LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Magnetic resonance imaging and vertebral artery dissection

Since the advent of advanced radiological modalities such as MRI and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), dissections of cervical arteries are increasingly recognised as a common cause of stroke in young adults. Auer et al recently advocated MRA as the initial diagnostic tool for vertebral artery dissection. Conventional angiography might be avoided altogether in subjects with a suspicious history and MRA images suggestive of a dissection (double lumen or mural haematoma). The sensitivity of MRA for the diagnosis of vertebral artery dissection was only 20% in one study, but the specificity was considerably better in the hands of Auer et al, but in this study the specificity (true negative rate in subjects free of disease) was not considered because all patients had vertebral artery dissection. The following case report illustrates that care must be taken to avoid false positive results when using MRA for the diagnosis of vertebral artery dissection.

A 47 year old male pilot suddenly experienced clumsiness and slight loss of strength in the right arm and leg during a long distance flight, while he stooped forward. During the following hours, he developed a global headache without irradiation to the neck, but the other symptoms gradually diminished. Prior history was unremarkable, except for a 3 hour period of horizontal diplopia which suddenly developed 3 months earlier. He had never smoked. Family history was negative for cardiovascular disorders. The patient later confessed that he had recently picked up the habit of gargling his throat with toothpaste twice a day, always with his neck in extreme retroflexion.

General physical examination (8 hours after onset of symptoms) was normal. Neurological examination showed minimal paresis and impaired dexterity of the right hand, mild circumscription of the right leg, and an insecure tandem gait. An MRI (including T1 weighted spin echo images with and without fat suppression, and proton density and T2 weighted fast spin echo sequences, performed on a 1.5 Tesla whole body MRI system) performed several hours later visualised both a fresh and an old right sided cerebellar infarct (figure A). In addition, MRI showed an irregular right vertebral artery in which a patent lumen was partially surrounded by a semilunar area of high signal intensity on T1 and T2 weighted images. On fat suppressed images, this area’s high signal intensity persisted, excluding the possibility that it originated from perivascular fat. This image was suggestive of mural haemotoma due to vertebral dissection (figure B). Because we were reluctant to base any treatment decisions (anticoagulants) merely on MRI findings, digital subtraction angiography was performed on the day of admission. This examination was normal (figure C). Shortly after this procedure, the patient developed vertigo and nystagmus which disappeared after 3 hours. Because we were puzzled by the discrepant findings on conventional angiography and MRI, we performed an MRA 4 days later. At this examination, the semilunar area of high signal intensity was found again (figure D), despite saturation of craniofugal and craniopetal flow respectively, which was applied to exclude the possibility that the high signal originated from flow in the periarterial venous plexus. Therefore, this examination was again suggestive of right vertebral artery dissection. An extensive search for other causes of stroke showed no abnormalities. Hence, due to the continuing discrepancy between conventional angiography and MRA, and due to the absence of any other cause of stroke, no certain diagnosis could be established.

In this patient, a diagnosis of right vertebral artery dissection was initially made given the clinical course with repeated episodes of ischaemia restricted to the vertebrobasilar system, as well as the suggestive MRI findings. We speculated that habitual gargling was a potential underlying cause, as neck retroflexion can cause cervical dissections. However, we had to reject this diagnosis in view of the normal conventional angiography, which remains the gold standard for diagnosing cervical artery dissection. In one series, conventional angiography was never falsely negative in patients with clinical signs or symptoms of vertebral artery dissection. The possibility that conventional angiography had nevertheless yielded a false negative result seems highly unlikely. In dissected arteries, MRI/MRA can detect intimal flaps, mural haematomas, or aneurysmal dilatations that are sometimes missed by conventional angiography, but even in such patients, conventional angiography is never completely normal in the acute stage. Follow up examinations of patients with proven vertebral artery dissection indicate that the appearance of a dissected artery on conventional angiography can normalise in a substantial proportion of patients, but always after an interval of at least 1 to (usually) several weeks. Conventional angiography in our patient was performed on the day of admim-
sion, directly after the “abnormal” MRI and four days prior to the “abnormal” MRA, hence spontaneous resolution of the dissection is very unlikely. Therefore, we consider our MRI/MRA examinations falsely positive, and we hypothesise that the area of segmental high signal intensity originated from a perivascular venous plexus, in which we were unable to saturate inflow of blood completely, presumably due to extremely slow flow. Our “pilot study” illustrates the specificity problems of MRI/MRA for the diagnosis of vertebral artery dissection. Two anatomical structures surrounding vertebral arteries contribute to these problems. The first structure is the venous plexus that surrounds vertebral arteries. This structure may have a semilunar appearance, and slow flow in its lumen may give rise to high signal intensity on both MRI and MRA, creating an image suggestive of dissection. It has been suggested that saturation slabs in conjunction with MRA completely suppress flow related high signal, thus distinguishing it from high signal from an intramural haematoma which cannot be suppressed by saturation slabs. The present case report illustrates that flow in this plexus cannot always be suppressed. The second tissue that may falsely present as a dissection is fat that directly surrounds vertebral arteries. This fat also gives rise to high signal intensity due to fat suppression techniques it can be readily differentiated from intramural haematoma. Furthermore, the usual diameter asymmetry of vertebral arteries, turbulence and magnetic susceptibility near sharp vessel turns can also cause false positive MRA results. In some patients, MRI cannot distinguish between intracranial and extracranial haematoma, leading to false conclusions. Decisions based on false positive MRI/MRA results can be hazardous due to the sometimes severe side effects of anticoagulants, the treatment that is recommended by some to prevent further ischaemic events. Another danger of a false positive diagnosis of vertebral dissection is that it may preclude the search for other causes of stroke that could be amenable to secondary prevention.

MRI/MRA remains important because it helps visualise ischaemic lesions and, in some patients, provides complementary morphological information to cerebral angiography. Furthermore, it is a non-invasive procedure, an important advantage over cerebral angiography which carries a morbidity and mortality risk. Our patient, who developed transient neurological deficits shortly after angiography, underscores this. Therefore, MRA can play a part in the diagnosis of vertebral artery dissection, provided that the pitfalls mentioned above are recognised to avoid false positive results. In case of doubt, cerebral angiography remains the gold standard for vertebral artery dissection.
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Catatonia due to central pontine and extrapontine myelinolysis: case report

Central pontine and extrapontine myelinolysis (CPEM) are recognised complications of hypoaemia and its overly rapid correction. CPEM usually presents with spastic tetraparesis and pseudobulbar palsy. We describe a patient with CPEM in whom behavioural manifestations overshadowed corticospinal tract signs.

A 64 year old Chinese speaking woman with a history of episodic psychogenic depression that had never required admission to hospital was admitted to a hospital because of vomitting and diarrhoea. Her general and neurological examination were normal. On admission she had a sodium concentration of 105 meq/l. An infusion of 3% saline at a rate of 150 ml/hour was given during 6 hours. Ten hours later her sodium was 134 meq/l and she was mute and tetraparetic. She seemed catatonic with motor perseveration. Transfer to our hospital was requested.

On admission her vital signs were normal. She was mute without any spontaneous volitional movements except for visual pursuit. She was tetraparetic and hypertreflexic with increased tone and bilateral Babinski's signs. CPEM was suspected. Admission MRI, EEG, and spinal fluid examination were normal. Over the next 2 days the reflexes normalised and the Babinski's signs disappeared but she continued to have high diffuse weakness. She had waxy flexibility and assumed bizarre non-physiological postures consistent with catatonia. Psychogenic unresponsiveness was suspected and she was started on risperidone and sertraline. There was no benefit. Electroconvulsive therapy was proposed by a psychiatry consultant but was refused by the patient's family. The clinical picture was dominated by an akinetic mutism with marked catatonia. Catatonia due to CPEM was considered. A repeat MRI 12 days after the onset of symptoms showed high intensity areas in the pons, caudate, and putamen consistent with CPEM (figure A, B). Physical and occupational therapy were instituted and she gradually recovered over the next 2 weeks. She was transferred to a rehabilitation hospital where she recovered completely and returned to live independently. She has been followed up at the neurology clinic and has not shown any residual deficits.

CPEM usually presents with tetraparesis and pseudobulbar palsy. Unusual clinical presentations include extrapyramidal syndromes, ataxia, and neurobehavioural syndromes. Although psychiatric manifestations of CPEM have been recognised they usually manifest as an agitated delirium, or a pseudobulbar state with pathological laughing and crying. When present, neuropsychiatric symptoms are usually overshadowed by florid signs of brainstorm and pyramidal tract dysfunction. Behavioural changes such as inappropriate affect, emotional lability, personality changes, paranoia, poor judgement, emotional incontinence, and disinhibition have been reported. Price and Mesulam described a case of pontine myelinolysis in which transient pyramidal signs were followed by confusion, restless behaviour, pressured tangential speech, and disinhibition. Our patient also had transient long tract signs but they were followed by a catatonic state. The extensive extrapontine myelinolysis present in our patient may explain the behavioural symptoms we encountered.

CPEM may present with unusual behavioural symptoms. At the onset of neurological deterioration MRI may be normal but subsequent imaging studies usually disclose the lesions. CPEM presenting with neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients with normal initial imaging studies might suggest a psychogenic aetiology. Corticospinal tract signs may be temporary. A strong index of suspicion for CPEM is required when patients with recent
hypersomnia present with behavioural changes. Akinetic mutism and catatonia may be the dominant clinical features in CPEM.

JULIO CHALELA
Jorge Kattah
Department of Neurology, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington DC, USA
Correspondence to: Dr Julio Chalela, 4000 Presidential Boulevard, Apartment 213, Philadelphia, PA 19131, USA. Telephone 001 215 878 3311; email jchalela@erols.com


Association between butyrylcholinesterase K variant and the Alzheimer type neuropathological changes in apolipoprotein E ε4 carriers older than 75 years

Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) ε4 has a strong influence on the development of sporadic Alzheimer’s disease in many ethnic populations. However, ApoE ε4 is neither necessary nor sufficient for the development of Alzheimer’s disease, suggesting that other genes increase the risk of Alzheimer’s disease. One such new candidate is the butyrylcholinesterase (BCHE) gene. BCHE is associated with senile plaques (SPs) and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs). Lehmann al reported that the K variant of BCHE (BCHE-K) was associated with the development of Alzheimer’s disease, especially in ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years. A possible mechanism as to how BCHE-K is related to Alzheimer’s disease under the influence of ApoE ε4 is the acceleration of Alzheimer type neuropathological changes. If BCHE-K has an effect on the development of Alzheimer’s disease in ApoE ε4 carriers, the formation of Alzheimer type neuropathological changes may be accelerated by BCHE-K in the ApoE ε4 carriers. We have examined genotypes of BCHE and ApoE, and densities of the senile plaques (SPs), with dystrophic neurites (NPs), and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) in the brains from 51 patients with Alzheimer’s disease and 90 non-demented subjects from a postmortem series of Japanese. Clinical and postmortem diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease was carried out as described previously.1 The densities of Alzheimer type neuropathological changes were quantified by averaging the counts of those in the hippocampus and superior temporal gyrus. Genotypes of BCHE and ApoE in all patients were determined as described elsewhere.1 Genotypic and allelic distributions of BCHE were analysed by χ2 test. The densities of the SPs, NPs, and NFTs, and ages at onset and durations of illness were compared among BCHE genotypes with the Kruskal-Wallis test or Mann-Whitney U test in total subjects, those with Alzheimer’s disease, and non-demented subjects. We also examined these relations in the subgroups divided by the ApoE ε4 status or the age of 75 years. Statistical significance was defined as two-tailed probabilities of <0.05.

There were no significant differences in the frequency of BCHE-K genotypes or alleles between patients with Alzheimer’s disease (0.16 in allele frequency) and non-demented subjects (0.18), and in the total subjects, ApoE ε4 carriers or non-ApoE ε4 carriers, although a strong association of ApoE ε4 alleles with Alzheimer’s disease was found in this population (p=0.004). Genetic association of BCHE-K genotypes with sporadic Alzheimer’s disease was non-significant in all subjects older than 75 years, the ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years, and non-ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years. There was no genetic association of BCHE-K with the densities of the SPs, NPs, or NFTs in the hippocampus and superior temporal gyrus in the total subjects, in the Alzheimer’s disease or non-demented groups, or with ages at onset or duration of illness in Alzheimer’s disease. However, when we divided total subjects into two subgroups with different ApoE ε4 status, there was significant association between BCHE-K and the density of the SPs and NPs in the superior temporal gyrus (STG) in the ApoE ε4 carriers (SPs, p=0.04; NPs, p=0.03; data not shown). Further, we analysed the correlation between BCHE-K and the densities of the SPs, NPs, and NFTs in the hippocampus and superior temporal gyrus in the ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years and non-ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years. There was no significant association of BCHE-K with the densities of the SPs, NPs, or NFTs in the hippocampus and superior temporal gyrus in the ApoE ε4 carriers. K carriers had a significant positive association with BCHE-K in the ApoE ε4 carriers older than 75 years. However, a decrease of the severity of Alzheimer type neuropathological changes with BCHE-K in our study was not expected because Lehmann et al showed increases in frequency of BCHE-K allele in Alzheimer’s disease.1 Singleton et al also reported that BCHE-K was not associated with the densities of SPs and NFTs, even in the ApoE ε4 carriers.1 In addition, BCHE-K was not related to the development of Alzheimer’s disease in the ApoE ε4 carriers in our study. Rust et al and Singleton et al also showed a lack of association between BCHE-K and the development of Alzheimer’s disease. However, Hiltunen et al showed that BCHE-K had a protective effect on the development of Alzheimer’s disease in ApoE ε4 carriers younger than 75 years.3 The effects of BCHE-K on the Alzheimer type neuropathological changes or development of Alzheimer’s disease are different among studies, suggesting that the significant genetic association in the studies by Lehmann et al,1 Hiltunen et al, and ourselves might be a link by disequilibrium with relevant variability in BCHE or other adjacent gene on chromosome 1, and that BCHE-K does not play a direct part in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCHE genotype</th>
<th>ApoE ε4 carriers over 75 years (n=28)</th>
<th>non-ApoE ε4 carriers over 75 years (n=95)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K/K (n=8)</td>
<td>K/N (n=20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPs</td>
<td>3.0 (0.0, 17.2)</td>
<td>12.2 (4.5, 28.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPs</td>
<td>0.7 (0.0, 11.9)</td>
<td>11.0 (5.9, 25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFTs</td>
<td>1.1 (0.4, 23.1)</td>
<td>17.4 (2.5, 59.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPs</td>
<td>0.2 (0.0, 22.8)</td>
<td>49.7 (12.1, 83.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPs</td>
<td>0.2 (0.0, 8.8)</td>
<td>10.7 (3.6, 19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFTs</td>
<td>0.0 (0.0, 0.2)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.4, 4.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are medians (25th percentile, 75th percentile). The density represents the average counts in 2.56 mm² for the SPs and NPs, and in 0.64 mm² for the NFTs. BCHE = butyrylcholinesterase gene; ApoE = apolipoprotein E; K = the K variant allele of butyrylcholinesterase gene; N = the normal allele of butyrylcholinesterase gene; SPs = senile plaques; NPs = senile plaques with dystrophic neurites; NFTs = neurofibrillary tangles.
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The patient was a 71 year old, retired physician with a 3 to 4 year history of memory impairment. Neuropsychological evaluation disclosed a high average to superior general intellectual functioning, with mild impairment in naming to confrontation and episodic memory for visual memory. His visuospatial ability remained relatively unimpaired and was rated as average for his age. His comprehension for verbal and written instructions was intact. At the present time he is still well oriented to time and place, and is somewhat independent in activities of daily living. He is, remarkably, not depressed, but does, repeatedly, raise concern regarding when he will have to go to his wife." Moreover, hypoprophos- 

erin in the frontaltemporal lobes bilater- 

ally was seen on SPECT investigation and no 

evidence of pathognomonic laboratory re-

sults were found. Taken together, the presence of episodic memory and naming impairments and functional imaging findings was thought to be consistent with the early stages of dementia of the Alzheimer's type (DAT) in keeping with National Institute of Neurologi-

cal and Communicative Disorders Association-Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria.° The patient was consequently referred to our department for "prospective memory book training" as he follow up assessments to index progression of disease.

During our sessions his wife had stated that the patient could no longer "act." He complained that her once "flamboyant and unblushing" husband could no longer "put any feeling into his lines" when they read play scripts together. She thought that he had "lost his enthusiasm to act" consequent to his new found memory loss and an "understand- 

able depressive reaction.°° It became clear, 

however, that the patient was remarkably not depressed and that he maintained normal prosodic speech during conversation. When asked to use prosody to command when reading script, however, this once gallant actor spoke without melody, loudness, stress, nor accent, with inappropriate pauses. In order to quantify this patient's peculiar deficit, the patient was required to read and repeat words and sentences to prosodic command and imitation. Observation revealed five single words and sentences as the patient often and spontaneously uttered with normal prosodic quality, such as "Honey, PLEASE(°)°. These 10 items were used to assess the patient's ability to produce prosody to command and imitation (table). For example, the patient was told to read the words "Honey PLEASE!!" with loudness, stress, accentuation of the word "please," and as if he really meant it. If he failed (the words were read without the acoustical features expected), the patient was asked to imitate the experimenter's reading of the word(s) or sen-
tence which incorporated the appropriate prosodic elements only after he was asked to describe the affective prosodic quality of the phrase to ensure good comprehension. Five age matched normal healthy controls volunteered to read the items found in the table, and in each case, read spontaneously the word or phrase with appropriate and expected prosody.

The patient was unable to read any words or sentences with normal (appropriate and expected) prosody. Indeed, the patient had lost his ability to "act." The patient's use of prosody did, however, improve dramatically with imitation. That is, he was able to repeat eight of the 10 items in the table with appropriate and expected prosody. Interestingly, the single item that he continued to have trouble producing was item three ("You know... there was a time when... "). This item was particularly hard for the patient to read with appropriate prosody, but failed to produce prosodic speech to command, dysfunction of the praxis production system is implied rather than the conceptual system.°°° Thus, a nomothetic definition of prosodic apraxia can be defined as an inability to produce prosody to command during speech. The precise underlying mechanism(s) responsible for producing this deficit is unknown, although Heilman et al°°° and Tucker et al°°° have hypothesised that the right hemisphere is indeed dominant for organising the affective-prosodic components of language and gestural behaviour and that the functional definition was suggested to the patient's spontaneous prosody was unaffected whereas spontaneous speech is affected by a transcortical motor aphasia. Hence, we might place the critical lesion for prosodic apraxia in the right dorsolateral frontal lobe, extending into the deep frontal lobe.
Vocal cord abductor paralysis in spinocerebellar ataxia type I

Vocal cord abductor paralysis (VCAP) is considered a sign of a poor prognosis in neurodegenerative diseases, because severe laryngeal dysfunction by VCAP may result in acute airway obstruction and require emergency tracheotomy.1 Although VCAP is a cardinal feature in multiple system atrophy (MSA), it has not been reported in several types of spinocerebellar ataxia with dominant inheritance. We evaluated the movements of the vocal cords of seven patients with SCA1 by laryngofibroscopy.

Seven unrelated patients with SCA1 who had uncontrolled CAG repeat of ataxin-1 were investigated. There were two men and five women ranging in age from 27 to 67 years old (mean 44.5 years). Spouses and other family members, in addition to the patients, were questioned about events of stridor, dysphagia, and difficulty on inspiration and stridor. We therefore think that laryngofibroscopy should be performed in SCA1 patients with dysphagia as well as stridor. The clinical features, including the vocal cord findings, are summarized in the table. VCAP was present in five of the seven patients with SCA1. Although it is difficult to know when the VCAP first became manifest in each patient, patient 1 showed VCAP confirmed by laryngofibroscopy only 2 years after the onset of gait disturbance.

All five patients with VCAP showed mild dysphagia requiring no tube feeding, and four patients had a history of stridor at night. Patient 1 showed VCAP accompanying dysphagia without stridor at night even in an early stage of the disease. The VCAP was found to be severe on laryngofibroscopy in all three patients with breathing difficulty on inspiration. Patient 5, who had the severest VCAP, developed stridor during wakefulness as well. In patients 4 and 5, the breathing difficulty on inspiration was improved by tracheostomy. The respiratory flow volume loop study did not detect abnormality in patient 2.

The VCAP repeat length tended to be higher in the patients with VCAP than in the patients without VCAP (p=0.05), but the duration of illness was not significantly correlated with the presence of VCAP (p=0.43).

This is the first report that VCAP is often found in patients with SCA1. As VCAP may not usually be a late feature in patients with SCA1, evaluation of VCAP is necessary even in early stages of the disease. It is not surprising to find VCAP in patients with stridor, because stridor is usually caused by airway obstruction of the larynx. However, VCAP was detected by laryngofibroscopy in a patient without stridor who had dysphagia. Furthermore, all patients with VCAP exhibited dysphagia. We therefore think that laryngofibroscopy should be performed in SCA1 patients with dysphagia as well as stridor.

The mechanism of VCAP may be divided into some types, the paralytic type, the non-paralytic type, and these two combined type.1 The first is possibly caused by loss of neurons in the nucleus ambiguus.2 The second is considered to be due to over-activity of the intrinsic laryngeal muscles.3 Stridor due to paralysis has been found to be more prominent in sleep than during wakefulness; whereas stridor by non-paralytic dysfunction has been found both during the daytime and during sleep.4 We suspect that the VCAP in patients with SCA1 may be dominantly paralytic, because the nucleus ambiguus is sometimes pathologically involved in SCA1 and because stridor in our patients with SCA1 was more marked in sleep.

Our laryngofibroscopic findings suggested that severe VCAP caused breathing difficulty on inspiration in the patients with SCA1 by obstructing the airway. Moreover, the stridor during wakefulness as well as sleep indicated it to be very serious. The important question concerns when tracheostomy should be carried out after the diagnosis of VCAP to prevent respiratory abnormalities leading to sudden death. Although we consider tracheostomy at the stage when breathing difficulty on inspiration or stridor during wakefulness is noted, it awaits further study with a large number of patients to decide which stage is best for tracheostomy.

Furthermore, we now consider endoscopic cord lateralisation as another possible management for VCAP.
Lateral gaze synkinesis on downward saccade attempts with paramedian thalamic and midbrain infarct

The symptoms of paramedian thalamic and midbrain infarct include ocular motor disturbances mainly in the vertical plane. We here describe a patient with the additional feature of an unusual horizontal eye movement synkinesis.

A 60 year old overweight man, with diabetes and mild hypertension, suddenly fell into a coma that lasted for 4 hours and was followed by slight right hemiparesis, recent memory impairment, hypersomnia, and vertical gaze impairment.

On admission to our centre, about 10 days after symptom onset, the patient still presented fluctuating drowsiness from which he could be easily aroused, normal cognitive functions with mild attention disturbance, slight right facial weakness, and mild incoordination at the finger-to-nose test with his right arm.

The most important findings involved ocular motor function. Both pupils were normal in diameter and reacted normally both to light and to convergence. The cover test did not disclose any eye misalignment. During attempted fixation, the patient showed saccade oscillations (usually square and macrosquare wave jerks)—that is, back to back involuntary horizontal saccades with an amplitude ranging from about 2 to about 10 degrees and with an intersaccadic interval of about 200 ms, that brought the eyes away from and back to the fixation point, at an approximate rate of three every 2 seconds.

Clinical examination of eye movements in the horizontal plane and visually guided reflexive saccades recorded by the infrared reflection technique were both normal, whereas the amplitude range of vertical saccade and smooth pursuit eye movements covered only a few degrees of upward gaze. Vertical amplitude range was slightly greater for the vestibulo-ocular reflex in the pitch (yes-yes) plane. Moreover, when the patient attempted to make a downward saccade, he showed a gaze deviation to the left (figure). This synkinesis was more evident when the examiner lifted the patient’s lids, thus preventing lid synkinesis during downgaze. Attempted upward saccades did not produce any horizontal gaze deviation. Finally, the patient showed normal Bell’s phenomenon.

An EEG showed frontal, bilateral theta and theta/delta activity and sporadic phenomena.

The triggering of a saccade requires not only the activation of the excitatory burst neurons (EBNs), but also the deactivation of the omnipause neurons (OPNs), which provide tonic inhibition of both horizontal and vertical EBNs.

Accordingly, any attempt to activate a lesioned riMLF should be associated with maximal OPN inhibition. However, OPNs discharge for saccade in any direction and are not strictly direction selective, as shown by horizontal oscillations during vertical saccades detectable in normal subjects. These oscillations suggest that during vertical saccades the inhibition of OPNs disinhibits both vertical and, to a lesser extent, horizontal EBNs.

In our patient, the horizontal gaze deviation was always directed to the left rather than in both directions as during oscillations. Many ocular motor structures, including those located in the midbrain, trigger a purely vertical (downward) saccade only when stimulated bilaterally, so as to nullify horizontal components with different direction depending on the stimulation side. This probably occurs for the riMLF too, as it shows ipsilateral projections to the abducens nucleus. In our patient, the projections to the left nucleus were probably spared by the fact that the lesion predominantly affected the right side.

Overall, our patient’s horizontal ocular motor synkinesis is unusual, and probably derives from a strong inhibition of OPNs, which in turn frees the horizontal EBNs, and from an unbalanced activation of the left abducens neurons via riMLF projections spared from the lesion, although it is not possible to exclude the possibility that the unbalanced activation of abducens neurons originated from frontal or parietal cortical areas or from the superior colliculus rather than from riMLF projections.

This hypothesis is strengthened by the reinforcement of the leftward eye deviation when the examiner kept the patient’s lids lifted. Since this manoeuvre prevents lid synkinesis, it results in what resembles an attempted forced lid closure which, on the basis of blink induced eye oscillations, is likely to be an additional stimulus for OPN inhibition. Moreover, although they occur in various conditions, saccade oscillations during fixation are in keeping with a reduction of OPN inhibition level.

In conclusion, our patient presented an ocular motor synkinesis that should be listed among those occurring in thalamomesencephalic infarcts. This sign is unusual and it is likely to be overlooked, but it is fully explicable both by neurophysiology and...

(A) and (B) show a thalamomesencephalic ischaemic lesion, hyperintense in T2 weighted scans (SE, TR=2300 ms; TE=25 ms). The lesion involves the anteromedial portion of both thalami, but the right one to a larger extent. In the midbrain, the lesion is located around the Sylvian aqueduct, and symmetrically, but prevalently right sided, and involves the area that is located posteromedially with respect to both red nuclei. (C) Recording of the horizontal (upper tracing) and of the vertical (bottom tracing) movements recorded respectively from the left and right eye with the infrared reflection technique (Skalar, IRIS system) during an attempted downward saccade. The vertical tracing is flat, as the patient was unable to move his eyes downward. By contrast, the horizontal tracing shows a concomitant leftward saccade. At onset, both tracings show a blink artifact.
by anatomical connections of the saccade system.
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Botulinum toxin is a useful treatment in excessive drooling of saliva

Excessive drooling of saliva or hypersialorhoea is a common problem in neurodegenerative disorders such as motor neuron disease or Parkinson’s disease. It is usually caused by swallowing dysfunction and can facilitate choking, aspiration, and chest infections. Socially it is embarrassing and disabling. There are many treatment options.Anticholinergic drugs are sometimes tried but are usually of little benefit and side effects (orthostatic hypotension, dizziness, and mental confusion, particularly in the elderly) limit their usefulness.

Occasionally, as a more drastic treatment irradiation of the parotid gland is carried out when hypersialorhoea becomes intractable. Apart from its established usefulness in dysphagia caused by strabismus there are some data showing that botulinum toxin injections are effective in autonomic disorders—for example, gustatory sweating and hyperhidrosis of the palm.1 It has been hypothesised that botulinum toxin may help in sialorrhoea.2 In a historical note Erbghult recently pointed out the potential use of botulinum toxin for hypersialorrhoea, quoting a paper by the German physician and poet Justus Keul, written in 1617.3

Botulinum toxin inhibits acetylcholine release in nerve terminals mainly at the neuromuscular junction, but also in sympathetic and parasympathetic ganglion cells and in postganglionic parasympathetic nerves, by blocking SNAP-25, a protein involved in the fusion of acetylcholine containing vesicles with the plasma membrane.4

Against this background we evaluated the usefulness of botulinum toxin injections into the parotid gland in four patients with excessive drooling of saliva with their consent. One patient had young onset secondary generalised dystonia with severe mouth opening spasms, one had advanced Parkinson’s disease, the third patient had progressive supranuclear palsy, and the fourth patient had motor neuron disease. Drooling in these patients was so severe that they had to wear a bib or carry a towel around their neck. With one exception 20 units of Dysport (Ipsen) were injected superficially subcutaneously above the angle of the mandible at the posterior margin of the masseter muscle, avoiding the bulk of the muscle. The shorter version (50%) of the 25 gauge needle was used. Because worsening of dysphagia was feared only 10 U Dysport were injected into each parotid gland in the patient with motor neuron disease. Drooling did not significantly improve in this patient due to the low dose of botulinum toxin used. He declined further treatment.

All the other patients had a beneficial response beginning by the end of the first week and lasting 6 weeks in one patient and 3 to 4 months in the others. Apart from subjective improvement reported by the patients and caregivers, reduction of drooling was demonstrated by the fact that the patients did not have to use a bib or towel. One patient had mild worsening of existing dysphagia. Two patients had mild chewing difficulties, possibly due to diffusion of the toxin into the masseter and only one complained of a dry mouth. None developed facial weakness. All three patients considered the response good enough and side effects sufficiently minimal for them to continue botulinum toxin treatment at regular intervals.

Injections of botulinum toxin into the parotid gland (and other salivary glands) may be an effective and simple treatment for excessive disabling drooling of saliva in selected patients.
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Extensive brain calcifications in systemic sclerosis: two cases

Systemic sclerosis (scleroderma) is a multi-system connective tissue disease of unknown aetiology, characterised by progressive fibrosis of the skin and internal organs including the lungs and gastrointestinal tract.5 Pathological calcification of soft tissues (Kronigion calcinosis) is a common feature in the CREST syndrome of scleroderma (calcinosis, Raynaud’s phenomenon, oesophageal dysmotility, sclerodactyly, telangiectasia). In contrast, internal organ calcifications are rare, and isolated cases of spinal calcinosis and calcific constrictive pericarditis have been reported.6 We report here the cases of two patients with systemic sclerosis whose CT examination disclosed extensive brain calcifications.

Case 1, a 48 year old man was referred to hospital because of polyarthralgia involving the wrists and ankles, Raynaud’s phenomenon, excessive disabling drooling of saliva in the patient’s wife had noticed that during the previous months, he had a slowed mentation and a depressive mood. Physical examination disclosed a sclerodactyly but no telangiectasias. Routine haematological tests were normal. Antinuclear antibodies were positive at a 1/2000 dilution with nuclear fluorescence. Rheumatoid factors, antidualle stranded DNA and antithromboglobulin antibodies were negative. There was no cryoglobulinemia. Complement was normal. Lung function tests showed a restrictive syndrome (forced vital capacity 75% predicted). Chest radiography was normal, as were oesophageal manometry and cardiac ultrasonographic examination. A diagnosis of systemic sclerosis was made and the patient was given diltiazem (180 mg/day) and ketoprofen (150 mg/day).

Six months later the patient’s nutritional status had worsened. He complained of memory loss, poor concentration, and insomnia. On neurological examination he was anxious and very slow in answering questions. Mini mental state examination score was 22/30. The patient was oriented to place, but not to time. Anterograde amnesia was noted. Agnosia, apraxia, and aphasia were absent. There was no muscle weakness and muscle tone was normal, as were tendon reflexes. Plantar responses were both flexor. There was no sensory loss or impairment of cranial nerves. Systemic sclerosis signs were unchanged. Routine hematological tests were normal. Results of blood chemical tests were also unremarkable (serum electrolytes, urea, creatinine, iron), including phosphorus and calcium metabolism (serum parathyroid hormone concentration, blood calcium and phosphorus, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, calcium, and phosphatauria). Serum concentrations of free triiodothyronine, free thyroxine, and thyroid stimulating hormone were normal. Serological tests for syphilis, HIV-1,2, and other diseases were negative. Brain CT showed bilateral extensive calcification in the dentate nuclei (figure 1A), basal ganglia, and subcortical white matter (figure 1B). On MRI T1 weighted images and T2 weighted images, calcification was visible as a low intensity signal. The patient was given fluoxetine (20 mg/day) and bromazepam (6 mg/day). At follow up, 1 year later, the patient’s clinical status was unchanged, as was brain CT.

Case 2, a 64 year old right handed woman was admitted to hospital for evaluation of a Raynaud’s phenomenon which had lasted for more than 10 years. At physical examination, sclerodactyly and tightness of the facial skin
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were noted. Telangiectasias were present on the face, hands, and palate. The patient complained of pyrosis. Oesophageal manometry showed abnormalities of oesophageal motility. Hand radiography disclosed soft tissue calcifications. Anticientromere antibodies were positive at a 1/1000 dilution. A CREST syndrome was diagnosed and the patient was given buflomedil (600 mg/day) and prednisone (25 mg/day).

One year later she was admitted for the evaluation of recent transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs). During the previous week she had experienced three bouts of expressive aphasia and right hemiplegia, each lasting about 10 minutes. She never smoked and did not have diabetes, hypertension, or dyslipidaemia. The neurological examination was normal. Routine blood chemical tests were normal (serum electrolytes, urea, creatinine) including phosphorus and calcium metabolism (serum parathyroid hormone concentration, blood calcium and phosphorus, 25-hydroxyvitamin D, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, calcitria, and phosphaturia). Cranial CT showed bilateral calcifications of the basal ganglia, and faint calcifications of the dentate nuclei and rubral nucleus. Moderate cerebral and cerebellar atrophy was noted. Duplex carotid ultrason and 24 hour ECG recording were normal. Echocardiography showed a normal left ventricle with an ejection fraction of 60%. There was mild calcification and a thickening of the mitral valve leaflets. Aspirin (250 mg daily) was given at hospital discharge. No further TIA occurred during a 5 year follow up and the patient's clinical status has remained unchanged.

Systemic sclerosis is a multisystem disease predominantly affecting the skin, lungs, vascular system, and gastrointestinal tract. Neuromotor involvement occurs in a few patients (ranging from 0.8 to 18.5%) including cranial nerve abnormalities, peripheral neuropathy, and autonomic peripheral neuropathy. To our knowledge, extensive cerebral calcifications have not yet been reported.

Calcification of the brain is discovered in more than 30 conditions, including abnormalities of calcium phosphorus metabolism such as pseudohypoparathyroidism. Systemic sclerosis leads to the formation of calcium deposits in the subcutaneous tissue. Rarely, the calcific process has been shown to involve the spine or pericardium. Recently, Heron et al described two cases of cerebral involvement in systemic sclerosis. In both cases necropsy showed extensive wall calcification of the small arteries and arterioles of the brain. Our two patients have sclerodermatous and extensive striopallidodentate calcifications and metabolic investigations failed to disclose any specific aetiology in either case. We think that scleroderma should be added to the list of conditions described as occurring with basal ganglia calcification. The pathogenesis of the formation of calcium deposits in systemic diseases remains poorly understood. However, pathological calcification can be subdivided into metastatic (occurring in undamaged tissues when extracellular calcium and phosphate concentrations are increased) and dystrophic (occurring in injured tissue when extracellular calcium and phosphate concentrations are normal) calcification. In our patients, as in the patients of Heron et al, the brain calcifying process may be related to primary cerebrovascular changes induced by systemic sclerosis.

Routine brain CT examination in systemic sclerosis could help to determine the true incidence of basal ganglia calcifications and their clinical relevance.
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If it moves—measure it. Such is the trend in psychiatry and this has led to a proliferation of assessment scales of variable utility; from the esoteric to the ubiquitous. This book has them all, and is, quite genuinely, one of the most useful volumes I have seen for a very long time. It covers everything from the AMTS through the MMSE to the KEW cognitive test. Each scale is presented in full together with a short commentary, critical references and, usefully, an estimated time taken to perform the test together with an address to contact the original author.

Those puffed out into those covering depression, neuropsychiatric assessments, activities of daily living, global assessments, visible assessments, delirium, care giver assessments and scales for memory function. This organisation, together with a useful and functional index, will make the task of selecting an appropriate scale much easier in the future. Some of these scales are covered by patent law and my only quibble is unpatented authors a privilege. Maybe highlighting such information would give unpatented authors a privilege. Maybe highlighting such information would give unpatented authors a

planning and execution of any clinical research. For clinicians, psychologists, occupational therapists, and other members of the multidisciplinary old age psychiatry team, the collection of so many scales covering all aspects of mental health of elderly people should encourage the use of valid and reliable assessment procedures rather than detract from good clinical practice. My only question is “Why didn’t somebody do this before?”

SIMON LOVESTONE


Professor Franco Postacchini is an orthopaedic surgeon at the University “La Sapienza” in Rome and is a well known widely respected spinal surgeon. He is to be congratulated on the production of this book which is wide ranging, comprehensive, and beautifully illustrated. The management of lumbar disc disease is fraught with uncertainty and there are many diagnostic and therapeutic pitfalls. The author has succeeded in addressing most of these controversies in a clear and logical fashion. He occasionally blurs the distinction between theories and established scientific facts; forgetting that the practice of medicine and in particular the management of spinal disorders is full of paradoxes. For example, he states that large extruded disc fragments are unlikely to resolve spontaneously but usually require surgical treatment. This seems a logical proposition but my experience is that many of these large extrusions undergo complete clinical and radiological resolution within 2 or 3 months. Paradoxically, it is often the smaller contained disc prolapses which fail to improve with conservative measures. Like many orthopaedic surgeons he is persuaded by the alluring theories of discogenic low back pain and works at the altar of segmental microinstability. However, I agree with much that he has written and differences of emphasis are inevitable in a field that is strong on dogma and short of established truths.

I would have no hesitation in recommending the text to trainees as the book is very readable and makes a good introduction to the management of lumbar disc disease. Nearly all aspects of diagnosis and treatment are covered but I was disappointed with the chapter discussing results of surgery. There is no mention of the use of objective validated disability and quality of life instruments in the assessment of outcome. For a text that aims to be comprehensive this constitutes a serious omission. It is because practitioners have failed to use objective outcome measures to establish the natural history of lumbar disc disease and the effects of therapeutic interventions that there remains so much uncertainty about management. These uncertainties cover (among others) physical therapy, manipulation, timing of radiology, timing of surgery, whether spinal fusion is ever indicated, and what treatments are clinically and cost effective. Despite these drawbacks, surgeons who manage lumbar disc disorders will want to have a copy of this book, either on their own or their departmental library’s shelf.

RODNEY LAING


My first sensation is that such a book is long overdue. There is a paucity of books written by clinicians on this subject and it is well that many gloop and doom tracts written by sufferers who have apparently been ill with CFS/PVS/ME for 20 years and which depress the hell out of the patients that I see. Why this lack? Undoubtedly because relatively few doctors want to put their heads above the parapet and profess to a great expertise or desire to see patients with it. It is a condition for which there is no test, no objective monitoring of progress, whose symptoms are so vague that they repeatedly defy classification and can only be catalogued. Among those patients with a genuine postinfectious fatigue (who mainly get better relatively quickly) I usually ask a large group of those with hospital records measured by the kilogram who arrive with sheaves of self-complied additional notes and occupy huge periods in the clinic to little effect other than frustration for both patient and practitioner. Why they do this is a different story.

So what about the book? Firstly I must say it comes armoured plated against criticism with a pack of glowing references from ennobled and famous psychiatrists on the back. Presumably the authors chose these referees whose major feature in common is distinction in fields other than chronic fatigue syndrome. So, for whatever reason they were chosen the result is also to make this an unpoisoned chance to review if one has the temerity to disagree with such a company.

Chronic fatigue and its Syndromes is written by three psychiatrists. That in itself is a little odd as although psychiatric illness is quite common in the chronic fatigue syndrome group, most patients with chronic fatigue syndrome are very reluctant to be seen on first presentation by psychiatrists. One is led to suppose that one is not dismissing their symptoms as psychiatric if one does suggest such a referral. What proportion of patients I wonder go to psychiatrists as their first hospital referral? I would guess very few.

The book is very comprehensive. As a source of references on the subject of fatigue it is encyclopedic. It begins with a nice history of the Syndrome. Of a total of 244 pages 42 are devoted to those covering depression, neuropsychiatric assessments, activities of daily living, global assessments, visible assessments, delirium, caregiver assessments and scales for memory function. This organisation, together with a useful and functional index, will make the task of selecting an appropriate scale much easier in the future. Some of these scales are covered by patent law and my only quibble is that it would have been useful to know which instruments can be reproduced and for what purpose without fear of being billed for the privilege. Maybe highlighting such information would give unpatented authors a privilege. Maybe highlighting such information would give unpatented authors a
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Professor Franco Postacchini is an orthopaedic surgeon at the University “La Sapienza” in Rome and is a well known widely respected spinal surgeon. He is to be congratulated on the production of this book which is wide ranging, comprehensive, and beautifully illustrated. The management of lumbar disc disease is fraught with uncertainty and there are many diagnostic and therapeutic pitfalls. The author has succeeded in addressing most of these controversies in a clear and logical fashion. He occasionally blurs the distinction between theories and established scientific facts; forgetting that the practice of medicine and in particular the management of spinal disorders is full of paradoxes. For example, he states that large extruded disc fragments are unlikely to resolve spontaneously but usually require surgical treatment. This seems a logical proposition but my experience is that many of these large extrusions undergo complete clinical and radiological resolution within 2 or 3 months. Paradoxically, it is often the smaller contained disc prolapses which fail to improve with conservative measures. Like many orthopaedic surgeons he is persuaded by the alluring theories of discogenic low back pain and works at the altar of segmental microinstability. However, I agree with much that he has written and differences of emphasis are inevitable in a field that is strong on dogma and short of established truths.
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My first sensation is that such a book is long overdue. There is a paucity of books written by clinicians on this subject and it is well that many gloop and doom tracts written by sufferers who have apparently been ill with CFS/PVS/ME for 20 years and which depress the hell out of the patients that I see. Why this lack? Undoubtedly because relatively few doctors want to put their heads above the parapet and profess to a great expertise or desire to see patients with it. It is a condition for which there is no test, no objective monitoring of progress, whose symptoms are so vague that they repeatedly defy classification and can only be catalogued. Among those patients with a genuine postinfectious fatigue (who mainly get better relatively quickly) I usually ask a large group of those with hospital records measured by the kilogram who arrive with sheaves of self-complied additional notes and occupy huge periods in the clinic to little effect other than frustration for both patient and practitioner. Why they do this is a different story.

So what about the book? Firstly I must say it comes armoured plated against criticism with a pack of glowing references from ennobled and famous psychiatrists on the back. Presumably the authors chose these referees whose major feature in common is distinction in fields other than chronic fatigue syndrome. So, for whatever reason they were chosen the result is also to make this an unpoisoned chance to review if one has the temerity to disagree with such a company.

Chronic fatigue and its Syndromes is written by three psychiatrists. That in itself is a little odd as although psychiatric illness is quite common in the chronic fatigue syndrome group, most patients with chronic fatigue syndrome are very reluctant to be seen on first presentation by psychiatrists. One is led to suppose that one is not dismissing their symptoms as psychiatric if one does suggest such a referral. What proportion of patients I wonder go to psychiatrists as their first hospital referral? I would guess very few.

The book is very comprehensive. As a source of references on the subject of fatigue it is encyclopedic. It begins with a nice history of the Syndrome. Of a total of 244 pages 42 are devoted to those covering depression, neuropsychiatric assessments, activities of daily living, global assessments, visible assessments, delirium, caregiver assessments and scales for memory function. This organisation, together with a useful and functional index, will make the task of selecting an appropriate scale much easier in the future. Some of these scales are covered by patent law and my only quibble is that it would have been useful to know which instruments can be reproduced and for what purpose without fear of being billed for the privilege. Maybe highlighting such information would give unpatented authors a privilege. Maybe highlighting such information would give unpatented authors a
disappointing and somewhat introspective that they may think that subjects such as neurobiology, microbiology, and immunology might justify equally expert contributors. Is it an easy read? There is no easy way to write on a subject such as this, bedevilled by lack of objective facts and the writers who have chosen a discursive, debating style which when not tightly controlled can slip towards verbosity. Thus, however, is not a standard must-read book and it would be unfair to make direct comparisons.

Is this a useful book? As a source of references, yes. As a guide to clinicians I am less convinced. The two commonest questions preliminarily mentioned are “How long does the illness last?” and “What are my chances of recovery?” Cognitive behavioural therapy is concisely and usefully summarised. There is a single page on complementary treatment, which again is often an area of considerable interest to patients notwithstanding the lack of controlled evidence for or against it.

On that note it is perhaps appropriate to quote one very intelligent patient with chronic fatigue syndrome I saw who became ill during his PhD. “I’ve done a lot of reading and internet searching about the causes and possible cures of this, before I came to see you” he said “It seems to me that most people are on the look out for a bit of that which we commonly see after glandular fever and nobody seems to think it odd that after glandular fever you can feel unwell for quite a long time” he continued “If most people get better from this” (and many do) and if you try all sorts of other treatments like homoeopathy, acupuncture, meditation then the one you think cured you”. He had of course discovered the maxim of entertaining the one you think cured you”. He had of course discovered the maxim of entertaining the non-expert to follow. It should be noted that, the title notwithstanding this book deals almost exclusively with the pathophysiology of migraine, and the reader who buys it to get some insight into the mechanisms of tension-type headaches, or other kinds of headache, is going to be disappointed.

Who should read this book? Certainly the migraine researcher should. Though much of it will be familiar to those who have kept up with the literature, it is nevertheless an attractive and hand-and-foot ’s our research and the literature. Moreover, the first chapter (by Lars Edvinsson) and the last chapter (by Peter Goadsby) are very pretty syntheses of the field. What about clinicians? Some of it is heavy going for people like me, who are not basic scientists. But I got through it all in about 6 hours, and found I knew more about migraine coming out than I did going in—which makes reading it a very worthwhile exercise.

JOHN EDMEADS


There is something about the anachronistic binding of the Handbook of Clinical Neurology series that is rather reassuring. Surely if classic phenomenological neurology is to be found anywhere, it will be between these fake leather embossed covers. This volume, the second of three on the neurology of systemic diseases, does not disappoint. Here, in 450 pages of close type and few illustrations, are covered the neurology of orthopaedic, endocrine, gastrointestinal, and metabolic disorders. Goetz and Aminoff, the volume editors, have assembled an authoritative panel of authors that equitably straddle the Atlantic. There are detailed reviews of familiar territory such as diabetes, orthopaedic trauma, thyroid diseases, and porphyria. Cole’s historical survey of B12 deficiency is particularly fine. In addition there are excellent chapters on more arcane topics—for instance the neurological presentation of pancreatic transplantation and intestinal pseudo-obstruction. Perhaps the movement disorders associated with coeliac disease could have been mentioned and a chapter on the neurology of inflammatory bowel disorders is certainly lacking. But these are trifling complaints against a text that, with its twin volumes, is significant in neurology’s comprehensiveness; though only 184 pages long, it covers every major aspect of the pathophysiology of migraine. There are chapters on cranial blood vessels, receptor physiology, neurotransmitters, 5-hydroxytrptamine receptor subtypes, cortical spreading, depression, neurogenic inflammation, arteriovenous shunts, cerebral haemodynamics, and animal modelling. This is achieved at the expense of some pretty terse prose at times, which can make it difficult for the non-expert to follow. It should be noted that, the title notwithstanding this book deals almost exclusively with the pathophysiology of migraine, and the reader who buys it to get some insight into the mechanisms of tension-type headaches, or other kinds of headache, is going to be disappointed.

ANDREW LEVER


New information about how and why migraine happens continues to break on us in a dizzying succession of waves coming from various journals in different disciplines. We need an accessible, understandable, and unitary vehicle to collect, organise, and present this information. Journals, the Internet, and the abstracting services have their place, but for this purpose nothing beats the book. How well does Lars Edvinsson’s Migraine and Headache Pathophysiology meet this need?

This book has several attractive features. Recognising that some of the world’s best science is now being done in the laboratories of industry, it has enlisted as authors several leading researchers from the major pharmaceutical manufacturing companies, in addition to the “usual suspects” from academia. Not only has this introduced some exciting writers to the “review book” audience, but it has provided a particular insight into the science of determining why drugs work, or don’t work, in migraine. The book is up to date, containing many 1998 references. Another strong point of the book is its comprehensiveness; though only 184 pages long, it covers every major aspect of the pathophysiology of migraine. There are chapters on cranial blood vessels, receptor physiology, neurotransmitters, 5-hydroxytrptamine receptor subtypes, cortical spreading, depression, neurogenic inflammation, arteriovenous shunts, cerebral haemodynamics, and animal modelling. This is achieved at the expense of some pretty terse prose at times, which can make it difficult for the non-expert to follow. It should be noted that, the title notwithstanding this book deals almost exclusively with the pathophysiology of migraine, and the reader who buys it to get some insight into the mechanisms of tension-type headaches, or other kinds of headache, is going to be disappointed.

JOHN EDMEADS


Advances and Technical Standards In Neurosurgery is sponsored by the European Association of Neurosurgical Societies. The intention is to publish reviews of topics in which recent advances have been made, and to invite acknowledged experts to present in depth accounts of established knowledge in various fields of neurosurgery.

The advances under review in this volume are the contribution of the septal region to memory, the in vivo metabolic investigation of cerebral gliomas with PET, and the use of image guidance in neurosurgery. In the technical standards section, professors Vulvaras and Yasargil discuss the endovascular treatment of arteriovenous malformations, Dr Guglielmi reports on the interventional neuroradiological treatment of intracranial aneurysms, and Dr. Stussmann and colleagues describe the management of benign intracranial hypertension.

This book is aimed primarily at young neurosurgeons, but is an excellent source of reference for those who are already trained. Of fact is that it is in its 24th volume is a testament to its success in achieving this objective.

ROBERT MACFARLANE


It seems that there is a new specialty in North American neurology, hospitalists. The drive to promote managed health care has apparently resulted in hospitals “filled to overflowing with more acutely ill patients requiring a pace of evaluation unprecedented anywhere in the world”. Enter the hospitalist neurologist. Unencumbered by the demands of outpatient neurology, he or she stumbles through the wards of the general hospital “faced with a dizzying array of neurologic problems”. Most British neurologists have a ward referral practice and will not be impressed by its elevation to the status of a specialty and still less by the agragrammatic title Martin Samuels has chosen for it. Which is a shame, because this book deserves a wide readership. One in the Butterworth Heinemann series of Blue Books of Practical Neurology, it is attractively produced and reasonably well illustrated. Its place on your bookshelf is earned by collating the neurological aspects of diverse medical specialties: to name a few, organ transplantation, orthopaedics, oncology, and urology. A quick glance here before a ward referral might well be rewarding. However, the chapters on more conventional neurological topics, such as neuro-ophtalmology, stroke, and seizures are probably briefer than most neurologists would require. So, for those made dizzy by the delirious patient after bypass, the encephalopathic flapping on the transplant ward, or the weak and wasted on intensive care units, this is for you. And remember: you are a hospitalist neurologist.
This is one of a new type of medical textbook written to meet the needs of an increasingly informed patient population. Aimed very much at those with multiple sclerosis, their families, and caregivers, it is simple and clearly written with jargon and technical terms kept to a minimum but without patronising. Chronic diseases, and especially multiple sclerosis, are not always well managed by the physician. Too many of us think that there is no cure and feel helpless in a busy clinic faced with the patient with a long list of complaints. There are too few specialty multiple sclerosis clinics in which neurologists, pain specialists, urologists, physiotherapists etc liaise.

Patients often feel left in the dark, unaware which of their symptoms can be attributed to their multiple sclerosis and whether it is “worth bothering a busy doctor”. Many can cite bad experiences in their past when they have been fobbed off with well meaning reassurance but without practical help. Dysaesthesia, sexual problems, and urinary incontinence are only a few of the symptoms that can bring misery to the lives of patients and their families and which are poorly addressed by doctors. This book, in a language accessible to most (and with a glossary to explain some unavoidable jargon), explains multiple sclerosis, its symptoms, and what might realistically be obtained in terms of symptom control. All aspects are covered and nothing considered too trivial; constipation or cold feet might be extremely trying for an individual patient and each is considered.

The old idea that is doesn’t help a patient to know too much about his disease (“it will only make him introspective and hypochondrial”) is outdated. Multiple sclerosis can hit anyone and patients now want, and deserve, to be informed. While doctors find it challenging to be faced with a patient equipped with the latest information downloaded from the internet or well informed having read a book such as this, this is a challenge to which we must be ready to rise. This textbook provides the information patients want and fills the gap left by busy doctors. It should be marketed appropriately and we must be ready to respond to the reaction of patients. Perhaps someone with multiple sclerosis should have been invited to write this review.

GILLIAN HALL