Distribution patterns of demyelination correlate with clinical profiles in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy
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Background: Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) is a heterogeneous disorder having a wide clinical range, and is characterised by multifocal demyelination that can involve the distal nerve terminals, intermediate nerve segments, and nerve roots.

Objective: To investigate whether the distribution patterns of demyelination along the course of the nerve correlate with clinical profiles in patients with CIDP.

Methods: Motor nerve conduction studies were carried out on 42 consecutive patients. According to the physiological criteria for demyelination, the presence of a demyelinating lesion was determined in the distal nerve segments (distal pattern) or intermediate nerve segments (intermediate pattern), or in both (diffuse pattern). The serum concentration of tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α was measured by immunoassay.

Results: Patients were classified as having a distal (n=10), intermediate (n=13), or diffuse (n=15) pattern, or were unclassified (n=4). Patients with the distal or diffuse pattern had common clinical features such as subacute onset, symmetric symptoms, and weakness involving proximal as well as distal muscles. Patients with the distal pattern had a good response to treatment and a monophasic remitting course, while the diffuse pattern was associated with a treatment dependent relapsing course, reflecting longer disease activity. The serum TNF-α concentrations increased only in the “diffuse” subgroup of patients, and this might be associated with breakdown of the blood-nerve barrier and therefore, involvement of the intermediate segments. The intermediate pattern was characterised by a chronic course, asymmetric symptoms, less severe disability, and refractoriness to treatments.

Conclusions: CIDP consists of subtypes with varying predilections for lesions along the course of the nerve. The distribution patterns of conduction abnormalities may be useful in the prediction of outcome of patients with CIDP.

PAPER

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) is a diagnostic term dependent on pattern recognition, and is based on clinical symptoms and signs, electrophysiological studies, CSF examination, and other laboratory tests. It is likely that CIDP is a heterogeneous disorder, having a wide range of clinical expression ranging from subacute to chronic progression, and a monophasic to a relapsing course. In addition, it can include predominantly proximal to distal weakness, and may involve neuropathies ranging from symmetric polyneuropathy to mononeuropathy multiplex. The disorder usually presents as a more or less symmetric sensorimotor neuropathy, but many cases with obviously asymmetric symptoms have been described as variants of CIDP. Moreover, the criteria formulated by the ad hoc subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology AIDS task force, which have been the most widely used criteria for the diagnosis of CIDP, do not require symmetric symptoms.

Multifocal demyelination is a diagnostic hallmark of CIDP but distribution of demyelinating lesions varies among patients. Postmortem studies have often shown demyelinating lesions dominant in the nerve roots. The distal nerve terminals may be preferentially affected by CIDP. After successful treatment, patients show an obvious increase in the amplitude of distally evoked compound muscle action potential, suggesting resolution of distal conduction block. Moreover, some patients, especially with an asymmetric variant of CIDP, often show conduction block localised in the intermediate nerve segment.

We performed a retrospective analysis of our patients to determine whether the distribution patterns of nerve conduction abnormalities are associated with clinical features and response to immunomodulating treatment. We discuss the utility of this approach based on distribution patterns of demyelinating lesions, as opposed to the more common distinction that emphasises the presence or absence of serum M proteins or antibodies directed against peripheral nerves.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Clinical and electrophysiological data were reviewed retrospectively for 42 consecutive patients seen at Chiba University Hospital between 1991 and 2000. Their condition fulfilled the research criteria for diagnosis of CIDP. We excluded patients with monoclonal gammapathy or multifocal motor neuropathy, because their clinical and immunological profiles and response to treatment have been shown to be somewhat different from those of idiopathic CIDP. A functional assessment was performed using the Hughes functional grading scale: 0, normal; 1, able to run with minor symptoms and signs; 2, able to walk 5 meters independently; 3, able to walk 5 meters with aids; 4, chair or bedbound. We focused on the
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asymmetry of symptoms, which was defined as differences in muscle strength by one or more MRC scales in the homonymous muscles.

**Treatments**

Thirty four patients were initially treated with high dose corticosteroids: 28 patients received intravenous methylprednisolone pulse therapy (1000 mg/day for 3 days) which was followed by oral administration of 60 mg prednisolone daily or 100 mg on alternate days, for 4 to 6 weeks. In the remaining six patients, treatment was started with 60 mg prednisolone for 4 to 8 weeks. The dosage of prednisolone was gradually reduced by 5 mg/month. Fifteen patients, including those who did not respond to corticosteroid treatment, were treated with plasmapheresis or intravenous immunoglobulin infusion. Eight patients received no immunomodulating treatment because of the mildness of their neurological disability. Treatment was considered effective when the patient’s condition improved by one or more on the Hughes grade.

**Electrophysiology**

Motor nerve conduction studies were performed in the median, ulnar, tibial, and peroneal nerves using conventional procedures. Antidromic sensory nerve conduction studies were performed in the median and sural nerves. According to the electrophysiological criteria for demyelination, the presence of demyelinating conduction abnormalities of the median and ulnar nerves on the right was determined in the distal nerve segments (at the wrist) or intermediate nerve segments (wrist to elbow), or in both. Patients were classified as having “distal” demyelination when distal latencies were >125% of the upper limit of normal, or having “intermediate” demyelination when conduction velocities were <80% of lower limits of normal, or there was conduction block/abnormal temporal dispersion (>20% drop in the negative area of compound muscle action potential). Conduction block and abnormal temporal dispersion were not distinguished because temporal dispersion can cause a decrease in area of compound muscle action potential up to 50%. When there were demyelinating conduction abnormalities in both distal and intermediate segments, patients were classified as having “diffuse” demyelination. When distribution patterns were not consistent between the median and ulnar nerves, patients were regarded as unclassified. F waves were recorded after wrist stimulation.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>category</th>
<th>Median nerve</th>
<th>Ulnar nerve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>(n=8)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate</td>
<td>(n=15)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse</td>
<td>(n=13)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>(n=7)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Distribution patterns of demyelinating nerve conduction abnormalities**

Table 1 shows the numbers of patients classified into each category according to results of median and ulnar motor nerve conduction studies. Most of the patients showed concordance between the electrophysiological classification in the two nerves. Thirty eight patients were classified as having the “distal” (n=10), “intermediate” (n=13), or “diffuse” (n=15) pattern. The remaining four patients were unclassified because results for the two nerves were inconsistent. Representative cases in each category are shown in figure 1. Data of F wave studies were not analyzed because they were absent or unidentified due to contamination of A waves in 17 (45%) of the 38 patients. One of the four unclassified patients showed F wave absence as an isolated conduction abnormality. Results of tibial and peroneal nerve studies were not analyzed because compound muscle action potentials were not elicited in 10 (24%) of the 42 patients.

Results of terminal latency indexes (TLIs) were used to confirm the electrophysiological classification. Patients with the distal pattern had significantly smaller TLI (0.15 (SD 0.005); p<0.01), compared with patients with the intermediate (0.41 (SD 0.07)) or diffuse (0.27 (SD 0.12)) pattern, and normal subjects (0.31 (SD 0.04)), suggestive of the presence of prominent conduction slowing in the distal nerve segments. By contrast, patients with the intermediate pattern showed significantly greater TLI (p<0.01), suggesting that conduction slowing was predominant in the forearm segments. The following analyses were made on 38 patients who were classified into one of the three categories.
Clinical and laboratory features

Among the three patient groups, age of onset and male to female ratio did not differ significantly. A number of clinical profiles were significantly different among the patient groups. Duration of illness before our first examination was significantly longer for patients with the intermediate pattern (42 (SD 55) months), compared with those with the distal (7 (SD 9) months) or diffuse (8 (SD 7) months) pattern. Almost (96%) all of the patients with the distal or diffuse pattern had symmetric polyneuropathy, whereas 60% of them showed weakness involving the proximal as well as distal muscles. By contrast, asymmetry of muscle weakness was found in nine (69%) of the 13 patients with the intermediate pattern: only one patient had typical mononeuropathy multiplex, and the remaining eight had generalised but asymmetric polyneuropathy. The “diffuse” group of patients showed a significantly higher Hughes grade than did the other two groups of patients. The concentration of CSF protein was higher in the “distal” (122 (SD 95) mg/dl) and “diffuse” (148 (SD 138) mg/dl) groups, compared with the “intermediate” group (80 (SD 46) mg/dl; p<0.05).

For TNF-α assays, serum samples before immune treatment were available in 22 patients who had the distal (n=8), intermediate (n=7), or diffuse (n=7) pattern. In 49 normal subjects, the mean (SD) serum TNF-α concentration was 8.8 (5.7) pg/ml. The cut off value (mean (3SD) of normal samples) was therefore set to 25.9 pg/ml. Raised serum concentrations of TNF-α were detected in five (23%) of the 22 patients, and all five had the diffuse pattern. The mean value of serum concentrations of TNF-α was markedly higher in patients with the diffuse pattern (39.1 (SD 10.1) pg/ml; p<0.01), compared with patients with the distal (6.1 (SD 1.2) pg/ml) or intermediate (7.5 (SD 1.9) pg/ml) pattern, and normal subjects (8.8 (SD 5.7) pg/ml).

Response to treatments, and outcomes

Table 2 shows the relation of the electrodiagnosis pattern with response to treatment or a clinical course. Patients with the distal pattern showed good responses to corticosteroid treatment, and a monophasic remitting course. The “intermediate” group patients were relatively refractory to treatment with steroids or plasmapheresis, and tended to have chronic progressive or stable courses. Patients with the “diffuse” pattern often showed improvement after immunomodulating therapies, but often had relapsing courses, and almost all of their relapses occurred after stopping therapy (treatment dependent relapse).

At the end of follow up (mean (range) 53 (12 to 119) months after the initiation of treatment or entry), 18 (47%) of the 38 patients had had long lasting remissions (more than 12 months after treatment stopped): nine of them had the distal pattern, three had the intermediate pattern, and six had the diffuse pattern. Nine (90%) of the 10 patients with the distal

![Figure 1](Representative findings of median motor nerve conduction studies in each category. Compound muscle action potentials are recorded from the abductor pollicis brevis with stimulation sites at the wrist, elbow, and axilla. According to the criteria for demyelination, cut off values are 5.7 ms for distal latency (DL), and 37 m/s for conduction velocity (CV). (A) Distal pattern: DL is disproportionally prolonged. (B) Intermediate pattern: slowed CV and abnormal temporal dispersion between the wrist and elbow. (C) Diffuse pattern: both DL and CV are in the range of demyelination, and conduction block is present between the wrist and elbow.)
pattern eventually had long lasting remissions for a mean follow up period of 58 months (range 12 to 119 months). Patients with the intermediate pattern showed some response to immunoglobulin therapy, but the overall course was chronic progressive or chronic stable in most of them. Table 3 shows correlation of electrodiagnosis with outcome. Before treatment, the diffuse pattern was associated with more severe disabilities than the other patterns. At the end of follow up, all 10 patients with the distal pattern were able to walk (Hughes grades 0 to 2), whereas two (15%) of the 13 patients with the intermediate pattern and four (27%) of the 15 with the diffuse pattern had not regained the ability to walk independently.

**Patterns of sensory nerve conduction, and outcomes**

Abnormal median-normal sural sensory nerve response (AMNSSR) was found for 14 (37%) of the 38 patients with CIDP (table 4). For motor nerve conduction study results, nine (90%) of the 10 patients with the distal pattern, and five (33%) of the 15 patients with the diffuse pattern had AMNSSR.

DISCUSSION

Our results suggest that patients with CIDP have several patterns of distribution of demyelinating lesions along the course of a nerve, which were categorised to the “distal”, “intermediate”, or “diffuse” pattern in this study, and that these distribution patterns correlate with clinical features, response to treatment, and outcomes. The high concordance rate of the electrodiagnostic classification between results of the median and ulnar nerve studies (table 1) suggest the possibility of a particular predilection of the demyelinating lesions in each patient with CIDP. Moreover, findings of terminal latency index measurement and sensory nerve conduction study support the hypothesis. In this study, proximal regions could not be adequately examined using stimulation at proximal portions or F wave analysis, because of high threshold of the patients’ nerves or absent F waves. Therefore, there may be other distribution patterns of demyelinating lesions, such as “predominantly proximal” pattern, if the proximal nerve segments were accurately assessed.

Previous studies showed some factors affecting the clinical features and response to treatment in CIDP. The presence of monoclonal gammopathy or amyloidosis associated lyssoriprotein antibody have been suggested to correlate with some features such as older age of onset, a more indolent course, less severe functional impairment, sensory dominant symmetric polyneuropathy, and a smaller degree of improvement after immunomodulating treatments. 

Table 3 shows correlation of electrodiagnosis with outcome. Before treatment, the diffuse pattern was associated with more severe disabilities than the other patterns. At the end of follow up, all 10 patients with the distal pattern were able to walk (Hughes grades 0 to 2), whereas two (15%) of the 13 patients with the intermediate pattern and four (27%) of the 15 with the diffuse pattern had not regained the ability to walk independently.

**Table 3** Correlation of electrodiagnosis with outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrodiagnosis</th>
<th>A: distal (n=10)</th>
<th>B: intermediate (n=13)</th>
<th>C: diffuse (n=15)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hughes grade: mean (range):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before treatment</td>
<td>2.4 (1–4)</td>
<td>2.0 (1–4)</td>
<td>3.5 (2–4)</td>
<td>0.005†, 0.0004‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best during a course</td>
<td>1.2 (0–2)</td>
<td>1.6 (1–4)</td>
<td>2.3 (0–4)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the end of follow up</td>
<td>1.2 (0–2)</td>
<td>1.8 (1–4)</td>
<td>2.9 (1–4)</td>
<td>0.007*, 0.02‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Outcome                          |                 |                        |                  |        |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|                  |        |
| Long lasting remission* (n=18)   | 12              | 6                      | 0.001            |        |
| Treatment dependent relapsing    |                 |                        |                  |        |
| course (n=12)                    | 2               | 10                     | NS               |        |
| Chronic progressive/stable (n=8) | 0               | 8                      | 0.03             |        |

*Lasting for >12 months after stopping immune treatment.

Patients with the diffuse pattern showed, besides prolonged distal latencies, profound slowing of nerve conduction, or conduction block in the intermediate nerve segments. We speculate that the diffuse pattern is a severe and advanced form of the distal pattern associated with breakdown of the blood-nerve barrier and, therefore, with involvement of the intermediate segments. Firstly, the two patient groups had common features such as subacute onset, symmetric polyneuropathy, weakness involving proximal as well as distal muscles, and frequent responsiveness to corticosteroid treatment. Secondly, 33% of this subgroup of patients also showed AMNSSR, suggestive of distal predominant demyelination in the distal nerve terminals. Very frequent (90%) association of the AMNSSR shown in sensory studies further supports distal predominant demyelination.

During the active phase of some patients with CIDP, nerve biopsy shows endoneurial inflammatory changes with T lymphocyte infiltration and macrophage mediated
demyelination. Both T cells and macrophages secrete TNF-α, a proinflammatory cytokine that has toxic effects on peripheral myelin and endothelial cells. Increased serum TNF-α has been reported in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome, and the levels correlate with clinical and electrophysiological severity, suggesting that TNF-α plays a part in the breakdown of the blood-nerve barrier as well as nerve demyelination. Our results showed that serum concentrations of TNF-α increased only in patients with the diffuse pattern, suggesting impairment of the blood-nerve barrier in this subgroup of patients.

Patients with the diffuse pattern often expressed responsiveness to immune treatments but often had a treatment dependent relapsing course. These features may be exaggerated by longer disease activity in this subgroup of patients. For both distal and diffuse patient subgroups, it is reasonable that demyelination in the distal nerve terminals and nerve roots is associated with symmetric symptoms and weakness in the proximal as well as distal muscles because nerve length plays little or no part. The extent of increase in CSF protein concentrations, which could reflect breakdown of the blood-CSF barrier surrounding the nerve roots, was more prominent in the “distal” and “diffuse” subgroup patients than the intermediate subgroup patients. Preferential involvement of the nerve terminals and roots in these subgroups suggest that humoral factors such as immunoglobulins, cytokines, and complements may be important for the pathogenesis.

Patients with the intermediate pattern were characterised by a chronic course, asymmetric symptoms, less severe neurological disabilities, and refractoriness to treatments. Despite the asymmetry, all four limbs were involved in most of the patients. It is likely that multiple mononeuropathy constitutes this asymmetric polyneuropathy in these patients, because their conduction abnormalities were distributed multifocally in the intermediate nerve segments as shown in this study. The criteria for CIDP by the American Academy of Neurology do not require symmetric deficits. It is reasonable to include these patients in CIDP because their condition can respond to immunomodulating treatments. However, their clinical features and treatment responses seem somewhat different from those of patients with symmetric CIDP. This subgroup has been termed multifocal demyelinating sensory and motor neuropathy, and is considered as a multiple mononeuropathy variant of CIDP. Relative refractoriness to treatments in this subgroup may be due to axonal loss during the long course of the illness.

This study showed that patients with the “distal” motor pattern and AMNSSR had a better response to corticosteroid treatment and showed a monophasic remitting course (table 4). It is reasonable that the AMNSSR pattern is associated with better outcome because normal sural sensory responses suggest less axonal loss. Differentiating this subgroup of patients may be important because they are obviously steroid responsive. Intravenous immunoglobulin or plasmapheresis is becoming a common first line therapy for CIDP. Given the side effects profile of immunoglobulin or plasmapheresis compared with that of the long term use of corticosteroids, many clinicians might not regard steroids as the first line therapy for CIDP. However, immunoglobulin therapy and plasmapheresis are expensive or require special equipment. Steroid administration is an inexpensive and readily available alternative. It may be proper to treat patients with demyelination restricted in the distal nerve segments with corticosteroids first.

Because our study was retrospective and uncontrolled, we should be cautious about making assertions about responsiveness to treatments and prognosis. However, clinical and electrophysiological profiles are likely to be distinct among each subgroup. We suggest that CIDP consists of subtypes with varying predilection for lesions along the course of the nerves, as suggested in Guillain-Barré syndrome, and that distribution patterns of nerve conduction abnormalities may be useful to predict the responsiveness to treatment and the prognoses of patients with CIDP.
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NEUROLOGICAL PICTURE

Footdrop after peroneal nerve lesion

A 45 year old man presented with a history of footdrop. Years before examination he had noticed difficulties with pronation and mild difficulties with elevation of the right toe. He then presented with a 14 day history of acute complete loss of power of foot elevation and pronation and severe paresis of toe extension. Supination and plantar flexion of the foot and toes were intact. Nerve conduction studies showed an axonal lesion of the peroneal nerve. Needle EMG showed acute denervation and reduced interference pattern of the anterior tibial muscle. The long peroneal muscle showed an increase of tissue resistance to needle insertion compatible with muscle fibrolipomatosis. Moreover, there was absence of voluntary muscle activity and infrequent pathological spontaneous activity in this muscle. Magnetic resonance imaging of the lower leg disclosed a fatty degeneration of the long peroneal muscle (fig 1A, arrows) on T1 weighted images with only a few residual muscle fibres but with a regular circumference of the muscle. The remaining muscles of the lower leg appeared morphologically intact. On fat suppressed T2 weighted images (fig 1B) the anterior tibial muscle and the extensor digitorum muscle (arrows) showed an increased signal consistent with acute denervation. Thus, the diagnosis of a chronic lesion of the superficial ramus of the peroneal nerve associated with an acute denervation of the deep ramus was confirmed by MRI.
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