LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Magnetic resonance imaging and vertebral artery dissection

Since the advent of advanced radiological modalities such as MRI and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), dissections of cervical arteries are increasingly recognised as a common cause of stroke in young adults. Auer et al recently advocated MRA as the initial diagnostic tool for vertebral artery dissection. Conventional angiography might be avoided altogether in subjects with a suspicious history and MRA images suggestive of a dissection (double lumen or mural haematoma).1 The sensitivity of MRA for the diagnosis of vertebral artery dissection was only 20% in one study, but the specificity was excellent (100%).1 The sensitivity was considerably better in the hands of Auer et al, but in this study the specificity (true negative rate in subjects free of disease) was not considered because all patients had vertebral artery dissection. The following case report illustrates that care must be taken to avoid false positive results when using MRA for the diagnosis of vertebral artery dissection.

A 47 year old male pilot suddenly experienced clumsiness and slight loss of strength in the right arm and leg during a long distance flight, while he stooped forward. During the following hours, he developed a global headache without irradiation to the neck, but the other symptoms gradually diminished. Prior history was unremarkable, except for a 3 hour period of horizontal diplopia which suddenly developed 3 months earlier. He had never smoked. Family history was negative for cardiovascular disorders. The patient later confessed that he had recently picked up the habit of gargling his throat with toothpaste twice a day, always with his neck in extreme retroflexion.

In this patient, a diagnosis of right vertebral artery dissection was initially made given the clinical course with repeated episodes of ischaemia restricted to the vertebrobasilar system, as well as the suggestive MRI findings.1 We speculated that habitual gargling was a potential underlying cause, as neck retroflexion can cause cervical dissections. However, we had to reject this diagnosis in view of the normal conventional angiography, which remains the gold standard for diagnosing cervical artery dissection. In one series, conventional angiography was never falsely negative in patients with clinical signs or symptoms of vertebral artery dissection. The possibility that conventional angiography had nevertheless yielded a false negative result seems highly unlikely. In dissected arteries, MRI/MRA can detect intimal flaps, mural haematomas, or aneurysmal dilatations that are sometimes missed by conventional angiography, but even in such patients conventional angiography is never completely normal in the acute stage. Follow up examinations of patients with proven vertebral artery dissection indicate that the appearance of a dissected artery on conventional angiography can normalise in a substantial proportion of patients, but always after an interval of at least 1 to (usually) several weeks.1 Conventional angiography in our patient was performed on the day of admission.
tion, directly after the “abnormal” MRI and four days prior to the “abnormal” MRA, hence spontaneous resolution of the dissection is very unlikely. Therefore, we consider our MRI/MRA examinations falsely positive, and we hypothesise that the area of semilunar appearance, and slow flow in its lumen may give rise to high signal intensity on both MRI and MRA, creating an image suggestive of dissection. It has been suggested that saturation slabs in conjunction with MRA completely suppress flow related high signal, thus distinguishing it from high signal from an intramural haematoma which cannot be suppressed by saturation slabs. The present case report illustrates that flow in this plexus cannot always be suppressed.

The second tissue that may falsely present as a dissection is fat that directly surrounds vertebral arteries. This fat also gives rise to high signal intensity, but using fat suppression techniques it can be readily differentiated from intramural haematoma. Furthermore, the usual diameter asymmetry of vertebral arteries, turbulence and magnetic susceptibility near sharp vessel turns can also cause false positive MRA results. In some patients, MRI cannot distinguish between intramedullary oedema and intramural haematoma, leading to false conclusions.

Decisions based on false positive MRI/MRA results can be hazardous due to the sometimes severe side effects of anticoagulation therapy that is recommended by some to prevent further ischaemic events. Another danger of a false positive diagnosis of vertebral dissection is that it may preclude the search for other causes of stroke that could be amenable to secondary prevention.

MRI/MRA remains important because it helps visualise ischaemic lesions and, in some patients, provides complementary morphological information to cerebral angiography. Furthermore, it is a non-invasive procedure, an important advantage over cerebral angiography which carries a morbidity and mortality risk. Our patient, who developed transient neurological deficits shortly after angiography, underscores this. Therefore, MRA can play a part in the diagnosis of vertebral artery dissection, provided that the pitfalls mentioned above are recognised to avoid false positive results. In case of doubt, cerebral angiography remains the gold standard for vertebral artery dissection.

Catatonia due to central pontine and extrapontine myelinolysis: case report

Central pontine and extrapontine myelinolysis (CPEM) are recognised complications of hypotension and its overly rapid correction. CPEM usually presents with spastic tetraparesis and pseudobulbar palsy. We describe a patient with CPEM in whom behavioural manifestations overshadowed corticospinal tract signs.

A 64 year old Chinese speaking woman with a history of episodic psychotic depression that had never required admission to hospital was admitted to a hospital because of vomiting and diarrhoea. Her general and neurological examination were normal. On admission she had a sodium concentration of 105 meq/l. An infusion of 3% saline at a rate of 150 ml/hour was given during 6 hours. Ten hours later her sodium was 134 meq/l and she was mute and tetraparetic. She seemed catatonic with motor perseveration. Transfer to our hospital was requested.

On admission her vital signs were normal. She was mute without any spontaneous volitional movements except for visual pursuit. She was tetraparetic and hyperreflexic with increased tone and bilateral Babinski's signs. CPEM was suspected. Admission MRI, EEG, and spinal fluid examination were normal. Over the next 2 days the reflexes normalised and the Babinski's signs disappeared but she continued to have mild diffuse weakness. She had waxy flexibility of limb and assumed bizarre non-physiological postures consistent with catatonia. Psychogenic unresponsiveness was suspected and she was started on risperidone and sertraline. There was no benefit. Electroconvulsive therapy was proposed by a psychiatry consultant but was refused by the patient's family. The clinical picture was dominated by an akinetic mutism with marked catatonia. Catatonia due to CPEM was considered. A repeat MRI 12 days after the onset of symptoms showed high intensity areas in the pons, caudate, and putamen consistent with CPEM (figure A, B). Physical and occupational therapy were instituted and she gradually recovered over the next 2 weeks. She was transferred to a rehabilitation hospital where she recovered completely and returned to live independently. She has been followed up at the neurology clinic and has not shown any residual deficits.

CPEM usually presents with tetraparesis and pseudobulbar palsy. Unusual clinical presentations include extrapyramidal syndromes, ataxia, and neurobehavioural syndromes. Although psychiatric manifestations of CPEM have been recognised they usually manifest as an agitated delirium, or a pseudobulbar state with pathological laughing and crying. When present, neuropsychiatric symptoms are usually overshadowed by florid signs of brainstem and pyramidal tract dysfunction. Behavioural changes such as inappropriate affect, emotional lability, personality changes, paranoia, poor judgement, emotional incontinence, and disinhibition have been reported. Price and Mesulam described a case of pontine myelinolysis in which transient pyramidal signs were followed by confusion, restless behaviour, pressured tangential speech, and disinhibition. Our patient also had transient long tract signs but they were followed by a catatonic state. The extensive extrapontine myelinolysis present in our patient may explain the behavioural symptoms we encountered.

CPEM may present with unusual behavioural symptoms. At the onset of neurological deterioration MRI may be normal but subsequent imaging studies usually disclose the lesions. CPEM presenting with neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with normal initial imaging studies might suggest a psychogenic aetiology. Corticospinal tract signs may be temporary. A strong index of suspicion for CPEM is required when patients with recent


hypometabolism present with behavioural changes. Akinetic mutism and catatonia may be the dominant clinical features in CPEM.
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Association between butyrylcholinesterase K variant and the Alzheimer type neuropathological changes in apolipoprotein E ε4 carriers older than 75 years

Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) ε4 has a strong influence on the development of sporadic Alzheimer’s disease in many ethnic populations. However, ApoE ε4 is neither necessary nor sufficient for the development of Alzheimer’s disease, suggesting that other genes increase the risk of Alzheimer’s disease. One such new candidate is the butyrylcholinesterase (BCHE) gene (BCHE). BCHE is associated with senile plaques (SPs) and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs). Lehmann et al reported that the K variant of BCHE (BCHE-K) was associated with the development of Alzheimer’s disease, especially in ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years. A possible mechanism as to how BCHE-K is related to Alzheimer’s disease under the influence of ApoE ε4 is the acceleration of Alzheimer type neuropathological changes. If BCHE-K has an effect on the development of Alzheimer’s disease in ApoE ε4 carriers, the formation of Alzheimer type neuropathological changes may be accelerated by BCHE-K in the ApoE ε4 carriers.

We have examined genotypes of BCHE and ApoE, and densities of the senile plaques (SPs), with dystrophic neurites (NPs), and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) in the brains from 51 patients with Alzheimer’s disease and 90 non-demented subjects from a postmortem series of Japanese. Clinical and postmortem diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease was carried out as described previously. 18 The densities of Alzheimer type neuropathological changes were quantified by averaging the counts of those in the hippocampus and superior temporal gyrus. Genotypes of BCHE and ApoE in all patients were determined as described elsewhere. 18 Genotypic and allelic distributions of BCHE were analysed by χ² test. The densities of the SPs, NPs, and NFTs, and ages at onset and durations of illness were compared among BCHE genotypes with the Kruskal-Wallis test or Mann-Whitney U test in total subjects, those with Alzheimer’s disease, and non-demented subjects. We also examined these relations in the subgroups divided by the ApoE ε4 status or the age of 75 years. Statistical significance was defined as two tailed probabilities of <0.05. There were no significant differences in the frequency of BCHE-K genotypes or alleles between patients with Alzheimer’s disease (0.16 in allele frequency) and non-demented subjects (0.18), and in the total subjects, ApoE ε4 carriers or non-ApoE ε4 carriers, although a strong association of ApoE ε4 alleles with Alzheimer’s disease was found in this population (p=0.004). Genetic association of BCHE-K genotypes with sporadic Alzheimer’s disease was non-significant in all subjects older than 75 years, the ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years, and non-ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years. There was no genetic association of BCHE-K with the densities of the SPs, NPs, or NFTs in the hippocampus and superior temporal gyrus in the total subjects, in the Alzheimer’s disease group, or non-demented groups, or with ages at onset or duration of illness in Alzheimer’s disease. However, when we divided total subjects into two subgroups with different ApoE ε4 status, there was significant association between BCHE-K and the density of the SPs and NPs in the superior temporal gyrus in the ApoE ε4 carriers (SPs, p=0.04; NPs, p=0.03; data not shown). Further, we analysed the correlation between BCHE-K and the densities of the SPs, NPs, and NFTs in the hippocampus and superior temporal gyrus in the ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years and non-ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years. There was a decrease of severity of Alzheimer type neuropathological changes with BCHE-K in our study was not expected because Lehmann et al showed an increase in frequency of the BCHE-K allele in Alzheimer’s disease. 19 Singleton et al also reported that BCHE-K was not associated with the densities of the SPs, NPs, and NFTs, even in the ApoE ε4 carriers. 19 In addition, BCHE-K was not related to the development of Alzheimer’s disease in the ApoE ε4 carriers in our study. Huss et al 19 and Singleton et al also showed a lack of association between BCHE-K and the development of Alzheimer’s disease. However, Hiltunen et al showed that BCHE-K had a protective effect on the development of Alzheimer’s disease in ApoE ε4 carriers younger than 75 years. 19 The effects of BCHE-K on the Alzheimer type neuropathological changes or development of Alzheimer’s disease are different among studies, suggesting that the significant genetic association in the studies by Lehmann et al, 19 Hiltunen et al, and ourselves might be linkage disequilibrium with relevant variability in BCHE or other adjacent gene on chromosome 3, and that BCHE-K does not play a direct part in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCHE genotype</th>
<th>ApoE ε4 carriers over 75 years (n=28)</th>
<th>non-ApoE ε4 carriers over 75 years (n=95)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>κ/N (n=8)</td>
<td>κ/N (n=20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPs</td>
<td>3.0 (0.0, 17.2)</td>
<td>12.2 (4.5, 28.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPs</td>
<td>0.7 (0.0, 11.9)</td>
<td>11.0 (5.9, 25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 8.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFTs</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4, 23.1)</td>
<td>17.3 (2.5, 59.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.9 (0.9, 10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0 (0.6, 35.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPs</td>
<td>0.2 (0.0, 22.8)</td>
<td>49.7 (12.1, 83.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 58.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0 (0.0, 64.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2 (0.0, 44.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPs</td>
<td>0.2 (0.0, 8.8)</td>
<td>10.7 (3.6, 19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 (0.0, 6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4 (0.0, 7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFTs</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 0.2)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.0, 4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are medians (25th percentile, 75th percentile). The density represents the average counts in 2.56 mm² for the SPs and NPs, and in 0.64 mm² for the NFTs. BCHE=butyrylcholinesterase gene; ApoE=apolipoprotein E; K=the K variant allele of butyrylcholinesterase gene; N=the normal allele of butyrylcholinesterase gene; SPs=senile plaques; NPs=senile plaques with dystrophic neurites; NFTs=neurofibrillary tangles.
The patient was a 71 year old, retired physician with a 3 to 4 year history of memory impairment. Neuropsychological evaluation disclosed a high average to superior general intellectual functioning, with mild impairment in naming to confrontation and episodic memory findings on the We不开actory Memory. His visuospatial ability remained relatively unimpaired and was rated as average for his age. His comprehension for verbal and written instruction was normal. His speech was of a normal range for his age. His writing was somewhat formulaic and there was no evidence of poor comprehension or naming.

Neuropsychological evaluation therefore indicated the presence of prosodic apraxia. A patient with prosodic apraxia should be expected to have difficulty producing prosody for command and imitation. To quantify this patient's peculiar deficit, the patient was asked to command when reading excerpts of text. As expected, the patient could no longer "act" the manner of the words "you know...". His repeated use of the words "you know..." was noted by the examiner. He could not produce the same acoustical features to his spontaneous everyday speech, but could not produce the same acoustical features underlying prosody command. The nature of his errors might constitute "ideomotor prosodic apraxia."
white matter, in keeping with typical dominant hemispheric lesions producing transcor-tical motor aphasia. This speculation is supported by the patient’s SPECT findings of mild hypoperfusion in the frontotemporal lobes bilaterally.
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Vocal cord abductor paralysis in spinocerebellar ataxia type 1

Vocal cord abductor paralysis (VCAP) is considered a sign of a poor prognosis in neu-rodegenerative diseases, because severe laryngeal dysfunction by VCAP may result in acute airway obstruction and require emerg-emcy tracheotomy.1 Although VCAP is a cardinal feature in multiple system atrophy (MSA), it has not been reported in some types of spinocerebeellar ataxia with dominant inheritance. We evaluated the movements of the vocal cords of seven patients with SCA1 by laryngofibroscopy.

Seven unrelated patients with SCA1 who had expanded CAG repeat of ataxin-1 were investigated. There were two men and five women ranging in age from 27 to 67 years old (mean 44.5 years). Spouses and other family members, in addition to the patients, were questioned about symptoms of stridor, dyspnoea, and dysphagia. Vocal cord movement was examined by laryngofibroscopy and recorded during inspiration and phonation. The rating scale used to evaluate maximal abduction of the vocal cords during laryngofibroscopy was as follows: (−)=normal; (+)=median position; (++)=paramedial position; (+++)=midline position. For the evaluation of VCAP, we tried the respiratory flow volume loop study as well as in one patient (patient 2) in whom maximal abduction of the vocal cords was slightly limited (+) on laryngofibroscopy.

The correlations between VCAP and CAG repeat length or duration of illness were ana-lyzed with the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test.

The clinical features, including the vocal cord findings, are summarised in the table. VCAP was present in five of the seven patients with SCA1. Although it is difficult to know when the VCAP first became manifest in each patient, patient 1 showed VCAP confirmed by laryngofibroscopy only 2 years after the onset of gait disturbance.

All five patients with VCAP showed mild dysphagia requiring no tube feeding, and four patients had a history of stridor at night. Patient 1 showed VCAP accompanying dysphagia without stridor at night even in an early stage of the disease. The VCAP was found to be severe on laryngofibroscopy in all three patients with breathing difficulty on inspiration. The other patient who had the severest VCAP, developed stridor during wakefulness as well. In patients 4 and 5, the breathing dif-26


### Table: Vocal cord findings in patients with SCA1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Onset (y)</th>
<th>Disease duration (y)</th>
<th>Vocal cord paralysis*</th>
<th>Stridor at night</th>
<th>Stridor during wakefulness</th>
<th>Dysphagia</th>
<th>Breathing difficulty</th>
<th>Tracheotomy</th>
<th>(CAG)n of mutant allele</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* (−)=normal, (+)=median position, (++)=paramedial position, (+++)=midline position.
Lateral gaze synkinesis on downward saccade attempts with paramedian thalamic and midbrain infarct

The symptoms of paramedian thalamic and midbrain infarct include ocular motor disturbances mainly in the vertical plane. We here describe a patient with the additional feature of an unusual horizontal eye movement synkinesis.

A 60 year old overweight man, with diabetes and mild hypertension, suddenly fell into a coma that lasted for 4 hours and was followed by slight right hemiparesis, recent memory impairment, hypersomnia, and vertical gaze impairment.

On admission to our centre, about 10 days after symptom onset, the patient still presented fluctuating drowsiness from which he could be easily aroused, normal cognitive functions with mild attention disturbance, slight right facial weakness, and mild incoordination at the finger-to-nose test with his right arm.

The most important findings involved ocular motor function. Both pupils were normal in diameter and reacted normally both to light and to convergence. The cover test did not disclose any eye misalignment. During attempted fixation, the patient showed saccade oscillations (usually square and macrosquare wave jerks)—that is, back to back involuntary horizontal saccades with an amplitude ranging from about 2 to about 10 degrees and with an intersaccadic interval of about 200 ms, that brought the eyes away from and back to the fixation point, at an approximate rate of three every 2 seconds.

Clinical examination of eye movements in the horizontal plane and visually guided reflexive saccades recorded by the infrared reflection technique were both normal, whereas the amplitude range of vertical saccade and smooth pursuit eye movements covered only a few degrees of upward gaze. Vertical amplitude range was slightly greater for the vestibulo-ocular reflex in the pitch (yes-yess) plane. Moreover, when the patient attempted to make a downward saccade, he showed a gaze deviation to the left (figure). This synkinesis was more evident when the examiner lifted the patient’s lids, thus preventing lid synkinesis during downgaze. Attempted upward saccades did not produce any horizontal gaze deviation. Finally, the patient showed normal Bell’s phenomenon.

An EEG showed frontal, bilateral theta and theta/delta activity and sporadic discharge for saccade in any direction and are maximal OPN inhibition. However, OPNs lesioned riMLF should be associated with horizontal oscillations during vertical saccades detectable in normal subjects. These oscillations suggest that during vertical saccades the inhibition of OPNs disinhibits both vertical and, to a lesser extent, horizontal EBNs.

In our patient, the horizontal gaze deviation was always directed to the left rather than in both directions as during oscillations. Many ocular motor structures, including those located in the midbrain, trigger a purely vertical (downward) saccade only when stimulated bilaterally, so as to nullify horizontal components with different direction depending on the stimulation side. This probably occurs for the riMLF too, as it shows ipsilateral projections to the abducens nucleus. In our patient, the projections to the left nucleus were probably spared by the fact that the lesion predominantly affected the right side.

Overall, our patient’s horizontal ocular motor synkinesis is unusual, and probably derives from a strong inhibition of OPNs, which in turn frees the horizontal EBNs, and from an unbalanced activation of the left abducens neurons via riMLF projections spared from the lesion, although it is not possible to exclude the possibility that the unbalanced activation of abducens neurons originated from frontal or parietal cortical areas or from the superior colliculus rather than from riMLF projections.

This hypothesis is strengthened by the reinforcement of the leftward eye deviation when the examiner kept the patient’s lids lifted. Since this manoeuvre prevents lid synkinesis, it results in what resembles an attempted forced lid closure which, on the basis of blink induced eye oscillations, is likely to be an additional stimulus for OPN inhibition. Moreover, although they occur in various conditions, saccade oscillations during fixation are in keeping with a reduction of OPN inhibition level.

In conclusion, our patient presented an ocular motor synkinesis that should be listed among those occurring in thalamomesencephalic infarcts. This sign is unusual and it is likely to be overlooked, but it is fully explicable both by neurophysiology and

(A) and (B) show a thalamomesencephalic ischaemic lesion, hyperintense in T2 weighted scans (SE, TR=2300 ms; TE=25 ms). The lesion involves the anteromedial portion of both thalami, but the right one to a larger extent. In the midbrain, the lesion is located around the Sylvian aqueduct, and symmetrically, but prevalently right sided, and involves the area that is located posteromedially with respect to both red nuclei. (C) Recording of the horizontal (upper tracing) and of the vertical (bottom tracing) movements relative with the infrared reflection technique (Skalar, IRIS system) during an attempted downward saccade. The vertical tracing is flat, as the patient was unable to move his eyes downward. By contrast, the horizontal tracing shows a concomitant leftward saccade. At outset, both tracings show a blink artifact.
by anatomical connections of the saccade system.
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Systemic sclerosis (scleroderma) is a multisystem connective tissue disease of unknown aetiology, characterised by progressive fibrosis of the skin and internal organs including the lungs and gastrointestinal tract. Pathological calcification of soft tissues (cutaneous calcinosis) is a common feature in the CREST syndrome of scleroderma (calcinosis, Raynaud’s phenomenon, oesophageal dysmotility, sclerodactyly, telangiectasia). In contrast, internal organ calcification is rare, and isolated cases of spinal calcinosis and calcific constrictive pericarditis have been reported.1 We report here the cases of two patients with systemic sclerosis whose CT examination disclosed extensive brain calcifications.

Case 1, a 48 year old man was referred to hospital because of paresthesia involving the wrists and ankles, Raynaud’s phenomenon, recent development of mixed dysphagia and dysphonia, and a recent increase in excessive sweating of the hands. The patient had noticed that during the previous months, he had a slowed mentation and a depressive mood. Physical examination disclosed a scleroderma-like aspect of the fingers. Routine haematological tests were normal. Antinuclear antibodies were positive at a 1:2000 dilution with nuclear fluorescence. Rheumatoid factors, antinuclear streptodornase DNA and antiphospholipid antibodies were negative. There was no cryoglobulinemia. Complement was normal. Lung function tests showed a restrictive syndrome (forced vital capacity 75% predicted). Chest radiography was normal, as were oesophageal manometry and cardiac ultrasonographic examination. A diagnosis of systemic sclerosis was made and the patient was given diltiazem (180 mg/day) and ketoprofen (150 mg/day).

Six months later the patient’s cognitive status had worsened. He complained of memory loss, poor concentration, and insomnia. On neurological examination he was anxious and very slow in answering questions. The Mini mental state examination score was 22/30. The patient was oriented to place, but not to time. Anterograde amnesia was noted. Agnosia, apraxia, and aphaasia were absent. There was no muscle weakness and muscle tone was normal. Sensory reflexes and plantar responses were both flexor. There was no sensory loss or impairment of cranial nerves. Systemic sclerosis signs were unchanged. Routine hematological tests were normal. Results of blood chemistry tests were also unremarkable (serum electrolytes, urea, creatinine, iron), including phosphorus and calcium metabolism (serum parathyroid hormone concentration, blood calcium and phosphorus, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, 1,25 dihydroxyvitamin D, calcium, and phosphorus).
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were noted. Telangiectasias were present on the face, hands, and palate. The patient complained of pyrosis. Oesophageal manometry showed abnormalities of oesophageal motility. Hand radiography disclosed soft tissue calcifications. Anticentromere antibodies were positive at a 1/1000 dilution. A CREST syndrome was diagnosed and the patient was given butalamid (600 mg/day) and prednisone (25 mg/day).

One year later she was admitted for the evaluation of recent transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs). During the previous week she had experienced three bouts of expressive aphasia and right hemiplegia, each lasting about 10 minutes. She never smoked and did not have diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidaemia. The neurological examination was normal. Routine blood chemical tests were normal (serum electrolytes, urea, creatinine) including phosphorus and calcium metabolism (serum parathyroid hormone concentration, blood calcium and phosphorus, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, calcium, and phosphaturia). Cranial CT showed bilateral calcifications of the basal ganglia, and faint calcifications of the dentate nuclei and rubral nucleus. Moderate cerebral atrophy and cerebellar atrophy was noted. Duplex carotid ultrasound and 24 hour ECG recording were normal. Echocardiography showed a normal left ventricle with an ejection fraction of 60%. There was mild calcification and a thickening of the mitral valve leaflets. Aspirin (250 mg daily) was given at hospital discharge. No further TIA occurred during a 5 year follow up and the patient’s clinical status has remained unchanged.

Systemic sclerosis is a multisystem disease predominantly affecting the skin, lungs, vascular system, and gastrointestinal tract. Neurological involvement occurs in a few patients (ranging from 0.8 to 18.5%) including cranial nerve abnormalities, peripheral neuropathy, CNS vasculitis, and autonomic peripheral neuropathy. To our knowledge, extensive cerebral calcifications have not yet been reported. Calcification of the brain is discovered in 0.8 to 1.2% of subjects undergoing routine CT examination, mainly in the globus pallidus. In most cases the deposits are small and involve older patients who remain asymptomatic, leading to the concept of “physiological” senescent basal ganglia calcifications. On the other hand, basal ganglia calcifications, often associated with dentate nuclei calcifications, have been reported in more than 30 conditions, including abnormalities of calcium phosphorus metabolism such as pseudohypoparathyroidism.

Systemic sclerosis leads to the formation of calcium deposits in the subcutaneous tissue. Rarely, the calcific process has been shown to involve the spine or pericardium. Recently, Heron et al described two cases of cerebral involvement in systemic sclerosis. In both cases necropsy showed extensive wall calcification of the small arteries and arterioles of the brain. Our two patients have scleroderma and extensive striopallidodentate calcifications and metabolic investigations failed to disclose any specific etiology in either case. We think that scleroderma should be added to the list of conditions described as occurring with basal ganglia calcification.

The pathogenesis of the formation of calcium deposits in systemic diseases remains poorly understood. However, pathological calcification can be subdivided into meta-static (occurring in undamaged tissues when extracellular calcium and phosphate concentrations are increased) and dystrophic (occurring in injured tissue when extracellular calcium and phosphate concentrations are normal) calcification. In our patients, as in the patients of Heron et al., the brain calcifying process may be related to primary cerebrovascular changes induced by systemic sclerosis.

Routine brain CT examination in systemic sclerosis could help to determine the true incidence of basal ganglia calcifications and their clinical relevance.
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All tibial foot: an electrophysiological artifact

Yamashita et al claim they have proved an “all tibial foot” for the motor innervation, an anomalous dual innervation of the tibialis anterior muscle by the deep peroneal and posterior tibial nerve, and a sensory conduction of the skin between the first and second toes by the tibial and deep peroneal nerve in a patient. To support their view they quote the letters of Linden and Berlit and of Glocker et al, ignoring our letter and that of Magistris and Truffer, both considering the conclusions of Linden and Berlit and Glocker et al to be wrong. I point out that the mentioned letter of Linden and Berlit and our response to it were published in the same issue.

We have recorded a compound muscle action potential (CMAP) with a negative initial deflection on tibial nerve stimulation in 83% of 50 subjects, using a surface electrode over the extensor digitorum brevis in the same subjects no potential was recorded by means of a concentric needle electrode inserted in the extensor digitorum brevis. In our view, this proves that the CMAP recorded by surface electrode over the extensor digitorum brevis on tibial nerve stimulation is a remote potential originated in the plantar muscles (volume conduct potential). Furthermore, we consider that the CMAP recorded over the tibialis anterior muscle by surface electrode on tibial nerve stimulation in the popliteal fossa, as reported by Yamashita et al, represents a volume conduction potential originating in the foot and toe flexors. The sensory nerve action potential recorded dorsally in the space between the first and the second toes on tibial nerve stimulation could also be a volume conducted potential originating in the first common planatar digital nerve, as the distance between this nerve and the recording electrode is short. Such volume conduction phenomena are known to occur on surface recordings from the median nerve at the wrist in severe carpal tunnel syndrome, when the forth finger is stimulated. It is unclear why Yamashita et al could not record a CMAP over the extensor digitorum brevis bilaterally on deep peroneal nerve stimulation in their young patient who did not have neuropathy. A probable explanation is a bilateral aplasia of the extensor digitorum brevis, comparable with the known aplasia of the thenar. The
appropriate examination would have been a needle EMG of the extensor digitorum brevis.
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BOOK REVIEWS


Professor Brian Lawlor is a consultant psychiatrist in Manchester. His book is a comprehensive guide to a wide range of assessment scales that are used in the assessment of the elderly. The book is well-organized and covers a wide range of topics, from the basics of assessment to more advanced techniques. The book is highly recommended for anyone involved in the assessment of the elderly.


The concept of chronic fatigue syndrome has been controversial since its inception. This book provides a comprehensive overview of the condition, including its history, diagnosis, and treatment. The authors are highly qualified and have contributed significantly to the field of chronic fatigue syndrome.
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disappointing and somewhat introspective that they do not think that subjects such as neurobiology, microbiology, and immunology might justify equally expert contributors. Is it an easy read? There is no easy way to write on a subject such as this, bedevilled by lack of objective facts and the writers who have chosen a discursive, debating style which when not tightly controlled can slip towards verbosity. Thus, however, is not a standard medical text book and it would be unfair to make direct comparisons.

Is this a useful book? As a source of references, yes. As a guide to clinicians I am less convinced. The two commonest questions particularly mentioned: "How long does the illness last?" and "What are my chances of recovery?". Cognitive behavioural therapy is concisely and usefully summarised. There is a single page on complementary treatment, which again is often an area of considerable interest to patients notwithstanding the lack of controlled evidence for or against it.

On that note it is perhaps appropriate to quote one very intelligent patient with chronic fatigue syndrome I saw who became ill during his PhD. "I've done a lot of reading and internet searching about the causes and possible cures of this, before I came to see you" he said "It seems to me that most people have a bit of an insight into how they commonly see after glandular fever and nobody seems to think that it odd that after glandular fever you can feel unwell for quite a long time" he continued "If most people get better from this" (and many do) and if you try all sorts of other treatments like homoeopathy, acupuncture, meditation then the one you think cured you". He had of course discovered the maxim of entertaining the one you think cured you. "I've read on and on and on" he continued "If most people get better from this" (and many do) and if you try all sorts of other treatments like homoeopathy, acupuncture, meditation and handy compendium of current research does the illness last?" and "What are my chances of recovery?". Moreover, the first chapter (by Lars Edvinsson and the last chapter (by Peter Godby) are very pretty syntheses of the field. What about clinicians? Some of it is heavy going for people who are not basic scientists. But I got through it all in about 6 hours, and found I knew more about migraine coming out than I did going in—which makes reading it a very worthwhile exercise.

JOHN EDMEADS


New information about how and why migraine happens continues to break on us in a dizzying succession of waves coming from various journals in different disciplines. We need an accessible, understandable, and unitary vehicle to collect, organise, and present this information. Journals, the Internet, and the abstracting services have their place, but for this purpose nothing beats the book. How well does Lars Edvinsson’s Migraine and Headache Pathophysiology meet this need?

This book has several attractive features. Recognising that some of the world’s best science is now being done in the laboratories of industry, it has enlisted as authors several leading researchers from the major pharmaceutical manufacturing companies, in addition to the “usual suspects” from academia. Not only has it introduced some exciting writers to the “review book” audience, but it has provided a particular insight into the science of determining why drugs work, or don’t work, in migraine. This book is up to date, containing many 1998 references. Another strong point of the book is its comprehensiveness; though only 184 pages long, it covers every major aspect of the pathophysiology of migraine. There are chapters on cranial blood vessels, receptor physiology, neurotransmitters, 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor subtypes, cortical spreading depression, neurogenic inflammation, arteriovenous shunts, cerebral haemodynamics, and animal modelling. This is achieved at the expense of some pretty terse prose at times, which can make it difficult for the non-expert to follow. It should be noted that, the title notwithstanding, this book deals almost exclusively with the pathophysiology of migraine, and the reader who buys it to get some insight into the mechanisms of tension-type headaches, or other kinds of headache, is going to be disappointed.

Who should read this book? Certainly the migraine researcher should. Though much of it will be familiar to those who have kept up with the literature, it is nevertheless an attractive and handy compendium of current research information. Moreover, the first chapter (by Lars Edvinsson) and the last chapter (by Peter Godby) are very pretty syntheses of the field.

There is something about the anachronistic binding of the Handbook of Clinical Neurology series that is rather reassuring. Surely if classic phenomenological neurology is to be found anywhere, it will be between these fake leather embossed covers. This volume, the second of three on the neurology of systemic diseases, does not disappoint. Here, in 450 pages of close type and few illustrations, are covered the neurology of orthopaedic, endocrine, gastrointestinal, and metabolic disorders. Goetz and Aminoff, the volume editors, have assembled an authoritative panel of authors that equitably straddle the Atlantic. There are detailed reviews of familiar territory such as diabetes, orthopaedic trauma, thyroid diseases, and porphyria. Cole’s historical survey of B12 deficiency is particularly fine. In addition there are excellent chapters on more arcane topics for instance the neuro-ophthalmology of pancreatic transplantation and intestinal pseudo-obstruction. Perhaps the movement disorders associated with coeliac disease could have been mentioned and a chapter on the neurology of inflammatory bowel disorders is certainly lacking. But these are trifling complaints against a text that, with its twin volumes, is significantly more comprehensive than any other account of the neurology of systemic diseases. It is hard to imagine a practicing neurologist requiring (or easily affording) a personal copy of all three volumes, but the local medical library should certainly buy them; both neurologists and general practitioners will work better for having them close to hand.

ALASTAIR COLES


Advances and Technical Standards In Neurosurgery is sponsored by the European Association of Neurosurgical Societies. The intention is to publish reviews of topics in which recent advances have been made, and to invite acknowledged experts to present in depth accounts of established knowledge in various fields of neurosurgery.

The advances under review in this volume are the contribution of the septal region to memory, the in vivo metabolic investigation of cerebral gliomas with PET, and the use of image guidance in neurosurgery. In the technical standards section, Professors Vinken and Yasargil discuss the endovascular treatment of arteriovenous malformations, Dr. Guglielmi reports on the interventional neuroradiological treatment of intracranial aneurysms, and Dr. Stussmann and colleagues describe the management of benign intracranial hypertension.

This book is aimed primarily at young neurosurgeons, but is an excellent source of reference for those who are already trained. Dr. Stussmann and colleagues state that in fact it is in its 24th volume is a testament to its success in achieving this objective.

ROBERT MACFARLANE


It seems that there is a new specialty in North American neurology, hospitalist neurology. The drive to promote managed health care has apparently resulted in hospitals “filled to overflowing with more acutely ill patients requiring a pace of evaluation unprecedented anywhere in the world”. Even the hospitalist neurologist. Unencumbered by the demands of outpatient neurology, he or she stumbles through the wards of the general hospital “faced with a dizzying array of neurologic problems”. Most British neurologists have a ward referral practice and will not be impressed by its elevation to the status of a specialty and still less by the agrammatical title Martin Samuels has chosen for it. Which is a shame, because this book deserves a wide readership. One in the Butterworth Heinemann series of Blue Books of Practical Neurology, it is attractively produced and reasonably well illustrated. Its place on your bookshelf is earned by collating the neurological aspects of diverse medical specialties: to name a few, organ transplantation, orthopaedics, oncology, and urology. A quick glance here before a ward referral might well be rewarding. However, the chapters on more conventional neurological topics, such as neuro-ophthalmology, stroke, and seizures are probably briefer than most neurologists would require. So, for those made dizzy by the delirious patient after bypass, the encephalopathic patient on the ward, or the weak and wasted on intensive care units, this is for you. And remember: you are a hospitalist neurologist.

ALASTAIR COLES

This is one of a new type of medical textbook written to meet the needs of an increasingly informed patient population. Aimed very much at those with multiple sclerosis, their families, and care-workers, it is simple and clearly written with jargon and technical terms kept to a minimum but without patronising. Chronic diseases, and especially multiple sclerosis, are not always well managed by the physician. Too many of us think that there is no cure and feel helpless in a busy clinic faced with the patient with a long list of complaints. There are too few specialty multiple sclerosis clinics in which neurologists, pain specialists, urologists, physiotherapists etc liaise.

Patients often feel left in the dark, unaware which of their symptoms can be attributed to their multiple sclerosis and whether it is “worth bothering a busy doctor”. Many can cite bad experiences in their past when they have been fobbed off with well meaning reassurance but without practical help. Dysesthesia, sexual problems, and urinary incontinence are only a few of the symptoms that can bring misery to the lives of patients and their families and which are poorly addressed by doctors. This book, in a language accessible to most (and with a glossary to explain some unavoidable jargon), explains multiple sclerosis, its symptoms, and what might realistically be obtained in terms of symptom control. All aspects are covered and nothing considered too trivial; constipation or cold feet might be extremely trying for an individual patient and each is considered.

The old idea that is doesn’t help a patient to know too much about his disease (“it will only make him introspective and hypochondrial”) is outdated. Multiple sclerosis can hit anyone and patients now want, and deserve, to be informed. While doctors find it challenging to be faced with a patient equipped with the latest information downloaded from the internet or well informed having read a book such as this, this is a challenge to which we must be ready to rise. This textbook provides the information patients want and fills the gap left by busy doctors. It should be marketed appropriately and we must be ready to respond to the reaction of patients. Perhaps someone with multiple sclerosis should have been invited to write this review.

GILLIAN HALL

CORRECTION


During printing, the figure in this paper (p 164) was made darker than the original. The correct version appears below.

(A) T1 weighted MRI of the lesion. (B) Anatomical scheme of the centre of the lesion, corresponding to the leftmost image of the bottom row of the MRI. The right side of the figures corresponds to the left side of the brain. GP=globus pallidus; Cd=caudate nucleus; Acb=nucleus accumbens; CI=capsula interna; DB=diagonal band.

GILLIAN HALL
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