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Raised intracranial pressure (ICP) is a common problem in neurosurgical and neurological practice. It can arise as a consequence of intracranial mass lesions, disorders of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) circulation, and more diffuse intracranial pathological processes. Its development may be acute or chronic. There are well established methods for the measurement, continuous monitoring, and treatment of raised ICP. Evidence from prospective randomised controlled clinical trials that monitoring and treatment of raised ICP per se improves outcome is currently lacking for many conditions.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

The normal range of ICP varies with age (table 1) though values in the paediatric population are not well established. Thresholds for initiating treatment for intracranial hypertension vary according to aetiology and within single conditions there is debate about the appropriate upper limit of normal. For example, various authors have suggested thresholds of 15, 20, and 25 mm Hg for the initiation of treatment for raised ICP in patients with head injury.

Table 2 lists some common causes of raised ICP.

Volume–pressure relations

The relation between volume and pressure within the cranium is non-linear (fig 1). The Monro-Kellie hypothesis states that the sum of the intracranial volumes of blood, brain, CSF, and other components (for example, tumour, haematoma) is constant. The skull is considered as an enclosed and inelastic container. An increase in the volume of any one of the intracranial contents must be offset by a decrease in one or more of the others or be associated with a rise in ICP. Intracranial blood (especially in the venous compartment) and CSF are the two components whose volume can adapt most easily to accommodate an increase in the volume of intracranial contents. Once these compensatory mechanisms are exhausted, further increases in volume result in large rises in ICP. Compliance (the change in volume for a given change in pressure) provides an index of compensatory reserve, with low values suggesting a diminished reserve.

ICP waveform

Although continuous ventricular pressure monitoring in humans had been reported earlier, Lundberg first classified ventricular pressure fluctuations in humans in 1960 (fig 2).

A waves or plateau waves—These comprise a steep rise in ICP from near normal values to 50 mm Hg or more, persisting for 5–20 minutes and then falling sharply. These waves are always pathological and indicate greatly reduced compliance. They are frequently accompanied by neurological deterioration.

B waves—These rhythmic oscillations occur every 1–2 minutes. ICP rises in a crescendo manner to levels 20–30 mm Hg higher than baseline and then falls abruptly. These waves were originally always associated with Cheyne-Stokes respiration. However, they also occur in ventilated patients and are probably related to changes in cerebrovascular tone and cerebral blood volume. B waves are also indicative of failing intracranial compensation.

C waves—These oscillations occur with a frequency of 4–8 per minute and are of smaller amplitude than B waves. They are synchronous with spontaneous Traub-Hering-Meyer type variations in blood pressure and are probably of limited pathological significance.

ICP pulse amplitude increased linearly with ICP. On occasion the pulse pressure may rise before a rise in mean ICP, indicating impaired compliance and giving advance warning of a rise in baseline ICP.

ICP and brain shifts

The cranial vault is divided into compartments by the dural reflections of the falx cerebri and tentorium cerebelli. Raised ICP frequently results in pressure gradients between compartments and a shift of brain structures. Many of the clinical counterparts of raised ICP are the consequence of such shifts rather than the absolute level of ICP. Patients with temporal lobe haematomas can...
undergo lateral transtentorial herniation without a rise in ICP, and it is important not to place uncritical reliance on ICP levels in the management of such patients. Three types of intracranial herniation are generally recognised: transtentorial (either lateral or central), tonsillar, and subfalcine (fig 3).

**Interaction with blood pressure and cerebral blood flow**

ICP and arterial blood pressure interact to affect cerebral blood flow, particularly in circumstances where cerebrovascular autoregulation has been impaired (such as following head injury). Cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) is defined as: mean arterial pressure – ICP. Under normal circumstances, cerebral blood flow is maintained constant over a range of cerebral perfusion pressures by cerebrovascular autoregulation. If autoregulation is impaired, changes in blood pressure or ICP can have direct effects on cerebral blood flow. Even if autoregulation is intact, changes in ICP and blood pressure may alter blood volume as a result of dilatation or constriction of cerebral blood vessels. This in turn will have an influence on ICP (fig 4).

**ASSESSMENT**

Clinical correlates of raised ICP
The combination of headache, papilloedema, and vomiting is generally considered indicative of raised ICP, although there is no consistent relation between the severity of symptoms and the degree of hypertension. Pressure headaches are often described as throbbing or bursting and are exacerbated by any factors that further increase ICP such as coughing, sneezing, recumbency or exertion. Classically the headache of raised ICP is worse in the morning. This has been attributed to a rise in ICP during the night as a consequence of recumbency, a rise in PCO2 during sleep caused by respiratory depression, and probably a decrease in CSF absorption. Papilloedema is a reliable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathological process</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Localised mass lesions</td>
<td>Traumatic haematomas (extradural, subdural, intracerebral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neoplasms (glioma, meningioma, metastasis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abscess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Focal oedema secondary to trauma, infarction, tumour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disturbance of CSF circulation</td>
<td>Obstructive hydrocephalus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communicating hydrocephalus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion to major venous sinuses</td>
<td>Depressed fractures overlying major venous sinuses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebral venous thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse brain oedema or swelling</td>
<td>Encephalitis, meningitis, diffuse head injury, subarachnoid haemorrhage,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reye’s syndrome, lead encephalopathy, water intoxication, near drowning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic</td>
<td>Benign intracranial hypertension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ICP monitoring

Indications

ICP monitoring is used either as a guide to treatment (for example, in the management of closed head injury) or as a diagnostic test (for example, in disorders of CSF circulation).

The most common use of continuous ICP monitoring is in the management of severe closed head injury. Bullock and colleagues reviewed the published evidence base for the indications for ICP monitoring. They concluded that there were insufficient data to support standard treatment guidelines (no class I evidence). There was, however, sufficient class II and III evidence to support the following recommendations:

- ICP monitoring is appropriate in patients with severe head injury (GCS between 3 and 8 after cardiopulmonary resuscitation) and an abnormal computed tomographic (CT) scan (haematomas, contusions, oedema or compressed basal cisterns).
- ICP monitoring is appropriate in patients with severe head injury and a normal CT scan if two or more of the following features are noted on admission: age over 40 years, unilateral or bilateral motor posturing, systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg.
- ICP monitoring is not routinely indicated in patients with mild or moderate head injury; however, a clinician may choose to monitor ICP in certain conscious patients with traumatic mass lesions.

Other conditions in which ICP monitoring is used as a guide to treatment or for diagnostic reasons are listed in table 3.

Techniques

A variety of different techniques are available for the measurement and monitoring of ICP. Intraventricular fluid filled catheter transducer systems represent the “gold standard” for measuring ICP. This method allows checking for zero and sensitivity drift of the measurement system in vivo. Pressure measurement within the CSF space, provided CSF flow is not blocked, is not subject to the development of intracompartmental pressure gradients. Access to the CSF space provides a method for ICP treatment via CSF drainage. However, placement of these catheters does involve a ventriculostomy with the attendant small risk of infection, haematoma formation, and seizures.

A variety of catheter tip transducer systems are also available; the Codman and Camino systems in particular are commonly used in the management of head injured patients. Both these systems require a pre-insertion calibration. After insertion these catheters cannot be corrected for zero drift of the catheter.

Sites other than the lateral ventricle can be used for ICP recording; these include the subarachnoid and subdural
Critical review by Bullock and colleagues: the clinical work in this area has been in severe head injury: medical management of raised ICP will be discussed. Much of this review will be associated with hydrocephalus, etc. These aspects of the management of cerebral oedema, associated with intracranial tumours, control of spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage, and dexamethasone treatment for delayed ischaemia.

**Primary management** is directed, if possible, at the specific cause. If this is not possible, treatment must initially be taken to exclude hypovolaemia. The management of raised ICP will be discussed. Much of the clinical work in this area has been in severe head injury (critically reviewed by Bullock and colleagues). Treatments are applied in a sequential manner until control of ICP is obtained, and a number of published protocols exist for the management of ICP in head injured patients.

**MANAGEMENT**

Primary management is directed, if possible, at the specific cause. If this is not possible, treatment must initially be taken to exclude hypovolaemia. The management of raised ICP will be discussed. Much of the clinical work in this area has been in severe head injury (critically reviewed by Bullock and colleagues). Treatments are applied in a sequential manner until control of ICP is obtained, and a number of published protocols exist for the management of ICP in head injured patients.

**Table 3 Indications for intracranial pressure (ICP) monitoring**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subarachnoid haemorrhage</td>
<td>With associated hydrocephalus-ventriculostomy allows therapeutic drainage and ICP monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>? patients in coma</td>
<td>? as a guide to hypertensive hyponatraemic treatment for delayed ischaemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous intracerebral haemorrhage</td>
<td>There is no good clinical evidence of benefit from monitoring patients in this group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reye’s syndrome</td>
<td>Active treatment of raised ICP decreases mortality: Blood ammonia &gt;300 mg/100 ml and deteriorating conscious level usually considered indications for monitoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain tumours</td>
<td>Not indicated routinely, but may be of value in selected patients deemed at high risk of swelling or obstructive hydrocephalus—for example, following posterior fossa surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal pressure hydrocephalus</td>
<td>An increase in spontaneous nocturnal “B” waves has been reported to be predictive of a good response to CSF diversion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompensated hydrocephalus</td>
<td>Can be a valuable diagnostic tool in complex cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>“Benign” intracranial hypertension</em></td>
<td>Monitoring often undertaken via a lumbar subarachnoid catheter as both a diagnostic test and to monitor response to treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other potential indications</td>
<td>Hypoxic brain swelling after drowning, meningitis, encephalitis, venous sinus thrombosis, and hepatic encephalopathy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

First tier treatment

**General physiologic homeostasis**

Significant departures from the normal physiological status can adversely affect ICP and/or cerebral perfusion. Attention is therefore directed at maintaining adequate arterial oxygen tension and the patient being euvoalaemic and euosmotic. Pyrexia should be avoided as it increases ICP, being an independent predictor of poor outcome after severe head injury. Seizures contribute to raised ICP and should be managed aggressively using standard anticonvulsant loading regimens.

CSF drainage

When an intraventricular catheter is used to monitor ICP, CSF drainage is an effective method for lowering ICP. This can be accomplished by intermittent drainage for short periods in response to elevations in ICP. The principal risks of ventriculostomy are infection and haemorrhage. Most studies report rates of bacterial colonisation rather than symptomatic infection ranging from 0–19%. The incidence of ventriculostomy associated haematoma is approximately 2%.

**Head of bed elevation**

Elevation of the head of the bed to 30° improves jugular venous outflow and lowers ICP. In patients who are hypovolaemic, this may be associated with a fall in blood pressure and an overall fall in cerebral perfusion pressure. Care must therefore initially be taken to exclude hypovolaemia. The position of the arterial pressure transducer will also need to be adjusted to ensure reliable measurements of CPP.

**Analgesia and sedation**

This is usually accomplished using intravenous propofol, etomidate or midazolam for sedation and morphine or alfentanil for analgesia and antitussive effect.

**Neuromuscular blockade**

Muscle activity may further raise ICP by increasing intrathoracic pressure and obstructing cerebral venous outflow. If this does not respond to analgesia and sedation then neuromuscular blockade is considered. However, the prophylactic use of neuromuscular blockade in patients without proven intracranial hypertension has not been shown to improve outcome. It is associated with an increased risk of complications such as pneumonia and sepsis, and would obscure seizure activity.

**Diuretics**

The most commonly used agent is mannitol, an intravascular osmotic agent that can draw fluid from both normal and abnormal brain. In addition it increases cardiac preload and CPP, thus decreasing ICP through cerebral autoregulation. Mannitol decreases blood viscosity, resulting in reflex vasoconstriction and decreased cerebrovascular volume. The major problems associated with mannitol administration are hypovolaemia and the induction of a hyperosmotic state. Serum osmolality should not be allowed to rise over 320 mOsm/kg.

**Hyperventilation**

Hyperventilation lowers ICP by inducing hypocapnoeic vasoconstriction mediated through metabolic autoregulation. Unfortunately hyperventilation also induces or exacerbates cerebral ischaemia in a proportion of patients. A further problem is the development of tachyphylaxis as compensation for systemic alkalosis ensues. This diminishes the effect of the established level of hypocapnia and makes weaning more difficult, as a rebound CSF acidosis and vasodilatation result...
when eucapnia is restored. The effect of prophylactic venti-
ation on outcome in patients with head injury has been investigated in a prospective randomised controlled trial that failed to show any benefit from hyperventilation one year after injury, with a possible worsening of outcome in some patient subgroups at three and six months.

Second tier treatments
Barbiturate coma
Barbiturates in high doses are effective in lowering refractory intracranial hypertension, but ineffective or potentially harmful as a first line or prophylactic treatment in patients with head injuries. High dose barbiturate treatment acts by depressing cerebral metabolic activity. This results in a reduction in cerebral blood flow, which is coupled to metabolism, and a fall in ICP. The use of barbiturates in the treatment of refractory intracranial hypertension requires intensive monitoring and is associated with a significant risk of complications, the most common complication being hypotension. This may explain the lack of proven benefit on outcome in head injury. Cerebral electrical activity should ideally be monitored during high dose barbiturate treatment, preferably on a continuous basis, burst suppression activity providing a physiologic end point for dose titration. Withdrawal of treatment should be gradual to avoid rebound intracranial hypertension.

Optimised hyperventilation
This involves the use of more aggressive hyperventilation, with concomitant measurement of jugular venous saturation in an attempt to prevent hyperventilation induced ischaemia. It is based on the hypothesis that there is uncoupling of cerebral blood flow and metabolism after head injury. Relative cerebral hyperaemia occurs and is manifest as a low cerebral arterial venous difference in oxygen. In such patients reducing cerebral blood volume and hence ICP by hyperventilation would not lead to cerebral ischaemia. One major concern with this technique is the extent to which samples taken from one jugular bulb are representative of the oxygen saturation of blood in the contralateral hemisphere or even of variations within the ipsilateral hemisphere. Thus, focal areas of cerebral ischaemia may be produced even though global measures suggest adequate oxygen supply.

Hypothermia
Hypothermia has been investigated in head injury both as a means of controlling ICP and as a possible neuroprotectant strategy. Cooling to 34°C can be effective in lowering refractory intracranial hypertension but is associated with a relatively high rate of complications including pulmonary, infectious, coagulation, and electrolyte problems. There also appears to be a significant rebound in ICP when induced hypothermia is reversed. A recent randomised controlled trial of moderate hypothermia following severe closed head injury, despite imbalance between treatment groups, failed to show any benefit on outcome. Decompressive craniectomy
This technique has been reported as being beneficial in a number of disorders, including head injury, cerebral infarction, spontaneous intracerebral and subarachnoid haemorrhage, and Reye's syndrome. Such surgery can certainly lower ICP but may reduce mortality at the expense of unacceptably high levels of morbidity. To date there are no prospective randomised controlled trials that show a convincing beneficial effect on outcome. The technique may, however, turn out to be effective in selected subgroups of patients with refractory intracranial hypertension.

Alternative treatment philosophies
There are alternative approaches to the ICP targeted approach to the management of raised ICP after head injury. In CPP based treatment the aim is to keep CPP above a certain level rather than to treat specific levels of ICP. However, a recent randomised trial has failed to show an improved outcome in head injured patients treated with a CPP based protocol when compared with patients treated with an ICP based protocol. The CPP targeted group had a higher incidence of pulmonary complications.

The Lund protocol focuses on the prevention of vasogenic oedema. This assumes a disruption of the blood–brain barrier following head injury and employs a variety of manipulations to increase the hydrostatic and osmotic forces favouring maintenance of fluid within the intravascular compartment. Uncontrolled trials have yielded results comparable to established management protocols, but there is as yet no controlled clinical trial demonstrating the superiority of this protocol over other methods of ICP management.

Targeted therapy aims to match treatment methods to differing pathophysiological processes and can be seen as a logical approach to the treatment of intracranial hypertension after head injury, which is clearly a heterogeneous multifactorial condition. Continuing advances in intracranial monitoring and imaging technology are likely to make possible the identification of specific pathophysiological profiles in patients. This should allow treatment regimens to be tailored to individual patients, with a better prospect of improved outcome.
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