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Abstract

Objective—To examine the presence of different dimensions of unawareness in patients with probable Alzheimer’s disease.

Methods—A consecutive series of 170 patients with probable Alzheimer’s disease were assessed with the anosognosia questionnaire-dementia (AQ-D) which includes items related to cognitive deficits and behavioural problems.

Results—A factor analysis of the AQ-D produced two factors: a “cognitive unawareness” factor, which loaded on items of memory, spatial and temporal orientation, calculation, abstract reasoning, and praxis, and a “behavioural unawareness” factor which loaded on items of irritability, selfishness, inappropriate emotional display, and instinctive disinhibition. A stepwise forward regression analysis showed significant correlations between the cognitive unawareness factor and more severe cognitive deficits, delusions, and apathy, but less depression. On the other hand, the behavioural unawareness factor correlated significantly with higher mania and pathological laughing scores. Whereas the cognitive unawareness factor showed a significant correlation with cognitive tests assessing verbal comprehension and long term memory, and was significantly associated with a longer duration of illness, no significant correlations were found between the behavioural unawareness factor and the neuropsychological tasks.

Conclusion—Unawareness of cognitive deficits and unawareness of behavioural problems may constitute independent phenomena in Alzheimer’s disease. Whereas unawareness of cognitive deficits is related to the severity of intellectual impairment and the presence of delusional apathetic mood, unawareness of behavioural problems may be part of a disinhibition syndrome.

(J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1996;61:485–490)
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Unawareness or the explicit denial of cognitive deficits has often been reported in patients with probable Alzheimer’s disease. In a recent study that included a consecutive series of 103 patients with Alzheimer’s disease we found that 20% had anosognosia, and a similar prevalence was reported by other authors.1,4 Most studies divided patients with Alzheimer’s disease into groups with or without anosognosia based on the presence of unawareness for the cognitive (mainly memory) deficits. Whereas behavioural problems such as depression, irritability, emotional lability, disinhibition, and apathy are often found in Alzheimer’s disease, whether patients with Alzheimer’s disease may also show unawareness of behavioural problems has rarely been examined.

In a recent study, Vasterling et al6 reported that unawareness (measured as patient minus care giver disagreement) was most prominent in ratings of memory and self care, less prominent in ratings of anxiety and irritability, and absent in ratings of depression and health status. Whereas these findings suggest a dissociation between unawareness of cognitive deficits and unawareness of behavioural problems, Vasterling et al used an anosognosia instrument with unknown validity and reliability, cognitive and behavioural domains were arbitrarily defined, and the low depression ratings produced by patients with Alzheimer’s disease may have produced a “floor” effect that explains the low correlation between depression and anosognosia scores.

The mechanism of anosognosia in Alzheimer’s disease still remains unknown. Several studies showed that patients with Alzheimer’s disease with anosognosia had significantly more severe deficits on “frontal lobe related” neuropsychological tests (such as set alternation tasks) compared with non-anosognosic patients with Alzheimer’s disease,5,6 but other studies could not replicate those findings.7,8 One possibility is that anosognosia for cognitive deficits and anosognosia for behavioural problems have different neuropsychological and psychiatric correlates. In this case, the association between anosognosia and deficits in specific cognitive tasks may be primarily related to the prevalence of different domains of anosognosia within the Alzheimer’s disease sample.

The aim of this study was to determine the presence of different domains of anosognosia in Alzheimer’s disease. For this purpose we examined a large sample of consecutive patients with Alzheimer’s disease using the anosognosia questionnaire-dementia (AQ-D). The AQ-D is a quantified scale with established reliability and validity in Alzheimer’s disease, which includes questions about different cognitive deficits and behavioural prob-
lems. To determine specific correlates of anosognosic domains, patients were also assessed with a comprehensive neuropsychological battery and a structured psychiatric evaluation.

Materials and methods

Patients

We examined a consecutive series of 186 patients who attended the neurology clinic of our institute due to progressive cognitive decline. The inclusion criteria were the following: (1) NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probable Alzheimer’s disease, (2) normal results on laboratory tests, (3) no history of closed head injuries with loss of consciousness, strokes, or other neurological disorders with CNS involvement, (4) no focal lesions on CT or MRI, and (5) a Hachinski ischaemic score < 4.

Psychiatric examination

After informed consent, patients were assessed by a psychiatrist with a comprehensive psychiatric evaluation, which provided measurements of different behavioural domains such as depression, anxiety, anosognosia, apathy, irritability, pathological affect, disinhibition, and delusions.

Structured clinical interviews for DSM-III-R (SCID)

The SCID is a semistructured diagnostic interview for making the major axis I DSM-III-R diagnoses. The SCID was administered by a psychiatrist blind to the remaining clinical data, and the interview was carried out with the patient and at least one first degree relative. Based on the SCID responses, DSM-III-R axis I diagnoses were made.

Hamilton depression scale (HAMD)17

The HAM-D is a 17 item interviewer rated scale that measures psychological and autonomic symptoms of depression.

Hamilton anxiety scale (HAM-A)18

The HAM-A is an 11 item interviewer rated scale that measures the severity of generalised or persistent anxiety.

Anosognosia questionnaire-dementia (AQ-D)

This instrument consists of 30 questions divided into two sections. The first section assesses intellectual functioning (for example, Do you have problems remembering dates? Do you have problems remembering telephone calls? etc.), and the second section examines changes in interests and personality (for example, Do you get easily irritated? Have you lost interest in things? Each answer is rated as never, 0 points; sometimes, 1 point; usually, 2 points; and always present, 3 points. Thus higher scores indicate more severe impairments. Form A is answered by the patient alone, and form B, a similar questionnaire written in the third person, is answered by the patient’s care giver blind to the patient’s answers in form A. The final score is the subtraction between scores in forms B and A. Thus positive scores indicate that the caretaker rated the patient as more impaired than the patient’s own evaluation (the patient was less aware of his or her cognitive or emotional deficits). We have demonstrated the reliability and validity of this scale in Alzheimer’s disease.

Bech mania scale15

This scale assesses the severity of disinhibition symptoms.

Pathological laughing and crying scale (PLACS)16

This instrument is an interviewer rated scale that quantifies aspects of pathological affect, including the duration of the episodes, their relation to external events, degree of voluntary control, inappropriateness in relation to emotions, and degree of resultant distress. Both the reliability and validity of this scale have been previously demonstrated. The scale is administered to the patient and at least one first degree relative or care giver in close contact with the patient. The scale consists of 16 items, eight assessing pathological laughter (PLACS-L) and eight assessing pathological crying (PLACS-C), which are scored from 0 to 3 points.

Apathy scale17

This scale includes 14 items which are scored by the patient’s relative or care giver. Each question has four possible answers, which are scored from 0 to 3. Thus the apathy scale score ranges from 0 to 42 points; and higher scores indicate more severe apathy. We have demonstrated the reliability and validity of the apathy scale in Alzheimer’s disease.

Irritability scale18

This is a 14 item scale which is rated by the patient’s relative or a care giver. Scores range from 0 to 42, and higher scores indicate more severe irritability. We have demonstrated the validity and reliability of this scale in Alzheimer’s disease.

Dementia psychosis scale (DPS)19

This is an 18 item scale which quantifies the severity and types of delusions in demented patients at the time of the psychiatric evaluation. This scale was rated by a psychiatrist with the patient and at least one close relative or care giver.

Functional independence measure (FIM)20

This instrument assesses self care, sphincter control, mobility, locomotion, communication, and social cognition on a low level scale. Higher scores indicate less impairments in activities of daily living (ADLs).

Neuropsychological examination

The neuropsychological evaluation was carried out by a neuropsychologist who was blind to the psychiatric data. The neuropsychological battery included tests that measured deficits in different cognitive domains, such as
verbal and visual memory, language, abstract reasoning, auditory attention, praxis, set alternation, and planning.

**Mini mental state examination (MMSE)**

The MMSE is an 11 item examination that has been found to be reliable and valid in assessing a limited range of cognitive functions.

**Raven’s progressive matrices (RPM)**

The RPM assesses reasoning in the visual modality. Patients are presented with a pattern problem with one part removed and several pictures inserted, one of which contains the correct pattern. The patient has to select the missing piece to complete the pattern.

**Wisconsin card sorting test**

This test measures the ability to develop new concepts and shift sets, and also requires the subject to suppress a previously correct response and produce a new one. Assessment of the overall proficiency of the test was judged by the number of categories achieved (maximum = 6).

**Controlled oral word association test**

This test examines access to semantic information with time constraint. Patients were instructed to name as many words beginning with the letter F as they could in one minute. People’s names and proper nouns were not permitted. The letters A and S were then presented successively, one minute being allowed for each letter. The score was the number of words produced in one minute.

**Digit span**

This test examines auditory attention, and consists of two parts. Both consist of seven pairs of random sequences that the examiner presents at the rate of one per second. In the first part (digits forward) the patient is asked to repeat a string of numbers exactly as it is given, and in the second (digits backwards) the patient is asked to repeat a string of numbers in reversed order.

**Buschke selective reminding test**

This test measures verbal learning and memory during a multiple trial list learning task. The patient listens to a list of words and has to recall as many words as possible. Each subsequent learning trial involves the selective preservation of only those words that were not recalled on the immediately preceding trial. The final score was the delayed recall.

**Token test**

This test examines verbal comprehension of sentences of increasing complexity.

**Block design**

This test examines the presence of constructional apraxia. Patients are presented with red and white blocks and are asked to construct replicas of printed designs.

**Similarities**

This test provides a measure of abstract reasoning.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out using means and SDs, multivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA), and post hoc planned comparisons. Frequency distributions were compared using $\chi^2$, Fisher tests, and contingency tables. A principal components factor analysis for the AQ-D items was carried out using varimax rotation. All P values are two tailed.

**Results**

Due to lack of a care giver or relative who could complete the AQ-D, 16 patients had to be excluded from the study. Thus our sample consisted of 170 patients (114 women and 56 men) with a mean age (SD) of 70.5 (5.4) years, a mean duration of illness (SD) of 3.6 (2.3) years, a mean MMSE score (SD) of 18.8 (6.6) points, and a mean (SD) AQ-D score of 15.4 (21.0) points.

The AQ-D items of the 170 patients were entered into a principal factor analysis and a two factor solution was derived. Factor 1 had an eigenvalue of 9.34 and accounted for 31.1% of the variance. This factor heavily loaded on the following items (> 0.60): difficulties remembering dates, orienting to new places, remembering telephone calls, remembering location of objects in the house, handling money, remembering appointments, doing hobbies, performing mental calculations, remembering a shopping list, understanding the plot of a movie, carrying out household chores, and carrying out clerical work (table). Factor 2 had an eigenvalue of 2.15 and accounted for 7.1% of the variance. This factor loaded on the following items (> 0.60): increased selfishness, increased irritability, laughing inappropriately, and increased interest in sexual subjects (table). Thus whereas factor 1 may be construed as an unawareness of cognitive deficit factors including memory, temporal and spatial orientation, calculation, abstract reasoning, and praxis, factor 2 may be construed as an unawareness of behavioural problem factors including selfishness, irritability, inappropriate of emotional display, and instinctive disinhibition.

One important question is whether patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anosognosia questionnaire—dementia: factor loadings (varimax normalised)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall of dates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation in new places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall of telephone calls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall of location of objects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling money</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall of appointments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice of hobbies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental calculations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recall of shopping lists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding a movie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance of household chores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance of clerical work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased selfishness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased irritability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laughing inappropriately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased sexual interest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with Alzheimer’s disease show more unawareness of behavioural problems early in the disease, whereas unawareness of cognitive deficits is more prevalent in the late stages of the disease. To consider this issue we calculated a median split of the factor scores and divided patients into groups with high or low scores for each factor. Patients with high scores on factor 1 (unawareness of cognitive deficits) had a significantly longer duration of illness than patients with low scores (mean years (SD) 4·1 (1·9) v 3·0 (2·6) respectively, F (1,168) = 9·51, p < 0·01), whereas no significant differences in duration of illness was found between patients with high versus low scores on factor 2 (unawareness of behavioural problems) (mean years (SD) 3·7 (2·6) v 3·5 (2·0) respectively, F (1,168) = 0·23, NS).

PSYCHIATRIC CORRELATES OF ANOSOGNOSIA

A stepwise regression analysis was calculated using factor scores from the two unawareness factors as dependent variables and age, MMSE, HAM-D, HAM-A, Bech mania scale, psychosis scale-dementia, irritability, apathy, and pathological laughing and crying scale scores as independent variables (due to missing scores on some of the above scales, 19 patients had to be excluded from the regression analysis). Factor 1 (unawareness of cognitive deficits) showed a significant overall correlation with the independent variables (R² = 0·26, F (10, 147) = 4·99, P < 0·0001), and the variables that accounted for a significant part of the variance were the MMSE (β = −0·18, F = 12·8, P < 0·001), the psychosis dementia scale (β = 0·21, F = 6·37, P < 0·01), the apathy scale (β = 0·23, F = 6·17, P < 0·01), and the HAM-D (β = −0·17, F = 6·73, P = 0·01). Factor 2 (unawareness of behavioural problems) also showed a significant overall correlation with the independent variables (R² = 0·17; F = (6, 147) = 5·10, P < 0·0001). The variables that accounted for a significant part of the variance were the Bech mania scale (β = 0·23, F = 16·0, P < 0·0001), and the PLACS-L (β = 0·15, F = 4·83, P < 0·05) (pathological laughing was present in 16 patients).

NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL CORRELATES OF ANOSOGNOSIA

Twenty-eight of the 170 patients had to be excluded from the statistical analysis due to missing data. A stepwise regression analysis was calculated, using scores of each anosognosia factor as the dependent variable and the neuropsychological tasks as independent variables. Factor 1 (unawareness—cognition) showed a significant overall correlation with the neuropsychological variables (R² = 0·43, F(4, 137) = 7·55, P < 0·0001), and the variables that accounted for a significant part of the variance were the token test (β = −0·25, P < 0·001) and the Buschke delayed recall test (β = −0·24, P < 0·05). On the other hand, there was no significant overall correlation between factor 2 (unawareness—behaviour) and the neuropsychological variables.

Discussion

We examined the presence of different domains of anosognosia in a large sample of patients with Alzheimer’s disease using the anosognosia questionnaire—dementia, and there were several important findings. We found two domains of anosognosia: unawareness of cognitive deficits and unawareness of behavioural problems. Each anosognosic domain showed specific clinical correlates: whereas unawareness of cognitive deficits correlated significantly with more severe intellectual decline, a higher frequency of delusions, more severe apathy, and less depression, unawareness of behavioural problems correlated significantly with higher disinhibition scores and more severe pathological laughing. Finally, whereas unawareness of cognitive deficits correlated significantly with language and memory deficits, no significant correlations were found between unawareness of behavioural problems and neuropsychological test scores.

The first important finding of our study was the presence of two dimensions of anosognosia in Alzheimer’s disease: unawareness of cognitive impairments and unawareness of behavioural problems. The question that now arises is whether these two types of unawareness have different mechanisms.

Several studies have shown significant neuropsychological correlates of anosognosia in Alzheimer’s disease. López et al7 and Michon et al8 examined patients with Alzheimer’s disease with and without anosognosia using a comprehensive neuropsychological battery and found that patients with Alzheimer’s disease with anosognosia had significantly more severe deficits than patients with Alzheimer’s disease without anosognosia on tasks tapping frontal lobe functions. Although López et al7 and Michon et al8 suggested that anosognosia in Alzheimer’s disease is related to frontal lobe dysfunction, several limitations of those studies should be pointed out. The anosognosia questionnaire used by Michon et al8 asked patients with Alzheimer’s disease to rate the presence of cognitive abilities at the time of the evaluation compared with how they had been five years before. Thus patients were assessed about both their present awareness of cognitive deficits as well as their recall of their own past cognitive abilities. Therefore, high anosognosia scores may have been related to either memory deficits, unawareness about the current cognitive deficits, or both. Another limitation was that Michon et al8 only assessed anosognosia for memory deficits, whereas anosognosia for other cognitive abilities or behavioural problems was not examined. In the study of López et al7, anosognosia was diagnosed based on the patients’ answers to a single question about their cognitive status, and a structured interview of relatives or care givers was not carried out.

In the present study, we examined a large sample of patients with Alzheimer’s disease using an anosognosia questionnaire with established reliability and validity. To avoid the influence of memory problems on anosog-
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anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease, we asked our patients to rate their cognitive and behavioural problems as they were at the time of the evaluation. We could not find a significant correlation between anosognosia and frontal lobe related tasks such as the Wisconsin card sorting test or a verbal fluency task. In a recent study, Dalla Barba et al. were also unable to find a significant association between deficits on frontal lobe related tasks and anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease. However, we did find a significant association between unawareness of cognitive impairments and both the token test and the Buschke selective reminding test, as well as a longer duration of illness, suggesting that deficits of verbal memory and verbal comprehension may play an important part in the production of this type of unawareness. On the other hand, there were no significant correlations between unawareness for behavioural problems and cognitive impairments, showing that neuropsychological deficits may only account for some aspects of the anosognosia syndrome in Alzheimer's disease.

Another important finding was that both types of unawareness were significantly associated with specific behavioural problems. Whereas unawareness of cognitive deficits was significantly correlated with more severe delusions and apathy and less depression, unawareness of behavioural problems was significantly correlated with more severe disinhibition and pathological laughing. In the past, anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease has been variably construed as the product of a defence mechanism protecting patients with Alzheimer's disease from depressive symptoms, or as a behavioural manifestation of a specific brain dysfunction. The first theory was based on significant, albeit mild, inverse correlations between anosognosia and depression scores—that is, less anosognosia with higher depression scores—but other studies could not replicate this correlation.

In a recent study we found that patients with Alzheimer's disease with dysthymia had significantly lower anosognosia scores than non-depressed patients with Alzheimer's disease suggesting a greater association between relatively preserved awareness and more depression. However, we also found that patients with Alzheimer's disease with major depression had similar anosognosia scores that non-depressed patients with Alzheimer's disease, suggesting that some depression in Alzheimer's disease are not related to the degree of awareness. In the present study we found a significant correlation between unawareness of cognitive deficits and depression scores—that is, higher anosognosia with lower depression scores—suggesting a role for depression in this type of anosognosia. However, depression did not account for a significant part of the variance in the correlation with unawareness of behavioural problems, suggesting that anosognosia and depression are not causally related.

Apathetic patients may be less reactive to their surroundings as well as to their needs and emotions, and this decreased reactivity may play a part in the production of anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease. Delusions are false beliefs that are held despite contextual evidence to the contrary, and constitute a frequent finding among patients with Alzheimer's disease. Delusions in Alzheimer's disease may result from dysfunction of polymodal association areas involved in the assessment of the patient's context, and anosognosia may occur with further disruption of brain areas related to self-assessment.

We also found that unawareness of behavioural problems was significantly correlated with higher disinhibition scores and more severe pathological laughing. Thus unawareness of behavioural problems may be part of a disinhibition syndrome characterised by the release of inappropriate behaviours such as grandiose ideas, irritability, hyperactivity, and inappropriate emotional display. It is possible that the brain mechanism that controls the inhibition of behaviours may at the same time activate brain areas that mediate awareness about released or inhibited behaviours. Disruption of this system may result in a disinhibition syndrome and anosognosia for behavioural problems.

There are clinical implications of our findings. Johnson and Orrell suggested that patients' perceptions about their illness may be influenced by their own perception of the disease, the treatment they receive, and the quality of psychiatric services and social supports available to them. These important issues should be considered in future studies. In a recent study that assessed insight in schizophrenic patients, David et al. suggested an indirect approach to help patients recognise their illness. Future studies should assess the utility of these techniques in patients with Alzheimer's disease, as these interventions may improve their quality of life and lessen the caregiving burden.

In conclusion, we identified two domains of anosognosia in Alzheimer's disease: unawareness of cognitive deficits and unawareness of behavioural problems, and we demonstrated different cognitive and psychiatric correlates for these disorders. Future studies should examine neuropsychological and neurometabolic correlates of these anosognic domains in Alzheimer's disease.
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