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Objective: To characterise the nature of cognitive change in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD).

Methods: Case histories are reported of four patients with sporadic (sCJD) and two with familial CJD (fCJD), with postmortem pathological findings in four cases. The data derived from cognitive examination are examined with respect to the presence or absence of a variety of characteristics to elicit performance profiles across cognitive domains.

Results: Three patients with sCJD exhibited clear focal cortical deficits. One patient had visual impairment leading to cortical blindness, associated with posterior hemisphere abnormalities on single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging; two others had impairments in language, mirrored by left hemisphere SPECT abnormalities. The remaining three patients showed no specific cortical symptomatology. Despite these differences all six patients shared common qualitative characteristics: episodic unresponsiveness, interference effects, and profound verbal and motor perseveration. These common features are interpreted in terms of impaired activation and regulation of neocortex from subcortical structures. Findings from postmortem pathological examination and from the published literature provide converging evidence to implicate a critical role of the thalamus.

Conclusion: These preliminary findings suggest that sCJD and fCJD may be associated with distinct neuropsychological characteristics.
Neuropsychological characteristics in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease

Neurological findings and investigations
He had a full range of voluntary eye movements and intact pupillary responses, but he was effectively blind. Power was preserved but there was rigidity of all four limbs with catatonic posturing of the arms. Tendon jerks were brisk, the left plantar response was extensor. There were no grasp reflexes. He tended to ignore tactile stimuli applied to the left limbs. His gait was ataxic. There were multiple myoclonic jerks of all four limbs. Successive electroencephalograms (EEGs) showed markedly increasing abnormalities with diffuse slow wave activity and transient bursts of slow and sharp waves. Computed tomography (CT) brain scan was normal but single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) scan showed several areas of reduced uptake, particularly in the posterior regions.

Cognitive evaluation
He looked anxious and perplexed, and stared into space, or else his gaze wandered. His ability to cooperate in testing was variable. There were marked episodic fluctuations in attention, with brief intervals of seconds or sometimes minutes duration during which he was uncommunicative, unresponsive, and inaccessible to testing, interspersed between periods of responsiveness and appropriate engagement in tasks. His responsiveness was facilitated by his wife’s presence and by responsiveness to questions. Perseveration of his own earlier responses and intrusions from the interviewer’s earlier remarks were frequent. Sometimes, he did not respond to the current question, but instead responded to an earlier question, which had been put to him during a period of inaccessibility and to which he had failed at the time to respond. Motor performance was also hampered by marked perseveration.

Table 1: Patient demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>CJD subtype</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age at onset (months)</th>
<th>Illness duration at first assessment (months)</th>
<th>Total illness duration (months)</th>
<th>Pathological confirmation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sporadic</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sporadic</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Familial</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Familial</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sporadic</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yes (biopsy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Sporadic</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Presenting features and investigations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Presenting symptom</th>
<th>EEG diffuse slowing</th>
<th>EEG triphasic</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>SPECT abnormality</th>
<th>SPECT emphasis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Visual disorder</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anomia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Left anterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Agraphia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Personality change</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>Patchy</td>
<td>Left anterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Poor concentration</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Unsteadiness, poor memory</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, abnormality present; –, abnormality absent.
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the dentate nucleus appeared normal, though a patchy loss of Purkinje cells was seen. The deep white matter of the cerebellum was mildly gliotic. No deposits of prion protein as amyloid plaques were present in any part of the brain.

**Prion protein and gene analysis**

Immunoblotting and Western blotting revealed the presence of the abnormal isoform of prion protein. Sequencing the open reading frame of the prion gene revealed no mutations. Codon 129 showed methionine homozygosity.

**Patient 2**

**Historical background**

At the age of 55 this engineer developed increasing difficulties in naming and he adopted a strategy of drawing to facilitate communication. Six months later his writing deteriorated, with spelling errors and letter omissions and he could no longer sign his name. However, his comprehension, both aural and written, was regarded as intact. Three months later he was noted to have difficulty carrying out sequential activities. When making coffee he poured water into the coffee jar instead of the cup; when lighting his pipe he had difficulty judging where to apply the flame, and he had been noted to put one unlit pipe in his mouth while lighting another in his hand. His ability to identify faces and objects appeared intact, but spatial abilities such as dressing and telling the time were impaired. Memory for day to day and current news events was variable. His personality was regarded as unchanged and he showed introspection and appropriate concern regarding his illness.

**Neurological findings and investigations**

Neurological examination, carried out 11 months after onset of illness, revealed intact visual fields but some difficulty in visual localisation. Eye movements were slow and convergence was limited. There was muscular rigidity, brisk tendon reflexes, equivocal plantar responses, and bilateral grasp reflexes. Movements were accurate but perseverative and synkinetic. He was noted intermittently to maintain fixed postures of the limbs and trunk for several minutes, during which time he was mute.

The electroencephalogram displayed generalised delta wave activity with triphasic wave forms over the right cerebral hemisphere. Computed tomography was normal. SPECT imaging revealed multiple areas of reduced uptake in the cerebral cortex, especially in the left frontal lobe.

**Cognitive evaluation**

Evaluation of his mental state revealed variability in his level of responsiveness, with periods of total unresponsiveness of seconds or minutes duration. Sometimes he appeared vacant and perplexed and he either failed to respond at all or else his responses were irrelevant in content. At other times he responded appropriately and showed surprising flashes of insight into his illness and its traumatic effect on his family. The day prior to the assessment he had wandered from the hospital and had been found by the police, but was unresponsive when questioned by them. During the course of the following day’s assessment he spontaneously commented how frightened he had been when alone in the city, his worries of being mugged, and his sense of relief when the police arrived. He remarked also on the oddity of being unable to communicate with the police and pondered the basis for this difficulty. His rate of both verbal and motor responses during the course of the assessment interview was excessively slowed.

His language was normal in grammatical structure, paraphasias were absent, and he made good use of affective prosody. However, his utterances were characterised by perseveration and disjunct utterances of stereotyped phrases, chiefly referring to autobiographical events. Intrusions both from earlier conversations and from environmental stimuli occurred: names of nearby objects were interpolated irrelevantly into his speech output. Assessment of comprehension was complicated by the variability and perseverative nature of both verbal and motor responses, but there was no convincing evidence of a primary impairment at a lexical-semantic level. However, he had difficulty understanding complex syntax. His repetition span was reduced to four digits. Confrontation naming was markedly perseverative and verbal fluency yielded no response. Naming performance for individual items was variable across repeated naming trials. Reading and spelling were impaired by perseverations and intrusions from earlier tasks. Writing could not be attempted. Perceptual identification skills were difficult to evaluate because of a profound difficulty in spatial localisation of stimuli and distraction from irrelevant environmental stimuli. In carrying out gestural and non-representational actions and in motor sequences and drawing there was synkinesis and marked perseveration. Memory could not be formally assessed. However, on some occasions he appeared disorientated and could access little relevant autobiographical information, whereas on others he gave a coherent account of events. He was noted to be most responsive and communicative when the topic of conversation related to his personal and family life and was of emotional significance to him.

He became increasingly prone to periods of inaccessibility over the ensuing three months until his death, with increasingly prolonged periods of limb posturing and frequent startle reaction to noise. Post-mortem brain examination was undertaken.

**Neuropathological changes**

The brain appeared normal, both externally and on coronal section. The grey matter of the cerebral cortex showed a widespread and severe spongiosis extending throughout all cortical layers. There was extensive loss of nerve cells and vacuolation of remaining cells, though reactive astrocytosis was slight. The corpus striatum showed similar changes, though within the thalamus spongiosis was less extensive but a moderate gliosis was present. The cerebellar cortex showed a mild spongiform change with moderate gliosis of both grey and deep white matter. Granule and Purkinje cells were normal. No deposits of prion protein in the form of amyloid plaques were present in any part of the brain.

**Patient 3**

**Historical background**

At the age of 56 this remedial teacher noticed difficulty in writing, both in spelling and in executing individual letters. Four months after onset of symptoms she ceased to write, and exhibited difficulties in oral expression. Speech was hesitant, stuttering, and contained phonemic errors, of which she was aware. She complained of problems in word finding. During the next month she became unable to dress and orientate clothing. She was insightful and became anxious and distressed. She was admitted for investigation six months after onset of symptoms.

**Neurological findings and investigations**

Neurological examination revealed intact vision. Facial expression was slightly reduced. There was increased tone and minimal weakness of the right arm and leg. She exhibited posturing of the right arm. The tendon reflexes were brisker on the right side and both plantar responses were extensor. Elementary sensation in the limbs was preserved, but she had marked clumsiness of both hands and difficulty replicating hand postures. She walked slowly with reduced arm swing. There were multiple myoclonic jerks of the limbs.

Electroencephalography revealed diffuse slow wave activity on which was superimposed recurring runs of higher voltage delta activity with some triphasic waves. The CT was normal.
The SPECT scan revealed abnormal uptake in both cerebral hemispheres and especially the left cerebral cortex.

**Cognitive evaluation**

On mental examination she appeared apprehensive and preoccupied by her communication difficulties. Her social skills were well preserved. Her speech was hesitant and effortful and contaminated by profound perseveration of phrases and prior item intrusions. Phonemic paraphasias also occurred, which she would attempt to rectify by successive attempts. Overlearned verbal series, once initiated were produced with relative ease. Evaluation of comprehension indicated relatively good understanding at the single word level, but poor comprehension of complex syntactic sentences. Repetition, naming, reading, and writing were grossly perseverative. Perceptual and spatial skills were less affected than her language skills. She was able to fixate and recognise objects. Motor actions, both symbolic and non-representational, were severely compromised by perseveration. Memory assessment was constrained by her expressive difficulties. Nevertheless, she was orientated and could provide information about autobiographical events. She was able to describe, within the limits of her expressive powers, the course of her illness and the nature of her symptoms.

**Progression**

With progression of disease, variability in her performance was increasingly evident, with periods during which she was unable to respond interspersed with periods during which she was relatively communicative. She became increasingly distractible, both by visual and auditory stimuli. She deteriorated rapidly, the periods of unresponsiveness becoming more frequent and prolonged. Verbal expression became limited to a few perseverative, stereotyped phrases and finally ceased. Dyspraxia affected all manual tasks and whole body movements. Myoclonus was marked. She died six weeks after her initial hospital investigation. Postmortem brain tissue examination was carried out.

**Neuropathological changes**

The brain appeared normal externally and on coronal section only a mild ventricular enlargement was seen. A severe loss of nerve cells and a profound spongiosi was present throughout the cortical laminae within the frontal convex cortex and occipital cortex; the orbitofrontal cortex, insular, temporal, and parietal cortex showed less change. An intense reactive astrocytosis was present in all cortical grey and white matter areas, irrespective of whether spongiosi was present or not. The putamen showed a severe loss of neurones, spongiosis, and gliosis, though the caudate nucleus and thalamus were less severely affected. The cerebellar cortex showed minimal spongiosi, though there was a moderate radial gliosis in the molecular layer with some gliosis in Purkinje and granule cell layers. The deep white matter was intensely gliotic. There was patchy loss of both Purkinje and granule cells.

Many amyloid plaques composed of prion protein were present in the frontal cortex, cerebellum, and putamen, and to a lesser extent in other cortical areas, caudate nucleus, and thalamus. In the cerebellum they were mostly present in the molecular layer, though others were seen in granule and Purkinje cell layers. The plaques were of a single or multicentric amorphous type, as commonly seen in Gerstmann-Straussler-Scheinker syndrome, with occasional “clouds” of deposit throughout the depth of the molecular layer. Similar globular, multicentric, or conglomerate deposits were present in the frontal cortex. The plaques were heavily invested with astrocytic processes, though little microglial activity was present.

Immunoblotting and Western blotting revealed the presence of the abnormal isoform of prion protein. Sequencing the open reading frame of the prion gene revealed proline to leucine mutation at codon 102. Codon 129 was methionine/valine heterozygous.

**Patient 4**

**Historical background**

This 59 year old welder underwent rapid personality change with increased aggression, together with slurring of speech and difficulty walking. There was a very rapid physical decline, leading to his hospital admission two months later.

**Neurological findings and investigations**

On examination, his speech was dysarthric and he had weakness and increased tone in the lower limbs, which tended to be held in flexion. Tendon reflexes were brisk and both plantar responses were extensor. Elementary sensation was intact. He had an ataxic gait and there were multiple myoclonic jerks of the limbs. Computed tomography revealed mild cerebral atrophy. The EEG showed gross delta wave activity but without phasic complexes. SPECT showed patchy reductions in tracer uptake, affecting the left hemisphere more than the right.

**Cognitive evaluation**

He looked frightened and bewildered. He displayed striking fluctuations in his level of responsiveness. For the majority of the time he was totally unresponsive. During these prolonged periods he was speechless, but restless, making repetitive movements with the hands or adopting fixed postures of the limbs. However, there were brief intervals of a few minutes duration during which he was responsive. In these periods he spoke comprehensibly without paraphasias. He read aloud a short story accurately. He recognised and named common objects. He completed simple written additions. He copied gestures and non-representational hand postures accurately and he participated in games of noughts and crosses, showing both spatial awareness and preserved knowledge of the rules of the game. Nevertheless, both verbal and motor responses were marred by marked perseveration and execution of tasks was slowed.

It was noted that in the presence of clinicians he was unresponsive for most of the time, failing to attend to stimuli shown to him and failing to respond to questions either verbally or by gesture. Nevertheless, in the company of his family he was noted to be responsive and communicative for prolonged periods.

**Progression**

He continued to decline rapidly, becomingly increasingly and then totally unresponsive and died three months after onset of symptoms. Postmortem examination was not performed. However, a similar rapidly progressive dementia occurred in his brother and a cousin, and in the latter there was postmortem pathological confirmation of the diagnosis of CJD.

**Patient 5**

**Historical background**

At the age of 34 this housewife complained of impaired memory and loss of confidence. Three months after onset of symptoms she was slow and vague in replies and disorientated, and became increasingly anxious and distressed. She was referred to a psychiatrist who noted the variable and patchy nature of her amnesia and disorientation. The variability, together with her physical wellbeing, a normal computed tomogram, and the presence of major domestic problems led to a diagnosis of non-organic illness. However, her symptoms progressed, leading to a neurological referral three months later.

**Neurological findings and investigations**

Neuropsychological examination revealed minor disturbances only. There was dyskinesia of the jaw, a mild increase in tone of the
upper limbs, and brief brisk myoclonic jerks on startle. Computed tomography was normal. The electroencephalogram revealed diffuse excess of slow wave activity, episodic in nature.

**Cognitive evaluation**
Her mental performance was characterised by variable responsiveness. Usually she appeared bewildered and remained silent or produced stereotyped phrases, perseverated earlier responses, or echoed the examiner’s question. However, periodically she produced pertinent replies, which were grammatically correct and without paraphasias; she named objects and famous faces, showed comprehension of simple verbal instructions, and responded appropriately to action commands. Her memory could not be assessed because of her unresponsiveness. During periods of optimal responsiveness she commented on the absurdity of her forgetfulness and expressed concern regarding her altered mental state.

**Progression**
Cortical biopsy was undertaken, confirming the diagnosis of CJD. She became increasingly unresponsive and died three months later.

**Patient 6**

**Historical background**
A 59 year old lady developed increasing unsteadiness leading to falls. She was noted by relatives to have problems with memory.

**Neuropsychological profile analysis**

**Methods**
The presence or absence of a variety of performance characteristics was determined on the basis of written reports and analysis of patients’ responses and error types. Retrospective analyses, particularly of qualitative data, have inevitably limitations. However, analyses were based on a common data set across all patients, reported in a standard format by a single neuropsychologist, suggesting the likelihood of internal consistency. The presence of each abnormality was determined as follows.

**Attention**

**Variable responsiveness**: documented report that the patient sometimes fails to respond, or there is an uncharacteristic time lag between question and initiation of response (that is, out of keeping with the usual rate of response), during which the patient is immobile with fixed gaze.

**Distracted by visual environment**: documented report that the patient’s gaze wanders on to irrelevant stimuli or that the patient incorporates irrelevantly into his/her utterances names of objects or words from the visual environment.
Language

Irrelevant content/intrusions: documented record of responses that are unrelated to question. These may reflect an intrusion from an earlier topic of conversation or an extraneous environmental stimulus.

Verbal perseverations: repetition of the preceding response. Based on spontaneous speech utterances and object naming responses.

Grammatical errors: based on analysis of recorded spontaneous speech utterances and test responses.

Semantic errors: based on analysis of recorded spontaneous speech utterances and object naming responses.

Phonemic paraphasias: based on spontaneous speech utterances, object naming responses, and repetition of sentences.

Impaired object naming: based on impaired naming of common objects, not accounted for by general unresponsiveness, intrusions, and perseverative responses. This includes lexical retrieval failures, and phonological and semantic naming errors.

Impaired lexical comprehension: based on patient’s inability to point to a series of named objects and body parts.

Impaired syntactic comprehension: based on patient’s inability to respond correctly to six syntactically complex sentences.

Perceptuospatial abilities

Impaired recognition of line drawings: based on 10 line drawings of common objects. Recognition failures are defined as those not attributable to general unresponsiveness, intrusions, or response perseverations. They include “don’t know” responses and misperceptions.

Impaired recognition of faces: based on nine famous faces. Recognition failures are those not attributable to general unresponsiveness, intrusions, or response perseverations. They include “don’t know” responses and misperception errors.

Spatial skills

Impaired localisation: based on documented reports of prolonged latencies in localising visual stimuli.

Praxis

Motor perseveration: based on documented report of perseveration of action in limb gesture, action pantomime, copying hand postures.

Impaired limb gesture and pantomime: impairment not accounted for by unresponsiveness or motor perseveration. This includes actions that are spatially degraded with respect to internal detail or overall position in space.

Impaired copy of non-representational hand positions: impairment not accounted for by unresponsiveness or motor perseveration. This includes actions that are spatially inaccurate with respect to internal detail or overall position in space.

Memory

Disoriented in time and place: incorrect orientation responses during periods of responsiveness, not accounted for by response perseverations. This includes both “don’t know” and incorrect responses.

Impaired current autobiographical memory: inability to provide information about ongoing personal events, during periods of responsiveness.

Executive skills

Weigl’s blocks: inability to sort blocks according to common feature (shape, colour, or motif) or identify sorting rule when blocks sorted by examiner.

Impaired verbal fluency: failure to generate more than five animals or “F” words in one minute.

Behaviour

Fearfulness, perplexity: based on documented report of patient’s mental state.

Table 3: Cognitive and behavioural characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abnormality</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable responsiveness</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distracted by external environment</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrelevant content/intrusions</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal perseverations</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grammatical errors in speech</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantic paraphasias</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonemic paraphasias</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired lexical comprehension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired syntactic comprehension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired object naming</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial skills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired localisation</td>
<td>Blind</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praxis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor perseveration</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired limb gesture and pantomime</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired copy of hand postures</td>
<td>Blind</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disoriented in time and place</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired autobiographical events</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weigl’s block sorting</td>
<td>Blind</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal fluency (animals/“F” words)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fearfulness, perplexity</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired self reflection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social disinhibition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+, abnormality present; −, abnormality absent; ?, impossible to determine.

Self reflection: based on documented report that patient comments on own illness/errors.

Social disinhibition: based on documented report of inappropriate social interaction.

Results

Table 3 shows the profile of performance in each patient. There were some features common to all patients. All showed variability in their responsiveness and all were distracted by their visual surroundings. In all, speech content was sometimes irrelevant, unrelated to the question asked. In all, perseverations dominated conversational speech and naming responses. No patient, however, made grammatical errors in conversation, none made semantic errors in naming, and none showed impairment in single word comprehension, at least for common objects.

Other language features varied across the group. Only patients 2 and 3 made phonological errors. In patient 2 these were elicited only on a repetition task, whereas in patient 3 there were sporadic phonemic paraphasias errors also in conversational speech and naming. Although perseverations dominated naming performance in all patients, only patients 2 and 3 provided evidence of naming impairment for common objects, beyond that attributable to general unresponsiveness or response perseveration. Both had difficulty comprehending complex syntactic sentences. Patients varied with respect to their performance on perceptual and spatial tasks. Patient 1 was effectively blind and thus was unable to carry out any task in a visual domain. Patient 2 showed impaired spatial localisation of objects, so that his perceptual recognition could not...
reliably be determined. Other patients were able to recognise line drawings and patients 5 and 6 identified and named famous faces. Motor perseveration dominated performance on manual tasks in all patients. In all, errors on tests of praxis predominately represented perseverations of earlier actions. However, actions in patient 2 were also markedly spatially degraded and executed in an inappropriate part of space. Similarly, whereas copies of non-representational hand postures were spatially accurate in patients 3–6 (when not compromised by response perseveration) they were spatially degraded in patient 2.

Memory performance was variable, both across patients and within patients. Only patient 6 was frankly amnesic, being disoriented in time and place and unable to provide any information about current autobiographical events. Patient 2 was disoriented on specific orientation questioning, yet gave a good account of ongoing events, commenting accurately on events of the previous days. Other patients showed good orientation and autobiographical memory, or else this could not be determined because of unresponsiveness to memory questions.

All patients showed highly impaired generational skills, and on a verbal fluency task no patient was able to produce more than five animal words in one minute or five “F” words. All patients seemed bewildered, fearful, and perplexed. None was socially disinhibited.

**DISCUSSION**

The patients reported in this study differed in terms of their clinical presentation. Two sCJD and one fCJD patients presented with focal cortical deficits, patient 1 with alterations in primary vision, patient 2 with anoma, and patient 3 with difficulties in writing and spelling. The remaining three patients had a less specific pattern of symptomatology. The fCJD patient showed changes in behaviour, difficulty in walking, and slurring of speech. Two sCJD patients experienced poor concentration and variable memorising, lethargy, and malaise, together, in one patient, with unsteadiness. This heterogeneity mirrors other reports of sCJD. A wide spectrum of focal presentations have been reported that include aphasia,13–15 apraxia,11,16 visual impairments,12–14 cortical deafness,10,17–19 depression,20 and obsessive-compulsive disorder.21 In other patients the presentation is relatively non-specific and may consist of lethargy and difficulty in concentration.21–23

The six patients’ clinical presentations were reflected by the pattern of findings on cognitive evaluation. Patient 1 was unable to attempt any task in a visual domain, yet he showed no evidence of primary impairment in language and he was not clinically amnesic. By contrast, patients 2 and 3 had notable language impairments, accompanied in patient 2 by problems in spatial localisation. The remaining patients showed no specific evidence of cortical symptomatology. They did not make linguistic errors, showed no problems in object or face perception, or in spatial localisation. The different performance profiles were reflected in findings on SPECT imaging. Abnormalities were most marked in the posterior hemispheres in patient 1 and in the left anterior hemisphere in patients 2 and 3.

Despite heterogeneity with respect to the prominent domains of cognitive impairment there were qualitative performance characteristics common to all six patients. Firstly, and perhaps most strikingly, all patients showed fluctuations in the efficiency of their performance. Appropriate responding, with coherent, pertinent utterances, was interspersed by transient periods of unresponsiveness, during which the patient remained in a fixed position with a fixed gaze, but without evidence of drowsiness. Episodes of unresponsiveness varied in duration. They might be momentary, last several seconds, or persist over minutes. With progression of disease, periods during which the patient was inaccessible became increasingly prolonged and ultimately pervasive, leading to a state of akinetic mutism. Unresponsiveness did not imply an absence of information processing. In all patients there were instances in which a question posed during a period of unresponsiveness was, after a prolonged silence, answered correctly without the question having been repeated by the examiner. Patient 1 was noted on occasion to produce a pertinent response, not to the current question, but to an earlier question posed during a period of unresponsiveness. Patient 2 was able to provide an accurate commentary on incidents of the previous day during which he had been unresponsive, showing that he had registered ongoing events and abstracted stable memories from them.

A second common feature was the presence of intrusion errors, arising both from auditory and visual stimuli. Some intrusions were within-test errors, constituting, for example, the repetition of a phrase spoken earlier by the examiner, an association with an earlier topic of conversation, or the provision of a response to an earlier question. Other intrusions, indicating verbal distractibility, arose from irrelevant stimuli in the immediate visual environment. For example, a response to a question might include the name of an extraneous object on which the patient’s attention had happened to focus, without the patient的知识ing a question might include the name of an extraneous object on which the patient’s attention had happened to focus, without the patient’s awareness of the repetition. Rather, the impression was of “the record being stuck” and of “replaying the same record”. Despite back-tracking, patients did not lose train of thought, but could maintain the thread of the story or incident being reported.

An additional feature, notable in view of patients’ severity of illness, was the capacity for self reflection and preservation of awareness of illness, at least to some degree. Patient 1 attempted to rationalise his visual symptoms and to identify factors that gave rise to visual distortions. Patient 2 commented on the oddity of being unable to respond at times. Patient 3 attempted to correct her paraphasic errors. Patient 5 commented on the absurdity of her forgetfulness. It is instructive in this regard that historical reports indicate that initial complaints had in each case been made by the patient, who was aware that things were “not right”. At the time of their referral all patients exhibited a similar demeanour, seeming fearful and perplexed. It is tempting to suggest that patients’ partial insight into their declining abilities and their vain attempts to comprehend their own predicament might contribute to their state of fearfulness and trepidation.

Recognition of unresponsiveness in CJD is not new. A well recognised feature of sCJD, specified in clinical diagnostic criteria,3,6 is akinetic mutism. This feature, described initially by Cairns and colleagues,7 refers to a neurological state in which the patient shows an absence of speech and voluntary movements, and yet appears alert and is able visually to fixate the examiner and may react to auditory stimuli by shifting eye gaze. This alert state of unresponsiveness has been distinguished from the appall state.21–24 in which loss of
responsiveness is associated with disturbance of consciousness.
Akinetic mutism is, however, commonly regarded as occurring in late stage disease and a tacit assumption is that it is either present or absent. The present study suggests that akinetic mutism is not an all-or-none phenomenon. There are gradations in responsiveness. Even at a stage when patients are ostensibly communicative and able to cooperate with cognitive tests, they may show momentary periods of unresponsiveness, sufficient to colour their cognitive performance and to provide a distinctive qualitative performance profile. Emotional salience appeared to have a bearing on patients' responsiveness. During cognitive evaluation a period of unresponsiveness was more easily broken by the introduction of a personally relevant topic than by the presentation of additional tests. It was noted that in late stage disease a patient who was largely unresponsive to hospital staff could engage in a coherent conversation with family members at visiting time. Altered responsiveness appears to be an unusual feature, not seen in other forms of dementia. Patients with frontal cortical disease do show variability in performance, in terms of both response rate and accuracy, but this is not associated with frank episodes in which the patient appears "switched off" and immobile as in the present cases. It might be speculated that the abnormal finding characteristic of back-tracking reminiscent of a "record being stuck", noted in the present series, is also related to patients' variable responsiveness and is a prodrome to a state of akinetic mutism. It might be speculated too that the periodic electroencephalographic changes characteristic of late disease may be associated with disturbances of responsiveness. Video telemetry and prolonged electroencephalographic recording would be required to test this hypothesis.

The variable responsiveness, back-tracking, perseverations, and intrusions in this series of patients suggests that a fundamental impairment is in the activation and regulation of cortical activity from subcortical structures. The pathological analysis of the brains of four patients does not provide direct insight into the underlying mechanisms. Nevertheless, in all patients there was some thalamic involvement and it might be reasonable to speculate that thalamic dysfunction has a contributory role. The thalamus is known to have a regulatory role in the transfer of sensory information to the neocortex and has been implicated in attentional processes, particularly in the generation of visual salience. Degeneration of the thalamus has been associated with impairments in vigilance and arousal. Thalamic lesions have been reported to give rise to performance fluctuations, and "thought disorder", with unpredictable topic shifts unconstrained by contextual factors and intrusions from previous topics. Thalamic lesions have also been associated with akinetic mutism and with persistent motor perseveration, the latter finding being attributed to a disconnection of prefrontal cortico-thalamic loops important for the termination of motor plans. Structural brain imaging in sCJD has typically shown high signal changes in the striatum. However, functional imaging using SPECT has shown decreased uptake of tracer in the thalamus. Taken together these findings are consistent with the notion that in sCJD there is functional disruption to basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical circuitry.

The present series consisted only of four sCJD and two fCJD patients. The duration of illness in three sCJD patients, ranging from nine to 14 months is rather longer than the median of 4.5 months for sCJD. Patient 5 had an atypically young onset. Of the three cases for whom the codon 129 genotype was available two were methionine/valine heterozygous. Thus, the patients are not entirely representative of CJD. There is thus a need for caution in generalising from the present findings to the sCJD or fCJD population as a whole. Nevertheless, the presence of common characteristics in each of a consecutive series of apparently heterogeneous patients suggests that the findings might have some generality (although they are more commonly linked to a particular genotypic variant remains open). To our knowledge episodic unresponsiveness and back-tracking phenomena have not been reported in other more common forms of dementia.

The findings of the patients in this study suggest that specific and variable cortical deficits are superimposed on a more pervasive underlying core set of deficits, which are not primarily cortical in origin. Such an interpretation may help to explain the apparent paradox that, despite profound impairment in patients' mental functioning at end stage disease, only limited cortical atrophy is detected at postmortem examination, and brain weight is only minimally reduced. The conventional interpretation is that in a rapidly evolving disease there is simply insufficient time for gross structural changes to develop. An additional contributory factor may be that impairment in cortical function in sCJD and fCJD arises to a large extent secondarily from regulatory failure from subcortical structures.

Episodic unresponsiveness, intrusions, and profound perseveration are characteristic features of the present series of patients. Retrospective analyses of neuropsychological data have inevitable limitations. There is a need for future prospective studies to examine the reproducibility of the present findings and the extent to which they have generality for patients with sCJD. Prospective studies are also required to test the hypothesis that there is a continuum between a state of full, continual responsiveness to a state of akinetic mutism. The question also arises as to the applicability of these features to the new variant CJD (vCJD). Reports of vCJD suggest that the clinical presentation differs from that of sCJD, with psychiatric presentations being common. Nevertheless, concerted study of neuropsychological characteristics has been limited, a comprehensive neuropsychological evaluation having been published only in a single case. Moreover, the identification of thalamic changes on magnetic resonance imaging points to the importance of thalamic involvement in vCJD, raising the possibility that some overlap in symptomatology might exist.
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