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Familial intracranial aneurysms are defined by the presence of at least two affected first degree relatives with aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH) or an unruptured intracranial aneurysm. In comparison with sporadic intracranial aneurysms, familial aneurysms rupture at an earlier age and are more often located at the middle cerebral artery.

Some pedigrees of familial intracranial aneurysms seem consistent with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance, whereas others suggest a multifactorial or autosomal recessive pattern. Segregation analysis has shown that several mendelian inheritance modes were compatible, but that autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive transmission were the most likely patterns. Thus genetic heterogeneity in familial intracranial aneurysm is possible, either with mutations at separate loci (locus heterogeneity) or with different mutations at the same locus (allelic heterogeneity). Recently, evidence for possible locus heterogeneity in familial intracranial aneurysms was found as one study reported that a genome-wide scan for intracranial aneurysm susceptibility genes showed positive evidence for linkage on 7q11, while another found linkage on 19q. Locus heterogeneity may be characterised by differences in phenotype.

An example of a genetically heterogeneous disorder is Alzheimer’s disease. In genetic studies on Alzheimer’s disease the patients are dichotomised according to phenotype into those with early onset and those with late onset, and different genetic deficits have been identified in the two distinct subgroups. In case there is a difference in phenotype to optimise mutational screening.

We compared demographic and clinical features between patients of families consistent with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance with those where the pattern of inheritance was not suggestive of autosomal dominant transmission (non-dominant mode). In addition, in families with an autosomal dominant transmission we compared the ages at the time of SAH in parent–child pairs, because a previous study indicated that in two successively affected generations the age at time of SAH was lower in children than in their parents, which suggests genetic anticipation.

METHODS
Ascertainment of families and definition of familial intracranial aneurysms

For this study we had intended to define familial intracranial aneurysms as the presence of at least two first degree relatives with aneurysmal SAH, in contrast to the commonly used definition (the presence of at least two affected first degree relatives with aneurysmal SAH or an unruptured intracranial aneurysm), as the latter will result in the inclusion of families where only one relative had SAH and one other had an unruptured intracranial aneurysm. Inclusion of such families is likely to lead to bias because many have been ascertained differently from those where two members have had an SAH (for example, by active screening of asymptomatic relatives of an SAH patient). However, because most other genetic studies on familial intracranial aneurysms have included families with one SAH and one unruptured aneurysm, we carried out two separate analyses using both definitions: (1) defined as the presence of at least two affected first degree relatives with aneurysmal SAH or an unruptured intracranial aneurysm, and (2) defined as the presence of at least two affected first degree relatives with aneurysmal SAH or with an unruptured intracranial aneurysm.

We used records of patients with familial intracranial aneurysms known in the University Medical Centre Utrecht from two previous studies, collected between September 1991 and October 1992 and from December 1995 to March 1997. In the first study patients with at least two first degree relatives with SAH were selected from a prospectively
collected series of patients with aneurysmal SAH. The second study used magnetic resonance (MR) angiography to screen for intracranial aneurysms in first degree relatives of a consecutive series of index patients with aneurysmal SAH. We also included families from the outpatient clinic for intracranial aneurysms of the University Medical Centre Utrecht. Members of these families visited the outpatient clinic at their own request or after referral by a neurologist or a neurosurgeon. All medical documents on family members with a medical history suggestive of SAH, intracerebral haemorrhage, stroke, or unruptured intracranial aneurysm were reviewed. Asymptomatic individuals were considered eligible for screening if they were related in the first degree to at least two patients with SAH or an unruptured intracranial aneurysm. These persons were screened with MR angiography. First degree relatives who chose not to visit the outpatient clinic were not actively invited to be screened.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Index patients with aneurysmal SAH were defined by symptoms suggestive of SAH combined with subarachnoid blood on computed tomography (CT) and a proven aneurysm on CT angiography or conventional angiography. In patients who died before angiography could be done, the pattern of haemorrhage on CT had to be compatible with a ruptured aneurysm. Patients with an unruptured intracranial aneurysm were identified by CT or MR angiography, conventional angiography, surgery, or necropsy. Episodes of SAH in relatives were categorised into “definite” or “probable” SAH. The diagnosis of definite SAH was based on clinical features suggestive of SAH in combination with either subarachnoid blood (as demonstrated by CT or analysis of the cerebrospinal fluid), or an intracranial aneurysm proved by angiography (conventional angiogram, CT angiogram, or MR angiogram), surgery, or necropsy. Probable SAH was defined as either sudden severe headache in combination with a normal neurological examination, and haemorrhagic CSF followed by sudden deterioration and death within four weeks (consistent with rebleeding), or as a history describing a second ictus followed by death within the first four weeks after “stroke” in a person aged less than 70 years.

We constructed pedigrees for each family and determined the mode of inheritance. We defined an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance as the presence of at least two affected relatives (with SAH or an unruptured intracranial aneurysm) in two successive generations, or at least two affected half brothers or half sisters. If only siblings were affected and if one of the parents had died before 60 years of age, while the cause of death was other than SAH or unknown, the parent was considered as being “non-informative” and the family was excluded from the analysis. If only siblings were affected and both parents were still alive or had reached an age above 60 years without having had an SAH, families were defined as having a pattern of inheritance suggestive of a mode of inheritance other than autosomal dominant. These families will be further referred to as having a non-dominant pattern of inheritance. Families with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) and connective tissue disorders such as Ehlers-Danlos disease were excluded.

Data collected
For the SAH patients, we collected data on age at time of SAH, sex, number and location of ruptured and unruptured intracranial aneurysms, and outcome after SAH (on discharge from the hospital). For outcome on discharge from the hospital we used the modified Glasgow outcome scale (GOS) with three different categories: independent (GOS 4 and 5), dependent (GOS 2 and 3), or dead (GOS 1). Where a patient had a second SAH later in life, we used only the data on the first SAH. In patients with an unruptured intracranial aneurysm we only studied sex and the number and location of any intracranial aneurysms.

Literature search
To compare our data on age, sex, and number and location of ruptured and unruptured intracranial aneurysms with those in earlier studies, we carried out a Medline search for articles in English on familial intracranial aneurysms from 1954 to 2002 using the key words aneurysm, cerebral, intracranial, subarachnoid haemorrhage, genetics, and familial in different combinations. We also scrutinised the reference lists of all publications retrieved for additional studies. In these families we applied only the strictest definition of familial intracranial aneurysms (the presence of at least two first degree relatives with aneurysmal SAH; see paragraph on ascertainment of families above). We used the same inclusion and exclusion criteria as for our own families with familial intracranial aneurysms, as described above. In addition, we included only families with complete information on age at time of SAH, sex, and number and location of ruptured intracranial aneurysms for all affected subjects. We excluded reports of families with only affected siblings and no information on the parents. Twin studies were excluded.

Data analyses
As described in the paragraph on ascertainment of families, we carried out two separate analyses using the two different definitions of familial intracranial aneurysm. The demographic and clinical features were compared in patients from families with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance and with a non-dominant pattern. Age at the time of SAH was compared in the two groups by calculating the difference in mean age with the corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). This analysis may be influenced by ascertainment bias, as individuals with early onset disease (that is, SAH at a young age) may be referred early to a specialist and be diagnosed as having familial intracranial aneurysm, whereas patients with late onset disease may not come to medical attention. To correct for this possible bias we also conducted this analysis excluding all parent–child pairs involving a proband. For the remaining features we assessed the proportions of the characteristics and calculated the differences between these proportions with corresponding 95% confidence intervals. In the families with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance and two successive generations of patients with SAH, the ages at onset of SAH in the different generations were compared using the Wilcoxon test for non-parametric comparison of paired samples. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test whether the distribution of the difference between ages at onset in parent–child pairs differed according to the sex of the affected parent.

RESULTS
Using the strict definition of familial intracranial aneurysms (presence of at least two first degree relatives with aneurysmal SAH), we included 36 families. Of these, 17 had an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance and 19 a non-dominant pattern (fig 1A and 1B). In these 36 families, 84 members had had an SAH and 11 had been treated for unruptured aneurysms. In all but one of the 17 families with an autosomal dominant inheritance the conclusion was based on subsequent generations being affected, with 41% (95% CI, 28% to 55%) of the siblings in the second generation affected. In the remaining family, half brothers or half sisters were affected. In the 19 families with non-dominant pattern of inheritance, 34% (95% CI, 26% to 42%) of siblings were affected. In one of these families the parents were...
Figure 1  (A) Families with familial intracranial aneurysms with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance, defined as the presence of at least two affected first degree relatives with aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH). (B) Families with familial intracranial aneurysms with a non-autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance, defined as the presence of at least two affected first degree relatives with aneurysmal SAH.
consanguineous (first cousins), which suggests an autosomal recessive inheritance (fig 1B, family 27).

When we used the wider definition of familial intracranial aneurysms (presence of at least two affected first degree relatives with aneurysmal SAH or with an unruptured intracranial aneurysm) we were able to include 17 additional families: eight with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance and nine with a non-dominant pattern (fig 2A and 2B). In 53 families (36 plus 17), 102 members had had an SAH and 36 had been treated for unruptured aneurysms. Of the 25 families with autosomal dominant inheritance, 22 had two or three affected generations, with 44% (95% CI, 33% to 55%) of the siblings of the second or third generation affected. In the other 18 families, half brothers or half sisters were affected. In the 28 families with a non-dominant pattern of inheritance 33% (95% CI, 26% to 39%) of siblings were affected.

In two of the 25 families with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance three successive generations of patients had intracranial aneurysms (fig 2A: families 38 and 39). In family 39, patient III-1 had an SAH from an intracranial aneurysm of the middle cerebral artery, while screening with MRA did not show an intracranial aneurysm in her mother. The most likely explanation is a reduced penetrance or anticipation, as the mother may still develop an intracranial aneurysm in the future.

In our literature search for families with familial intracranial aneurysm we identified 34 families.10–32
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In table 1 we summarise the demographic and clinical features for patients from families with at least two first degree relatives with aneurysmal SAH (our preferred definition of familial intracranial aneurysm) separately for our own observations and those in previous studies. We found no difference in mean age at the time of SAH between patients with autosomal dominant and non-dominant patterns of inheritance. Also, the proportion of women or multiple intracranial aneurysms did not differ between the two groups, and found no differences in the location of the intracranial aneurysms. The outcome after SAH was similar in patients with a dominant and a non-dominant pattern of inheritance.
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Table 1  Comparison of characteristics of patients with familial intracranial aneurysms (defined as the presence of at least two first degree relatives with aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage) and an autosomal dominant or non-dominant pattern of inheritance (families from the present study and from published reports)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>This study</th>
<th>Published reports</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AD (n)</td>
<td>Non-AD (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at time of SAH (including proband) (years)</td>
<td>45.0 (42)</td>
<td>43.7 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at time of SAH (excluding proband) (years)</td>
<td>46.7 (29)</td>
<td>42.5 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range 21 to 71</td>
<td>range 22 to 63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of women</td>
<td>63% (29)</td>
<td>55% (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple intracranial aneurysms</td>
<td>18% (6)</td>
<td>26% (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead</td>
<td>43% (18)</td>
<td>43% (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent</td>
<td>5% (2)</td>
<td>10% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>52% (22)</td>
<td>48% (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site of intracranial aneurysms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>48% (19)</td>
<td>35% (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>25% (10)</td>
<td>29% (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>23% (9)</td>
<td>29% (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBA</td>
<td>5% (2)</td>
<td>8% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>48% (19)</td>
<td>35% (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VBA</td>
<td>5% (2)</td>
<td>8% (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACA, anterior communication artery complex; AD, autosomal dominant inheritance; non-AD, mode of inheritance other than autosomal dominant; CI, confidence interval; ICA, internal carotid artery complex (including posterior communicating artery); MCA, middle cerebral artery complex; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage; VBA, vertebral basilar artery complex.

DISCUSSION

In this study we report a large number of families with familial intracranial aneurysms. We found no differences in demographic or clinical features between affected members from families with a pattern of inheritance suggestive of autosomal dominant transmission and those from families with a pattern of inheritance not suggestive of autosomal dominant transmission (non-dominant mode). Our results imply that stratification according to phenotype is not possible in future genetic studies on familial intracranial aneurysms. Of course, absence of differences in phenotype between patients with an autosomal dominant pattern and patients with a seemingly non-dominant pattern does not preclude locus heterogeneity.

We extended upon a previous observation, based on smaller numbers, that the mean age of parents at the time of SAH is significantly higher than that of their affected children.1 This phenomenon was consistent throughout 19 of the 20 families, and corroborates the notion of clinical anticipation. In these analyses the number of male parents was much smaller than the number of affected mothers. We therefore could not demonstrate an effect of the sex of the affected parent on anticipation and childhood onset of intracranial aneurysm. Comparing outcome, number, and size of aneurysms in parent–child pairs probably will not further substantiate the existence of anticipation, as the association between multiple aneurysms and poor outcome has not been demonstrated,13 and large aneurysms are only associated with a small increase in risk of poor outcome.14 It is not possible to demonstrate such a small increase in our limited study population of 20 parent–child pairs.

A shortcoming of our study is that no systematic screening of all first degree family members was undertaken, which may have led to some bias. Because screening was incomplete, some families may only show an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance later on, as the parents or the children of the affected sibs may harbour undetected intracranial aneurysms. It is also possible that these parents and children will develop intracranial aneurysms in the future. Theoretically, inclusion of families in the group with a non-dominant mode that later appear to have a dominant mode of inheritance may have obscured true differences.

Anticipation can be assumed erroneously, as a result of several kinds of ascertainment bias. As early as 1948 Penrose mentioned three sources of error.15 The first is selection of parents with late onset by limitation of reproduction in early onset patients. This form of bias might operate in familial intracranial aneurysm. The mean age of SAH in familial (and sporadic) intracranial aneurysm is higher than the reproductive age, but 22% of the female SAH patients are still younger than 45 years of age.16
The second potential source of bias is the early diagnosis of severe early onset disease and the late recognition of milder late onset disease. As we analyse only the onset of SAH and as the onset of SAH is sudden and requires prompt medical attention regardless of family history, this source of bias does not seem to play a role here. Furthermore, we attempted to adjust for this tendency by undertaking a separate analysis with exclusion of all parent–child pairs involving an index patient.

The third source of bias is that of index selection, caused by the problem that pairs consisting of a parent with early onset disease and a child with late onset disease are unlikely to be ascertained by a study given the large span of time separating the two events. To adjust for this type of bias, the optimal design study should be carried out in a stable study population with a high yield of case ascertainment over a long period of time. Unfortunately, it is almost impossible to conduct such a study, as it would last several decades. Another solution might be to consider only the families where the last generation children were born a long time ago, for example before 1920. However, with such an analysis no patients at all would be left in our study or in any other.

For our study we excluded six parent–child pairs because the episode suggestive of SAH could not be proven with certainty in the child or the parent. In five of these the parent was older than the child at the time of the episode suggestive of SAH. The differences in age in these excluded parent–child pairs were comparable to those of the parent–child pairs included in our study. Based on these results, the bias of index selection is probably small in our study.

Clinical anticipation may be explained by the transmission of an unstable trinucleotide repeat sequence that increases in size down successive generations. The seven autosomal dominant disorders so far described with unstable mutations (myotonic dystrophy, Huntington disease, spinocerebellar ataxia types 1, 2, 3, and 7, and dentatorubral pallidolysian atrophy) all show anticipation.37 On the other hand, clinical anticipation may be explained by an increased exposure to risk factors for aneurysmal SAH—such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and hypertension—in affected children compared with their affected parents. Further studies are needed to unravel the cause of the notion of clinical anticipation.

We observed a single family with a pattern suggestive of an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance and an unaffected parent—that is, no aneurysm on MR angiography—with affected offspring. As anticipation is likely to be involved in the genetics of familial intracranial aneurysm, the unaffected parent may still develop an aneurysm in the future. Alternatively, this phenomenon may indicate reduced penetrance.

The families with a pattern of inheritance suggestive of a non-dominant transmission may represent a heterogeneous group. For example, some of these families may turn out to have an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance if the parents or the children of the patients develop intracranial aneurysms later on. In other families genetic factors may play a minor role in that siblings could have been affected by chance and not so much by genetic factors, given the approximately 2% rate of unruptured intracranial aneurysms in the general population.44 Also families with polygenetic inheritance may have been included. Furthermore, at least one of the families with a non-dominant transmission may have a transmission mode compatible with an autosomal recessive pattern as the parents of the affected sibs were consanguineous.

Two families with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance showed intracranial aneurysms in three successive generations. Such families are rare, probably because SAH and intracranial aneurysms could be diagnosed with certainty only in the past few decades, following the introduction of catheter angiography and CT. Shinton et al11 reported a family with patients with SAH in three successive generations, but aneurysms were shown in only two generations. In a family described by Schievink et al, a patient of the third generation died from an episode suggestive of SAH, but the diagnosis could not be confirmed as necropsy was not carried out.45

Conclusions

In familial intracranial aneurysm, phenotypes are similar in families with probable autosomal dominant and non-dominant patterns of inheritance. There is no indication that in future genetic studies on familial intracranial aneurysms stratification according to phenotype can be used. Anticipation is very probable in familial intracranial aneurysm.
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