Abstract

Objectives—To compare prognostic factors and functional recovery between paraplegic patients with either ischaemic (28 patients) or traumatic (39 patients) spinal cord injury (SCI).

Methods—On admission to the spinal injury centre and 6 months later the patients underwent clinical (following the guidelines set down by the American Spinal Injury Association) and electrophysiological (tibial and pudendal somatosensory evoked potentials) examinations in parallel. The degree of ambulatory capacity was assessed after discharge from the rehabilitation programme or at least 6 months after trauma.

Results—At the acute stage of either ischaemic or traumatic SCI similar motor and sensory deficits and pathological SSEP recordings were present. Both patient groups recovered to similar degrees with respect to motor, sensory, and ambulatory capacity. The clinical examination in both patient groups was the most sensitive prognostic factor of functional recovery irrespective of the aetiology of the SCI. In the ischaemic patients only the tibial SSEP whereas in the traumatic patients both the pudendal and tibial SSEP were of value in predicting recovery.

Conclusions—Although the two patient groups are pathophysiologically different, the severity and extent of neurological deficits and rate of recovery are quite similar. In both ischaemic and traumatic SCI clinical and electrophysiological examinations are of prognostic value for the functional recovery.

 PATIENTS WITH ISCHAEMIC SCI

In a retrospective study medical records of 28 patients with acute ischaemic myelopathic SCI (due to non-traumatic and non-compressive factors) were analysed. The 28 patients had been admitted to our centre between March 1985 and 1997 representing about 4.2% (28 of 667 patients) of all our admissions for primary rehabilitation of SCI. This group consisted of four female and 24 male patients with levels of lesion C6 - L2. The causes of ischaemic myelopathy were due to aortic aneurysm repair (n=12 patients), arteriovenous malformation (n=2), ischaemia during scoliosis/kyphosis correction (n=3), and spinal hypotension due to intoxication (n=2). In the remaining nine patients the spinal cord lesion was attributed to ischaemia on the basis of anamnesis (for example, sudden onset). Other possible causes were ruled out by various examinations including radiography, CT, MRI, or CSF examination.
Clinical data and mean ASIA scores (95% confidence interval) of the patients with ischaemic and traumatic spinal cord lesion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ischaemic lesions (n=28)</th>
<th>Traumatic lesions (n=39)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>After 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age†</td>
<td>56.07</td>
<td>(48.67-63.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA motor score</td>
<td>57.22</td>
<td>(51.35-63.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA light touch</td>
<td>75.26</td>
<td>(65.85-85.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIA pin prick score†</td>
<td>65.85</td>
<td>(57.99-73.72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant increment 6 months after admission.
†Significant difference between the two patient groups.

PATIENTS WITH TRAUMATIC SCI

The traumatic SCI patients studied prospectively, comprised 39 patients (nine female and 30 male) with levels of lesion between T1 - L5, who were admitted to hospital for primary rehabilitation between 1992 and 1994.

In both patient groups accompanying nontraumatic diseases of the peripheral nervous system (polyneuropathy, nerve entrapments) and cerebral lesions were excluded by clinical and electrophysiological examinations. All patients were informed about the aim of the study and gave their consent to participation. In addition, any patient was allowed to drop out of the study at any time if they wished.

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF AMBULATORY CAPACITY

The ambulatory capacity of the patients was attributed to one of four categories: (1) no ambulatory capacity—the patient could neither walk nor stand; (2) therapeutic ambulatory capacity—standing and walking only by support of two physiotherapists, or with two braces in parallel bars, or with two sticks and the help of an accompanying person (the patient can perform these procedures only as a therapeutic approach); (3) functional ambulatory capacity—walking daily over short distances without external personal support, while the use of one or two sticks or braces is allowed (the ambulatory capacity is regularly used in the activities of daily living and is usable for employment); (4) full ambulatory capacity—little or no disturbance in walking. This assessment corresponds to those used in earlier studies. The outcome of ambulatory capacity was assessed in the chronic stage of spinal cord injury at least 6 months after injury. The categorisation was focused on both the walking level achieved and the walking activities regularly practised at home by the patient.

CLINICAL EXAMINATION

Neurological examination of all patients was performed according to the 1992 ASIA standards. Two examinations were performed, the first after admission to our rehabilitation centre and the second 6 months later. Within the ASIA protocol the following indices were evaluated: (1) motor score, (2) pinprick score, (3) light touch score, and (4) the differentiation between complete or incomplete lesion. The neurological examination was performed by full time specially trained physicians who were all familiar with the ASIA protocol.

ELECTROPHYSIOLOGICAL RECORDINGS

The tibial SSEPs were elicited by electrical stimulation (square wave of 0.2 ms duration applied at 3 Hz) of the tibial nerves of both legs, at the medial ankle with the cathode placed 2 to 3 cm proximal to the anode. The stimulus intensity (up to a maximum of 40 mA) was adjusted to produce a clear muscle contraction or sensation. The pudendal SSEPs were elicited by the same stimulation indices applied via ring electrodes on the penis for men and skin electrodes at the level of the clitoris in women as described elsewhere. Patients were lying prone at ambient room temperature. The recording electrodes (conventional 0.5 cm gold cup electrodes) were attached to the skin over the popliteal fossa for tibial SSEPs (to control the effectiveness of the electrical stimulation and transmission of the potentials along the peripheral nerve segments). Scalp electrodes were positioned at Cz-Fz (international 10/20 electrode system). The electrode impedance was maintained at <5 kΩ. The amplifier was set at 5 µV/division and the time of analysis at 60 ms. Two sets of 500 responses were averaged and superimposed to ensure consistency. Electrophysiological recordings of a reference group of 10 healthy subjects (five men and five women; mean age 29.6 years, range 24 to 38 years) described previously were used for comparison.

STATISTICS

Statistical evaluations were performed using an SAS software package. Student’s t test was used to compare the values of the two patient groups. The increments of the ASIA scores were analysed with the paired Student’s t test. The χ² test was used to determine the differences between complete and incomplete lesions according to the outcome of ambulatory capacity. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients were calculated to determine the prognostic value of age, ASIA scores, and electrophysiological recordings for ambulatory capacity. To determine the best combination of all mentioned variables for the prediction of ambulatory capacity a stepwise multiple regression analysis was used (significance level for entry into the model was set to 0.15). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Scheffe’s test for multiple pairwise comparisons were used to compare the SSEP recordings of the two patient groups and the control group. For all statistical tests and correlations p<0.05 was considered as significant and only natural values of the electrophysiological recordings were calculated.
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Results

PATIENTS
The mean age of the patients with ischaemic lesions (56.1 (SD 19.1) years) was significantly (t test, p<0.001) higher than that of the patients with traumatic lesions (40.0 (SD 16.8) years, table). Clinical differentiation into complete or incomplete SCI using the ASIA protocol showed that the complete to incomplete ratio was similar (χ² test, p>0.05) in both patient groups (ischaemic lesions 1:1.33, traumatic lesions 1:1.29).

NEUROLOGICAL DEFICIT AND RECOVERY
The initial clinical examination after admission to the rehabilitation centre was performed in the ischaemic patient group between 0 to 30 days (mean 2.1 days) and in the traumatic injury patient group between 1 to 35 days (mean 10.0 days) after incidence of paraplegia. Both patient groups showed similar values for the motor and light touch scores (table). The pinprick scores were significantly lower in the ischaemic than in the traumatic injury patients (t test, p<0.0007). Follow up recordings taken 6 months later showed that all the ASIA indices had increased significantly in the traumatic injury patients (t test, motor score: p<0.0001; light touch score: p<0.007; pinprick score: p<0.005). In the ischaemic patient group only the motor and light touch scores increased (t test, motor score p<0.007; light touch score p<0.03; pinprick score p>0.20). The extent of neurological recovery, calculated by the increment of ASIA scores, was similar in both patient groups (t test, p>0.3).

SSEP RECORDINGS
The SSEP recordings were performed in parallel to the clinical examinations. In both groups, the mean amplitude of the tibial SSEP (trauma n=39, amplitude 0.42 (SD 0.56) µV; ischaemia n=24, amplitude 0.77 (SD 0.46) µV) was significantly reduced compared with the control group (amplitude 2.6 (SD 1.54) µV; Scheffe’s test, p<0.05, fig 1). There was no significant difference of impairment between the two patient groups. ANOVA testing of the tibial SSEP latency values of the control group (latency 40.99 (SD 3.8) ms) and the two patient groups (traumatic: 50.83 (SD 10.27) ms; ischaemic: 48.8 (SD 7.3) ms) indicated a significant difference between the healthy subjects and the patients (p<0.05). A further analysis with Scheffe’s test showed a tendency for prolonged tibial latencies of the traumatic injury compared with the ischaemic patients (p<0.06). The recordings of the pudendal SSEPs of the two patient groups showed similar amplitudes (traumatic injury n=33, amplitude 0.33 (SD 0.43) µV; ischaemia n=18, amplitude 0.22 (SD 0.3) µV; p>0.05), and latencies (traumatic injury: 53.68 (SD 15.10) ms; ischaemic: 50.77 (SD 13.25) ms; p>0.1).

RECOVERY OF AMBULATORY CAPACITY
The degree of recovery of ambulatory capacity determined at discharge from the rehabilitation programme or after at least 6 months, was similar in both the ischaemic and traumatic injury groups (χ² test, p>0.05). Both patient groups still had severe impairment of lower limb function. Only about 25% of the ischaemic patients achieved a functional ambulatory capacity whereas about 35% of traumatic injury patients recovered at least functional ambulatory capacity (fig 2).

PROGNOSIS OF AMBULATORY CAPACITY
The age of the patients when SCI occurred was of no prognostic value for the recovery of ambulatory capacity (ischaemic r=−0.14, p>0.05; traumatic injury r=−0.19, p>0.05).

The clinical differentiation into complete or incomplete SCI lesion was indicative of recovery of ambulatory capacity in traumatic injury patients only (χ² test, p<0.001). Only 12.5% of the initially complete traumatic injury patients regained some ambulatory capacity at the end of the rehabilitation, whereas about 35% of the initially complete ischaemic patients recovered some ambulatory function.
The initial ASIA motor and sensory scores were strongly indicative of recovery in both patient groups (fig 3). The best prognostic factor was the ASIA motor score (ischaemic patients \( r=0.71, \ p<0.0001 \); traumatic injury patients \( r=0.90, \ p<0.0001 \)).

Similarly to the clinical examination the tibial SSEP recordings were also strongly indicative for the degree of ambulatory recovery (traumatic injury patients \( r=0.72, \ p<0.0001) \); ischaemic patients \( r=0.43, \ p<0.04 \)). The pudendal SSEP recordings were significantly related to the ambulatory capacity but only in the traumatic injury patients \( (r=0.80, \ p<0.0001). \) For the ischaemic patients the correlation coefficient was 0.33 \((p>0.17)\). In general, the SSEP recordings were of less prognostic value in the ischaemic compared with the traumatic injury patients. Of all the clinical and electrophysiological indices the best prediction of outcome of ambulatory capacity was achieved by the combination of the motor score and tibial SSEP recordings in both patient groups (multiple regression analysis, \( R^2=0.72) \).

**Discussion**

In several studies on traumatic SCI patients the extent of neurological deficits, follow up examinations of neurological recovery, functional outcome, and prognostic factors have been evaluated by an established clinical scoring system (ASIA protocol). However, this analysis has not been extended to patients with ischaemic SCI. Therefore, this study compared patients with ischaemic or traumatic SCI using established, standardised clinical and electrophysiological examinations.

The main findings were: (1) both patient groups (ischaemic and traumatic injury) showed a similar extent of neurological deficit when assessed using both clinical examination (ASIA protocol) and pathological SSEP recordings. (2) There was no significant difference between the groups in the degree of neurological recovery of motor and sensory function after the injury. (3) The patients of both groups recovered ambulatory capacity to similar degrees. (4) The clinical examination and the SSEP recordings in both acute traumatic and ischaemic SCI were of significant value in predicting the extent of functional recovery.

In patients with acute SCI the clinical examination is the first and most important approach in the diagnostic assessment of a spinal cord lesion. Several studies on patients with traumatic SCI have shown that the clinical evaluation of muscle strength and pinprick sensation can predict the outcome of ambulatory capacity with an accuracy of about 80%.

The ASIA protocol describes a standardised clinical examination of motor and sensory functions in cases of traumatic SCI. This ensures not only accurate communication between clinicians but also direct comparison between different research studies. However, the ASIA protocol has not yet been evaluated for patients with ischaemic SCI. Furthermore, no comparative study between acute traumatic and ischaemic spinal cord lesions has been described which uses a standardised clinical examination protocol.

Both patient groups showed similar degrees of neurological deficit on admission and also 6 months later. The ischaemic patients showed the same degree of neurological recovery as patients with traumatic SCI despite the fact that they were generally older and the aetiology of the SCI was totally different. According to earlier reports the ischaemic lesion often arose due to complications after aortic surgery, other conditions producing spinal hypotension, or was associated with general disorders of blood circulation such as atherosclerosis. In agreement with other studies, about 30% of the ischaemic patients used in this study were suspected of having ischaemic lesions simply by exclusion of other disorders.

Electrophysiological recordings provide supplementary information to the clinical examination and are of significant value in uncooperative patients. Furthermore, electrophysiological recordings can be used to determine accompanying lesions of the peripheral nervous system, which are difficult to assess in acute SCI by clinical examination. The present study showed that pudendal and tibial SSEP recordings are severely affected in both ischaemic and traumatic SCI patients. The SSEP amplitudes were significantly reduced in both groups of SCI patients, whereas the SSEP latencies were more prolonged in traumatic compared with ischaemic patients. This may be due to the different underlying pathophysiological mechanisms as an ischaemic injury affects primarily the central axonal and neuronal structures of the spinal cord—that is, it induces less demyelination than a traumatic lesion. This would be in line with the findings that pinprick sensations and pudendal SSEPs were more affected in ischaemic than in traumatic injury patients. The latter indices represent a predominant lesion of the grey matter.

An early prognosis of the functional recovery, such as the recovery of ambulatory capacity, is essential in acute SCI patients to efficiently plan physical and functional training as well as home adaptations. Therefore, ambulatory capacity assessed 6 months after the initial injury was differentiated into four...
categories with respect to the activities of daily living. Several studies have documented a positive correlation of ASIA scores with the outcome of ambulatory capacity in patients with traumatic SCI. Our results proved that this correlation is also true for patients with ischaemic SCI. Although SSEP recordings reflect sensory deficits related to dorsal column function they are of significant prognostic value with respect to ambulatory capacity. It has been shown that tibial and pudendal SSEPs are very strongly associated with the recovery of ambulatory capacity in patients with traumatic SCI. In the ischaemic patient group significant correlations with the outcome of ambulatory capacity were found only for the tibial SSEPs.

We conclude that although the two patient groups are pathophysiologically different, the severity and extent of neurological deficits and rate of recovery are quite similar. In both ischaemic and traumatic SCI clinical (most sensitive are the ASIA motor scores) and electrophysiological (tibial SSEP) examinations are of prognostic value to predict the functional recovery and thus allow early planning of rehabilitation aims and procedures.
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