A retrospective case-control study was carried out on 80 patients with sporadic frontotemporal dementia and 124 age, sex, and surrogate informant matched controls with respect to various medical and environmental risk factors. Head trauma was associated with an odds ratio of 3.3 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.3 to 8.1). Although recall bias may play a role, the frontal lobes are known to be especially vulnerable to even mild head trauma. Thyroid disease was associated with a 2.5 times increased risk of frontotemporal dementia (95% CI, 0.9 to 7.9), which was not statistically significant (p = 0.09) owing to limited power. As altered thyroid hormone status has been observed before in frontotemporal dementia, future studies will be important to confirm this observation.

Frontotemporal dementia is a neurodegenerative disorder with a predominantly presenile onset of behavioural changes and cognitive decline. Following the identification of tau gene mutations in familial forms of this disorder, there is increasing interest in genetic factors that may predispose to the disease. About 60% of patients with frontotemporal dementia have no family history of dementia and are considered to be sporadic cases. Genetic factors, such as apolipoprotein E genotype and the H1 haplotype of the tau gene, have been inconsistently associated with the sporadic form of the disease.2 To our knowledge, no studies have been reported to date addressing non-genetic risk factors for this condition, in contrast to other neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, and progressive supranuclear palsy. The identification of new risk factors may help understand the mechanisms underlying the aetiology of sporadic frontotemporal dementia. We therefore undertook a retrospective case-control study of 80 patients with this form of dementia and 124 age, sex, and surrogate informant matched controls with respect to possible medical and environmental risk factors.

METHODS

Cases

Cases were identified through our nationwide study on frontotemporal dementia, which attempted to ascertain all patients with this disorder in the Netherlands between January 1994 and January 2002. All neurological, gerontopsychiatric, and nursing home physicians received regular postal enquiries requesting referral of all suspected cases. The diagnosis was based on international clinical criteria, and included a progressive behavioural disorder with insidious onset; affective symptoms; speech disorder; frontal executive dysfunction with preserved spatial orientation on psychometric evaluation; and selective frontotemporal atrophy (on computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging) or hypoperfusion (single photon emission computed tomography). Cases were considered to have a sporadic form of frontotemporal dementia if there were no first degree relatives with dementia before the age of 70, nor any tau mutations identified.

Controls

For each case at least one but if possible two controls were identified through either the nursing home where the patient resided or the general practitioner. Collaborating physicians were asked to recruit persons willing to answer a verbatim questionnaire as a surrogate respondent regarding a family member (spouse, parent, or sibling) whose current age (± 5 years) and sex were matched to that of the patient. These family members then served as surrogate informant matched controls, although only the relative was interviewed. We excluded controls if they had ever consulted a physician with memory complaints or had been diagnosed with dementia.

Data collection

Because patients with frontotemporal dementia are unreliable as historians, the information was collected from a close relative of the patient, the surrogate informant. Only spouses, offspring, and siblings were questioned, as information from more distant relatives is unreliable. A structured verbal interview was administered without formal blinding by one of the investigators to the surrogate informant of both cases and controls.

A risk factor was considered positive only if it preceded the date of onset of frontotemporal dementia in the matched case. The investigators were blinded to the information available from the medical records of the patients with dementia to avoid information bias. Questions regarded history of the medical problems (table 1), the level of education (divided into three categories; primary school only; secondary school or lower/intermediate professional education; and higher professional education or university), employment or hobbies associated with exposure to chemicals, pesticides or insecticides, and smoking and alcohol consumption (table 2).

Head trauma was regarded as positive if it was followed by severe headache, nausea, blurred or double vision, vertigo, amnesia, or loss of consciousness. Severe head trauma was present only if the trauma was followed by loss of consciousness. Thyroid problems were positive if confirmed by a specialist or if thyroid related drugs were being prescribed by a general practitioner. We also collected information on the practice of sports associated with chronic head trauma (for example, boxing, football). Smoking was measured in pack-years—that is, the average number of cigarette packs smoked daily times the number of years the individual had smoked. Alcohol consumption was measured in drinks per day and considered mild to moderate if individuals had taken between one and three drinks a day, and severe if they had taken more than three drinks a day, for at least 10 years.
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Statistical analysis

Statistical procedures were undertaken using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) software. Differences between cases and controls were analysed using the $\chi^2$ test for categorical variables and the t test for continuous data. Variables with a probability (p) value of less than 0.05 were evaluated in a multivariate analysis in which these variables were studied simultaneously. Conditional logistic regression was used to determine both crude and adjusted odd ratios (OR) adjusted for age, sex, and surrogate respondent relationship, with each case and its control(s) classified as a stratum; 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated.

RESULTS

We interviewed surrogate respondents of all 80 ascertained cases of frontotemporal dementia who fulfilled the criteria for the sporadic form. Nursing home physicians and general practitioners referred 124 possible control subjects to us, all of whom we interviewed. In a recent epidemiological survey of the prevalence of frontotemporal dementia in the Netherlands, we estimated that about 40% of the cases were identified.6 Unfortunately we do not have any information on risk factors in patients not referred to us, nor on those not identified.6 Unfortunately we do not have any information on risk factors in patients not referred to us, nor on those not identified.6

The mean (SD) age of the 80 patients with frontotemporal dementia was 64.3 (9.4) years, and in the 124 controls, 62.5 (10.2) years (p = 0.2). The surrogate respondent was a spouse in 54 cases (67%), an offspring in 22 cases (28%), and a sibling in four cases (5%). Regarding a history of medical problems (table 1), both head trauma and thyroid disease had a p value of less than 0.05. With conditional logistic regression, only head trauma remained a significant independent risk factor for frontotemporal dementia and was associated with a crude OR of 3.6 (95% CI, 1.3 to 8.8) and an adjusted OR of 3.3 (1.3 to 8.1). The time between head trauma and the onset of dementia spanned several decades in some patients. Head trauma followed by loss of consciousness—whether by loss of consciousness—a measure of severe brain injury—also appeared to be more frequent in patients with frontotemporal dementia, although this was not significant owing to the rarity of the occurrence. The frontal lobes are especially sensitive to head trauma, and experimental animal models and necropsy studies have shown axonal damage and dysfunction, mostly localised in the frontal lobes, following even mild traumatic brain injury.9 However, we cannot exclude recall bias as a factor in the observed association, as surrogate informants may be more prone to remember mild head trauma (followed only by subjective symptoms such as dizziness and headache) in patients than in controls, as a possible cause of their dementia. If this were a major factor, however, we would expect there to be more neurological disorders associated with an increased frequency of head trauma.

Thyroid disease was linked to a 2.5-fold increased risk of frontotemporal dementia, although the association was not significant after adjustment. It is well known that thyroid problems can lead to cognitive disturbance and even dementia, but thyroid disease may also be associated specifically with frontotemporal dementia. Thus, first, experi-
mental studies have shown that splicing of juvenile and adult tau mRNA variants is regulated by thyroid hormone,10 and Pick’s disease, one of the tauopathies, is a common cause of the clinical syndrome of frontotemporal dementia. Second, a study of thyroid hormone levels in different dementia syndromes showed that abnormalities were rather common in frontotemporal dementia (38%).11 Thus thyroid problems may play a role in the actiology of sporadic frontotemporal dementia, and further studies will be important to evaluate this association.

Smoking and alcohol consumption have also been extensively studied in different neurodegenerative disorders. Smoking has been found to be protective against Parkinson’s disease12 and likely to increase the risk of Alzheimer’s disease,13 but in the current study neither effect was found. Also in contrast to the current study, light to moderate alcohol consumption (one to three drinks a day) has been shown to have a protective effect in Alzheimer’s disease14 but not in Parkinson’s disease.15 A possible explanation why these risk factors were not identified in the current study may be that the clinical syndrome of frontotemporal dementia is caused by various different neuropathological substrates, and contrasting effects of risk factors may be diluted in a mixed group of patients. It will be important to conduct similar retrospective studies in patients with pathologival verification of the disease in the future.
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