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Abstract

Objectives—To better establish the clinical features, natural history, clinical management, and rehabilitation implications of dysautonomia after traumatic brain injury, and to highlight difficulties with previous nomenclature.

Methods—Retrospective file review on 35 patients with dysautonomia and 35 sex and Glasgow coma scale score matched controls. Groups were compared on injury details, CT findings, physiological indices, and evidence of infections over the first 28 days after injury, clinical progress, and rehabilitation outcome.

Results—the dysautonomia group were significantly worse than the control group on all variables studied except duration of stay in intensive care, the rate of clinically significant infections found, and changes in functional independence measure (FIM) scores.

Conclusions—Dysautonomia is a distinct clinical syndrome, associated with severe diffuse axonal injury and preadmission hypoxia. It is associated with a poorer functional outcome; however, both the controls and patients with dysautonomia show a similar magnitude of improvement as measured by changes in FIM scores. It is argued that delayed recognition and treatment of dysautonomia results in a preventable increase in morbidity.

(J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1999; 67:39–43)
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Alterations in autonomic function are well recognised in the acute recovery phase after traumatic brain injury. These transient changes include 33% of patients with tachypnoea greater than 30 breaths/min, 25% with tachycardia above 120 beats/min, and 15% with systolic blood pressures above 160 mm Hg. However, a syndrome of prolonged episodes of autonomic abnormalities has been reported to follow a wide variety of different cerebral pathologies. Features of the syndrome include severe, paroxysmal increases in heart rate, respiratory rate, temperature, and blood pressure, with decerebrate or decorticate posturing, increased muscle tone, and profuse sweating. This syndrome has been variously termed autonomic dysfunction syndrome,1 3 autonomic or sympathetic storming,4 5 dysautonomia,4 6 brainstem attack,7 hyperpyrexia associated with muscle contraction,8 hypothalamic-midbrain dysregulation syndrome,9 acute midbrain syndrome,10 and diencephalic seizure.11 12 The syndrome will henceforth be referred to as dysautonomia.

Despite the dramatic presentation of the condition, literature reports of dysautonomia after traumatic brain injury consist of five papers recording details of 11 patients (table 1). Given the lack of a systematic nomenclature, a comprehensive literature review was performed for all aspects of altered autonomic function or tone after traumatic brain injury. This process yielded a further six patients in four papers with features consistent with dysautonomia, but in whom dysautonomia was not identified (table 1). These papers focused on isolated aspects of the overall syndrome—for example, metabolic expenditure,13 hypertension,14 early onset dyston-
nia after traumatic brain injury, and fever of central origin.

The literature on traumatic brain injury and dysautonomia focuses on small series of case reports or on anecdotal responses to a particular drug therapy. Minimal attention has been paid to the natural history, patterns of physiological changes, or outcomes of patients with dysautonomia. The limited outcome data (table 1) indicates a poor functional prognosis. Therefore, the aims of this study were to better establish the clinical features of dysautonomia in a larger series of patients, to evaluate the role that cerebral pathology, infection, and medications have in the aetiology of the condition, and to examine aspects of management and outcome of dysautonomia by comparison of patient groups with traumatic brain injury with and without dysautonomia.

Methods

Patients
A retrospective file review was performed on 35 patients with traumatic brain injury with dysautonomia admitted to a rehabilitation service over a 10 year period. For the purposes of the study, dysautonomia was defined as simultaneous, paroxysmal increases in at least five out of the seven reported features of dysautonomia (heart rate, respiratory rate, temperature, blood pressure, posturing, dystonia, and sweating), with episodes persisting for at least 2 weeks after injury. The control group consisted of 35 subjects matched for sex and Glasgow coma scale (GCS) severity taken from a database of 121 consecutive inpatients with severe traumatic brain injury (maximum GCS score ≤8 in the first 24 hours). Data were recorded on personal and injury related details, clinical progress, and rehabilitation outcome. Day of injury CT was used to diagnose brain stem and diffuse axonal injuries. Evidence supporting the diagnosis of diffuse axonal injuries included intraventricular haemorrhage, diffuse cerebral oedema, and multiple subcortical white matter or brainstem haemorrhages. Ambulance and emergency department reports were used to determine the presence or otherwise of preadmission hypotension and hypoxia. Physiological findings, haematology, and microbiology reports were reviewed for the first 28 days after injury. The dates that medications, particularly antibiotics, sedatives, and central acting drugs, were started or ended were also recorded.

Statistical Analysis
Analyses compared the dysautonomia group and the matched control group on baseline characteristics (age, sex, mode, and severity of injury), acute physiological and clinical presentation (maximum temperature and heart and respiratory rate, and white blood cell count over a 4 week acute recovery period; the presence or absence of tone, sweating, posturing, diffuse axonal injuries, brainstem injury, and preadmission hypotension and hypoxia) and outcome data (duration of stay in intensive care unit, rehabilitation and total time in hospital, Glasgow outcome scale (GOS), duration of post-traumatic amnesia (PTA; utilising the Westmead PTA scale)) and functional independence measure (FIM) scores on admission and discharge). All continuous variables were corrected for outliers and non-normal distributions. Age distributions were compared using one way analyses of variance (ANOVA)s whereas continuous physiological records were analysed with two way repeated measures ANOVA with group (dysautonomia v control) as the between factor and time (weekly epochs) the within factor. Greenhouse-Geisser corrections were used to control for sphericity. Ordinal data (GCS, GOS, FIM) were analysed with the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical data relating to sex and the presence or absence of clinical features were compared between groups using Fisher’s exact \( \chi^2 \) test. Finally, the matched control group was compared on age, sex, and GCS criteria with the complete database of 121 patients with severe traumatic brain injury to test for representativeness of the sample.

Results

Baseline Characteristics
Table 2 presents the baseline characteristics for each group. There were no significant differences between the dysautonomia group and the matched control group for age, GCS score, sex, or mode of injury. All patients in the dysautonomia group and 34 out of 35 patients in the control group had closed head injuries. No patient in either group had evidence of spinal cord injury. Comparisons between the control group and the complete severe traumatic brain injury database did not show significant differences in sex (\( \chi^2=0.31, p>0.05 \)) or GCS score (\( U(1,138)=1.83, p=0.177 \)). A significant difference was found for age (\( F(1,138)=29.6, p=0.000 \) ) with the control mean age of 20.7 years significantly younger than the total sample mean of 35.1 years.

Acute Physiological and Clinical Presentation
The figure shows continuous physiological records for the dysautonomia and matched control group over the first 28 days after injury. Repeated measures ANOVAs showed that maximum temperature (\( F(1,57)=44.8, p=0.000 \)), heart rate (\( F(1,59)=51.4, p=0.000 \)), and respiratory rate (\( F(1,48)=9.1, p=0.004 \)) were significantly higher for the dysautonomia group than the control group. These main effects were qualified by significant time by group interactions in each of these measures. Maximum temperatures were significantly greater for the dysautonomia group in each of the four weekly epochs, with maximal group differences during weeks 2 and 3. Maximum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Demographic and injury related data for dysautonomia group and control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysautonomia</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (y)</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS score (median)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/female ratio</td>
<td>25/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of injury:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle/other</td>
<td>25/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
heart rates were significantly higher in the dysautonomia group during weeks 2, 3, and 4. Respiratory rates were lower for the dysautonomia group during the first week, but became significantly higher than controls in weeks 2 and 3.

By contrast, the maximum white cell count did not differ significantly between groups at any time period (F(1,59)=0.26, p=0.6099). Neither the mean number of microbiologically confirmed infections/patient (dysautonomia=1.9, control=1.5; F(1,52)=0.86, p=0.36) nor antibiotic use (dysautonomia=2.7, control=3.0 courses of antibiotics/patient; F(1,53)=0.49, p=0.49) differed significantly between groups.

Table 3 presents categorical data comparing the presence or absence of clinically relevant symptoms for each group. Patients with dysautonomia presented with significantly greater reports of sweating, posturing, hypertension, and dystonias during recovery. Brain CT showed that patients with dysautonomia had significantly greater frequency of diffuse axonal...
with the control group, in which medications used were diazepam (five), chlorpromazine (one), and haloperidol (one). Twenty eight patients in the control group did not receive centrally acting agents.

**Discussion**

This paper provides supportive evidence that dysautonomia is a distinct clinical syndrome affecting a subgroup of patients with severe traumatic brain injury. It extends previous medical literature by noting consistencies in the clinical presentation of 35 patients and by comparing outcome with a matched control group. Patients with the condition have a different course of hospital stay and worse functional outcome. Both groups showed a similar magnitude of improvement as measured by change in FIM scores, albeit the improvement occurred at a slower rate in the dysautonomia group.

The data suggest that dysautonomia can be divided into three phases. During the first phase there is little to distinguish between the dysautonomia group and the control group. On average, this phase lasted 1 week and seemed to end with the cessation of regular paralysis or sedation. Phase two sees the onset of the physiological changes recognised as dysautonomia. This phase was taken to end with the cessation of sweating, with an average duration of 74 days after injury (SD 47.9, range 15–204). In phase three the paroxysmal episodes have burnt out, leaving dystonia and spasticity of varying severity. Two dysautonomic patients developed “locked in” syndrome on the basis of severe generalised dystonias, when communication only became possible due to voluntary control of an isolated muscle group (thumb in patient 20, eye blink in 28). It would be possible to misinterpret such a patient’s physical inability to communicate as evidence of absent cognitive function, resulting in an inappropriate diagnosis of persistent vegetative state.

Dysautonomia was particularly associated with severe diffuse axonal injury, preadmission hypoxia, younger age and, to a lesser extent, brainstem injury. The striking proportion of patients with both diffuse axonal and hypoxic injuries has not been previously reported. The results of the study do not support a role for infection in the aetiology of the syndrome, as there were no differences in white cell count patterns, infection rates, or antibiotic usage between the control group and the dysautonomia group. Similarly, medications with autonomic activity were not responsible for causing dysautonomia, as they were invariably prescribed after the onset of dysautonomia and in an attempt to minimise autonomic abnormalities.

Many of the features reported in this paper have the potential to increase secondary brain injury. An example of such an effect may be postinjury hyperthermia. In animal models, the threshold for secondary neuronal injury falls to 38°C. How long the injured brain remains sensitive to hyperthermia has yet to be determined. In this study, 73% of patients with dysautonomia continued to have core tempera-

---

**Table 3** Number of clinical features present and absent in dysautonomia group and control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical feature</th>
<th>Dysautonomia</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweating</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posturing</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension &gt;2 weeks</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse axonal injury</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brainstem injuries</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-admission hypoxia</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-admission hypoxia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( *p<0.05; **p<0.005. \)

OUTCOME DATA

Thirty one patients in the dysautonomia group had outcome data. Of the remaining four patients, two died in hospital, and two remain as inpatients. Table 4 presents outcome data for the two groups. The dysautonomia group had significantly longer rehabilitation and stay in hospital, but not duration of stay in the intensive care unit. The dysautonomia group had a significantly greater mean duration of PTA and greater number of subjects with chronic amnesia (those who do not emerge from PTA) or who could not be reliably tested. The outcome at discharge from rehabilitation was significantly worse for the dysautonomia group relative to controls.

**Table 4** Outcome data for dysautonomia group and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dysautonomia group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean hospital DOS (days)</td>
<td>267.9</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>( F(1,64)=38.6, p=0.000** )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ICU DOS (days)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>( F(1,64)=4.43, p=0.058 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean rehabilitation DOS (days)</td>
<td>206.8</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>( F(1,58)=42.9, p=0.000** )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PTA duration (days)</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>( F(1,43)=50.4, p=0.000** )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median GOS score</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>U(1,67)=84, p=0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median discharge FIM</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>U(1,48)=16, p=0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FIM change score</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>U(1,48)=232, p=0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent amnesia (yes/no)</td>
<td>21/12</td>
<td>2/33</td>
<td>( \chi^2=25.3, p=0.005** )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( DO S=\text{Duration of stay}; ICU=\text{intensive care unit.} \)

**p<0.05; **p<0.005.
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