Antithrombotic drugs in secondary stroke prevention among a community dwelling older population

F Landi, M Cesari, G Onder, V Zamboni, F Lattanzio, A Russo, C Barillaro, R Bernabei, on behalf of the *SILVERNET-HC Study Group

J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2003;74:1100–1104

See end of article for authors' affiliations

Correspondence to:
Dr Francesco Landi, Istituto di Medicina Interna e Geriatria, Centro Medicina dell'Invecchiamento (CEMI), Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Largo Agostino Gemelli 8, 00168 Rome, Italy; francesco_landi@rm.unicatt.it

*Listed in the acknowledgements at the end of the paper

Background: Patients who suffer a cerebrovascular event are at high risk of a recurrence. Secondary prevention is crucial in reducing the burden of cerebrovascular disease.

Objective: To estimate the percentage of stroke survivors receiving antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs and to identify factors associated with such treatment.

Design: Cross sectional retrospective cohort study.

Methods: Data were analysed from a large collaborative observational study, the Italian "silver network" home care project, which collected data (from 1997 to 2001) on patients admitted to home care programmes (n = 5372). Twenty two home health agencies participated in evaluating the implementation of the minimum dataset for home care (MDS-HC) instrument. For the present study, 648 individuals with a diagnosis of stroke were selected and the initial MDS-HC assessment reported.

Results: 70% of stroke survivors did not receive any antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs (95% confidence interval (CI), 66.5 to 73.5). Among all age categories, aspirin and ticlopidine were the two most commonly prescribed drugs. Living alone (odds ratio (OR), 0.49 (95% CI, 0.24 to 0.89)), dependency in activities of daily living (0.66 (0.40 to 0.99)), cognitive impairment (0.58 (0.38 to 0.86)), and low educational level (0.58 (0.34 to 0.98)) were associated with a reduced likelihood of receiving secondary stroke prevention treatment. Cardiac arrhythmias, coronary artery disease, heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease were associated with the use of antiplatelet or anticoagulant treatment.

Conclusions: Negative attitudes among physicians with respect to secondary stroke prevention are prevalent and reinforce the need for increased awareness of existing data on the risks and benefits for elderly individuals. Social problems and functional impairment may be issues concerning physicians when deciding whether or not the risks of treatment exceed the benefit.

Several randomised clinical trials have established the effectiveness of antiplatelet drugs and anticoagulant agents in the secondary prevention of stroke.^{1–6} For patients with a history of stroke, myocardial infarction, or other high risk vascular disease, antiplatelet agents have been shown to decrease the rate of recurrence or death from cardiovascular causes by about 30%.^{7–8} Moreover, the American Heart Association suggests that every patient who has experienced an atherothrombotic stroke or transient ischaemic attack and has no contraindications should receive antiplatelet treatment regularly to diminish the risk of further stroke or other cardiovascular events.⁹

Despite much data and many recommendations, several descriptive studies have observed divergences between the best practice—as addressed by these guidelines—and the current level of treatment with antiplatelet or anticoagulant agents after cerebrovascular events.^{10–13} A recent observational study among nursing home residents found that 67% of stroke survivors were not receiving drug treatment for secondary stroke prevention, and that patients older than 85 years and with severe cognitive or physical impairment were even less likely to receive this kind of treatment.¹⁰

In this paper we describe a cross sectional study carried out on patients with stroke living in the community. We used the Italian "silver network" home care database to describe the patients' clinical and functional characteristics and to identify factors associated with pharmacological secondary stroke prevention.

METHODS

The study was conducted using data from the database of the Italian national home care programme called the "silver network home care project."¹⁴ This is a population based, longitudinal, multi-linked database which comprises data collected using MDS-HC (the minimum dataset for home care) in more than 20 home health agencies in Italy, and also data on all the drugs used by each patient at the time of the MDS-HC assessment (drugs were coded using the ATC (anatomical, therapeutic and chemical) codes).

MDS-HC assessment form

The MDS-HC¹⁵ contains over 350 data elements, including sociodemographic variables, numerous clinical items about both physical and cognitive status, and all clinical diagnoses. The MDS-HC also includes information about an extensive array of signs, symptoms, syndromes, and treatments provided.¹⁶ Various different multi-item summary scales are embedded in the MDS-HC—measuring, for example, physical function (activities of daily living (ADL); instrumental activities of daily living (IADL)),¹⁷ and cognitive status (cognitive performance scale (CPS)).^{17–18} The MDS items have excellent inter-rater and test-retest reliability when completed by

Abbreviations: ADL, activities of daily living; CPS, cognitive performance scale; IADL, instrumental activities of daily living; MDS-HC, minimum dataset for home care

Table 1 Sociodemographic, functional, and clinical variables in patients with stroke*

Characteristics	Total (n=648)
Age (years) (mean (SD))	78.7 (9.6)
Female	362 (56)
Marital status	
Married	316 (48)
Widowed	277 (43)
Never married	55 (9)
Living alone	95 (15)
Education	
Low (0–5 years)	118 (18)
Medium–high (>5 years)	530 (82)
ADL score (mean (SD))	5.5 (2.1)
IADL score (mean (SD))	5.6 (2.1)
CPS score (mean (SD))	2.7 (1.8)
Impaired cognitive performance†	356 (55)
Cardiovascular diseases	
Hypertension	290 (45)
Coronary artery disease	131 (20)
Heart failure	113 (17)
Peripheral vascular disease	152 (23)
Cardiac arrhythmias	126 (19)
Other diseases	
Diabetes mellitus	133 (20)
Depression	316 (48)
Dementia	124 (19)
Preventive treatment	
Aspirin	96 (14)
Dipyridamole	5 (0)
Ticlopidine	67 (10)
Warfarin	27 (4)

*Data are given as n (%) unless otherwise indicated.

†CPS score ≥ 2 or more.

ADL, activities of daily living (range 0–7, a higher number indicates greater impairment); CPS, cognitive performance scale (range 0–6, a higher number indicates greater impairment); IADL, instrumental activities of daily living (range 0–7, a higher number indicates greater impairment).

nurses performing their usual assessment duties (average weighted $\kappa = 0.8$).^{18, 19}

Study sample

The study population consisted of all patients admitted to home care programmes in 22 home health agencies from 1997 to 2001 who participated in the national silver network project (n = 5372). The intended study sample was all those with a diagnosis of stroke reported on initial MDS-HC assessment (n = 699). From this we excluded any whose drug treatment was not known accurately (n = 51), leaving a final sample of 648. The MDS-HC diagnosis of stroke is based on physician's

interpretation of the patient's medical history as presented by physical examination, the medical record, and hospital discharge documentation.

Drug classification

Home care staff recorded the ATC code for up to 18 drugs taken within the seven days preceding the MDS-HC assessment. The MDS-HC drug inventory has been shown to be both consistent and reliable.¹⁷ We considered that secondary pharmacological prevention of stroke was reflected in standing orders for the antiplatelet agents aspirin (B01AC06), dipyridamole (B01AC07), and ticlopidine (B01AC05), and the anticoagulant

Figure 1 Pharmacological treatment of patients with stroke, stratified by age categories (prevalences and 95% confidence intervals).

Table 2 Main characteristics of participants and odd ratios for any antiplatelet or anticoagulant treatment

Variable	Treated (n=195)	Not treated (n=453)	% Treated	Univariate odds ratio (95% CI)	Adjusted model* odds ratio (95% CI)
Age (years)					
65 to 74	67	141	32	1.0 (reference)	1.0 (reference)
75 to 84	79	165	32	1.0 (0.67 to 1.49)	1.13 (0.73 to 1.74)
≥85	49	147	25	0.70 (0.45 to 1.08)	0.97 (0.59 to 1.61)
Sex					
Male	84	202	29	1.0 (reference)	1.0 (reference)
Female	111	251	31	1.06 (0.75 to 1.49)	1.15 (0.79 to 1.65)
Living alone	21	74	22	0.61 (0.36 to 1.03)	0.49 (0.24 to 0.89)
Education					
Medium-high (>5 years)	172	358	32	1.0 (reference)	1.0 (reference)
Low (0–5 years)	23	95	19	0.50 (0.30 to 0.82)	0.58 (0.34 to 0.98)
Compromised ADL function†	151	394	28	0.51 (0.33 to 0.79)	0.66 (0.40 to 0.99)
Impaired cognitive performance‡	84	272	23	0.49 (0.35 to 0.70)	0.58 (0.38 to 0.86)
Cardiovascular diseases					
Hypertension	96	194	33	1.29 (0.92 to 1.81)	1.27 (0.85 to 1.90)
Coronary artery diseases	52	79	40	1.71 (1.15 to 2.56)	1.73 (1.08 to 2.77)
Heart failure	41	72	36	1.40 (0.91 to 2.15)	1.53 (0.92 to 2.56)
Peripheral vascular disease	56	96	37	1.49 (1.02 to 2.19)	1.63 (0.99 to 2.67)
Cardiac arrhythmias	52	74	41	1.86 (1.24 to 2.78)	1.96 (1.20 to 3.18)
Other diseases					
Diabetes mellitus	39	94	29	0.95 (0.62 to 1.45)	0.93 (0.58 to 1.47)
Depression	91	225	29	0.88 (0.63 to 1.24)	0.93 (0.64 to 1.33)
Dementia	33	91	27	0.81 (0.52 to 1.25)	1.01 (0.58 to 1.47)

*Adjusted simultaneously for all the variables listed.

†ADL score of at least 2 (range 0–7, a higher number indicates greater impairment).

‡Cognitive performance scale (CPS) score of 2 or more (range 0–6, a higher number indicates greater impairment).

ADL, activities of daily living; CI, confidence interval.

warfarin (B01AA03). Clopidogrel was not considered because it only became available in Italy in 2000, after the study protocol and data collection were completed. No patient in the sample population was taking acenocumarol (B01AA07) at the assessment time.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed to obtain descriptive statistics. Continuous variables are presented as mean (SD). Age trends for the use of antiplatelet or anticoagulant agents were analysed using the χ^2 test for trend. A probability (p) value of < 0.05 was chosen for statistical significance.

To identify predictors of secondary stroke preventive treatment, we selected a sample of patients with a diagnosis of stroke and ran a logistic regression model using treatment with any antiplatelet or anticoagulant agent as the dependent variable. We adjusted our model for age, sex, and any potential confounding variables (diabetes, hypertension, cardiac arrhythmia, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, and social, functional, and cognitive status). The IADL score was excluded from the multivariate analysis to limit the confounding effect of collinearity with ADL. We did not consider economic factors in our analyses because the Italian National Health Plan gives universal coverage including the provision of drugs. From the final model, we derived odds ratios (OR) and the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). Statistical analyses were undertaken using SPSS software.

RESULTS

Main characteristics of the study sample population are shown in table 1. Patients were white, predominately female (56%), with a mean (SD) age of 78.7 (9.6) years. Sixty eight per cent of the individuals were aged 75 years or more. Overall, patients had a moderate to severe impairment in basic and

instrumental activities of daily living; similarly, cognitive function was compromised in a large number of patients (55% had a CPS score more than 2, indicating moderate to severe cognitive impairment). On average, the sample had a relatively good level of formal education. Widows and widowers formed 43% of the sample, and 15% lived alone without any available informal care.

Seventy per cent of individuals with a diagnosis of stroke had not received any antiplatelet drugs or warfarin (95% CI, 66.5 to 73.5). Among all age categories, aspirin and ticlopidine were the two most commonly prescribed drugs. Figure 1 shows the relation between age and the secondary stroke prevention treatment. With increasing age, a lower proportion of patients with stroke received any antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs (32%, 32%, and 25% of patients in the 65 to 74 years, 75 to 84 years, and 85 years + groups, respectively; $p = 0.06$ for trend). This trend was significantly evident only for warfarin treatment. However, only 3% of patients aged 75 years and older received this drug, compared with 8% of patients aged 65 to 74 years ($p = 0.005$).

Table 2 shows predictive factors for secondary preventive treatment among patients with stroke. Living alone (OR, 0.49 (95% CI, 0.24 to 0.89)), dependency in activities of daily living (0.66 (0.40 to 0.99)), cognitive impairment (0.58 (0.38 to 0.86)), and low educational level (0.58 (0.34 to 0.98)) were associated with a reduced likelihood of receiving secondary stroke prevention treatment. Conversely, cardiac arrhythmia, coronary artery disease, heart failure, and peripheral vascular disease were associated with receiving anticoagulant or antiplatelet treatment.

DISCUSSION

Stroke is one of the leading causes of morbidity, disability, dependency, and mortality in the USA and in Western

countries. Patients who have experienced a cerebrovascular event are at high risk of a recurrence, and secondary prevention is the most important intervention to decrease the burden of cerebrovascular disease. Various different treatments have been shown to be effective in lowering the risk of recurrent stroke. Several antiplatelet drugs are useful in the secondary prevention of cerebral ischaemic events and in reducing stroke and myocardial infarction.^{1-6, 20} The American Heart Association guidelines for secondary stroke prevention recommend the use of warfarin in all patients with atrial fibrillation who have no contraindications to taking the drug.²¹

A few studies have examined the prevalence of undertreatment of cerebrovascular disease in elderly stroke survivors living in the community.¹¹⁻¹³ In particular, Petty and colleagues¹¹ studied a community dwelling population with a previous history of cerebrovascular accidents and found that 30% of their sample were not treated with any anticoagulant or antiplatelet agents. There are few data about factors influencing the choice of a specific treatment for secondary prevention in patients with a recent stroke or transient ischaemic attack.

Our results in the present study show that only 30% of individuals who suffered a cerebrovascular event received any secondary preventive treatment. Patients aged 85 years or older seemed less likely to receive drugs for secondary stroke prevention than younger patients, though this trend was significant only for warfarin. Subjects with physical or cognitive impairment were also less likely to receive preventive drug treatment than the non-impaired population. In addition, the absence of any informal caregiver and a low educational level were associated with a decreased likelihood of treatment within our sample.

The observation that older, functionally impaired and demented persons were at greater risk of receiving no treatment is of special concern, as shown in previous studies.^{10, 12, 22-24} In this respect, demographic and social problems and functional impairment may be linked to the physician's decision about whether or not the potential risks of treatment exceed any possible benefit. In these particular situations, physicians may postpone the prescription of antiplatelet drugs or warfarin because of a perceived inability to monitor high risk patients effectively.²⁵ Moreover, Kutner and colleagues²⁶ found that general practitioners were unwilling to prescribe antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs for their elderly patients living in the community.

Non-treatment is not the same as undertreatment.²⁷ Contraindications such as gastrointestinal bleeding, peptic ulcer disease, patient's or family's wishes, and treatment intolerance can also be expected to play an important role in a physician's decision to postpone or start any type of secondary preventive treatment. In the light of these considerations, there are some limitations to our study that need to be recognised. First, we have no detailed clinical information about specific contraindications to warfarin or antiplatelet treatment. It is possible that differences in the frequency of contraindications might account for some of the demographic association (for example, contraindications certainly increase in very old people). Second, the MDS-HC stroke diagnosis does not distinguish between haemorrhagic and ischaemic strokes. However, despite these limitations, it is implausible that contraindications can explain the large gap between the recommended and observed rates of treatment that we documented in our study. It is also highly improbable that the 70% of patients who were not receiving any antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs had suffered haemorrhagic strokes.

A more critical consideration is that indecision about secondary preventive treatment is not limited to potential risks in frail elderly patients. There is also uncertainty about the possible benefits.²⁸ The most important evidence on antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs after cerebrovascular events is based on "non-disabling" ischaemic stroke. Scientific evidence about the risks and benefits of secondary stroke pre-

ventive treatment is much more limited for patients with severe physical disability or cognitive impairment.

Conclusions

The negative attitudes of general practitioners over pharmacological treatment for stroke prevention strengthen the need to increase their knowledge of existing data on risks and benefits for frail elderly subjects. However, further research into the outcomes of such preventive treatments is warranted. More clearly defined guidelines suggesting indications for specific therapies are needed, especially among frail and functionally impaired older individuals who have suffered a cerebrovascular event.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Silvernet-HC Study Group is composed of the following:

Steering Committee: R Bernabei, P U Carbonin, M P Ruffilli; *Coordination:* F Landi, F Lattanzio; *Writing Panel:* G Gambassi, A Russo, M Cesari, L Manigrasso, F Pagano, C Gobbi, G Onder, A Sgadari; *Participants:* the list has been published in *Aging Clin Exp Res* 1999;**11**:262-72 and in *Anziani Oggi* 2000;**3-4**:152.

This study was supported by a grant from the "Progetto Finalizzato Invecchiamento" of the National Research Council.

Authors' affiliations

F Landi, V Zamboni, A Russo, C Barillaro, R Bernabei, Department of Gerontology, Catholic University of Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy
M Cesari, G Onder, Sticht Center on Aging, Wake Forest University-Baptist Medical Center, Winston Salem, North Carolina, USA
F Lattanzio, Pfizer Italiana SpA, Rome, Italy

Competing interests: none declared

REFERENCES

- 1 **Caprie Steering Committee**. A randomized, blinded, trial of clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischemic events (CAPRIE). *Lancet* 1996;**348**:1329-38.
- 2 **Diener HC**, Cunha L, Forbes C, *et al*. European Stroke Prevention Study. II. Dipyridamole and acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of stroke. *J Neurol Sci* 1996;**143**:1-13.
- 3 **Gent M**, Easton J, Hachinski V, *et al*. The Canadian American ticlopidine study (CATS) in thromboembolic stroke. *Lancet* 1989;**i**:1215-20.
- 4 **SALT Collaborative Group**. Swedish Aspirin Low-Dose Trial (SALT) of 75 mg of aspirin as secondary prophylaxis after cerebrovascular ischemic events. *Lancet* 1991;**338**:1345-9.
- 5 **Forbes CD**. Secondary stroke prevention with low-dose aspirin, sustained release dipyridamole alone and in combination. ESPS Investigators European Stroke Prevention Study. *Thromb Res* 1998;**92**:S1-6.
- 6 **EAST Study Group**. Secondary prevention in non-rheumatic atrial fibrillation after transient ischaemic attack or minor stroke. *Lancet* 1993;**342**:1255-61.
- 7 **Gaspoz JM**, Coxson PG, Goldman PA, *et al*. Cost effectiveness of aspirin, clopidogrel, or both for secondary prevention of coronary heart disease. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**346**:1800-6.
- 8 **Antiplatelet Trialists' Collaboration**. Collaborative overview of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy. I. Prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke by prolonged antiplatelet therapy in various categories of patients. *BMJ* 1994;**308**:8/1-106.
- 9 **Albers GW**, Easton JD, Sacco RL, *et al*. Antithrombotic and thrombolytic therapy for ischemic stroke. *Chest* 1998;**114**:683-98S.
- 10 **Quilliam BJ**, Lapane KL. Clinical correlates and drug treatment of residents with stroke in long-term care. *Stroke* 2001;**32**:1385-93.
- 11 **Petty GW**, Brown RD, Whisnant JP, *et al*. Frequency of major complications of aspirin, warfarin, and intravenous heparin for secondary stroke prevention: a population-based study. *Ann Intern Med* 1999;**130**:14-22.
- 12 **Moroney JT**, Tseng C-L, Paik MC, *et al*. Treatment for the secondary prevention of stroke in older patients: the influence of dementia status. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1999;**47**:824-9.
- 13 **Rockwood D**, Ebly E, Hachinski V, *et al*. Presence and treatment of vascular risk factors in patients with vascular cognitive impairment. *Arch Neurol* 1997;**54**:33-9.
- 14 **Landi F**, Lattanzio F, Gambassi G, *et al*. A model for integrated home care of frail older patients: the Silver Network Project. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 1999;**11**:262-72.
- 15 **Morris JN**, Fries BE, Steel K, *et al*. Comprehensive clinical assessment in community setting: applicability of the MDS-HC. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1997;**45**:1017-24.
- 16 **Morris JN**, Fries BE, Bernabei R, *et al*. RAI - Home Care assessment manual. Washington, DC: InterRAI Corporation, 1996.

- 17 **Landi F**, Tua E, Onder G, *et al*. The minimum data set for home care: a valid instrument to assess frail older people living in community. *Med Care* 2000;**38**:1184–90.
- 18 **Hartmaier SL**, Sloane PD, Guess HA, *et al*. Validation of the minimum data set cognitive performance scale: agreement with the mini-mental state examination. *J Gerontol* 1995;**50**:M128–33.
- 19 **Hawes C**, Morris JN, Phillips CD, *et al*. Reliability estimates of the minimum data set (MDS) for nursing home resident assessment and care screening. *Gerontologist* 1995;**2**:172–8.
- 20 **Dippel DWJ**. The results of CAPRIE, IST and CAST. *Thromb Res* 1998;**92**:S13–16.
- 21 **Wolf PA**, Clogett GP, Easton JD, *et al*. Preventing ischemic stroke in patients with prior stroke and transient ischemic attack: a statement for healthcare professionals from the stroke council of the American Heart Association. *Stroke* 1999;**30**:1991–4.
- 22 **Landi F**, Onder G, Zuccalà G, *et al*. Non-scientific prescription in demented older people living in the community: an Italian perspective. *Clin Drug Invest* 2001;**21**:157–60.
- 23 **Landi F**, Gambassi G, Lapane KL, *et al*. Comorbidity and drug use in cognitively impaired elderly living in long-term care. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord* 1998;**9**:347–56.
- 24 **Landi F**, Onder G, Cesari M, *et al*. Pain management in frail, community-living elderly patients. *Arch Intern Med* 2001;**161**:2721–4.
- 25 **Monette J**, Gurwitz JH, Rochon PA, *et al*. Physician attitudes concerning warfarin for stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation: results of a survey of long-term care practitioners. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1997;**45**:1060–65.
- 26 **Kutner M**, Nixon G, Silverstone F. Physicians' attitudes toward oral anticoagulants and antiplatelet agents for stroke prevention in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation. *Arch Intern Med* 1991;**151**:1950–3.
- 27 **Leibson C**. Management of stroke in long-term care [editorial]. *Stroke* 2001;**32**:1392–3.
- 28 **Gage BF**, Fihn SD, White RH. Warfarin therapy for an octogenarian who has atrial fibrillation. *Ann Intern Med* 2001;**134**:465–74.

NEUROLOGICAL STAMP.....

August von Wassermann (1866–1925)

Wassermann was a German physician and bacteriologist who was educated at the Universities of Erlangen, Vienna, Munich, and Strasbourg. He graduated in 1888. From 1890 he was a student of Robert Koch at the Institute of Infectious Diseases in Berlin and in 1907 became head of the department of therapeutics and serum research. In 1913 he moved to the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute. Here he was director of experimental therapeutics until his death 12 years later. Wassermann is best remembered for the Wassermann test or reaction, which he developed in association with the German dermatologist Albert Neisser. The Wassermann test was the predecessor of today's Venereal Disease Research Laboratory (VDRL) test for the diagnosis of infection with syphilis. Wassermann also developed a treatment for diphtheria and vaccinations for cholera, tetanus, and typhoid fever. Although not portrayed on a postage stamp, Wassermann has been portrayed on a German postmark.

L F Haas