Reversible dementias

The concepts of potentially reversible cognitive impairment in general, and the reversible dementias in particular, remain controversial. The prevalence of these conditions depends to a great extent on the definitions used and on the population studied. Also, the definition of those cases with potentially reversible conditions that actually do reverse remains a critical aspect in assessing these conditions. In their article, Heijl et al. present the results of a prospective study to investigate the prevalence of potentially reversible causes of cognitive decline in consecutive patients presenting to a tertiary medical centre memory clinic. The description that they provide of a large cohort of patients with cognitive symptoms not sufficiently severe to meet the clinical criteria for dementia provides an important contribution to our understanding of this condition. However, as was mentioned by the authors in the discussion, the rather high prevalence (19%) of potentially reversible causes detected must be regarded with some caution. Also, while no data are presented regarding the follow up of those patients where a potentially reversible cause was identified, the authors nevertheless conclude “that treatment may improve or restore intellectual functions.”

As was mentioned by the authors, one of us (AMC) has previously described the prevalence of reversible dementias, with 13.2% of cases fully resolved on treatment. In that review, the most frequent potentially reversible conditions in other settings, the study provides evidence that potentially reversible conditions are not rare. They were most common in younger patients and in patients with mild cognitive symptoms not sufficiently severe to meet international criteria for dementia.

The most frequent potentially reversible conditions were depression, hydrocephalus, alcohol dependence syndrome, metabolic disorders, and space occupying lesions. Even if treatment of these conditions may not always lead to full reversal of cognitive symptoms, the identification of the disorders is crucial to the management of the patient and important to prevent a misdiagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease. In conclusion, a systematic search for potentially reversible conditions is relevant and important, even when full reversal of the cognitive symptoms may be unlikely.
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Authors’ reply

We are grateful for the opportunity to comment on the important issue raised by Dr Dwolatzky and Dr Clarfield regarding the true prevalence of reversible dementias. In our paper we investigated the prevalence of potentially reversible aetiology and comorbidity in 1000 consecutive patients referred to an outpatient memory clinic based in neurology. In the whole group of cognitive symptoms, with a potentially reversible condition, which was evaluated as the primary cause of the symptoms leading to referral. However, in patients meeting the clinical criteria for dementia the prevalence was only 4%. For potentially reversible concomitant conditions the prevalence was 23%. Thus, potentially reversible conditions are most common in patients with cognitive symptoms, less common in patients meeting the criteria for dementia, and comparatively common as comorbidity in both groups.

Dr Dwolatzky and Dr Clarfield report that in their future meta-analysis for publication in 2003 the “true” prevalence of reversed dementias is less than 1%. With reference to our results in patients with dementia we are not surprised about the very small prevalence of truly reversed dementias.

As we have already discussed in our report, both the setting of the study and the definition of potentially reversible conditions may influence the prevalence found. Also a systematic prospective design, as in our study, may reveal a higher prevalence than retrospective studies. Thus, even though one cannot apply our findings directly to the conditions in other settings, the study provides evidence that potentially reversible conditions are not rare. They were most common in younger patients and in patients with mild cognitive symptoms not sufficiently severe to meet international criteria for dementia.

PostScript

organisation of the sympathetic skin response in spinal cord injury

With much interest we read the article of Cariga et al. who studied the capacity of the isolated spinal cord to generate a sympathetic skin response (SSR). We appreciate this comprehensive and well designed study, which encouraged us to suggest some of our own ideas. The authors recorded the palmar and plantar SSR to peripheral nerve electrical stimulation (median or supraorbital nerve above the lesion, and peroneal nerve below the lesion) in 29 patients with spinal cord injury (SCI) at various neurological levels and in 10 healthy control subjects. In complete SCI no SSR could be evoked below the lesion. It was concluded that the spinal cord isolated from the brain stem could not generate an SSR. Furthermore, the authors assume that supraspinal connections are necessary for the SSR.

The sudomotor response below a complete SCI has been widely studied in the past. However, the question whether the isolated spinal cord can generate SSRs is still under discussion. Wallin et al. obtained sudomotor responses in complete SCI after manual compression of the spinal cord. Furthermore, the authors assume that SSR could not be evoked below the lesion. It is not known whether the authors recorded the palmar and plantar SSR in man after spinal cord injury. Outflow to skin was initiated by stimulation of sacral somatic afferents and a sympathetic preganglionic impulse. Provenaire et al. recorded the palmar and plantar sudomotor responses in complete SCI during cystometry and found a sudomotor activation during bladder contraction.

In a recent study we focused on sudomotor pathways in patients with complete SCI. Below the level of lesion the tibial and the pudendal nerve were stimulated electrically whereas the palmar and plantar SSR were recorded. Tibial nerve stimulation was not found to elicit SSRs below a SCI lesion. This is in accordance with the results of Cariga et al. and we agree that this type of electrical stimulation probably cannot activate the spinal sudomotor reflex circuit. However, pudendal nerve stimulation evoked plantar SSR in patients with complete cervical and thoracic SCI. No SSRs after pudendal nerve stimulation were obtained in patients with lesions at L1 and more caudal. SSRs following pudendal nerve stimulation in complete SCI above the level L1 are probably mediated by sacral somatic afferents and a sympathetic pathway originating at the upper lumbar level. The underlying sacral-lumbar reflex circuit is organized on spinal level and requires intact lumbar segments. In conclusion, the isolated spinal cord seems to be able to generate sympathetic sudomotor impulses.
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Neuropathology of Hashimoto's encephalopathy

Doherty et al have recently reported in this journal on a case of Hashimoto's encephalopathy clinically mimicking Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and provided neuropathological analysis of a brain biopsy in this case revealed spongiform change and sparse mononuclear infiltrates in perivascular spaces, the authors suggested that Hashimoto's encephalopathy is an encephalitic process. We have previously described a necropsy case of Hashimoto's encephalopathy showing lymphocytic vasculitis of veins and venules of the brain stem, supporting the hypothesis that vasculitis represents the morphological substrate of Hashimoto's encephalopathy. Doherty et al have challenged our diagnosis and stated that the term vasculitis should be reserved for lesions with inflammation and fibrinoid necrosis of arterial vessels.

Lymphocytic vasculitis is a generally accepted pathological subtype covered by reviews and standard textbooks. It is characterised by the presence of lymphocytes within the vessel wall and is encountered in Wegener's disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, and Behcet's disease, to name but a few conditions. That the diagnosis is more difficult than for necrotising arteritis does not, however, imply that lymphocytic vasculitis does not exist, and our case certainly belongs in this vasculitis category.

Additional support for the vasculitic basis of Hashimoto's encephalopathy comes from other reports on this condition where there has been angiographic demonstration of a brain biopsy in this case revealed spongiform change and sparse mononuclear infiltrates in perivascular spaces, the authors suggested that Hashimoto's encephalopathy is an encephalitic process. We have previously described a necropsy case of Hashimoto's encephalopathy showing lymphocytic vasculitis of veins and venules of the brain stem, supporting the hypothesis that vasculitis represents the morphological substrate of Hashimoto's encephalopathy. Doherty et al have challenged our diagnosis and stated that the term vasculitis should be reserved for lesions with inflammation and fibrinoid necrosis of arterial vessels.

Lymphocytic vasculitis is a generally accepted pathological subtype covered by reviews and standard textbooks. It is characterised by the presence of lymphocytes within the vessel wall and is encountered in Wegener's disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, and Behcet's disease, to name but a few conditions. That the diagnosis is more difficult than for necrotising arteritis does not, however, imply that lymphocytic vasculitis does not exist, and our case certainly belongs in this vasculitis category.

Based on the histological figure and the description provided by Doherty et al, we express some reservations over the presence of these changes. True spongiform changes, usually encountered in prion diseases, are present in the neuropil between nerve cell bodies, whereas the perineuronal spaces described by Doherty et al more closely resemble shrank artefacts which may be particularly pronounced in small biopsy specimens. In addition, we would like to point out that vasculitis is typically focal and may have been missed in this case.

In conclusion, we feel that the available clinical, pathological, and experimental evidence suggests that vasculitis underlies a substantial proportion of cases of Hashimoto's encephalopathy. However, additional careful necropsy studies are required to determine whether other pathological overlaps contribute to the clinical picture.
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We thank Drs Paulus and Nolte for their interest in our case report, which we offered as a lesson in two to a certain extent pathological, similarities between Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (CJD) and Hashimoto's encephalopathy on small biopsies. Of course, in established CJD— as the correspondents rightly mention— spongiform change is easily recognised by vacuoles in the neuropil; in contrast to their contention, these are regularly accompanied by neuronal cytoplasmic vacuoles displacing surrounding neurite (single and those we illustrated) as described in standard textbooks and in clinicopathological cases. The difficult judgment is in the brain biopsy in early CJD, in which these changes are minimal and indeed nearly impossible to distinguish from artefact or oedema. The concurrence of astrogliosis with the spongiform appearance (no matter what the cause) in our case further lowered our threshold for submitting the tissue for definitive prion protein studies in the clinical setting of rapidly evolving cognitive decline. We hope the correspondents would agree that such would be simply good clinical practice, especially in a patient like ours without a helpful history of Hashimoto's thyroiditis or anti-thyroid peroxidase antibodies.

As to their assertion that we “challenged” their diagnosis of vasculitis (which we point out was followed by a question mark in the title of their own report), we felt that the previous subarachnoid haemorrhage and apparently diffused infiltration of the leptomeninges that they described suggested that the venular lymphocytic infiltrates could be secondary to the haemorrhage, or be a manifestation of a meningoencephalitis (possibly autoimmune) and not a true primary vasculitis. According to the textbook they cite, the entities of Wegener's disease, systemic lupus erythematosus, and Behcet's disease, to use their examples, are all characterised primarily by true arteritis with necrosis, not by the lymphocytic venulitis which may accompany it. Drs Paulus and Nolte are correct that in our small biopsy, vasculitis may have been missed. There may also be correct that the pathogenesis of Hashimoto's encephalopathy involves vasculitis. We stand firm in our position, however, that to make a histological diagnosis of vasculitis requires the exclusion of other factors, such as haemorrhage or tissue inflammation, in which lymphocytic diapedesis through venules is physiological. Moreover, the novel finding in our case, that perivascular inflammation does raise the prospect of an encephalitic process in Hashimoto's encephalopathy, independent of any effect on blood vessels, whether primary or secondary.

We thank Drs Paulus and Nolte for pointing out the interesting paper by Ochi et al, and look forward to future elucidation of the role of α-enolase in Hashimoto's encephalopathy. Perhaps those of us with tissue from cases of Hashimoto's encephalopathy will need to collaborate in that regard, to expand their studies on serum from patients with this condition.

Finally, we want to reiterate the importance of a broad differential diagnosis in evaluating patients with rapid decline in cognition, and with vacuoles, astrogliosis, microgliosis, and perivascular lymphocytic inflammation on brain biopsy. That was the overarching point of our paper.
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BOOK REVIEWS

Management of stroke: a practical guide for the prevention, evaluation and treatment of acute stroke, 2nd edition


This textbook exemplifies the recent and dramatic changes in the approach to the management of acute stroke. Whereas in the past stroke was seen as untreatable, this book sees stroke as an emergency warranting acute treatment. As the authors state in the introduction, “A positive attitude towards stroke is critical. Patients with cerebrovascular disease should be considered to have an illness that can be treated successfully.” This admirably emphasises the approach that sees stroke not as an untreatable “cerebrovascular accident” (a term that should be expunged from medical texts) but as a “brain attack.”

The authors use to emphasise the need for rapid diagnosis and evaluation of acute suspected stroke.

Despite giving the impression of being a brief guide, almost all aspects of emergency management of acute stroke are covered, starting with organisation of stroke services and proceeding to diagnosis and emergency treatment. There is also a chapter devoted to stroke prevention. The text is divided into 13 chapters, followed by a reference list and an index, which includes the tables and figures. The text is illustrated with computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans and is interspersed throughout with very helpful.
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summary tables. Advice is clearly given and where there is controversy, the authors do not hesitate to discuss both sides of the argument. There is an emphasis on medical care during the first few days after a stroke. Rehabilitation is quite rightly introduced as an integral part of the management of patients with stroke, but not really explored, being only allocated a few paragraphs. Hence, the book is clearly not intended to be a comprehensive guide to the totality of multidisciplinary acute stroke management.

Measuring only 6 x 4 x 2 cm, this book is small enough to fit in a white coat pocket. This suggests that the book is designed to be carried around by the medical student, resident, or trainee anywhere, nowhere in the book do the authors make clear their intended audience and neither do they say whether it is intended to be a pocket sized handbook or a detailed textbook that happens to be small. Certainly the size of the book is an advantage, and the numerous tables will undoubtedly prove useful for the busy clinician or the student revising for exams. However, the lack of colour detracts from the attractiveness of the text and the binding prevents the book from opening well. It also needs a more durable cover as it will quickly become dog-eared from being frequently stuffed in and out of a pocket.

This type of book, the text is extensively referenced, with 688 separate references, reflecting the large evidence base behind the current rational treatment of stroke. This has the disadvantage that references after nearly every sentence tend to interrupt the flow of text, giving the impression that one is reading a list of facts. If the text is really meant to be used to guide treatment in the heat of the moment, or equally to be read at leisure to gain understanding of the topic, perhaps a recommended reading list of a few key articles at the end of each chapter might be more useful.

The text is clearly orientated towards the North American market. For example, it is assumed that suitable patients will be treated with intravenous tissues plasminogen activator (tPA). This has not yet been licensed in many other parts of the world, but the algorithm detailing the assessment of patients with thrombolysis will prove useful elsewhere when tPA eventually receives a license outside North America. The text does dwell with many other acute approaches to treatment that can be adopted without embracing thrombolysis, for example the treatment of metabolic disturbances and raised intracranial pressure. Another difficulty is that the use of some North American terms may grate slightly with those more used to other forms of English. For example, ‘emergency’ rather than ‘emergency’ is used throughout. Some North American terms such as emergency department are written in full only once, then used abbreviated (ED) throughout the book. A list of the many abbreviations would help with this problem.

In conclusion, this is a useful book on acute stroke management, which will appeal especially to the North American market. The next edition will hopefully be more user-friendly with a better layout, improved binding, and some colour.

Z E Brown, M M Brown

Diseases of the nervous system: clinical neuroscience and therapeutic principles


The third edition of this well known book is subtitled Clinical neuroscience and therapeutic principles. This signifies that it is not a textbook of clinical practice, but a reference book founded in palt. Physiology. So how well does it succeed? With 221 authors writing 129 chapters some unevenness is inevitable. It is a tribute to the editors’ tight grip that the overall coverage of neurological disease is suitably comprehensive.

I particularly liked the introductory section which highlights contemporary trends in understanding neurological disease processes, the principles of restoring function after damage, and “windows on a working brain”. This is the section of the book that I chose to read for pleasure, simply for a succinct and thoughtful view as to where neurology is going outside its specialty. And throughout the book, there is excellent coverage of background issues ranging from the chemical bases of addiction and alcoholism, to host responses to infection, to pathophysiology of cerebral circulation, to the cellular basis of epilepsy, and to repeat nucleotide expansions.

Inevitably one can take issue with aspects of subject matter coverage, particularly in one’s own subspecialty. For instance, within the neuromuscular disease section, the scientifically interesting and clinically important multifocal motor, CIDP, paraproteinemic, and vasculitic neuropathies, lie buried in a chapter entitled “Guillain-Barré syndrome”. I imagine that the lack of coverage of mononeuropathies deliberately reflects the lack of sexy science underlying this topic, despite its commonness as a clinical encounter. Most welcome, is the coverage of how channelopathies and metabolic derangements affect muscle function.

Although extending to two hefty volumes, totalling over 2000 pages, this text has a refreshingly light and accessible feel. The illustrations are line drawings, and are beautifully clear. This enhances the notion of scientifi c principles which imbues the text. A superb book to have at hand in one’s office alongside a textbook of clinical practice. It is pretty expensive, but probably worth it if you need a succinct summary of the myriad bases for neurological disease.

M Donaghy

Essential pharmacology of antipsychotics and mood stabilizers


This short book is an update of the two chapters from the second edition of Stahl’s larger textbook Essential pharmacology that deal exclusively with psychosis and schizophrenia and their treatment with antipsychotic drugs. The author argues that this new book is justified by the rapidly expanding knowledge base of psychopharmacology for psychosis and schizophrenia.

This book has the same qualities as its big brother. The simple and beautifully conceived graphics make the book highly readable while conveying information that is at the cutting edge of contemporary neuroscience. The latest theories of mechanisms of “atypicality” are presented, including Kapur’s rapid dissociation theory, as are the modes of action of the new generation of so-called dopamine system stabilisers, exemplified by aripiprazole. Given that the latter has not yet been launched in the UK, this volume is a little ahead of the field. There is less new information on mood stabilisers, although some extended discussion of the use of atypical antipsychotics in mood disorders.

Those who already have a copy of Essential pharmacology may be tempted to upgrade. New readers may be drawn to a thin, attractively presented volume. However, some concern must be expressed at the practice of releasing new material in this way. This book is not cheap for its size and the third edition of Essential pharmacology cannot be far behind.

C Bench