Head injury outcome prediction in the emergency department: a role for protein S-100B?
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Background: Biochemical markers released after head injury may reflect the degree of brain damage, which is related to subsequent disability. If the serum level of a marker were found to be related to outcome, then earlier identification and intervention would be possible.

Objective: To investigate the potential of the serum marker S-100B protein to predict the outcome after head injury.

Methods: Blood samples for S-100B concentrations were taken from 148 adults within six hours of a head injury (initial Glasgow coma score 4–15). Patients were recruited from the emergency departments of four hospitals in Greater Manchester, United Kingdom. Outcome was assessed in 119 patients (80%) at one month using the extended Glasgow outcome scale (GOSE).

Results: A significant inverse correlation between serum S-100B level and GOSE was found (Spearman’s ρ = −0.349, p < 0.0001). A serum S-100B concentration of > 0.32 µg/l predicted severe disability (GOSE < 5) at one month with a sensitivity of 93% (95% confidence interval 68% to 100%), a specificity of 72% (54% to 79%), and a negative predictive value of 99% (93% to 100%).

Conclusion: Serum S-100B concentration can be used in the emergency department to identify patients with head injury who are most likely to have a poor outcome at one month.

METHODS

Approval was obtained from the local research ethics committee for this prospective study. Patients were recruited from February 2000 for seven months.

Participants

We recruited 148 adult patients. Inclusion criteria were head injury and presentation to the emergency department within six hours of injury. The definition of head injury used for this study was “any blow to the head causing a clinical diagnosis of head injury to be made, even if insufficient to cause definite loss of consciousness.”

There were no specific exclusion criteria. In particular there was no upper age limit, and patients with concurrent injuries to other body regions were included. Those with suspected alcohol or drug ingestion and with pre-injury morbidity were also included. A six hour cut off was chosen as it is thought that S-100B is rapidly cleared from the serum, with a half life estimated at around two hours, although definitive clearance characteristics following head injury have yet to be established. Initial injury severity was assessed using the Glasgow coma score. All other investigations and treatments were in accordance with current departmental guidelines.

Setting

Patients were recruited from the emergency departments of four hospitals in Greater Manchester, two of which are teaching hospital departments and two are within district general hospitals.

Data collection

Demographic data were collected on each participant, and the time and mechanism of injury recorded. Relevant symptoms and signs were also recorded, along with radiological investigations and information on patient disposal.

S-100B assay

A venous blood sample was taken from each patient and the time of collection recorded. Blood samples were refrigerated
Table 1  The extended Glasgow outcome scale (GOSE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOSE score</th>
<th>Performance level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vegetative state</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lower severe disability: completely dependent on others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Upper severe disability: dependent on others for some activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lower moderate disability: unable to return to work or participate in social activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Upper moderate disability: return to work at reduced capacity, reduced participation in social activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lower good recovery: good recovery with minor social or mental deficits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Upper good recovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Outcome measures

An assessment of neurological disability was made at one month using the extended Glasgow outcome score (GOSE)\(^1\) (table 1). This is an amendment to the original, widely used Glasgow outcome scale.\(^2\) It is an eight point scoring system and was administered in this study as a standardised interview.\(^3\) The Glasgow outcome scale is the most widely used method describing the overall outcome after head injury, and its extended form (GOSE) has been validated with regard to other outcome measures including subjective reports of health outcome such as the short form 36 assessment\(^4\) and neuropsychological tests.\(^5\)

Patients and their relatives were contacted by telephone, as in the cohort study by Thornhill et al on a similar population.\(^6\) Outcome was assessed blind to the serum S-100B level. A GOSE score between 5 and 7 denotes moderate disability and encompasses individuals with significant restrictions in lifestyle or work capacity, or both. Those assigned a score of 4 or less (severe disability) are unable to support themselves for 24 hours in the community.\(^7\) Patients with previous morbidity were assessed for any change associated with their head injury and assigned a good outcome if able to function at the same level as before the injury.

Statistical analysis

The correlation between clinical variables measured at initial assessment and GOSE score was assessed using Spearman’s method for non-normal data. The extent to which the S-100B levels differed between those disabled and those with a good recovery after injury was assessed using receiver operator characteristics (ROC) plots. The ROC plot is obtained by calculating the sensitivity and specificity for every distinct observed data value, and plotting sensitivity against 1–specificity. Diagnostic accuracy is given by the area under the curve. This area represents the probability that a person, chosen at random, with disability after head injury has a higher S-100B level than a randomly chosen, head injured person without disability.\(^8\) To assess the accuracy of S-100B estimation in identifying any significant disability after head injury, outcome was divided into good, with a GOSE score of 7 or 8 and transferred to the laboratory within 48 hours. The stability of S-100B concentrations in whole blood for this time period has been demonstrated.\(^9\) Samples were centrifuged and the serum was frozen at −20°C until analysed. S-100B concentration was measured in duplicate on each patient and the serum was frozen at -20°C until analysed. The minimum measurable S-100B value for this assay is 0.02 µg/l.

Table 2  Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total (n=148)</th>
<th>Followed up (n=119)</th>
<th>Not followed up (n=29)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean [SD])</td>
<td>47.4 (20.9)</td>
<td>49.0 (21.2)</td>
<td>39.8 (17.7)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>93 (63%)</td>
<td>71 (60%)</td>
<td>22 (76%)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial GCS 15</td>
<td>130 (88%)</td>
<td>103 (87%)</td>
<td>27 (93%)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC</td>
<td>52 (35%)</td>
<td>43 (36%)</td>
<td>9 (31%)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharged from ED</td>
<td>93 (63%)</td>
<td>73 (61%)</td>
<td>20 (69%)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ED, emergency department; GCS, Glasgow coma score; LOC, loss of consciousness.

Table 3  Radiological findings, initial Glasgow coma score, S-100B level, and extended Glasgow outcome scale in 15 patients undergoing computed tomography of the head as part of routine evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>GCS</th>
<th>CT findings</th>
<th>S-100B</th>
<th>GOSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Basal skull fracture only</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Skull fracture only</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Normal (skull fracture seen on x ray)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Basal skull fracture, intracerebral and subdural blood</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Small amount subdural blood</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Basal skull fracture only</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Intracerebral blood with raised intracranial pressure</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Basal skull fracture with subarachnoid blood</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Small subdural with contusion</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Intracerebral blood with raised intracranial pressure</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Chronic multi-infarct atrophy. No acute changes</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Depressed fracture; extensive haemorrhagic contusions, subarachnoid blood</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT, computed tomography; GCS, Glasgow coma score at initial assessment; GOSE, Glasgow outcome scale, extended.
and adverse, with a score of less than 7. The subgroup of patients with the most severe limitations—those with GOSE < 5—were also of interest, so analysis was repeated with outcome dichotomised at that level. ROC curves were therefore plotted with an adverse outcome defined as GOSE < 7 and as GOSE < 5. The outcome data from the subgroup with an initial GCS of 13–15 were also analysed in this way, as such patients are customarily grouped together as mildly injured.

The ROC plots were used to identify cut off points that ensured a high proportion of patients disabled after injury would be detected (high sensitivity) at the cost of a relatively high false positive rate (low specificity). Analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS).

RESULTS

Follow up

We recruited 148 patients ranging in age from 18 to 94 years. GOSE scores were assigned to 119 of these (80%). Follow up was attempted in all patients. Those not assigned GOSE scores had all been discharged back to the community and could not be contacted by telephone or mail. None of this group had died or undergone operative procedure related to their injuries and could not be contacted by telephone or mail. 117 of these patients were considered to have made a good recovery at follow up (GOSE > 6).

Comparison of the demographic data and injury characteristics of those reviewed and those lost to follow up are summarised in table 2. The groups were assessed by logistic regression analysis. There was a trend towards a less successful follow up of younger patients, but no statistically significant differences were found.

Clinical findings and outcome assessment

Most patients were classified as having sustained mild injuries (GCS 13–15) (113 of 119; 95%) and the majority (90 of 119; 76%) were considered to have made a good recovery at follow up (GOSE > 6). There was a significant correlation between initial GCS and GOSE (Spearman’s ρ = 0.350, p < 0.001). However, within the subgroup of those patients presenting with GCS 13–15 a good recovery was not assured. A GOSE score of less than 7 was found in 24 of 113 of this mild injury group (21%).

Sex, age over 50, presence of post-traumatic amnesia, loss of consciousness, and initial GCS less than 14 were entered into a logistic regression model. None of these factors predicted outcome independently.

Fifteen patients underwent cranial computed tomography (CT) following injury. These findings are shown in table 3.

S-100B and outcome

The serum concentration of S-100B was estimated for patients with known GOSE scores. The median time of sampling was 130 minutes after injury (range 18 to 360 minutes).

One blood sample was misplaced and so analysis was performed on data from 118 patients. S-100B was detectable in the serum in 92 of 118 patients (78%). One blood sample was misplaced and so analysis was performed on data from 118 patients. A significant inverse correlation between S-100B concentration and GOSE score was found (Spearman’s ρ = −0.349, p < 0.001). When added to the logistic regression model, only serum S-100B level independently predicted outcome (r = −0.13, p = 0.039).

Receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curves were plotted (fig 1). Cut off points were chosen on each of the curves that would ensure the detection of the largest proportion of those disabled with the least compromise of specificity (fig 1). These were 0.27 µg/l for moderate disability and 0.32 µg/l for severe disability. The diagnostic characteristics of these cut off points are summarised in tables 4 and 5.

Mild head injury outcome assessment

Data analysis was repeated for the subgroup with initial GCS 13–15 (n = 112). A cut off point of 0.48 µg/l was shown to predict a GOSE score of < 5 with a sensitivity of 90% (95% confidence interval 55% to 99%), a specificity of 83% (75% to 90%), and a negative predictive value of 99% (94% to 100%).

DISCUSSION

In this study we evaluated the potential role of S-100B as an early predictive marker of disability in adult patients with head injuries. Our results confirm that recovery for some patients with mild brain injury and no need for surgery is neither prompt nor complete. 1 The disability rate (GOSE < 7) in this heterogeneous cohort was 29 of 119 (24%), with 15 of 119 (13%) severely disabled (GOSE < 5). This rate is lower than in the most recent, large scale study of a similar population. 1 This
difference might be accounted for by our inclusion of patients with less severe injuries. We included those whose head injury was not associated with loss of consciousness or amnesia and those discharged directly from the emergency department.

Outcome assessment
Adults presenting to emergency departments with “minor” head injuries are difficult to follow up for research purposes. We aimed to minimise this difficulty by assessing outcome earlier than is customary, at one month. This approach was vindicated by our follow up rate of 80%, which compares favourably with previous work (41% to 71%).

A one month follow up was described as the earliest time point for reliable outcome assessment in the review that accompanied the publication of the standardised GOSE interview used in our study. It was anticipated that those fully recovered at one month would remain well and would therefore require no further input. This is supported by a study of patients with head injury and extracranially injured controls followed up at three and six months using the GOSE by Levin et al, which showed deterioration with time to be extremely unlikely. Most patients in our study had mild injuries and were either discharged directly from the emergency department or following an inpatient stay of less than 48 hours. In this group the one month interval seemed particularly appropriate in determining which patients had recovered. If the aim of S-100B estimation is to discharge those with low levels from further intervention knowing that a good recovery is extremely likely, then this group is of interest.

It is acknowledged that for the more severely disabled the one month follow up interval does not truly reflect “outcome” as much as poor progress. A proportion of those severely disabled at one month will recover fully, as improvement with time has been demonstrated. Nonetheless, some of those with problems at one month in this study are clearly at risk of chronic morbidity, and the ability to identify them at one month would allow early intervention to be offered.

A GOSE of > 6 denotes a return to full activity and no need for further support from rehabilitation services. Outcome was dichotomised at this level for that reason. It is unlikely that many patients who develop non-surgical complications will currently be identified or treated, as a postal survey in 1994 revealed that 87% of emergency departments in England and Wales offered no formal follow up to head injured patients.

Resources to set up a service offering such care are likely to be limited, so identification of those with most to gain from treatment would also be important. We therefore assessed the accuracy with which S-100B identified more severe impairment—that is, a GOSE score of 4 or less.

S-100B in those lost to follow up
We did not measure the S-100B concentrations in patients who were not followed up, to minimise cost. We considered those whose levels we did measure to be representative of the whole group, based on demographic data and initial clinical findings. We were also satisfied that our data were not biased by loss to follow up because of death or readmission to hospital. This approach, however, does not allow us to assess whether the association between S-100B level and outcome differs for those we could not contact. We know, however, that the outcome for those lost to follow up is likely to have been favourable, and so there could not have been a large proportion of false negative results in this group. We therefore conclude that the results presented are not biased towards overestimating the sensitivity of the test. We cannot exclude a high false positive rate among those not followed up.

Identification of severe and moderate disability
In our cohort, S-100B estimation was a better detector of severe disability than of moderate disability. One explanation of such an effect is the lesser impact of confounding factors—such as premorbid personality or the mechanism of injury—at more severe levels of disability. At the upper levels of the GOSE, scores relate to more subtle interpretations of how symptoms affect function after head injury, such as the impact on personal relationships. In this context, an assault might be expected to have a bigger psychological impact than a simple fall, regardless of the extent of brain tissue damage. This effect would clearly not apply to those who have died, and would be expected to be of less importance to those unable to function independently. Therefore outcome would be reflected more accurately by a measure of brain injury in more severely disabled individuals. A larger cohort of patients would be needed to investigate such an effect, however. The cut off point for severe disability was higher than that for moderate disability, reflecting the significant inverse correlation between S-100B level and GOSE that was found.

S-100B and CT scan results
It has been suggested that S-100B might have potential as a screening tool for the requirement for cranial CT following head injury. This is supported by our data. The only patient with a low S-100B among those imaged had a normal scan.

Recent data suggest that S-100B measured in the serum might more accurately by a measure of brain injury in more severely disabled individuals. A larger cohort of patients would be needed to investigate such an effect, however. The cut off point for severe disability was higher than that for moderate disability, reflecting the significant inverse correlation between S-100B level and GOSE that was found.

S-100B and extracranial injury
Recent data suggest that S-100B measured in the serum might partly be derived from extracranial sources in the multiply injured patient. We included patients with extracranial injury in an attempt to assess S-100B across the full spectrum of head injury presentation and to reflect the normal clinical situation in an emergency department. An extracranial source of S-100B might offer one explanation for the relatively high false positive rate we found.

We did not investigate the potential confounding effect of an extracranial source of S-100B in the present study. Recruiting comparable controls was not thought possible because of the heterogeneity of our patients with regard to both the mechanism and the severity of their injuries. A comparable control group would include patients with multiple extracranial injuries who could have a greater degree of injury to the brain through deceleration than those with isolated trauma to the head, even if a history of percussive trauma to the cranium was absent. It would be important to consider this effect in any further data from traumatised control patients.

Confounding by extracranial S-100B release would be of particular clinical importance if invasive or potentially hazardous interventions were to be offered on the strength of a raised level. It is more important, however, that a marker of outcome is sensitive enough to allow those with a “negative” result to be discharged with minimal risk of adverse consequences. We have shown that significant neurological disability is extremely unlikely if S-100B level is low, and that this is unaffected by the existence or treatment of concurrent injuries.

In addition to a possible effect on S-100B levels, extracranial injury might also confound outcome assessment. To minimise this effect patients were asked to evaluate their disability according to their head injury alone. We assigned their GOSE score accordingly. Confounding factors such as extracranial injury, or indeed preinjury morbidity, would tend to increase the number of patients with a poor outcome. If that were the case, serum S-100B would appear less sensitive in identifying disability in this study—that is, the false negative rate would be expected to be lower.
be increased. For this reason we believe that S-100B is as least as sensitive as demonstrated by these data.

**S-100B clearance characteristics**

The half life of S-100B in serum is quoted at around two hours in previous mild head injury work. This is based on analysis of concentrations in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass procedures. The half life in this setting has more recently been estimated at around 25 minutes. Estimation in severely head injured patients suggests that the half life is around three hours in this group. Continuing release of S-100B with secondary brain injury has been shown, and this could account for the prolonged half life found in the severely injured. Clearance characteristics following mild head injury have yet to be confirmed. If the half life in this group is as rapid as anticipated, however, then clearly the time elapsed between the injury and the serum measurement could be expected to have a profound effect on the interpretation of the results. We chose to recruit patients within six hours of injury to minimise such effects. These data show that the S-100B concentration is extremely unlikely to fall to “normal” levels within six hours in those with prognostically significant injuries despite its rapid clearance from the serum. However, more work in this area is needed.

**Implications for clinical practice**

Around 500 000 adult patients attend the emergency departments of England and Wales annually. If our data are extrapolated to national statistics, then around 60 000 (12%) of these will be severely disabled at one month. Estimating serum S-100B level would identify those patients. Moreover, the 320 000 patients (64%) with low serum concentrations (< 0.32 µg/l) could reasonably be discharged from follow up, once intracranial haemorrhage was excluded, with the assurance that they are likely to be able to function independently within a month.

**Conclusions**

This test may provide valuable prognostic information that could be used to inform clinical management decisions and, in turn, to improve outcome. The next step is to validate our derived cut off points in a second group of patients.
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