neuromuscular junction (NMJ) disorders result from destruction, malfunction or absence of one or more key proteins involved in neuromuscular transmission, illustrated diagrammatically in fig 1. The most common pathology is antibody mediated damage or down regulation of ion channels or receptors, resulting in myasthenia gravis (MG), Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS), and acquired neuromyotonia (Isaac’s syndrome). Not surprisingly these three conditions share many common features (table 1). A second important group of disorders are the congenital myasthenic syndromes caused by mutations in NMJ proteins. Detailed discussion of these rare conditions is beyond the scope of this short review but interested readers are referred to a recent review by Engel and Ohno.

**MYASTHENIA GRAVIS**

**Pathophysiology**
In anti-AChR antibody positive MG, autoantibodies target the acetylcholine (ACh) receptor (AChR) resulting in receptor blockade, down regulation, and complement mediated destruction, thus reducing the number of receptors available to interact with the ACh released from the presynaptic nerve terminal. Complement activation attracts activated macrophages, which cause significant damage to the synaptic folds and loss of voltage gated sodium channels, which in turn increases the threshold required to initiate a muscle action potential. The consequence of the combined loss of AChRs and sodium channels is that the safety factor for neuromuscular transmission is reduced, and transmission at many endplates fails.

Although it has long been suspected that “seronegative” MG is also antibody mediated, it was only recently that one of the target proteins, muscle specific kinase (MuSK), was identified. During ontogeny this protein appears to play a key role in aggregation of AChRs at the motor end plate; its role during adult life is not yet clear.

**Epidemiology**
The prevalence of MG in the UK is estimated to be 15 per 100 000 with an incidence of 1.1/100 000 population per year. To put this in context, MG is about seven times less common than multiple sclerosis, and as common as motor neurone disease in the over 60 age group. In women the incidence is bimodal with one peak between the ages of 16–35 years and a second over the age of 65, while in men MG is predominantly a disease of later life. Anti-AChR antibody positive MG is rare before puberty in white Europeans. Rarely MG is caused by drugs, in particular the antirheumatic drug, D-penicillamine. Drug induced disease generally, but not invariably, remits on stopping the offending agent.

**Making the diagnosis**

**Clinical features**
In theory, the fatiguable weakness characteristic of MG should be easy to recognise. In practice there is often a significant time lag between symptom onset and diagnosis. There are a number of reasons for this; to non-neurologists MG is a rare disease and more common diagnoses such as a stroke, motor neurone disease or hysteria are likely to be entertained first. The fluctuating nature of the condition can also create diagnostic confusion; the double vision that was such a problem when watching television the night before will have often resolved when reviewed by a doctor the following morning. Eliciting fatigue is not part of the “routine” neurological examination, so you have to think of MG before you can find it. Furthermore, it should be remembered that not all patients that fatigue have MG and not all myasthenics clearly fatigue.

**Investigations**

**Anti-ACh receptor antibodies**
A clearly positive anti-ACh antibody titre in a patient with a clinical picture of fatiguable weakness is enough to confirm the diagnosis of MG. False positive antibody results are very rare. Equivocal levels can occur in other autoimmune conditions and are more difficult to interpret, and
neurophysiology should be performed to confirm the diagnosis. The real problem comes with antibody negative patients. It is estimated that about 50% of patients with purely ocular MG and 15% of those with generalised symptoms do not have antibodies against the AChR. Of these, just under half will be seropositive for MuSK antibodies; in the remainder one must rely on clinical symptoms, signs, and the results of detailed neurophysiology. The real problem comes with antibody negative patients. It is estimated that about 50% of patients with purely ocular MG and 15% of those with generalised symptoms do not have antibodies against the AChR. Of these, just under half will be seropositive for MuSK antibodies; in the remainder one must rely on clinical symptoms, signs, and the results of detailed neurophysiology.

Figure 1  Diagrammatic representation of neuromuscular transmission. (1) Action potential arriving at nerve terminal triggers opening of voltage gated calcium channels (VGCCs) and entry of calcium. (2) Rise in intracellular calcium triggers release of packets of acetylcholine (ACh). (3) Interaction of ACh with ACh receptors (AChR) depolarises post-synaptic membrane. (4) Voltage gated sodium channels (VGSCs) open, triggering muscle action potential. (5) ACh esterase (AChE) breaks ACh into acetyl and choline, which are taken up by the nerve terminal to be reformed into ACh. (6) Opening of voltage gated potassium channels (VGKCs) repolarises nerve terminal.

The Tensilon test

The Tensilon (edrophonium) test has been largely superseded by the anti-AChR antibody assay and detailed neurophysiology in the diagnosis of MG, though it still has a place, particularly when the diagnosis needs to be confirmed with some degree of urgency. Although one of the few “magic tricks” in medicine, the test itself poses a number of potential pitfalls for the unwary. Firstly it carries a small but not insignificant risk of respiratory arrest and cardiac arrhythmias, and should be used with caution in anyone with a history of cardiac arrhythmias or chronic respiratory disease. The test should always be performed where there are full resuscitation facilities available and patients pre-dosed with atropine. Secondly, a positive test is not 100% specific for MG and can be seen in a range of conditions including motor neurone disease and botulism. Conversely a negative test does not exclude the diagnosis. Many people advocate performing the test in a double blind fashion; in practice this is difficult to achieve, as the muscarinic side effects of edrophonium are generally clear to both patient and observer, and because its duration of action may be prolonged. You could try performing the test single blind with saline or atropine as the first “test” dose or simply accept that there may be a placebo response.

Neurophysiological studies

Repetitive nerve stimulation involves supramaximal stimulation at 3 Hz of a peripheral nerve, often the ulnar nerve, and recording the compound motor action potential (CMAP) from the relevant muscle. A decrement of more than 10% over five responses is considered consistent with a diagnosis of MG. Unfortunately the test is unreliable if the limb is cold or if the patient has taken ACh esterase (AChE) inhibitors, and it is often normal in ocular myasthenia. Patients may find supramaximal stimulation quite uncomfortable. A more sensitive test is single fibre electromyography (SFEMG), where recordings are made from two muscle fibres in a single motor unit. Increased variability in the interval between paired action potentials, termed ‘jitter’, or occasional blocking of one of the potentials is considered evidence of a defect in neuromuscular transmission. However, increased jitter can be
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CMAP, compound motor action potential; IVlg, intravenous immunoglobulin; SFEMG, single fibre electromyography.
found in conditions other than MG and so needs to be carefully interpreted. Furthermore it is a test that demands a high degree of expertise, which may not be available locally, and again patients find it quite uncomfortable particularly when the orbicularis oculi is tested.

Management
In the era of evidence based medicine, the management of the neuromuscular disorders has lagged far behind many other conditions. This is not surprising for diseases such as LEMS and neuromyotonia whose rarity makes any sort of randomised controlled trial a challenge, to say the least. However, it is disappointing that we have little hard evidence on which to base our management of MG, and there are probably as many different treatment regimens for myasthenia as there are neurologists. Hopefully over the next few years we will be able to move from anecdotal to evidence based treatment for this condition. Figure 2 shows a treatment algorithm for MG.

Managing mild myasthenia
Patients with mild myasthenia—that is, those with ocular symptoms and no bulbar weakness—can be managed on an outpatient basis, though at symptom onset it is impossible to be sure that the disease is not going to progress. The first line of treatment is the oral AChE inhibitor pyridostigmine, starting with 30 mg three times daily and gradually increasing according to response to 60–90 mg four times daily. Gastrointestinal side effects are common and can be extremely debilitating, although antimuscarinics such as propantheline (15–30 mg three times daily) may provide some relief. Cholinergic crises caused by excessive doses of pyridostigmine are less common than they used to be because immunosuppressant drugs tend to be introduced earlier in the disease process, but they are still a risk and the patient should be counselled against taking too many tablets.

Many patients with mild disease respond well to pyridostigmine, and will not require more intensive treatment. However, a significant proportion of ocular myasthenics notice little benefit. In these cases there needs to be a careful discussion with the patient about the pros and cons of trying steroids or managing with practical aids such as eyelid props. If they wish to start steroids, and you are satisfied that they have purely ocular myasthenia, then steroids can be started slowly as an outpatient. I generally start with 5 mg alternate days, increasing by 5 mg per week up to a maximum dose of between 20–30 mg alternate days. Rarely it may be necessary to go up to 1 mg/kg to achieve remission. Patients should always be warned to report immediately should they develop speech or swallowing difficulties.

Managing moderate to severe myasthenia
Any myasthenic with worsening generalised disease needs to be admitted to hospital, whether they are newly diagnosed or established patients in the throes of a relapse. Starting or increasing the dose of pyridostigmine may be of benefit, though rapidly escalating doses are a clear sign that immunosuppressant treatment needs to be initiated. In those patients unable to swallow, neostigmine (1–2.5 mg subcutaneously) may be helpful, although the incidence of muscarinic side effects with this drug is high and the production of excess secretions may be life threatening. In general if a patient is bad enough to require neostigmine, they are bad enough to require monitoring in intensive care.

Although steroids have been the mainstay of treatment for MG for three decades, dosing regimens are based on

![Figure 2: Treatment algorithm for myasthenia gravis (MG). AChR, acetylcholine receptor; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; PEx, plasma exchange.](http://jnnp.bmj.com/first-published-as/10.1136/jnnp.7.4-suppl.2.ii32)}
experience rather than evidence. The main concern is that initiating steroids may induce an initial deterioration in symptoms before any benefit is realised, although the “safe” speed of introduction has not been established. It is generally reasonable to start at 10 mg/day and increase by 10 mg every second day to a maintenance dose of 1.5 mg/kg, or 100 mg, whichever is the lower. This should be maintained until symptoms resolve, after which they can be slowly reduced. Whether you aim to stabilise the patient on a daily or alternate day regimen is a matter of personal preference. There have never been any randomised trials demonstrating the benefit of alternate day steroids, and patients may find it confusing or notice an increase in symptoms on the “non-steroid” day. However, many experienced practitioners feel that the incidence of side effects, in particular steroid myopathy, is reduced on an alternate day regimen. The speed of reduction should be gauged by the severity of the patient’s MG, their prior success or otherwise in reducing steroids, and their tolerance of side effects. However, I would not reduce more rapidly than 5 mg/month down to 20 mg alternate days, then by 2.5 mg/month to 10 mg, and then by a maximum of 1 mg/month. Many people relapse when their steroid dose falls below 10 mg alternate days and therefore serious consideration needs to be given to the addition of another immunosuppressant drug when the steroids are started.

The low frequency of spontaneous remission in MG coupled with the high incidence of steroid induced side effects with prolonged use means that the use of other immunosuppressive drugs as “steroid sparing agents” is now widespread. The most popular is azathioprine (2.5 mg/kg/day)—the subject of one of the few double blinded randomised controlled trials in MG. As the benefit of azathioprine is not realised for about 12–18 months, it should be started at the same time as steroids in any patient with moderate to severe disease. Unfortunately a significant number of people experience fevers, chills, nausea, and vomiting on azathioprine, and like steroids in any patient with moderate to severe disease. 12–18 months, it should be started at the same time as steroids and increased to 10 mg/month to 10 mg, and then by a maximum of 1 mg/month. Many people relapse when their steroid dose falls below 10 mg alternate days and therefore serious consideration needs to be given to the addition of another immunosuppressant drug when the steroids are started.

Managing a myasthenic crisis
Myasthenic crises can occur in undiagnosed myasthenics, where it is important that the condition is considered early and appropriate treatment instituted, or in established patients precipitated by infection, treatment with drugs that interfere with neuromuscular transmission such as the aminoglycoside antibiotics, or inadequate immunosuppression. Immediate concerns in a crisis are deteriorating respiratory function and dysphagia. The former is best assessed by regular measurements of the forced vital capacity, though it can be difficult to get accurate readings in the elderly and those with pronounced facial weakness. Other useful markers of respiratory failure are an increasing respiratory rate, having to take a breath after two or three words, and being unable to count to 10 slowly out loud on a single breath. Patients whose vital capacity is dropping rapidly or who can only achieve 15 ml/kg should be admitted to intensive care. The integrity of a patient’s swallow can be quickly assessed by watching them drink 10 ml of water to see if they cough, choke or have a “bubbly” voice afterwards. Neostigmine before meals may also be helpful but if there is any doubt about the safety of their swallow, they should be placed nil by mouth until formally assessed. Aspiration pneumonia is clearly an undesirable outcome in someone with already compromised respiratory function.

Once the safety of the patient is established, steroid treatment should be started or increased. If they are already requiring ventilatory support the maximum dose of steroids should be instituted immediately. However, it can take several weeks before the benefit of steroids are realised, in which case plasma exchange or infusion of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) should be considered to induce a more rapid, albeit short lived, remission. A randomised direct comparison of the two showed them to be equally effective. IVIg is generally better tolerated but the benefit is seen earlier with plasma exchange. In my experience more patients respond to plasma exchange, particularly if they are “seronegative”, although many hospitals have limited access to plasma exchange services, particularly “on call”, and so IVIg is generally the more practical option. However, if the patient has not responded seven days after initiation of IVIg treatment, it is reasonable to try plasma exchange if possible.

The role of thymectomy
The association between anti-AChR antibody positive myasthenia and thymic abnormalities is well recognised. Approximately 10% of patients have a thymoma, while early onset disease (before the age of 40) is associated with thymic hyperplasia. A computed tomographic (CT) thorax scan is therefore mandatory in all anti-AChR antibody positive patients. A thymoma should be removed because of the risk of metastatic spread within the thoracic cavity, but this will not result in disease remission. Thymectomy in the absence of a thymoma is more controversial. A recent analysis of published data on the subject concluded that the operation was probably beneficial in patients with severe disease. However, as this conclusion was based on analysis of non-randomised retrospective studies of a heterogeneous population of patients, it is difficult to draw too many conclusions, except that a well designed trial is urgently needed. At the moment it is probably fair to say that thymectomy is likely to be followed by remission over three years in about 25% of patients and some improvement in a further 50% in anti-AChR antibody positive patients with early disease onset (before the age of 40), although this advice may change in light of new evidence over the next decade.

LAMBERT EATON MYASTHENIC SYNDROME (LEMS) Pathophysiology
In LEMS the autoantibody target is the P/Q type voltage gated calcium channel expressed on the presynaptic motor nerve terminals, autonomic nerve terminals, and cerebellar Purkinje cells. Loss of this ion channel leads to a reduction in calcium entry into the nerve terminal, thus insufficient ACh is released to elevate the end plate potential above that required for sodium channel activation. Repeated impulses increase the amount of calcium entering the nerve terminal and eventually...
sufficient ACh is released to trigger a muscle action potential. Thus in LEMS, sustained effort increases muscle strength—post-tetanic potentiation.

**Epidemiology**
Although there is no accurate epidemiological data for LEMS, it is probably more than 10-fold less common than MG. In 60% of cases it is associated with an underlying small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and a prospective study detected LEMS in 3% of these patients. Neurological symptoms may precede radiological evidence of tumour by some years, so it is probably prudent to scan high risk patients (that is, smokers) every year. Non-cancer associated LEMS may occur in childhood or in adult life at any age, and not infrequently coexist with other autoimmune conditions.

**Making the diagnosis**

**Clinical features**
The first symptom noticed by the patient is difficulty walking, generally because of proximal leg weakness but also occasionally because of ataxia. Arms may also be affected but oculocutaneous, bulbar, and respiratory symptoms are unusual, although well recognised. Patients often report that they are worse in the heat. On direct questioning patients often admit to a variety of autonomic symptoms such as dry mouth, constipation, impotence, and bladder urgency. The classic textbook findings on examination are a demonstrable increase in muscle power and a reappearance of absent reflexes with sustained effort, though this can be difficult to demonstrate in practice.

**Investigations**
Anti-VGCC (voltage gated calcium channel) antibodies can be detected in the patient’s serum in over 90% of cases, and like anti-AChR antibodies, are extremely specific for LEMS. Typical findings on neurophysiology are a notably reduced CMAP, with a dramatic increase after maximum voluntary contraction.

**Management**
The first line of treatment for LEMS is the drug 3,4-diaminopyridine (3,4-DAP), which blocks presynaptic potassium channels, thereby increasing the opening time of the available VGCC. A reasonable starting dose is 10 mg four times daily, which can be further increased to 20 mg four times daily if required. Patients should be warned that they may experience perioral and peripheral paraesthesia. Doses above 100 mg a day are not recommended because of the risk of seizures (the drug is contraindicated in epilepsy), and there are reports that the drug can precipitate asthma attacks in susceptible people. Some patients also report that pyridostigmine provides moderate benefit, though generally it is less pronounced than that seen in MG.

In LEMS associated with SCLC, appropriate treatment for the tumour should be instituted. Unlike thymoma and MG, the induction of oncological remission is reflected by improvement in the neurological symptoms. Non-cancer patients who remain symptomatic despite maximal treatment with 3,4-DAP should be started on steroids and azathioprine, which retrospective studies have shown to be of benefit. As with MG, plasma exchange or IVlg will induce rapid but temporary remission in severely affected patients.

**NEUROMYOTONIA**

**Pathophysiology**
In acquired neuromyotonia, autoantibodies cause down regulation of voltage gated potassium channels (VGKCs) expressed on the peripheral nerve terminal. This reduction in VGKCs prolongs de polarization of the nerve terminal, so increasing the amount of ACh released from the nerve terminal resulting in nerve hyperexcitability.

**Clinical features**
Acquired autoimmune neuromyotonia (Isaac’s syndrome) is rare. It can occur at any age but the median age at onset is between 30–40 years. Most cases are idiopathic, though about 15% are associated with thymoma, and a small proportion with SCLC. The neuronal hyperexcitability results in skeletal muscle overactivity, which manifests as muscle twitching or myokymia (which is often confused with fasciculation), cramps, muscle stiffness, and in chronic cases, muscle hypertrophy. Increased sweating, presumably caused by continuous muscle activity, is also a common complaint.

**Investigations**
In common with MG and LEMS, diagnosis of neuromyotonia is based on positive titres of anti-VGKC antibodies and characteristic findings on neurophysiology. However, the immunoprecipitation assay used by most laboratories only detects antibodies in about 50% of cases; more sensitive tests can improve the yield to 87% but are not widely available. The classical EMG findings are of spontaneous doublet, triplet or multiple discharges from motor nerves. Fasciculation and fibrillation potentials are also found and some patients may have evidence of a mild peripheral neuropathy.

**Management**
Many patients gain significant symptomatic relief from drugs that down regulate voltage gated sodium channels such as the anticonvulsants carbamazepine, phenytoin, and lamotrigine. Plasma exchange can provide short term relief; the response to IVlg is generally less striking. Resistant cases may benefit from long term immunosuppression with prednisolone and azathioprine, though not all patients respond to this. It goes without saying that any underlying tumour should be treated appropriately, though like MG this is unlikely to result in neurological remission.

**CONCLUSIONS**
Autoimmune disorders of the NMJ, although uncommon, are a fascinating group of diseases, both clinically and scientifically. Although the pathophysiology of these conditions is now well understood, management is still largely based on anecdote and personal preference, and there is a clear need for well designed randomised trials to clarify the optimal treatment for these diseases.
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