Sural nerve biopsy

Sural nerve biopsy has been a well-established diagnostic procedure for the investigation of peripheral neuropathies for over 30 years and the techniques and indications were described by Dyck and Loefgren at the Mayo Clinic and Thomas. Although indications and guidelines for sural nerve biopsy have been described and retrospective studies of its value have been published, the first prospective study of the procedure to determine its usefulness in influencing diagnosis and treatment and the complications is reported in the paper by Gabriel et al in this issue (pp 442–446).

Full laboratory investigations and neurophysiological studies should be undertaken before biopsy is considered and it is of primary importance that the surgeon is experienced in the procedure and that the tissue can be evaluated by a laboratory experienced in the techniques of light and electron microscopy, teased fibres studies, and the use of immunohistochemical methods of staining. Certain conditions have characteristic histopathological appearances including most cases of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP), CMT1, amyloid neuropathy, vasculitis, sarcoidosis, giant axonal neuropathy, hexacarbon neuropathy, IgM kappa paraproteinaemic neuropathy, metachromatic leukodystrophy, and Krabbe’s and Fabry’s diseases but in many conditions the appearance of axonal degeneration or mixed axonal degeneration and demyelination is non-specific and nerve biopsy assists the diagnosis only by exclusion.

Sural nerve biopsy has complications of pain, infection, sensory loss, and delayed wound healing and should only be undertaken in cases of peripheral neuropathy where there are good prospects of its significantly assisting in the diagnosis, as was the case in the study of Gabriel et al, which examined the value of biopsy in 50 consecutive patients. In their series biopsy was performed only when it might have disclosed a treatable cause and therefore many hereditary neuropathies with characteristic pathology would have been excluded. In seven cases the pre-biopsy diagnosis was altered by the biopsy and in 60% of cases an independent neurologist judged that it had been helpful, particularly in mononeuritis multiplex and demyelinating neuropathies.

It is clear that sural nerve biopsy has an important place in the diagnosis of peripheral nerve disease and, in the case of vasculitic neuropathy confined to peripheral nerves, it is the only certain way of making the diagnosis of the treatable condition. The value of biopsy needs to be weighed against the complications of persistent pain at the biopsy site (33%), infection (15%), and patient dissatisfaction with the procedure (27%).
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Fear can interrupt the continuum of memory

In the letter by Harvey on page 562 of this issue, a personal instance of memory loss for the events of a life-threatening accident is described. In the absence of any concussion or violent acceleration/deceleration forces, Harvey concludes that this was “post-traumatic amnesia in which the trauma was wholly psychological.” There are at least two interesting aspects to this account—one being what was remembered, and the other being what was not recalled.

In situations of extreme stress, some memories are enhanced, detailed, and may be recalled intrusively thereafter, whereas other items are forgotten. In the present account, Harvey notes that the front seat passenger was wearing a flowery hat, that the car was a small red Honda saloon, and that a black and white soft toy was dangling in the rear window, but he has forgotten what happened next. Fragments of vivid memories such as these seem to be common in life-threatening trauma, and they become intrusive in post-traumatic stress disorder. Similarly, in head injury, Russell and Nathan referred to memories with the quality of “visions” arising from a brief “lucid interval” before the onset of post-traumatic amnesia—for example, for the screech of brakes or being struck by a car. In head injury, amnesia predominates and such vivid memories are infrequent, whereas in post-traumatic stress disorder intrusive thoughts predominate and memory lapses are less common: nevertheless, the two seem to lie at the extremes of a continuum, and what is still poorly understood in both instances is why certain things are vividly remembered, whereas others are forgotten.

Memory loss after trauma is well described in the psychiatric and clinical psychology literature. It can be global, as in fugue states, or situation specific for a particular traumatic incident. If situation specific, the amnesia can be complete or partial (“fragmentary”). Fugue states are always precipitated by situations of severe stress, such as occur during marital or relationship breakdown, severe financial troubles, wartime, or being charged with an offence. They are very commonly associated with severe depression, and there is commonly a history of a transient, organic amnesia, which may have acted as a kind of “learn-
ing experience”. Situation specific amnesia occurs in so-called “crimes of passion”, where the offence takes place in a state of extreme emotional arousal, is unplanned, and where the victim is (almost invariably) a lover, wife, or partner. Although it can be argued that such amnesia may be legally motivated, memory lapses are also reported in the victims and eye witnesses of offences.4, 5 Amnesic gaps have also been reported in traumatised soldiers in the two world wars6 and subsequently.7 A recent review8 documents evidence of amnesia in the victims of lightning flashes, flood disasters, pipeline explosions, earthquake, concentration camp and holocaust survivors, and Bosnian refugees: these authors report amnesia or “memory disturbance” in 16 studies. Others have also cited cases of kidnap and torture,7 and I would add victims of the Herald of Free Enterprise disaster. In addition, Brown et al8 found some evidence of forgetting in all 68 studies that they reviewed on the fraught issue of memory for child sexual abuse. Although there are problems in evaluating self reports of amnesia for child abuse, some smaller scale studies have attempted to examine the evidence for both the trauma and the subsequent forgetting in some detail.9 Curiously unmentioned in this list are road traffic accidents, presumably because any memory loss is generally assumed to be organic: nevertheless, attempts to examine the interaction of neurological and emotional effects on memory after head injury are beginning.10

Many of the situations cited involved fear or threat to life. It has been claimed that such situations involve a narrowing of consciousness with attention focused on central perceptual details, sometimes evolving into amnesia or that emotional or traumatic events are processed differently from “ordinary” memories.7 In particular, emotional memories may implicate amygadaloid circuits.11, 12 It may also be the case that, when something extraordinary happens, we ask ourselves to recall far more detail than we would normally expect. The mechanisms involved are not well researched, but Harvey’s1 account will be invaluable if it restores attention to the putative contribution of emotion in at least some cases of accident or trauma.
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