SHORT REPORT

Unusual spontaneous and training induced visual field recovery in a patient with a gunshot lesion

D A Poggel, E Kasten, E M Müller-Oehring, B A Sabel, S A Brandt

Abstract
Over a period of more than 3 years, changes in visual and neuropsychological functions were examined in a patient with a visual field defect caused by a cerebral gunshot lesion. Initially, the patient had been completely blind, but after 6 months of spontaneous recovery, he showed a homonymous bilateral lower quadrantanopia and impairment of higher visual functions. Unexpectedly, recovery still continued after the first 6 months. This process was documented in detail by visual field examinations using high resolution perimetry. When visual field size had stabilised almost 16 months after the lesion, further improvement could be achieved by visual restitution training. The duration and extent of spontaneous recovery were unusual. In spontaneous as well as in training induced recovery, progress was mainly seen in partially defective areas (areas of residual vision) along the visual field border. Thus, it is speculated that modulation of perceptual thresholds in transition zones of visual field defects contributes to spontaneous and training induced recovery.

(J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2001;70:236–239)
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The human brain possesses a remarkable ability to regain functions after lesions of various aetiologies. However, recovery from visual field defects in patients with brain injury has long been considered impossible due to the highly specific structure of the visual system. Meanwhile, it is generally accepted that spontaneous improvement can occur in patients with visual field loss, but there is still a controversial discussion on the possibility of increasing visual field size by systematic training. Zihl and von Cramon tried to enlarge the visual field of 55 patients with postgeniculate lesions using a forced choice saccadic technique to detect light stimuli. In most patients, visual field enlargement did not exceed 5°, but there were individual cases with remarkable recovery. Nevertheless, their findings were regarded as artefacts by Balliet et al. because alternative explanations for the increase of intact visual field size could not be ruled out (for example, variability of perimetric measurements, change of detection strategies, or compensatory eccentric fixation) (see also Balliet et al for a review).

However, more recent, methodologically well controlled studies provided evidence for training induced recovery of visual functions.

Here, we report on a patient with a traumatically induced visual field defect showing significant spontaneous recovery and considerable further progress during visual restitution training. For the first time we could show in detail that spontaneous as well as training induced improvement take place in the area of residual vision and that both processes seem to be based on a common mechanism—that is, the modulation of perceptual thresholds in partially defective areas.

Case report
A 29 year old man with no history of neurological disease was attacked and shot in the back of his head. When regaining consciousness in the hospital, he complained of anosmia, reduced vigilance, and a mild left sided hemiparesis. Reduction of vigilance and hemiparesis recovered completely within days. After 1 week, vision had recovered in the right upper quadrant, and central vision was sufficient to perform a neuropsychological examination which disclosed a slowing of reaction times as well as an impairment of short term memory and visuospatial functions. Verbal intelligence was normal.

Six months after the incident, we examined the patient for the first time in our department. General and cardiovascular examination yielded normal results. Higher cortical functions and cranial nerves were normal except for a bilateral lower quadrantanopia and hypomia. Best corrected visual acuity was 100%. Muscle tone, muscle strength, and reflexes were normal and symmetric. There was hypoesthesia in a region at the back of the head where the bullet had entered, otherwise sensation was normal. In a detailed neuropsychological examination, his performance was normal, except in visuospatial tasks and in tests involving a considerable amount of visual scanning (see below). Subjectively, the patient had difficulties in estimating direction and speed of moving objects. This caused problems
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riding a bicycle, pouring liquids into a glass, and walking through crowds of people.

Axial CT images (2 mm slice thickness) and coronal reconstructions showed that the bullet had entered the left occipital lobe (about 5 cm cranial and 3 cm lateral to the inion) and followed a trajectory through the interhemispheric fissure into the right temporal lobe, where the bullet is still located. A hypodense area involving the upper part of the cuneus and the caudal part of the precuneus bilaterally could be identified. The lesion extended bilaterally to the ventral part of the upper calcarine bank, but spared striate cortex in the lower calcarine and the occipital poles. In the right hemisphere the hypodense area extended into the posterior part of the medial temporal gyrus.

Methods
Visual field recovery was found with different methods of quantitative perimetry (Allergan Humphrey 60°, Octopus 90°, Tübinger Automated Perimeter 30° and 90°; see fig 1; see also Lachenmeyer and Vivell for description).

Additionally, we used a high resolution computer based perimetric test for more detailed examination of the central visual field. Testing was done in a darkened room (background luminance=1.5 Lx) in front of a computer screen covering 54.8° horizontally and 42.3° vertically. White light stimuli (luminance=96 cd/m²; size=0.76°; presentation time=150 ms, background=26 cd/m²) were presented in random order at 474 positions in a grid of 25×19 stimulus locations. The subject was instructed to keep his eyes on the fixation point throughout the test and to press the space bar on the computer keyboard on detection of a stimulus or of a fixation control (an isoluminant change of the fixation point’s colour). Additionally, eye position was controlled by the experimenter noting the subject’s fixation behaviour in a mirror. Interstimulus intervals were randomised to prevent guessing behaviour. Total duration of the visual field test was about 20 minutes. The number of hits, misses, and false positives as well as reaction times were registered by the program. Positions of correctly detected stimuli and misses were plotted as white and black squares in the stimulus grid, respectively. A variation in this perimetric procedure allowed for the testing of colour discrimination and form recognition.

For visual field enlargement, we applied computer based visual restitution training. Here, luminous stimuli were presented at random locations in a previously defined training area on a dark computer screen, each increasing in brightness from dark grey (30 cd/m²) to bright white (96 cd/m²) over a period of 2000 ms. Stimulus size, fixation control, mode of response, and viewing distance were the same as in the visual field test. Training was performed at home for 1 hour daily. Treatment
results were saved on a disk so that compliance and changes in visual field size could be followed minutely over sessions. After each training unit (56 sessions), the patient was examined with perimetric tests, training results were analysed, and the training area was adapted to changes of the visual field border.

Neuropsychological assessment was performed with several paper and pencil tests and computer based methods—for example, d2, TAP, and ZVT (attention and visual exploration), HAWIE-R and SPM (intelligence), and Benton test, SKT, and IST-70 (visuospatial functions).9

Results
After initial blindness, the patient reported a diffuse perception of light 5 days after the incident. Visual field size increased rapidly, starting in the upper right quadrant (fig 1). In our first examination, 6 months after the lesion, we found an incomplete bilateral lower quadrantanopia (fig 1). He showed a mild impairment of form perception, visual orientation, recognition of object size, and spatial relations. The patient showed no deficits in memory, speech, or intelligence, nor was he slowed in a simple reaction task. Moreover, we found no sign of visuospatial neglect, but selective attention in a Stroop paradigm was impaired. During the first 4 weeks, he had complex pseudohallucinations, including people and scenarios, located in the lower visual quadrants.10

Twenty eight weeks after lesion, baseline testing with computer based perimetry was begun. Unexpectedly, visual field size still increased over the next months so that restitution training was not started. During that period, we found a gain of more than 20% in perimetric tests of the lower visual field until measurements stabilised 16 months after the gunshot. This improvement was found almost exclusively in partially defective areas (transition zones) at the visual field border (fig 1). In parallel, colour perception and form recognition recovered in the transition zone, and he subjectively perceived an improvement of visual orientation and spatial perception.

After visual field size had remained constant for more than 7 months (week 51 to 94), visual restitution training was started.

The patient showed a remarkable but variable further increase of visual field size over the next 80 weeks of training (fig 1). Again, this improvement was found almost exclusively in the transition zones of the scotoma with peripheral parts of the visual field defect recovering more and faster than central parts. Interestingly, we found a striking discrepancy between the position of the visual field border in our standard perimetric measurements versus the training related perimetric results. Obviously, our patient was able to detect light stimuli during the training program when they were presented for 2000 ms, but he could not perceive a light stimulus at the same position when it appeared only for 150 ms in the perimetric test.

We quantified recovery over time using two measures (fig 2): (1) increase of per cent intact lower visual field and (2) number of detected stimuli. Mean size of the lower intact visual field in the period of spontaneous recovery (week 1 to 51) was 27.8% (SD12.9%)—that is, 137 of 499 stimuli (SD 65.2) detected in high resolution perimetry. During that time, visual field size increased substantially from 0% to 51% intact. Between weeks 51 and 94 visual field testing yielded stable results (baseline) with an average intact lower field of 49.6% (SD1.41%)—that is, 250/499 detected stimuli (SD7.4). In the period of visual field training (weeks 94 to 176), mean visual field size increased again with occasional peak levels reaching 66% intact visual field size (330/499 stimuli). Albeit, mean size of intact visual field during training was 54.8% (SD 8.6%)—that is, 273/499 stimuli (SD42.6), which was not significantly different from baseline (weeks 51 to 94). However, during the last two measurements of the training period his visual field size had clearly improved in comparison with the baseline level. He detected luminous stimuli, in particular regions of the transition zone where he had never perceived them before.

Subjectively, the patient reported an improvement in everyday function—for example, less difficulties riding a bicycle, shaking somebody’s hand, or avoiding obstacles on the ground. However, visual exploration and the perception of movements were still difficult for him, although neither a test of visual scanning nor tests of higher order visual functions (object agnosia, prosopagnosia, depth perception) showed any impairment.

Discussion
The bilateral lower quadrantanopia was a result of traumatic injury of both occipital lobes and is in full agreement with earlier descriptions of visual field defects. Our research interest was focused on the time course and phenomenology of spontaneous recovery
from visual field defects and additional effects of systematic visual field training.

Three findings are unusual in the case reported here. In most studies on spontaneous recovery, the maximum duration of visual field increase ranged between 3 weeks and 6 months. By contrast, the patient’s spontaneous recovery ended only 16 months after the lesion. Secondly, the amount of spontaneous improvement was striking in that he regained almost two thirds of his visual field although average recovery in patients with complete cortical blindness usually amounts to about 28%. Thirdly, even after the termination of spontaneous recovery and a long period of stable visual field size, further—albeit smaller and inconstant—improvement could be achieved by systematic stimulation during visual field training starting almost 2 years after the lesion.

In concordance with earlier studies, improvement was mainly found at the borders of visual field defects—that is, in partially defective areas. Analysis of reaction times to light stimuli in the perimetric test showed a considerable slowing of responses to stimuli presented in the transition zone compared with those appearing in completely intact areas. Subjectively, stimuli seem to be reduced in brightness and do not have clear contours. Additionally, light stimuli cannot be perceived when presentation time is too short, explaining the difference between the visual field border found in our standard perimetric tests and training related perimetric measurements. These findings suggest that perceptual thresholds are increased in areas of residual vision. During the process of spontaneous as well as training induced recovery, these thresholds are reduced so that perception is gradually normalised. This mechanism has been postulated by Zihl and von Cramon as the basis of their training results, but it has not been related before to detailed observations of spontaneous and training induced increase of visual field size like in the case presented here. Therefore, we conclude that partially defective neuronal areas representing transition zones in the visual field may be crucial not only for spontaneous improvement but brain plasticity and functional recovery in these areas can also be induced by systematic stimulation as provided during visual restitution training.
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