Bilateral pallidotomy for treatment of Parkinson’s disease induced corticobulbar syndrome and psychic akinesia avoidable by globus pallidus lesion combined with contralateral stimulation
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Abstract

Objective—Posteroventral pallidotomy (PVP) has proved to be an effective method for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease. However, data on bilateral procedures are still limited. To assess the effects of bilateral globus pallidus (GPI) lesion and to compare it with a combination of unilateral GPI lesion plus contralateral GPI stimulation (PVP+PVS), an open blind randomised trial was designed.

Methods—A prospective series of patients with severe Parkinson’s disease refractory to medical treatment, and severe drug induced dyskinasias, were randomised either to simultaneous bilateral PVP or bilateral PVP plus contralateral PVS. All patients were assessed with the core assessment programme for intracerebral transplantation (CAPIT), and a comprehensive neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric battery both before surgery and 3 months later.

Results—The severe adverse effects found in the first three patients subjected to bilateral PVP led to discontinuation of the protocol. All three patients developed depression and apathy. Speech, salivation, and swallowing, as well as freezing, walking, and falling, dramatically worsened. By contrast, all three patients undergoing PVP+PVS had a significant motor improvement.

Conclusion—Bilateral simultaneous lesions within the GPI may produce severe motor and psychiatric complications. On the other hand, a combination of PVP+PVS significantly improves parkinsonian symptoms not associated with the side effects elicited by bilateral lesions.
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Unilateral lesion of the posteroventral portion (PVP) of the internal globus pallidus (GPI) is an effective method for the treatment of Parkinson’s disease. However, data on bilateral procedures are scant and mainly based on non-controlled studies. Unilateral posteroventral GPI stimulation (PVS) may be as effective as unilateral PVP, with a similar frequency of side effects. Contemporaneous bilateral PVS has also been reported to be a safe and efficient technique, but results of a combination of both PVP and PVS have only been described in a single study.

Iacono et al carried out simultaneous bilateral pallidotomy on 68 patients. The low frequency of side effects led the authors to conclude that there is no reason for staged lesions, thus reducing the risk of two operations, lowering the cost of the procedures, and improving preoperative target planning of the second side.

Based on the above findings, we designed an open blind prospective randomised trial initially developed to randomise either to bilateral PVP or unilateral PVP plus contralateral PVS, with a minimum of six patients in each group.

Patients and methods

After ethics committee approval and signed informed consent, a prospective series of patients with PD was included in the protocol. All patients met the idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (PD) criteria of the brain bank of London, including invalidating bilateral and symmetric Parkinson’s disease symptoms refractory to medical treatment (severe motor fluctuations with unacceptable quality of life either in the on or off state despite the use of levodopa, dopamine agonists, COMT inhibitors, or apomorphine, among others) and severe drug induced dyskinesias. None of the patients were demented, or had severe medical conditions or focal lesions on MRI.

NEUROLOGICAL EXAMINATION

Neurological examination was performed according to the core assessment programme for intracerebral transplantation (CAPIT) described elsewhere. Briefly, it consists of serial evaluations in off and on states during a fixed preoperative and postoperative period. Evaluation comprises a battery of scales and timed tests such as UPDRS, activities of daily living (ADL), walking time, and tapping test together with a dyskinesia score. Baseline scores were calculated by averaging the last two evaluations within 1 week before surgery, and postoperative scores were analysed at 3 month follow up.

PSYCHIATRIC AND NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION

Each patient was assessed by a neuropsychologist and a psychiatrist blind to the purpose of the study 1 month before and between 2 to 3 months after surgery, using the following
posteroventral stimulation (PVP+PVS) versus posteroventral pallidotomy plus posteroventral stimulation (PVP+PVS).

Table 1 Mean (SD) preoperative and postoperative off stage UPDRS scores for bilateral posteroventral pallidotomy (BIL-PVP) versus posteroventral pallidotomy plus posteroventral stimulation (PVP+PVS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BIL-VP</th>
<th>PVP+PVS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPDRS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradykinesia</td>
<td>26.3 (4.1)</td>
<td>31 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigidity</td>
<td>7.33 (2)</td>
<td>6.33 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest tremor</td>
<td>2.66 (2.3)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIGD*</td>
<td>9 (5.1)</td>
<td>11.3 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*F(1,4)=5.1; p<0.05. Hand bradykinesia (UPDRS items 23+24+25), rigidity (item 22) and resting tremor (item 20) scores were not statistically different. A composite subscore of postural instability and gait disorders (PIGD) (UPDRS items 28+29+30) showed significant impairment after BIL-PVP. Dyskinesia scores significantly improved in trunk, head, and bilaterally in upper and lower limbs after either procedure.

**Surgical Procedure**

Patients were randomised to either procedure the day before surgery. The surgical procedure has been described elsewhere \(^4\); briefly, it was microelectrode guided and both sides were operated on in a single intervention. At the site determined by electrophysiological parameters, a 75 degree 60 second lesion was performed either unilaterally or bilaterally. A 3387 DBS electrode placed in the motor part of the GPi was connected to an internal pulse generator (Itrel II Medtronic, Minneapolis, USA) in those patients who received a stimulator contralateral to the lesion. Three months after surgery three dimensional MRI was carried out to confirm lesion placement and volume or lead positioning.

**Statistics Analysis**

Statistical analyses were carried out using two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures and post hoc paired t test comparisons. Frequency distributions were calculated by \(\chi^2\) and cells <5 were Yates corrected. All p values were two tailed and the threshold for significance was set at p<0.05. Values are expressed as mean (SD).

**Results**

The severe adverse effects seen in the first three patients subjected to bilateral PVP led to discontinuation of the protocol.

**Demographic Findings**

There were five men and one woman. For BIL-PVP and PVP+PVS respectively, mean ages were 67 (6.4) and 55 (9.5) (NS), mean disease duration was 10.3 (5) and 10 (4.9) (NS), and mean duration of levodopa treatment was 10.3 (4) and 9.3 (4.9) (NS). There were no differences in side of initial symptom or more affected side between either group. The mean total motor section scores from the unified Parkinson’s disease rating scale version 3.0 (UPDRS) were 26.3 (4.1) and 28.5 (11.5) (NS) for BIL-PVP and PVP+PVS, respectively.

All six patients were Hoehn and Yahr stage IV.

**Neurological Findings**

UPDRS parts I and II, which evaluate mentation, behaviour, mood, and ADL, significantly deteriorated after bilateral PVP, but improved after the combined technique. Mean total preoperative and postoperative values for BIL-PVP and PVP+PVS were 24.6 (5.5) v 30.3 (11.0) and 33.3 (6.6) v 19 (9.1), respectively (F(1,4)=7.03; p<0.05); speech, salivation and swallowing explained most of the changes (p<0.01).

The UPDRS part III subscore that evaluates motor performance significantly improved after the combined technique (F(1,4)=4.17; p<0.05), whereas no difference was found in bilaterally lesioned patients. Mean values for UPDRS motor score for preoperative and postoperative BIL-PVP and PVP+PVS were 26.3 (4.1) v 31 (12.1) and 28.5 (11.5) v 18 (4.5), respectively.

A composite subscore of hand bradykinesia (UPDRS items 23+24+25) was analysed. Values for preoperative and postoperative BIL-PVP and PVP+PVS were not statistically different (F(1,4)=0.86). Neither were the values for rigidity (UPDRS item 22) (F(1,4)=0.25) and resting tremor (UPDRS item 20) (F(1,3)=3.82). A composite subscore of postural instability and gait disorders (UPDRS items 28+29+30) (PIGD) showed significant improvement after BIL-PVP (F(1,4)=5.1; p<0.05). Dyskinesia score significantly improved in the trunk and head, and bilaterally in the upper and lower limbs after either procedure (F(5,20)=3.86; p<0.01, table I).

**Psychiatric Evaluation**

There was a significant surgery effect on neuropsychiatric evaluation (F(4,16) 6.39 p<0.002), with a significant deterioration on Hamilton depression score (p<0.004) and apathy score (p<0.0001) after BIL-PVP.

**Neuropsychological Evaluation**

Comprehensive postoperative neuropsychological evaluation was not possible in the three bilaterally lesioned patients, so results were not computed.

**Side Effects**

Postoperatively, patients with bilateral lesion had unintelligible speech, marked drooling, and swallowing difficulties, initially requiring feeding through nasogastric intubation, and later on feeding with soft food. Freezing gait deteriorated without beneficial effect of increasing levodopadopas doses, requiring daily physical therapy to maintain mobility. Patients presented apathy and a severe loss of initiative, motivation, and motor drive. All these symptoms persisted at the 3 month postoperative evaluation.
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SURGICAL RESULTS

Brain MRI 3 months after surgery showed that all nine lesions and the three electrodes were located entirely within the GPi. Coordinates of the lesion/lead as well as lesion volumes were not significantly different between the groups.

Discussion

On the basis of a few non-controlled studies on bilateral pallidotomy either with or without beneficial effect or complications, it has been posited that the outcome is critically influenced by a poorly or accurately placed lesion rather than by the effect of placing simultaneous bilateral pallidal lesions.12

Schaer et al13 have described three patients undergoing bilateral pallidotomy performed at an interval of over 6 weeks in whom neither neuropsychiatric complications nor speech, swallowing or salivation difficulties, or PIGD deterioration were recorded. In this series, facial paresis and optic tract damage were instead reported, but we think that they corresponded to lesion extension to the internal capsule and optic tract. In agreement, Iacono et al14 reported 68 bilateral procedures, out of which 48 were contemporaneous. They reported no significant increase in the risk of complications for patients undergoing contemporaneous pallidotomy compared with staged procedures.

By contrast, Ghika et al15 documented that severe neuropsychological and psychiatric changes could result from these procedures and in support, Roberts et al16 published an unacceptable risk of swallowing or speech disorders even in staged procedures. In the latest and most comprehensive review on bilateral pallidotomy, Ghika et al17 described four patients who underwent simultaneous bilateral pallidotomy complicated with loss of psychic self activation, with emotional and cognitive deficits, as well as disabling corticobulbar dysfunction and apraxia of eyelid opening, which make surgery undesirable.14

Before further speculation, we should point out the limited number of patients included in our series due to an unacceptable rate of complications that made us halt the protocol before reaching the initial planned number of cases, as well as the short term follow up. Whether reported complications can resolve in the medium term or at long term evaluation should be tested in future studies to define the procedure of choice for bilateral pallidotomy.

In conclusion, despite the lack of a clear explanation for the differences in the outcome between both techniques, findings of the present study strongly support the combination of PVP+PVS. Whether or not PVP+PVS produced a comparable effect to bilateral PVS presents important economic implications and should be tested in future studies to define the procedure of choice for bilateral pallidal surgery.
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