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Objective: To investigate the incidence and prevalence of multiple sclerosis in Västerbotten County in northern Sweden.

Methods: Multiple sources were used in the case identification process. Follow up interviews with clinical examinations were undertaken and, when indicated, additional paraclinical investigations were done. In this way case ascertainment was assured and supplemental clinical data were collected. The incidence rate was based on symptom onset. Onset adjusted prevalence was applied.

Results: The crude incidence rate of multiple sclerosis in 1988–97 in Västerbotten County was 5.2/10⁵ (95% confidence interval, 4.4 to 6.2): 6.7/10⁵ (6.0 to 8.3) in women and 3.7/10⁵ (2.7 to 4.9) in men. The onset adjusted prevalence for 31 December 1997 was 154/10⁵ (139 to 170); 202/10⁵ (179 to 228) in women and 105/10⁵ (89 to 125) in men. When compared with a previous estimate of prevalence, a yearly 2.6% increase in prevalence between 1990 and 1997 was found, mainly attributable to a higher incidence than mortality.

Conclusions: The present incidence rate and prevalence confirms earlier findings that Västerbotten is a high risk area for multiple sclerosis. The adjusted incidence was twice as high as the incidence from 1974–88 in the only previous Swedish population based study from Göteborg, but comparable with other recent Fennoscandian multiple sclerosis incidence rates.

Geographical variation in multiple sclerosis occurrence has challenged researchers since the beginning of the 20th century. Incidence data from different areas in Scandinavia are available and have been compared. Population based studies of multiple sclerosis incidence in Sweden have previously only been done in Göteborg in south west Sweden. Our aim in this study was to investigate multiple sclerosis incidence and prevalence in Västerbotten County in northern Sweden—using multiple sources for case identification and follow up interviews, together with medical records for data collection and case ascertainment—and to provide a base for further follow up studies.

METHODS

Västerbotten County is located in northern Sweden at 64–65°N latitude. It is sparsely populated with 255 987 inhabitants at the midpoint of the incidence period 1988–97, and 259 163 on the prevalence day, 31 December 1997, in an area of 55 432 km².

The database used in a previous study, with the prevalence day 1 January 1990, was extended using the same multiple sources. A computerised data register search from all three hospitals in Västerbotten County was extended through year 2000. Inpatients were selected from the neurology (also outpatients), neurosurgery, neurorehabilitation, internal medicine, ophthalmology, paediatric, and geriatric clinics with ICD codes corresponding to the following diagnoses: multiple sclerosis, demyelinating disorders in CNS, optic neuritis, spastic paraplegia, ataxia, myelopathy, spino cerebellar disease, and myelitis. In addition we used six other sources:

- Register for CSF electrophoresis analyses 1988–2000: analyses with presence of oligoclonal bands or signs of intrathecal IgG production were recorded.
- General practitioners, 1988–98: in April 1998 all general practitioners were contacted by letter; we asked for information on patients with multiple sclerosis or inflammatory disorder of the central nervous system for the past 10 year period.
- District head nurses, 1988–98: in April 1998 every district head nurse responsible for nursing homes was contacted and asked to consult their nurses with the same request as for general practitioners.
- Hereditary cases, 1997–2001: during the follow up interview patients were asked about relatives with multiple sclerosis.
- Clinical cases, 1997–2001: cases identified at the clinic by us.
- The cause of death registry, 1990–99: the Swedish cause of death registry has been computerised since 1961; we selected all cases with multiple sclerosis or inflammatory disease of the central nervous system as the underlying or contributory cause of death for individuals who died in the county of Västerbotten in 1990–99.

For every individual identified from the sources it was possible to collect information enabling a decision to be made on whether the patient had multiple sclerosis or not. Individuals with a diagnosis of multiple sclerosis and those with medical records indicating multifocal symptoms possibly caused by multiple sclerosis were contacted by letter.

Case definition

A list of symptoms was used to define disease onset. Incident cases had experienced an onset symptom in agreement with the definition when residing in the study area any time from 1 January 1988 to 31 December 1997 and were later judged to fulfill the Poser diagnostic criteria. Prevalent cases had experienced an onset symptom in agreement with the definition before the prevalence day, were resident in the study area on the prevalence day, and fulfilled the Poser criteria at the time for data collection—that is, onset adjusted prevalence.

Case ascertainment

From August 1997 to December 2001, we did follow up interviews and examinations on people willing to participate. For most individuals the study nurse did part of the interview,
either during the visit or by telephone. The purpose was to confirm or refute a diagnosis of multiple sclerosis and to collect additional data. The result of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analyses, evoked potentials, and relevant laboratory tests were recorded. If clinically indicated, new examinations were performed.

The local ethics committee approved the study.

**RESULTS**

Diagnostic registers identified 91% of incident and 92% of prevalent cases. The remaining incident and prevalent cases were found in CSF registers (3%, 2%) or general practices (0%, prevalent cases. The remaining incident and prevalent cases were identified, new examinations were performed.

**Statistical methods**

For statistical calculations, SPSS 10.0 was used. Standardisation was calculated by the direct method. The Poisson distribution was used for 95% confidence intervals (CI), and Student’s t test to test for differences between arithmetical means. To test whether or not the differences between the person-time incidence rates in the Västerbotten and the Göteborg cohorts were a result of random variation, the exact binomial test was used.

**RESULTS**

Diagnostic registers identified 91% of incident and 92% of prevalent cases. The remaining incident and prevalent cases were found in CSF registers (3%, 2%) or general practices (0%, 1%), or were hereditary (1%, 1%) or clinical cases (5%, 4%). The cause of death register and district head nurses did not identify any case not found by the other sources.

**Incidence**

In all, 133 cases had their onset of multiple sclerosis during 1988–97. The crude incidence rate was 5.2/10^5 (95% CI, 4.4 to 6.2); 6.7/10^5 (6.0 to 8.3) in women and 3.7/10^5 (2.7 to 4.9) in men (table 1). Thus the female to male ratio was 1.8.

Diagnosis was ascertained through follow up interviews and the results of paraclinical investigations (table 2), resulting in a distribution of diagnostic categories classified shown in table 3. At the time of follow up, one subject had died and 10 had moved from the study area.

**Prevalence**

The onset adjusted prevalence of multiple sclerosis in Västerbotten County on 31 December 1997 was 399 cases in a population of 259,163, giving a crude prevalence of 154/10^5 (95% CI, 139 to 170) (table 1). Thus the female to male ratio was 1.8.

The prevalence in 1990 with follow up to the end of 1997 was estimated at 125/10^5 (n = 313) and increased to 131/10^5 (95% CI, 118 to 146; n = 328) when follow up was extended through 2001 (fig 1). The prevalence in 1997 with follow up through 2001 was estimated at 154/10^5 (n = 399). The yearly increase of 2.6% was mainly the result of a greater number of incident cases (n = 105) than of deceased cases (n = 40).

**DISCUSSION**

The levels of incidence and prevalence in our study confirm earlier findings that Västerbotten is a high risk area for multiple sclerosis. The increase in prevalence between 1990 and 1997 is explained by a lower mortality than incidence over that period. The yearly 2.6% increase in prevalence probably reflects a lower mortality caused by improved survival. However, an increase in incidence cannot be excluded.

The methodology (for example, sources used for case identification and length of follow up) affects estimates of incidence and prevalence. Here the source of 3% of the incident cases was the results of CSF electrophoresis analyses, and it is likely that more cases would have been identified if the results of MRI and evoked potentials had been scrutinised. In this study, the extension of follow up from 1998 through 2001 enabled the identification of another 16 prevalent cases in 1990, thereby increasing the number of cases by 5%.

The only previous Swedish population based incidence study is from Göteborg. The crude incidence in Göteborg was 4.2/10^5 in 1950–64 and 2.6/10^5 in 1974–88 (estimated by us). To enable comparison, we excluded cases in our study where...
Paraclinical evidence was used for categorisation (n = 4). The age and sex adjusted incidence was 5.4/10⁵ and 5.3/10⁵, respectively, for the two study periods, both being significantly higher in the present study (p = 0.017 and p < 0.001).

Fennoscandian incidence studies on multiple sclerosis during 25 years are summarised in table 4. An optimal comparison would require identical sources of case identification, organisation of health services, diagnostic criteria, and use of paraclinical diagnostic tools.

Figure 2 illustrates the incidence of multiple sclerosis in Scandinavia and Finland over time. Each setting with more than one estimate during the study period is presented as a line; however, in three studies the last observation had to be ignored as the end of data collection and the last five year period coincided, thus causing an underestimation of the true incidence. Further, the rates in the Finnish study were corrected for using the population aged 10–70 years as denominator. The pattern indicates an increase in the incidence, in particular at the end of the period. However, this interpretation has to be accepted with caution and more estimates are required from each setting to facilitate a statistical analysis.

The Finnish study shows very high incidence figures for the Seinäjoki area, which had been separated from the larger Vaasa area used in previous studies. The incidence rates were calculated as mentioned above. The incidence in the present study increased from 5.2/10⁵ to 7.0/10⁵ using the corresponding denominator.

The Oslo study has the second highest incidence and, as in the Finnish study, used the year of diagnosis. The year of onset was used in the other studies. For 1988–97, the number of cases (n = 141) resident in the study area receiving a diagnosis of multiple sclerosis or CNS demyelinating disorder exceeded the number of cases in the incidence cohort, even

---

**Table 4** Incidence of multiple sclerosis in Scandinavia and Finland the last 25 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Crude incidence (CI)</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Latitude (°N)</th>
<th>Diagnostic criteria</th>
<th>Data collection until</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Vestfold</td>
<td>1973 to 1977</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>McAlpine; definitive/probable</td>
<td>January 1983</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1978 to 1982</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troms and Finnmark</td>
<td>1974 to 1978</td>
<td>2.6 (1.7 to 3.7)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>≥68</td>
<td>Poser; definite/probable</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1979 to 1983</td>
<td>3.0 (2.1 to 4.2)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1984 to 1988</td>
<td>3.5 (2.5 to 4.8)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1989 to 1992</td>
<td>4.3 (3.0 to 5.9)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More and Romsdal</td>
<td>1975 to 1979</td>
<td>5.2 (2.8 to 9.4)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>McAlpine; definitive/probable</td>
<td>August 1992</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1980 to 1984</td>
<td>5.7 (3.2 to 9.9)</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1985 to 1991</td>
<td>4.0 (2.0 to 7.7)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hordaland</td>
<td>1973 to 1977</td>
<td>4.1 (2.1 to 6.1)*</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>59 to 61</td>
<td>McAlpine; incl possible</td>
<td>1988?</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1978 to 1982</td>
<td>4.7 (2.4 to 7.0)*</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1983 to 1987</td>
<td>3.2 (0.6 to 5.8)*</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oslo</td>
<td></td>
<td>1972 to 1976</td>
<td>3.6 (2.2 to 6.0)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Poser; definite</td>
<td>December 1999</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1977 to 1981</td>
<td>4.4 (2.8 to 6.9)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1982 to 1986</td>
<td>4.9 (3.1 to 7.6)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1987 to 1991</td>
<td>7.2 (5.0 to 10.2)</td>
<td>164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1992 to 1996</td>
<td>8.7 (6.3 to 11.9)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1970 to 1979</td>
<td>4.1 (3.9 to 4.3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>52 to 57</td>
<td>Allison and Miller; incl possible</td>
<td>January 1994</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1980 to 1989</td>
<td>5.0 (4.8 to 5.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>before 1994, then Poser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Vaasa</td>
<td>1979 to 1993</td>
<td>5.2 (4.1 to 6.3)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Poser; definite</td>
<td>December 1993</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seinäjoki</td>
<td>1979 to 1993</td>
<td>11.6 (10.1 to 13.8)</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uusimaa</td>
<td>1979 to 1993</td>
<td>5.1 (4.8 to 5.5)</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Göteborg</td>
<td>1974 to 1988</td>
<td>2.6 (2.2 to 3.0)*</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Poser; definite/probable</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Västerbotten</td>
<td>1988 to 1997</td>
<td>5.2 (4.4 to 6.2)</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>64 to 65</td>
<td>Poser; definite/probable</td>
<td>2001 Present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on SEM.
†Estimated by the authors.
when just searching through the present prevalence and incidence population cases. Apart from being less biologically relevant, the year of diagnosis could harbour bias overestimating incidence, even when time to diagnosis is stable. This would be the case if individuals tend to move into the study area between onset and diagnosis. When corrected for methodology, some differences in crude incidence rates between Fenno-Scandian areas can certainly be reduced.

Conclusions
This study, using multiple sources for case identification and follow-up interview and examination for case ascertainment, shows a prevalence of 154/10^5 (95% CI, 139 to 170) and an incidence rate of 5.2/10^5 (4.4 to 6.2), thereby confirming that Västerbotten is a high risk area for multiple sclerosis. The incidence in Västerbotten county in 1988–97 was twice as high as in Göteborg in 1974–88, but comparable with rates from most other recent Fenno-Scandian studies.
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