Late epilepsy following open surgery for aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage
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Objective: To determine the risk for late epilepsy (>2 weeks postoperatively) following aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH) treated by early aneurysm clipping.

Design: Subgroup analysis of the East Anglian regional audit of SAH (1994–2000; n = 872) with 12 month follow up. Prophylactic anticonvulsants were not routinely prescribed unless there was a perioperative seizure.

Subjects: 472 patients with aneurysmal SAH undergoing surgical clipping of the aneurysm were studied. Patients presenting in WFNS grade V, with space occupying haematomas requiring emergency surgery, or with posterior circulation aneurysms, rebleeds, and surgery after 21 days were excluded.

Results: Late epilepsy occurred in 23 patients (4.9%). There was a correlation between the incidence of late epilepsy and both the presenting WFNS grade (p < 0.05) (grade 1, 1.4%; grade 2, 3.8%; grade 3, 9.6%; grade 4, 12.5%) and the Glasgow outcome score at discharge (p < 0.01) (good recovery, 2.2%; moderate disability, 5.0%; severe disability, 15.5%). There was no relation between the incidence of late epilepsy and sex or the site of the aneurysm.

Conclusions: The low incidence of late epilepsy following open surgery for aneurysmal SAH supports the withholding of prophylactic anticonvulsants. Patients with poor WFNS grade and poor recovery after surgery are at increased risk and should be closely monitored.

METHODS

Patient population

The study population was derived from the East Anglian regional audit of subarachnoid haemorrhage collected between 1994 and 2000, with a total affected population of 872. Outcome was independently assessed (by HMS) using the GOS six months after the event. The analysis was restricted to the first year post-bleed as the incidence of epilepsy has been shown to fall to very low levels after 12 months.

The inclusion criterion was that the patient underwent a craniotomy to secure a ruptured intracranial aneurysm and survived to be discharged from acute neurosurgical care. Exclusion criteria are shown in table 1. Patients were managed according to standard protocols, which have been described in detail previously. Briefly, all patients were treated with fluid resuscitation (a combination of crystalloid and colloid, 3 litres/24 hours); good grade patients were treated with oral nimodipine (60 mg four hourly); poor grade patients were managed on the neuro-intensive care unit with placement of an external ventricular drain in the presence of hydrocephalus. Blood pressure was supported with inotropes if necessary and nimodipine was given through a centrally placed intravenous line (1–2 mg/hour), but reduced if there was a significant effect on blood pressure. A policy of early angiography and clipping within three days of haemorrhage was pursued for the good grade patients and for poor grade patients.

Abbreviations: GOS, Glasgow outcome score; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage; WFNS, World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies.
patients who had a motor score of 4 or more (flexion to pain or better) following reversal of sedation.

**Anticonvulsant treatment policy**

Prophylactic anticonvulsants were not prescribed in the absence of a perioperative seizure. If they were prescribed following an early seizure (within two weeks of the bleed) the patients were treated for three months. Treatment was continued for 24 months if a further seizure occurred.

**Data analysis**

Postoperative epilepsy and confounding risk factors were determined from the hospital medical records, including a dedicated prospectively designed proforma as part of the East Anglian regional audit of subarachnoid haemorrhage. This proforma includes demographic data, symptoms (with timings), past medical history, family history, drugs (long term and acute administration), systems review, GCS, the findings on neurological examination, World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies (WFNS) grading, general examination findings, and the results of all investigations (haematology and biochemistry, computed tomography, lumbar puncture, and angiography). Only convulsive seizures were included in the final analysis. The independent risk factors that were assessed were sex, WFNS grade on admission, site of aneurysm, and GOS at discharge, three months, and six months. The data were analysed using multivariate analysis (SPSS software).

**RESULTS**

Of the total study population in the audit (n = 872), 472 fulfilled the criteria for inclusion. The mean age of patients was 53 years (range 15 to 84) and the male to female ratio was 1.2:01. The outcome for the patients at six months was good recovery in 81%, moderate disability in 11%, severe disability in 8%, vegetative state in less than 1.0%, and death in less than 0.1%. Late epilepsy occurred in 23 patients (4.9%). There was a significant relation between the incidence of late epilepsy and the WFNS grade (p<0.05) (grade 1, 1.4%; grade 2, 3.8%; grade 3, 9.6%; grade 4, 12.5%) (table 2). There was also a significant relation between the incidence of late epilepsy and the GOS at discharge (p<0.01) (good recovery, 2.2%; moderate disability, 5.0%; severe disability, 15.5%). There was no relation between the incidence of late epilepsy and sex or the site of the aneurysm.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we aimed to determine the current risk for late epilepsy (more than two weeks after the event) following aneurysmal SAH treated by a modern regime involving early aneurysm surgery. The results show a low incidence of late epilepsy compared with other published series. Patients presenting with a poor grade—indicating a more severe primary insult—had a higher incidence of epilepsy (up to 20%). This confirms that patients in worse condition before, during, and after surgery are more prone to developing epilepsy. The results do not support the view that there is a higher incidence of epilepsy in patients with middle artery aneurysms, and also showed no sex differences.

Although the East Anglian regional audit is prospective, the analysis was retrospective, with the risk that not all seizures were captured for the data analysis, and partial seizures were excluded. Furthermore, the use of exclusion criteria will inevitably lead to underestimation of the true overall frequency. Patients were also only followed for one year, so those developing epilepsy after that time were not included in the data analysis. This number is expected to be very small. Conversely, there was a chance of over-reporting, with other neurological events being labelled as epilepsy because medical and nursing personnel rarely witness the seizures and there was no EEG evidence.

For good grade patients, the results support a policy of not prescribing prophylactic anticonvulsant drugs routinely, even for patients with middle cerebral artery aneurysms. For poor grade patients, the results are less clear. Adverse drug effects (often masked in such patients) and concerns over efficacy (which also apply to head injury) suggest that a policy of non-prescription is perhaps advisable. However, these patients should be monitored closely.

**Conclusions**

The study shows that in the modern era of aneurysm surgery, the overall incidence of late epilepsy is low and is significantly related to the Glasgow outcome score. Patients presenting with a poor grade have a higher incidence of epilepsy; these warrant further investigation in larger prospective studies to determine whether there is a role for anticonvulsant drugs in this population.
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