heterogeneity of Alzheimer’s disease, however, has not been fully investigated and it is still unclear whether frontal lobe related neuropsychological impairments are integral to Alzheimer’s disease in the early phase, and whether they are associated with a differential pattern of neuropsychological impairment. The aim of this study was to evaluate executive function in patients with mild Alzheimer’s disease and to investigate the relation between executive dysfunction and performance in other neuropsychological domains such as language, memory, attention, abstract reasoning, and visuospatial abilities. For this purpose, the Wisconsin card sorting test,5 the release from proactive interference,6 the verbal fluency test,7 and Stroop test8 were adopted to classify patients with (AD+) and without (AD–) executive deficits.

Subjects and methods
All patients included in this study were evaluated in the Alzheimer’s disease unit at S Cuore Fatebenefratelli Hospital in Brescia, Italy, and were drawn from a consecutive series of patients included in a longitudinal programme on mild Alzheimer’s disease (MADI project).

Twenty five consecutive patients, affected by probable Alzheimer’s disease according to currently accepted clinical criteria,9 and with a questionable or mild form of dementia (clinical dementia rating (CDR) 0·5 or 1)10 were included in the study. All patients had CT or MRI to exclude other possible aetiologies of dementia.

Twenty five normal elderly subjects, either correlated volunteers or spouses of patients, were also included in the study. History of alcoholism, drug abuse, learning disabilities, and serious neurological or psychiatric illnesses were considered as exclusion criteria. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects (patients and controls), or care-
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The following neuropsychological tests were given to both groups (for details of tests see Binetti et al.11).

Short term memory was assessed by auditory-verbal forward digit span and visuospatial span (Corsi’s block tapping test). Episodic memory was tested with the logical memory test (recall of a short story) and with the 20 minutes delayed recall of the Rey complex figure. A 30 item version of the Boston naming test provided a measure of naming and semantic memory. Remote memory was assessed with the Q60 questionnaire. The Street test and the copy of the Rey figure were used to assess visuospatial abilities. The Raven’s coloured progressive matrices test, the attentional matrices test, and the token test were also included in the neuropsychological battery.

“Executive” function was evaluated by the Wisconsin card sorting test (WCST),12 PFL verbal fluency test,12 Stroop test,12 and the release from proactive interference paradigm.12

The WCST is a problem solving task that measures the ability to identify abstract categories and shift cognitive set. A shortened version of WCST12 with 64 cards was adopted, computing an index of perseveration (IP; number of errors/number of perseveration).

The verbal fluency for letters (PFL) was assessed by recording the number of words produced in the course of one minute for each letter. The Stroop colour word test13 is an executive task for the assessment of the ability to change perceptual sets to conform to changing task requirement. The interference score (IS) was calculated by subtracting the predicted colour word score from the raw colour word scores. The higher the resultant score, the less susceptible the patient is to interference. The release from proactive interference (RPI) paradigm was evaluated using the Wickens’10 modification of the Peterson and Peterson’s11 distractor technique according to Freedman and Cermak.14 The stimuli, consisting of five trials of words triads grouped in four blocks, were presented on a 23 × 18 cm monitor. After the presentation of each triad subjects were asked to count backwards from a random number as rapidly as possible, to prelude rehearsal of the triad. After counting for 10 seconds, the patient was asked to recall the three words he had just read. Each block of five trials consisted of the presentation of four triads of words from the same semantic category, followed by a fifth triad of words from a different semantic category (shift condition (SC)). Each block consisted of high lexical frequency words from four categories taken from Batig and Montague Connecticut category norms.15 The categories were, in sequence, animals, fruits, colours, and vegetables. The SC effect was calculated by subtracting the fourth trial score from the score of each fifth trial throughout the blocks.

As an arbitrary cut off value to identify patients with prominent executive dysfunction, we adopted the criterion of a score 1SD below the controls’ mean on at least two out of the four measures of frontal lobe function (IP, PFL, IS, SC).

Results

According to the described cut off, we identified two subgroups of patients with Alzheimer’s disease: seven patients (AD+) were considered to show executive dysfunction and 18 patients (AD−) not. Table 1 shows the number of patients below the cut off for each test.

The AD+ and AD− groups were comparable in terms of age, education, sex, and depressive symptoms (table 1). There was no difference between AD+ and AD− patients for MMSE score and duration of illness.

As shown in table 2, we did not find a significant difference between AD+ and AD− patients on neuropsychological tests of memory, language, attention, abstract thinking, or visuospatial abilities.

Discussion

Although the deterioration of cognitive function is considered to be widespread in
Alzheimer’s disease, frontal lobe involvement in the clinical presentation of the disease has not been investigated in detail. Thus it is still unclear whether executive dysfunction is an integral component of early Alzheimer’s disease or rather characterises later stages of the disease.

This study showed that executive dysfunction may be an early manifestation of Alzheimer’s disease. In fact seven out of 25 patients were found to be impaired on tests measuring executive function, supporting previous findings in Alzheimer’s disease. 16, 17

To evaluate whether the presence of executive dysfunction could be related to variables such as age, education and duration of the illness, the AD+ and AD− groups were compared. There were no major differences between the groups.

One of our aims was to investigate whether a deficit in executive functioning in mild Alzheimer’s disease was associated with a different pattern of neuropsychological impairment. No significant cognitive differences were found between the AD+ and AD− groups using a complete neuropsychological battery. This finding suggests that executive dysfunction, as defined in this study, has little impact on the performance of tasks measuring other cognitive domains such as language, visuospatial function, and memory.

The present data may reflect the involvement of the frontal cortex in the early phase of disease in a subgroup of patients.

Studies with PET and SPECT have indicated that an anterior involvement, although not typical, can be seen in the early phase of disease. 19

The pattern of the impairment seems to be clearly differentiated from the clinical picture in patients with suspected frontotemporal dementia, 19 given the lack of the behavioural features of the “frontal lobe syndrome” which are often present in this condition.

Taken together, these findings seem to support the existence of a subgroup of patients with mild Alzheimer’s disease who have additional neuropsychological executive deficits unrelated to impairment of other cognitive domains, and suggest the possible involvement of the frontal lobe in early stages of the disease.
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